AC

# **REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

**DATE**: Jun 16 2008

ARC REVIEW CODE: R806131

TO:Chairman John EavesATTN TO:Abdul Akbar, Fulton CountyFROM:Charles Krautler, Director

~ \...

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

#### Name of Proposal: Fairburn Storage

**<u>Review Type:</u>** Development of Regional Impact

**Description:** The proposed Fairburn Storage Facility is a 108.87 acre container storage area located in south Fulton County. The proposed development is to store containers, trailers, and chassis that are between reservations or waiting for delivery appointments. There is a small office building associated with the development. The proposed development is located along Gullatt Road. This development was originally reviewed in August of 2007.

Submitting Local Government: Fulton County Date Opened: Jun 16 2008 Deadline for Comments: Jun 30 2008 Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Jul 16 2008

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES CITY OF FAIRBURN FAYETTE COUNTY ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF PALMETTO CHATTAHOOCHEE-FLINT RDC ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY COWETA COUNTY TOWN OF TYRONE

## Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463–3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by 2008–06–30 00:00:00, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u> .



# **REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



Individual Completing form:

# **DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT**

# **DRI-** REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Development Center for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before the specified return deadline.

Preliminary Findings of the RDC: Fairburn Storage See the Preliminary Report .

Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed):

Local Government:	Please Return this form to:
Department:	Haley Fleming, Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street NE Atlanta, GA 30303 Ph. (404) 463-3311 Fax (404) 463-3254
Telephone: ( )	hfleming@atlantaregional.com
Signature: Date:	Return Date: <i>Jun 30 2008</i>

# PRELIMINARY REPORT SUMMARY

# **<u>REVISED PROPOSED DE</u>VELOPMENT:**

The proposed Fairburn Storage Facility is a 108.87 acre container storage area located in south Fulton County. The proposed development is to store containers, trailers, and chassis that are between reservations or waiting for delivery appointments. There is a small office building associated with the development. The proposed development is located along Gullatt Road. This development was originally reviewed in August of 2007.

#### **PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:**

The proposed Fairburn Storage Facility is a 60.95 acre container storage area located in south Fulton County. The proposed development is to store containers, trailers, and chassis that are between reservations or waiting for delivery appointments. There is a small office building associated with the development. The proposed development is located along Gullatt Road.

## **PROJECT PHASING:**

The project is being proposed in one phase with a project build out date 2008

# **GENERAL**

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

#### Is the proposed project consistent with the host-local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

The project site is currently zoned M-2. The site does not need to be rezoned. The future land use plan for Fulton County designates the area as industrial.


## Is the proposed project consistent with any potentially affected local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

## Will the proposed project impact the implementation of any local government's short-term work program? If so, how?

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

Will the proposed project generate population and/or employment increases in the Region? If yes, what would be the major infrastructure and facilities improvements needed to support the increase?



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report Due:	July 16, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due By:	June 30, 2008

No, the proposed development would not increase the need for services in the area.

## What other major development projects are planned near the proposed project?

The ARC has reviewed other major development projects, known as Area Plan (1984 to1991) or as a DRI (1991 to present), within a 1 mile radius of the proposed project.

#### YEAR NAME

- 2007 Fairburn Storage Facility
- 2007 Walker Brothers Transfer Station
- 2006 Bohannon Road Ind Development
- 2001 Solful C&D Landfill
- 2000 Fairburn Wastewater Treatment Facility
- 1997 Cliftwood Roosevelt Highway
- 1996 CSX Intermodal Facility

# Will the proposed project displace housing units or community facilities? If yes, identify and give number of units, facilities, etc.

Based on information submitted for the review, the site is currently undeveloped. .

# Will the development cause a loss in jobs? If yes, how many? No.

## Is the proposed development consistent with regional plans and policies?

The proposed development is located in an area that is primarily dominated by other industrial and warehouse uses within the County. It is important to consider compatible uses as the area continues to develop. The Regional Development Policies adopted by the ARC strive to advance sustainable development, protect environmentally sensitive areas, and create a regional network of greenspace. Mass grading and extensive removal of vegetation on the site should be avoided.

The project property is located within the Line Creek Water Supply Watershed, a small (less than 100square mile) water supply watershed serving both Fayette County and the City of Newnan in Coweta County, and is located more than seven miles upstream of either intake. The USGS regional coverage shows Shoal Creek, a tributary to Line Creek crossing portions of the property. The 75-foot undisturbed buffer and additional 25-foot setback required under the Fulton stream buffer ordinance are shown along Shoal Creek. The only development proposed in the buffers is a stream crossing. In the Fulton County Code, Article VII, Section 26-429(b)(3), as adopted on March 4, 2005, states that, within small water supply watersheds:

"The impervious area, including all public and private structures, utilities or facilities, of the entire water supply watershed shall be limited to 25 percent, or existing use, whichever is greater, unless other best management practices, as approved by the Georgia Department of Natural Resources have been implemented"



### PRELIMINARY REPORT

#### **Regional Development Plan Policies**

- 1. Provide sustainable economic growth in all areas of the region.
- 2. Encourage new homes and jobs within existing developed areas of the region, focusing on principal transportation corridors, the Central Business District, activity centers, and town centers.
- 3. Increase opportunities for mixed use development, transit-oriented development, infill, and redevelopment.
- 4. At strategic regional locations, plan and retail industrial and freight land uses.
- 5. Design transportation infrastructure to protect the context of adjoining development and provide a sense of place appropriate for our communities.
- 6. Promote the reclamation of Brownfield development sites.
- 7. Protect the character and integrity of existing neighborhoods, while also meeting the needs of communities to grow.
- 8. Encourage a variety of homes styles, densities, and price ranges in locations that are accessible to jobs and services to ensure housing for individuals and families of all incomes and age groups.
- 9. Promote new communities that feature greenspace and neighborhood parks, pedestrian scale, support transportation options, and provide an appropriate mix of uses and housing types.
- 10. Promote sustainable and energy efficient development.
- 11. Protect environmentally-sensitive areas including wetlands, floodplains, small water supply watersheds, rivers and stream corridors.
- 12. Increase the amount, quality, and connectivity, and accessibility of greenspace.
- 13. Provide strategies to preserve and enhance historic resources
- 14. Through regional infrastructure planning, limit growth in undeveloped areas of the region
- 15. Assist local governments to adopt growth management strategies that make more efficient use of existing infrastructure.
- 16. Inform and involve the public in planning at regional, local, and neighborhood levels.
- 17. Coordinate local policies and regulations to support Regional Policies
- 18. Encourage the development of state and regional growth management policy.

#### BEST LAND USE PRACTICES

Practice 1: Keep vehicle miles of travel (VMT) below the area average. Infill developments are the best at accomplishing this. The more remote a development the more self contained it must be to stay below the area average VMT.

Practice 2: Contribute to the area's jobs-housing balance. Strive for a job-housing balance with a three to five mile area around a development site.

Practice 3: Mix land uses at the finest grain the market will bear and include civic uses in the mix. Practice 4: Develop in clusters and keep the clusters small. This will result in more open space preservation.



Practice 6: Phase convenience shopping and recreational opportunities to keep pace with housing. These are valued amenities and translate into less external travel by residents if located conveniently to housing. Practice 7: Make subdivisions into neighborhoods with well-defined centers and edges. This is traditional development.

Practice 8: Reserve school sites and donate them if necessary to attract new schools. This will result in neighborhood schools which provide a more supportive learning environment than larger ones.

Practice 9: Concentrate commercial development in compact centers or districts, rather than letting it spread out in strips.

Practice 10: Make shopping centers and business parks into all-purpose activity centers. Suburban shopping centers and their environs could be improved by mixing uses and designing them with the pedestrian amenities of downtowns.

Practice 11: Tame auto-oriented land uses, or at least separate them from pedestrian-oriented uses. Relegate "big box" stores to areas where they will do the least harm to the community fabric.

# BEST TRANSPORTATION PRACTICES

Practice 1: Design the street network with multiple connections and relatively direct routes.

Practice 2: Space through-streets no more than a half-mile apart or the equivalent route density in a curvilinear network.

Practice 3: Use traffic-calming measures liberally. Use short streets, sharp curves, center islands, traffic circles, textured pavements, speed bumps and raised crosswalks.

Practice 4: Keep speeds on local streets down to 20 mph.

Practice 5: Keep speeds on arterials and collectors down to 35 mph (at least inside communities).

Practice 6: Keep all streets as narrow as possible and never more than four traffic lanes wide. Florida suggests access streets 18 feet, subcollectors 26 feet, and collectors from 28 feet to 36 feet depending on lanes and parking. Practice 7: Align streets to give buildings energy-efficient orientations. Allow building sites to benefit from sun angles, natural shading and prevailing breezes.

Practice 8: Avoid using traffic signals wherever possible and always space them for good traffic progression.

Practice 9: Provide networks for pedestrians and bicyclists as good as the network for motorists.

Practice 10: Provide pedestrians and bicyclists with shortcuts and alternatives to travel along high-volume streets. Practice 11: Incorporate transit-oriented design features.

Practice 12: Establish TDM programs for local employees. Ridesharing, modified work hours, telecommuting and others.

## BEST ENVIRONMENTAL PRACTICES

Practice 1: Use a systems approach to environmental planning. Shift from development orientation to basins or ecosystems planning.

Practice 2: Channel development into areas that are already disturbed.

Practice 3: Preserve patches of high-quality habitat, as large and circular as possible, feathered at the edges and connected by wildlife corridors. Stream corridors offer great potential.

Practice 4: Design around significant wetlands.

Practice 5: Establish upland buffers around all retained wetlands and natural water bodies.

Practice 6: Preserve significant uplands, too.

Practice 7: Restore and enhance ecological functions damaged by prior site activities.

Practice 8: Detain runoff with open, natural drainage systems. The more natural the system the more valuable it will be for wildlife and water quality.

Practice 9: Design man-made lakes and stormwater ponds for maximum environmental value. Recreation, stormwater management, wildlife habitat and others.

Practice 10: Use reclaimed water and integrated pest management on large landscaped areas. Integrated pest management involves controlling pests by introducing their natural enemies and cultivating disease and insect resistant grasses.



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report Due:	July 16, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due By:	June 30, 2008
Duc.	2000		Buc By.	

Practice 11: Use and require the use of Xeriscape<sup>TM</sup> landscaping. Xeriscaping<sup>TM</sup> is water conserving landscape methods and materials.

### BEST HOUSING PRACTICES

Practice 1: Offer "life cycle" housing. Providing integrated housing for every part of the "life cycle." Practice 2: Achieve an average net residential density of six to seven units per acre without the appearance of crowding. Cluster housing to achieve open space.

Practice 3: Use cost-effective site development and construction practices. Small frontages and setbacks; rolled curbs or no curbs; shared driveways.

Practice 4: Design of energy-saving features. Natural shading and solar access.

Practice 5: Supply affordable single-family homes for moderate-income households.

Practice 6: Supply affordable multi-family and accessory housing for low-income households.

Practice 7: Tap government housing programs to broaden and deepen the housing/income mix.

Practice 8: Mix housing to the extent the market will bear.

## **LOCATION**

#### Where is the proposed project located within the host-local government's boundaries?

The proposed development is located in south Fulton County north of Interstate 85 and south of Roosevelt Highway along Gullatt Road.

# Will the proposed project be located close to the host-local government's boundary with another local government? If yes, identify the other local government.

The proposed development is entirely within the County's jurisdiction. The proposed project is adjacent to the City of Palmetto and less than two miles from Coweta County and Fayette County.

### Will the proposed project be located close to land uses in other jurisdictions that would benefit, or be negatively impacted, by the project? Identify those land uses which would benefit and those which would be negatively affected and describe impacts.

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

#### **ECONOMY OF THE REGION**

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

#### What new taxes will be generated by the proposed project?

Estimated value of the development is \$7,000,000 with an expected \$24,000 in annual local tax revenues.

#### How many short-term jobs will the development generate in the Region?

Short-term jobs will depend upon construction schedule.



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report Due:	July 16, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due Bv:	June 30, 2008

# Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?

Yes.

In what ways could the proposed development have a positive or negative impact on existing industry or business in the Region?

To be determined during the review.

## NATURAL RESOURCES

#### Water Supply Watersheds and Stream Buffers

The project property is located within the Line Creek Water Supply Watershed, a small (less than 100square mile) water supply watershed serving both Fayette County and the City of Newnan in Coweta County, and is located more than seven miles upstream of either intake. The USGS regional coverage shows Shoal Creek, a tributary to Line Creek crossing portions of the property. The 75-foot undisturbed buffer and additional 25-foot setback required under the Fulton stream buffer ordinance are shown along Shoal Creek. The only development proposed in the buffers is a stream crossing. In the Fulton County Code, Article VII, Section 26-429(b)(3), as adopted on March 4, 2005, states that, within small water supply watersheds:

"The impervious area, including all public and private structures, utilities or facilities, of the entire water supply watershed shall be limited to 25 percent, or existing use, whichever is greater, unless other best management practices, as approved by the Georgia Department of Natural Resources have been implemented"

Although no plans were submitted at scale, the site appears to be about 80 percent impervious. Water quality control ponds are also shown on the site plan. The project needs to conform to all County water supply watershed requirements, including impervious surface and water quality best management practices requirements.

For all state waters on the property, the State 25-foot erosion and sedimentation buffer is required. Any work in these buffers must conform to the state E & S requirements and must be approved by the appropriate agency.

#### Storm Water/Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. ARC has estimated the amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development, using impervious areas based on estimated averages for land uses in the Atlanta Region. Based on the proposed use, heavy industrial was selected. Actual loadings will vary with the specific activity and the final amount of impervious coverage. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis:

#### Pollutant loads (lb./yr.)



Land Use	Land Area (acres)	TP	TN	BOD	TSS	Zinc	Lead
Heavy Industrial	108.87	157.86	2094.66	13935.36	86551.65	180.72	22.86
TOTAL	108.87	157.86	2094.66	13935.36	86551.65	180.72	22.86

#### **Total Estimated Impervious: 80% in this analysis**

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (<u>www.georgiastormwater.com</u>) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

#### HISTORIC RESOURCES

Will the proposed project be located near a national register site? If yes, identify site.

None have been identified.

In what ways could the proposed project create impacts that would damage the resource?

Not applicable.

In what ways could the proposed project have a positive influence on efforts to preserve or promote the historic resource?

Not applicable.

#### INFRASTRUCTURE Transportation

## **Georgia Regional Transportation Authority Review Findings**

This DRI proposal is being considered for review under the Georgia Regional Transportation Authority Expedited Review. The site is being proposed for a graded gravel trailer parking pad in Fulton County.

# How much traffic (both average daily and peak am/pm) will be generated by the proposed project?

GRTA and ARC review staff agreed with the methodology and assumptions used in the analysis. The net trip generation is based on the specific operational parameters being proposed by the developer. Based on information submitted for the review and the proposed use on the site, the vehicle trips generated by the proposed development will be approximately 300 per day.

What are the existing traffic patterns and volumes on the local, county, state, and interstate roads that serve the site?



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report	July 16,	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments	June 30, 2008
Due:	2008		Due By:	

Projected traffic volumes from the Regional Travel Demand Model are compared to the assigned capacity of facilities within the study network. This data is used to calculate a volume to capacity (V/C) ratio. The V/C ratio values that define the LOS thresholds vary depending on factors such as the type of terrain traversed and the percent of the road where passing is prohibited. As a V/C ratio reaches 0.8, congestion increases. Any facilities that have a V/C ratio of 1.00 or above are considered congested. By the year 2030, Roosevelt Highway is expected to operate at LOS C.

What transportation improvements are under construction or planned for the Region that would affect or be affected by the proposed project? What is the status of these improvements (long or short range or other)?

2008-2013 TIP\*

Not Applicable

#### **Envision6 RTP\***

ARC Number	Route	Type of Improvement	Scheduled Completion Year
FS-202D	OAKLEY INDUSTRIAL BOULEVARD FROM BOHANNON ROAD TO GULLATT ROAD	Roadway Capacity	2030

\*The ARC Board adopted the Envision6 RTP and FY 2008-2013 TIP on September 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007.

# Impacts of the truck parking pad: What are the recommended transportation improvements based on the traffic study done by the applicant?

No significant impacts have been estimated because of the development of this project.

# What are the conclusions of this review? Is the transportation system (existing and planned) capable of accommodating these trips?

With only an estimated 300 truck trips accessing the site daily, this development is permissible under the Expedited Review criteria.

# What transportation demand management strategies does the developer propose (carpool, flextime, transit subsidy, etc.)?

Given the type of development, none are necessary and the Air Quality Benchmark test will not be used.

## **INFRASTRUCTURE**

#### Wastewater and Sewage

Based on regional averages, wastewater is estimated at 625 gallons per day.

#### Which facility will treat wastewater from the project?



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report Due:	July 16, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due By:	June 30, 2008

The Little Bear facility will provide wastewater treatment for the proposed development.

## What is the current permitted capacity and average annual flow to this facility?

The capacity of Little Bear Site is listed below:

PERMITTED CAPACITY MMF, MGD 1	DESIGN CAPACITY MMF, MGD	2001 MMF, MGD	2008 MMF, MGD	2008 CAPACITY AVAILABLE +/-, MGD	PLANNED EXPANSION	REMARKS
0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0		Will be phased out in approximately 5 years.

MMF: Maximum Monthly Flow. Mgd: million of gallons per day.

<sup>1</sup> Source: Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District SHORT-TERM WASTEWATER CAPACITY PLAN, August 2002.

#### What other major developments will be served by the plant serving this project?

ARC has reviewed a number of major developments that will be served by this plant.

#### <u>INFRASTRUCTURE</u> Water Supply and Treatment

#### How much water will the proposed project demand?

Water demand also is estimated at 250 gallons per day based on regional averages.

# How will the proposed project's demand for water impact the water supply or treatment facilities of the jurisdiction providing the service?

Information submitted with the review suggests that there is sufficient water supply capacity available for the proposed project.

#### **INFRASTRUCTURE** Solid Waste

#### How much solid waste will be generated by the project? Where will this waste be disposed?

Information submitted with the review 140 tons of solid waste per year and the waste will be disposed of in Fulton County.

#### Will the project create any unusual waste handling or disposal problems?



No.

# Are there any provisions for recycling this project's solid waste?

None stated.

# **INFRASTRUCTURE**

# **Other facilities**

According to information gained in the review process, will there be any unusual intergovernmental impacts on:

- Levels of governmental services?
- Administrative facilities?
- Schools?
- Libraries or cultural facilities?
- Fire, police, or EMS?
- Other government facilities?
- Other community services/resources (day care, health care, low income, non-English speaking, elderly, etc.)?

To be determined during the review.

# **HOUSING**

## Will the proposed project create a demand for additional housing?

No.

Will the proposed project provide housing opportunities close to existing employment centers?

No.

# Is there housing accessible to the project in all price ranges demanded?

Given the minimal number of employees, no housing impact analysis is necessary.

# Is it likely or unlikely that potential employees of the proposed project will be able to find affordable\* housing?

N/A

\* Defined as 30 percent of the income of a family making 80 percent of the median income of the Region – FY 2000 median income of \$51,649 for family of 4 in Georgia.





15 Oak Street, Suite 2D - Frankfort, IL 60423

Main: 815.534.6200 • Fax: 815.534.6201 • www.infinitytransport.com

June 11, 2008

Ms. Laura Beall, AICP Georgia Regional Transportation Authority 245 Peachtree Center Avenue, NE, Suite 900 Atlanta, GA 30303-1223

RE: Fairburn Storage Facility Expedited Review Request

Dear Ms. Beall,

Infinity Transport is requesting an expedited review for the Fairburn Storage Facility.

Infinity Transport's intention for the Fairburn facility is to store containers, trailers and chassis that are between reservations or waiting for delivery appointments. This is a service sought by shippers and equipment owners due to capacity constraints at the railyards and warehouses. Trucking will be the only mode of transportation in and out of the facility, and the yard will not be used as a truck stop or fueling station. There will be a maximum of ten (10) employees on site at any point during the day. The development will generate an estimated 300 trips per day with a travel distance of two (2) miles to and from the site. Therefore no required air quality permit from the EPD will be required.

Any questions or concerns, please contact me.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Dale B. Bartley O: 815-534-6200, ext. 109 C: 708-516-0967

DRI Home DRI Rules Threshol	ds	Tier Map	FAQ App	oly View
RI #1875				
		F REGIONAL IM	PACT	
his form is to be completed by the city of o determine if the project appears to me Process and the <u>DRI Tiers and Threshol</u>	et or exceed appli	cable DRI threshold		
	Local Govern	ment Informatio	n	
Submitting L	ocal Government:	Fulton County		
Individua	I completing form:			
	·	404-730-7518		
		Abdul.Akbar@fulto	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Note: The local government representat contained herein. If a project is to be loca DRI threshold, the local government in w he DRI review process.	ated in more than o	one jurisdiction and,	in total, the project meets or e	xceeds a
	Proposed Pro	oject Informatio	1	
			•••	
		Fairburn Storage Fa		7 District 7
Location (Street Address, GPS Cool		8335 Gullatt Road I		7, District 7
Location (Street Address, GPS Cool Land	rdinates, or Legal d Lot Description):	8335 Gullatt Road I		
Location (Street Address, GPS Coor Land Brief Des	rdinates, or Legal d Lot Description):	8335 Gullatt Road I	and Lots 132,133,155,156,15	
Location (Street Address, GPS Cool Land	rdinates, or Legal d Lot Description):	8335 Gullatt Road I	and Lots 132,133,155,156,15	gravel yard.
Location (Street Address, GPS Cool Land Brief Desc Development Type:	rdinates, or Legal d Lot Description): cription of Project:	8335 Gullatt Road I	and Lots 132,133,155,156,15 ner storage area located in a g Wastewater Treatme	gravel yard.
Location (Street Address, GPS Cool Land Brief Desc Development Type: (not selected)	rdinates, or Legal d Lot Description): cription of Project: Hotels	8335 Gullatt Road I	and Lots 132,133,155,156,15 ner storage area located in a g Wastewater Treatme Facilities	gravel yard. ent
Location (Street Address, GPS Cool Land Brief Desc <b>Development Type:</b> (not selected) Office	rdinates, or Legal d Lot Description): cription of Project: Hotels Mixed Use Airports	8335 Gullatt Road I	and Lots 132,133,155,156,15 ner storage area located in a g Wastewater Treatme Facilities Petroleum Storage F Water Supply Intakes	ent acilities
Location (Street Address, GPS Cool Land Brief Desc <b>Development Type:</b> (not selected) Office Commercial	rdinates, or Legal d Lot Description): cription of Project: Hotels Mixed Use Airports Attractions Facilities	8335 Gullatt Road I	and Lots 132,133,155,156,15 ner storage area located in a g Wastewater Treatme Facilities Petroleum Storage F Water Supply Intakes Reservoirs	ent acilities
Location (Street Address, GPS Cool Land Brief Desc Development Type: (not selected) Office Commercial Wholesale & Distribution Hospitals and Health Care	rdinates, or Legal d Lot Description): cription of Project: Hotels Mixed Use Airports Attractions Facilities Post-Seco	8335 Gullatt Road I This will be a contained to the second	and Lots 132,133,155,156,15 ner storage area located in a g Wastewater Treatme Facilities Petroleum Storage F Water Supply Intakes Reservoirs Intermodal Terminals	gravel yard. ent acilities s/

Login

Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.):	108.87		
- · · · ·			
Developel.	Dale Bartley		
Mailing Address:	1766 Mountain Glen		
Address 2:			
	City:Stone Mountain State: GA Zip:30087		
Telephone:	1-815-534-6200		
Email:	dale.bartley@clmg.com		
Is property owner different from developer/applicant?	(not selected) Yes No		
If yes, property owner:	MI, LLC		
Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?	(not selected) Yes No		
If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?	City of Palmetto		
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	(not selected) Yes No		
If yes, provide the following information:	Project Name: Fairburn Storage Facility		
	Project ID: 1565		
The initial action being requested of the local government for this project:	Rezoning Variance Sewer Water Permit Other		
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	(not selected) Yes No		
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?			
Estimated Project Completion Dates:	This project/phase: 06/01/09 Overall project:		
Back to Top			

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.

		Tier Map	FAQ Apply	View Submissions	Logi
Additio		F REGIONAL IMPACT			
		ORI Information			
		ent to provide information ne ess and the <u>DRI Tiers and T</u>			
Local Go	verni	ment Information			
-					
Abdul.Akbar@fultonc	countyg	ja.gov			
Pro	oject I	nformation			
	-				
1875					
Dale Bartley					
1-815-534-6200					
dale.bartley@clmg.co	om				
Additional	Infor	mation Requested			
(not selected)	Yes	No			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
(not selected)	Yes	No			
can not start until this	s addit	ional information is provided	•		
Econo	omic	Development			
\$ 7,000,000					
\$ 24,000					
(not selected)	Yes	No			
(not selected)	Yes	No			
	Local Go Fulton County Abdul Akbar 404-730-7518 Abdul.Akbar@fultono Pro Fairburn Storage Fac 1875 Dale Bartley 1-815-534-6200 dale.bartley@clmg.co Additional (not selected) (not selected) (not selected) can not start until thi Econ \$ 7,000,000 \$ 24,000	Local Governi Fulton County Abdul Akbar 404-730-7518 Abdul.Akbar@fultoncountyg Project I Fairburn Storage Facility 1875 Dale Bartley 1-815-534-6200 dale.bartley@clmg.com Additional Infor (not selected) Yes (not selected) Yes can not start until this addit Economic \$ 7,000,000 \$ 24,000 (not selected) Yes	Local Government Information         Fulton County       Abdul Akbar         404-730-7518       Abdul.Akbar@fultoncountyga.gov         Project Information         Fairburn Storage Facility       1875         Dale Bartley       1-815-534-6200         dale.bartley@clmg.com       Image: Comment information Requested         Additional Information Requested         (not selected)       Yes       No         can not start until this additional information is provided       Economic Development         \$ 7,000,000       \$ 24,000       Yes       No	Local Government Information Fulton County Abdul Akbar 404-730-7518 Abdul.Akbar@fultoncountyga.gov Project Information Fairburn Storage Facility 1875 Dale Bartley 1-815-534-6200 dale.bartley@clmg.com Additional Information Requested (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No Economic Development \$ 7,000,000 \$ 24,000 (not selected) Yes No	Fulton County   Abdul Akbar   404-730-7518   Abdul Akbar@fultoncountyga.gov     Project Information   Fairburn Storage Facility   1875   Dale Bartley   1-815-534-6200   dale.bartley@clmg.com     Additional Information Requested   (not selected) Yes No   (not selected) Yes No   can not start until this additional information is provided.   \$7,000,000   \$24,000   (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No

DRI Additional Information Form

Water Supply           Name of water supply provider for this site:         City of Atlanta           What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Galtons Per Day (not selected) Yes No         0.00025 MGD           If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity: is a water line extension required to serve this project?         (not selected) Yes No           If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?         Wastewater Disposal           Name of wastewater required to serve this project?         (not selected) Yes No           If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?         0.00025 MGD           Wastewater treatment provider for this site:         0.000625 MGD           If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?         0.000625 MGD           If sufficient wastewater retartment capacity available to serve this proposed project?         (not selected) Yes No           If sufficient wastewater required to serve this proposed project?         (not selected) Yes No           If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?         No           How much traffic volume is available, please provide.)         300 trips per day           How much traffic volume is available, please provide.)         (not selected) Yes No           Has a traffic study been project?         (not selected) Yes No           (not selected) Yes No	If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc):						
Name of water supply provider for this site:       City of Atlanta         What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?       0.0025 MGD         If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity: Is a water line extension required to serve this project?       (not selected) Yes No         If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?       (not selected) Yes No         Wastewater in extension required to serve this project?       Futon County Government         Wastewater Disposal       0.00625 MGD         Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:       Futon County Government         What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project.?       Futon County Government         0.00625 MGD       0.00625 MGD         0.00625 MGD       0.00625 MGD         1f no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity: is a sewater ine extension required to serve this project?       (not selected) Yes No         1f ves, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?       (not selected) Yes No         1f ves, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?       (not selected) Yes No         1f ves, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?       (not selected) Yes No         1f ves, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?       (not selected) Yes No         1f ves, how much additional li			10/-1:	n Cumaha			
provider for this site:       0.00025 MGD         What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Galions Per Day (MGD)?       0.00025 MGD         If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity:       (not selected) Yes No         If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity:       (not selected) Yes No         If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?       (not selected) Yes No         Wastewater Disposal         Name of wastewater       Fulton County Government         What is the estimated server of this site:       0.00025 MGD         What is the estimated server of the generated by the project, measured in Millions of Galions Per Day (MGD)?       0.00025 MGD         Sufficient wastewater       fulton County Government         treatment capacity available to serve this project?       (not selected) Yes No         Sufficient wastewater       fulton County Government         treatment capacity available to serve this project?       (not selected) Yes No         Sufficient wastewater       fulton County Government         treatment capacity available to serve this project?       (not selected) Yes No         Sufficient wastewater       fulton County Government         treatment capacity available       (not selected) Yes No         If no, describe any plans to expand existin							
supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?       (not selected) Yes No         is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?       (not selected) Yes No         if no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity:       is a water line extension required to serve this project?         if yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?       ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		City of Atlanta					
capacity available to serve the proposed project?       (not selected) 'res' No'         If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity:       (not selected) 'Yes' No'         If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?       (not selected) 'Yes' No'         Wate setwater treatment provider for this site:       Fulton County Government         What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project?       0.000625 MGD         S sufficient wastewater treatment provider for this site:       0.000625 MGD         If no, describe any plans to expand the existing wastewater treatment capacity valiable to serve this project?       (not selected) 'Yes' No'         If no, describe any plans to expande existing wastewater treatment capacity:       (not selected) 'Yes' No'         If sa sewer line extension required to serve this project?       (not selected) 'Yes' No'         If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?       (not selected) 'Yes' No'         How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the project?       300 trips per day         How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the project and development, in peak hour whiche trips per day' (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)       (not selected) 'Yes' No'         Has a traffic Study been performed to determine whether or not ransportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?       (not selected) 'Yes' No'         Are transportation im	supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of	0.00025 MGD					
Is a water line extension required to serve this project? (not selected) Yes No Wastewater Disposal Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site: Wastewater Disposal Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site: Wastewater Disposal (0.00625 MGD) (MGD)? Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project? (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No	capacity available to serve	(not selected)	Yes	No			
required to serve this project?       (Indi selected)       Yes       No         If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?       Wastewater Disposal         Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:       Fulton County Government         What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Galons Per Day (MGD)?       0.000625 MGD         Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available project?       (not selected)       Yes       No         If no, describe any plans to expande existing wastewater treatment capacity required to serve this project?       (not selected)       Yes       No         If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?       (not selected)       Yes       No         How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day       300 trips per day       300 trips per day         How much traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?       (not selected)       Yes       No         Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?       (not selected)       Yes       No	If no, describe any plans to exp	and the existing wate	er supp	ly capacity:			
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?           Wastewater Disposal           Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:           Fulton County Government           reatment provider for this site:         Fulton County Government           What is the estimated         0.000625 MGD           sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Galions Per Day (MGD)?         0.00625 MGD           Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?         (not selected) Yes No           If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:         Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?           If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?         (not selected) Yes No           How much traffic volume is expanded by the proposed development, in miles) will be required?         300 trips per day           How much traffic volume is available, please provide.)         300 trips per day           Has a traffic study been performed to determine wither or no transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?         (not selected) Yes No           Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?         (not selected) Yes No		(not selected)	Yes	No			
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:       Fulton County Government         What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Galons Per Day (MGD)?       0.000625 MGD         Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?       (not selected) Yes No         If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:       (not selected) Yes No         Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?       (not selected) Yes No         If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?         Land Transportation measure of volume is available, please provide.)       300 trips per day         Has a traffic study been performed to serve this project?       (not selected) Yes No         If a sa traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?       (not selected) Yes No         Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?       (not selected) Yes No	· · ·	e (in miles) will be re	quired?				
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:       Fulton County Government         What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Galons Per Day (MGD)?       0.000625 MGD         Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?       (not selected) Yes No         If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:       (not selected) Yes No         Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?       (not selected) Yes No         If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?         Land Transportation measure of volume is available, please provide.)       300 trips per day         Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?       Yes No         (not selected) Yes No       (not selected) Yes No         (not selected) Yes No       Sou trips per day							
treatment provider for this site: What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)? Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project? If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity: Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project? If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required? Land Transportation May (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.) Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation performed to determine whether or not transportation project? (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No		Wa	stewa	ter Disposal			
sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)? Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project? If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity: Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project? If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required? Land Transportation How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.) Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project? Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?		Fulton County Gove	ernment				
In the selected of the selected	sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day	0.000625 MGD					
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?       (not selected)       Yes       No         If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?         Land Transportation         How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)       300 trips per day         Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?       (not selected)       Yes       No         Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?       (not selected)       Yes       No	treatment capacity available to serve this proposed	(not selected)	Yes	No			
required to serve this project?       (not selected)       Yes       No         If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?         Land Transportation         How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)       300 trips per day         Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?       (not selected)       Yes       No         Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?       (not selected)       Yes       No							
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?         Land Transportation         How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)       300 trips per day         Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?       (not selected) Yes No         Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?       (not selected) Yes No		(not selected)	Yes	No			
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)300 trips per dayHas a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?(not selected) Yes NoAre transportation improvements needed to serve this project?(not selected) Yes No							
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)300 trips per dayHas a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?(not selected) Yes NoAre transportation improvements needed to serve this project?(not selected) Yes No							
expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.) Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project? Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	11 1 <i>4 1</i> 1 1			Insportation			
performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?Test NotAre transportation improvements needed to serve this project?(not selected)YesNot	expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is	300 trips per day					
improvements needed to serve this project?	performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this	(not selected)	Yes	No			
If yes, please describe below:	improvements needed to	(not selected)	Yes	Νο			
	If yes, please describe below:						
Solid Waste Disposal		Sol	id Wa	ste Disposal			

DRI Additional Information Form

How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	140 tons annually					
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected)	Yes	No			
If no, describe any plans to expand existing landfill capacity:						
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	(not selected)	Yes	No			
If yes, please explain:						
Stormwater Management						
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?	60 %					
Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management:Detention Pond						
Environmental Quality						
Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:						
1. Water supply watersheds?	(not selected)	Yes	No			
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	(not selected)	Yes	No			
3. Wetlands?	(not selected)	Yes	No			
4. Protected mountains?	(not selected)	Yes	No			
5. Protected river corridors?	(not selected)	Yes	No			
6. Floodplains?	(not selected)	Yes	No			
7. Historic resources?	(not selected)	Yes	No			
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	(not selected)	Yes	No			
If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:						
Back to Top						

# GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.



