

## REGIONAL REVIEW FINDING

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

**DATE**: Jul 16 2008 **ARC Review Code**: R806131

TO: Chairman John Eaves

ATTN TO: Abdul Akbar,

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director (

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on file.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). Below is the ARC finding. The Atlanta Regional Commission reviewed the DRI with regard to conflicts to regional plans, goals, and policies and impacts it might have on the activities, plans, goals, and policies of other local jurisdictions and state, federal, and other agencies. The finding does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the local government.

Submitting Local Government: Fulton County

Name of Proposal: Fairburn Storage

Review Type: Development of Regional Impact Date Opened: Jun 16 2008 Date Closed: Jul 16 2008

<u>FINDING</u>: After reviewing the information submitted for the review, and the comments received from affected agencies, the Atlanta Regional Commission finding is that the DRI is in the best interest of the Region, and therefore, of the State.

Additional Comments: The proposed development is located in an area that is primarily dominated by other industrial and warehouse uses within the County. It is important to consider compatible uses as the area continues to develop. The Regional Development Policies adopted by the ARC strive to advance sustainable development, protect environmentally sensitive areas, and create a regional network of greenspace. Mass grading and extensive removal of vegetation on the site should be avoided.

The project property is located within the Line Creek Water Supply Watershed, a small (less than 100-square mile) water supply watershed serving both Fayette County and the City of Newnan in Coweta County, and is located more than seven miles upstream of either intake. The USGS regional coverage shows Shoal Creek, a tributary to Line Creek crossing portions of the property. The 75-foot undisturbed buffer and additional 25-foot setback required under the Fulton stream buffer ordinance are shown along Shoal Creek. The only development proposed in the buffers is a stream crossing. In the Fulton County Code, Article VII, Section 26-429(b)(3), as adopted on March 4, 2005, states that, within small water supply watersheds:

"The impervious area, including all public and private structures, utilities or facilities, of the entire water supply watershed shall be limited to 25 percent, or existing use, whichever is greater, unless other best management practices, as approved by the Georgia Department of Natural Resources have been implemented"

Comments were received from Coweta County regarding truck traffic on Goodlin Road that connects to Collinsworth to access I-85. Truck traffic on Goodlin Road (Gullatt Road within Fulton County) is not allowed.

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
CITY OF FAIRBURN

**FAYETTE COUNTY** 

ARC Transportation Planning
ARC Aging Division
Georgia Department of Transportation
City of Palmetto

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
COWETA COUNTY

CITY OF PALMETTO COWETA COUNTY
CHATTAHOOCHEE-FLINT RDC TOWN OF TYRONE

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3311. This finding will be published to the ARC website.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse.

Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report Due:	July 16, 2008	REVIEW REPORT	Comments Due By:	June 30, 2008

#### FINAL REPORT SUMMARY

#### REVISED PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

The proposed Fairburn Storage Facility is a 108.87 acre container storage area located in south Fulton County. The proposed development is to store containers, trailers, and chassis that are between reservations or waiting for delivery appointments. There is a small office building associated with the development. The proposed development is located along Gullatt Road. This development was originally reviewed in August of 2007.



#### PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

The proposed Fairburn Storage Facility is a 60.95 acre container storage area located in south Fulton County. The proposed development is to store containers, trailers, and chassis that are between reservations or waiting for delivery appointments. There is a small office building associated with the development. The proposed development is located along Gullatt Road.

#### **PROJECT PHASING:**

The project is being proposed in one phase with a project build out date 2008

#### **GENERAL**

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

Is the proposed project consistent with the host-local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

The project site is currently zoned M-2. The site does not need to be rezoned. The future land use plan for Fulton County designates the area as industrial.

Is the proposed project consistent with any potentially affected local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

No comments were received identifying inconsistencies with any potentially affected local government's comprehensive plan.

Will the proposed project impact the implementation of any local government's short-term work program? If so, how?

No comments concerning the implementation of short term work programs were received.

Will the proposed project generate population and/or employment increases in the Region? If yes, what would be the major infrastructure and facilities improvements needed to support the increase?



	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
•	July 16, 2008	REVIEW REPORT	Comments Due Bv:	June 30, 2008

No, the proposed development would not increase the need for services in the area.

#### What other major development projects are planned near the proposed project?

The ARC has reviewed other major development projects, known as Area Plan (1984 to 1991) or as a DRI (1991 to present), within a 1 mile radius of the proposed project.

#### YEAR NAME

2007 Fairburn Storage Facility
2007 Walker Brothers Transfer Station
2006 Bohannon Road Ind Development
2001 Solful C&D Landfill
2000 Fairburn Wastewater Treatment Facility
1997 Cliftwood Roosevelt Highway
1996 CSX Intermodal Facility

Will the proposed project displace housing units or community facilities? If yes, identify and give number of units, facilities, etc.

Based on information submitted for the review, the site is currently undeveloped. .

Will the development cause a loss in jobs? If yes, how many? No.

#### Is the proposed development consistent with regional plans and policies?

The proposed development is located in an area that is primarily dominated by other industrial and warehouse uses within the County. It is important to consider compatible uses as the area continues to develop. The Regional Development Policies adopted by the ARC strive to advance sustainable development, protect environmentally sensitive areas, and create a regional network of greenspace. Mass grading and extensive removal of vegetation on the site should be avoided.

The project property is located within the Line Creek Water Supply Watershed, a small (less than 100-square mile) water supply watershed serving both Fayette County and the City of Newnan in Coweta County, and is located more than seven miles upstream of either intake. The USGS regional coverage shows Shoal Creek, a tributary to Line Creek crossing portions of the property. The 75-foot undisturbed buffer and additional 25-foot setback required under the Fulton stream buffer ordinance are shown along Shoal Creek. The only development proposed in the buffers is a stream crossing. In the Fulton County Code, Article VII, Section 26-429(b)(3), as adopted on March 4, 2005, states that, within small water supply watersheds:

"The impervious area, including all public and private structures, utilities or facilities, of the entire water supply watershed shall be limited to 25 percent, or existing use, whichever is greater, unless other best management practices, as approved by the Georgia Department of Natural Resources have been implemented"



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report	July 16,	REVIEW REPORT	Comments	June 30, 2008
Due:	2008		Due By:	

Comments were received from Coweta County regarding truck traffic on Goodlin Road that connects to Collinsworth to access I-85. Truck traffic on Goodlin Road (Gullatt Road within Fulton County) is not allowed.



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report Due:	July 16, 2008	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	June 30, 2008

#### **FINAL REPORT**

#### **Regional Development Plan Policies**

- 1. Provide sustainable economic growth in all areas of the region.
- 2. Encourage new homes and jobs within existing developed areas of the region, focusing on principal transportation corridors, the Central Business District, activity centers, and town centers.
- 3. Increase opportunities for mixed use development, transit-oriented development, infill, and redevelopment.
- 4. At strategic regional locations, plan and retail industrial and freight land uses.
- 5. Design transportation infrastructure to protect the context of adjoining development and provide a sense of place appropriate for our communities.
- 6. Promote the reclamation of Brownfield development sites.
- 7. Protect the character and integrity of existing neighborhoods, while also meeting the needs of communities to grow.
- 8. Encourage a variety of homes styles, densities, and price ranges in locations that are accessible to jobs and services to ensure housing for individuals and families of all incomes and age groups.
- 9. Promote new communities that feature greenspace and neighborhood parks, pedestrian scale, support transportation options, and provide an appropriate mix of uses and housing types.
- 10. Promote sustainable and energy efficient development.
- 11. Protect environmentally-sensitive areas including wetlands, floodplains, small water supply watersheds, rivers and stream corridors.
- 12. Increase the amount, quality, and connectivity, and accessibility of greenspace.
- 13. Provide strategies to preserve and enhance historic resources
- 14. Through regional infrastructure planning, limit growth in undeveloped areas of the region
- 15. Assist local governments to adopt growth management strategies that make more efficient use of existing infrastructure.
- 16. Inform and involve the public in planning at regional, local, and neighborhood levels.
- 17. Coordinate local policies and regulations to support Regional Policies
- 18. Encourage the development of state and regional growth management policy.

#### **BEST LAND USE PRACTICES**

Practice 1: Keep vehicle miles of travel (VMT) below the area average. Infill developments are the best at accomplishing this. The more remote a development the more self contained it must be to stay below the area average VMT.

Practice 2: Contribute to the area's jobs-housing balance. Strive for a job-housing balance with a three to five mile area around a development site.

Practice 3: Mix land uses at the finest grain the market will bear and include civic uses in the mix.



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report Due:	July 16, 2008	REVIEW REPORT	Comments Due By:	June 30, 2008

Practice 4: Develop in clusters and keep the clusters small. This will result in more open space preservation.

Practice 5: Place higher-density housing near commercial centers, transit lines and parks. This will enable more walking, biking and transit use.

Practice 6: Phase convenience shopping and recreational opportunities to keep pace with housing. These are valued amenities and translate into less external travel by residents if located conveniently to housing.

Practice 7: Make subdivisions into neighborhoods with well-defined centers and edges. This is traditional development.

Practice 8: Reserve school sites and donate them if necessary to attract new schools. This will result in neighborhood schools which provide a more supportive learning environment than larger ones.

Practice 9: Concentrate commercial development in compact centers or districts, rather than letting it spread out in strips.

Practice 10: Make shopping centers and business parks into all-purpose activity centers. Suburban shopping centers and their environs could be improved by mixing uses and designing them with the pedestrian amenities of downtowns.

Practice 11: Tame auto-oriented land uses, or at least separate them from pedestrian-oriented uses. Relegate "big box" stores to areas where they will do the least harm to the community fabric.

#### **BEST TRANSPORTATION PRACTICES**

Practice 1: Design the street network with multiple connections and relatively direct routes.

Practice 2: Space through-streets no more than a half-mile apart or the equivalent route density in a curvilinear network.

Practice 3: Use traffic-calming measures liberally. Use short streets, sharp curves, center islands, traffic circles, textured pavements, speed bumps and raised crosswalks.

Practice 4: Keep speeds on local streets down to 20 mph.

Practice 5: Keep speeds on arterials and collectors down to 35 mph (at least inside communities).

Practice 6: Keep all streets as narrow as possible and never more than four traffic lanes wide. Florida suggests access streets 18 feet, subcollectors 26 feet, and collectors from 28 feet to 36 feet depending on lanes and parking.

Practice 7: Align streets to give buildings energy-efficient orientations. Allow building sites to benefit from sun angles, natural shading and prevailing breezes.

Practice 8: Avoid using traffic signals wherever possible and always space them for good traffic progression.

Practice 9: Provide networks for pedestrians and bicyclists as good as the network for motorists.

Practice 10: Provide pedestrians and bicyclists with shortcuts and alternatives to travel along high-volume streets.

Practice 11: Incorporate transit-oriented design features.

Practice 12: Establish TDM programs for local employees. Ridesharing, modified work hours, telecommuting and others.

#### BEST ENVIRONMENTAL PRACTICES

Practice 1: Use a systems approach to environmental planning. Shift from development orientation to basins or ecosystems planning.

Practice 2: Channel development into areas that are already disturbed.

Practice 3: Preserve patches of high-quality habitat, as large and circular as possible, feathered at the edges and connected by wildlife corridors. Stream corridors offer great potential.

Practice 4: Design around significant wetlands.

Practice 5: Establish upland buffers around all retained wetlands and natural water bodies.

Practice 6: Preserve significant uplands, too.

Practice 7: Restore and enhance ecological functions damaged by prior site activities.

Practice 8: Detain runoff with open, natural drainage systems. The more natural the system the more valuable it will be for wildlife and water quality.

Practice 9: Design man-made lakes and stormwater ponds for maximum environmental value. Recreation, stormwater management, wildlife habitat and others.



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report Due:	July 16, 2008	REVIEW REPORT	Comments Due By:	June 30, 2008

Practice 10: Use reclaimed water and integrated pest management on large landscaped areas. Integrated pest management involves controlling pests by introducing their natural enemies and cultivating disease and insect resistant grasses.

Practice 11: Use and require the use of Xeriscape<sup>TM</sup> landscaping. Xeriscaping<sup>TM</sup> is water conserving landscape methods and materials.

#### **BEST HOUSING PRACTICES**

Practice 1: Offer "life cycle" housing. Providing integrated housing for every part of the "life cycle."

Practice 2: Achieve an average net residential density of six to seven units per acre without the appearance of crowding. Cluster housing to achieve open space.

Practice 3: Use cost-effective site development and construction practices. Small frontages and setbacks; rolled curbs or no curbs; shared driveways.

Practice 4: Design of energy-saving features. Natural shading and solar access.

Practice 5: Supply affordable single-family homes for moderate-income households.

Practice 6: Supply affordable multi-family and accessory housing for low-income households.

Practice 7: Tap government housing programs to broaden and deepen the housing/income mix.

Practice 8: Mix housing to the extent the market will bear.

#### **LOCATION**

Where is the proposed project located within the host-local government's boundaries?

The proposed development is located in south Fulton County north of Interstate 85 and south of Roosevelt Highway along Gullatt Road.

Will the proposed project be located close to the host-local government's boundary with another local government? If yes, identify the other local government.

The proposed development is entirely within the County's jurisdiction. The proposed project is adjacent to the City of Palmetto and less than two miles from Coweta County and Fayette County.

Will the proposed project be located close to land uses in other jurisdictions that would benefit, or be negatively impacted, by the project? Identify those land uses which would benefit and those which would be negatively affected and describe impacts.

Comments were received from Coweta County regarding truck traffic on Goodlin Road that connects to Collinsworth to access I-85. Truck traffic on Goodlin Road (Gullatt Road within Fulton County) is not allowed.

#### **ECONOMY OF THE REGION**

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

#### What new taxes will be generated by the proposed project?

Estimated value of the development is \$7,000,000 with an expected \$24,000 in annual local tax revenues.



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report Due:	July 16, 2008	REVIEW REPORT	Comments Due By:	June 30, 2008

How many short-term jobs will the development generate in the Region?

Short-term jobs will depend upon construction schedule.

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?

Yes.

In what ways could the proposed development have a positive or negative impact on existing industry or business in the Region?

None were determined during the review.

#### NATURAL RESOURCES

#### Water Supply Watersheds and Stream Buffers

The project property is located within the Line Creek Water Supply Watershed, a small (less than 100-square mile) water supply watershed serving both Fayette County and the City of Newnan in Coweta County, and is located more than seven miles upstream of either intake. The USGS regional coverage shows Shoal Creek, a tributary to Line Creek crossing portions of the property. The 75-foot undisturbed buffer and additional 25-foot setback required under the Fulton stream buffer ordinance are shown along Shoal Creek. The only development proposed in the buffers is a stream crossing. In the Fulton County Code, Article VII, Section 26-429(b)(3), as adopted on March 4, 2005, states that, within small water supply watersheds:

"The impervious area, including all public and private structures, utilities or facilities, of the entire water supply watershed shall be limited to 25 percent, or existing use, whichever is greater, unless other best management practices, as approved by the Georgia Department of Natural Resources have been implemented"

Although no plans were submitted at scale, the site appears to be about 80 percent impervious. Water quality control ponds are also shown on the site plan. The project needs to conform to all County water supply watershed requirements, including impervious surface and water quality best management practices requirements.

For all state waters on the property, the State 25-foot erosion and sedimentation buffer is required. Any work in these buffers must conform to the state E & S requirements and must be approved by the appropriate agency.

#### Storm Water/Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. ARC has estimated the amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development, using impervious areas based on estimated averages for land uses in the Atlanta Region. Based on the proposed use, heavy industrial was



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report Due:	July 16, 2008	REVIEW REPORT	Comments Due By:	June 30, 2008

selected. Actual loadings will vary with the specific activity and the final amount of impervious coverage. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis:

Pollutant loads (lb./yr.)

Land Use	Land Area (acres)	TP	TN	BOD	TSS	Zinc	Lead
Heavy Industrial	108.87	157.86	2094.66	13935.36	86551.65	180.72	22.86
TOTAL	108.87	157.86	2094.66	13935.36	86551.65	180.72	22.86

#### **Total Estimated Impervious: 80% in this analysis**

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (<a href="www.georgiastormwater.com">www.georgiastormwater.com</a>) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

#### **HISTORIC RESOURCES**

Will the proposed project be located near a national register site? If yes, identify site.

None have been identified.

In what ways could the proposed project create impacts that would damage the resource?

Not applicable.

In what ways could the proposed project have a positive influence on efforts to preserve or promote the historic resource?

Not applicable.

#### INFRASTRUCTURE Transportation

#### **Georgia Regional Transportation Authority Review Findings**

This DRI proposal is being considered for review under the Georgia Regional Transportation Authority Expedited Review. The site is being proposed for a graded gravel trailer parking pad in Fulton County.

# How much traffic (both average daily and peak am/pm) will be generated by the proposed project?

GRTA and ARC review staff agreed with the methodology and assumptions used in the analysis. The net trip generation is based on the specific operational parameters being proposed by the developer. Based on information submitted for the review and the proposed use on the site, the vehicle trips generated by the proposed development will be approximately 300 per day.



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report	July 16,	REVIEW REPORT	Comments	June 30, 2008
Due:	2008		Due By:	

What are the existing traffic patterns and volumes on the local, county, state, and interstate roads that serve the site?

Projected traffic volumes from the Regional Travel Demand Model are compared to the assigned capacity of facilities within the study network. This data is used to calculate a volume to capacity (V/C) ratio. The V/C ratio values that define the LOS thresholds vary depending on factors such as the type of terrain traversed and the percent of the road where passing is prohibited. As a V/C ratio reaches 0.8, congestion increases. Any facilities that have a V/C ratio of 1.00 or above are considered congested. By the year 2030, Roosevelt Highway is expected to operate at LOS C.

What transportation improvements are under construction or planned for the Region that would affect or be affected by the proposed project? What is the status of these improvements (long or short range or other)?

#### 2008-2013 TIP\*

Not Applicable

#### **Envision6 RTP\***

ARC Number	Route	Type of Improvement	Scheduled Completion Year
FS-202D	OAKLEY INDUSTRIAL BOULEVARD FROM BOHANNON ROAD TO GULLATT ROAD	Roadway Capacity	2030

<sup>\*</sup>The ARC Board adopted the Envision6 RTP and FY 2008-2013 TIP on September 26th, 2007.

Impacts of the truck parking pad: What are the recommended transportation improvements based on the traffic study done by the applicant?

No significant impacts have been estimated because of the development of this project.

What are the conclusions of this review? Is the transportation system (existing and planned) capable of accommodating these trips?

With only an estimated 300 truck trips accessing the site daily, this development is permissible under the Expedited Review criteria.

What transportation demand management strategies does the developer propose (carpool, flextime, transit subsidy, etc.)?

Given the type of development, none are necessary and the Air Quality Benchmark test will not be used.



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report	July 16,	REVIEW REPORT	Comments	June 30, 2008
Due:	2008		Due By:	

#### **INFRASTRUCTURE**

#### Wastewater and Sewage

Based on regional averages, wastewater is estimated at 625 gallons per day.

#### Which facility will treat wastewater from the project?

The Little Bear facility will provide wastewater treatment for the proposed development.

#### What is the current permitted capacity and average annual flow to this facility?

The capacity of Little Bear Site is listed below:

PERMITTED CAPACITY MMF, MGD <sub>1</sub>	DESIGN CAPACITY MMF, MGD	2001 MMF, MGD	2008 MMF, MGD	2008 CAPACITY AVAILABLE +/-, MGD	PLANNED EXPANSION	REMARKS
0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0		Will be phased out in approximately 5 years.

MMF: Maximum Monthly Flow. Mgd: million of gallons per day.

#### What other major developments will be served by the plant serving this project?

ARC has reviewed a number of major developments that will be served by this plant.

#### **INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Water Supply and Treatment** 

#### How much water will the proposed project demand?

Water demand also is estimated at 250 gallons per day based on regional averages.

# How will the proposed project's demand for water impact the water supply or treatment facilities of the jurisdiction providing the service?

Information submitted with the review suggests that there is sufficient water supply capacity available for the proposed project.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Source: Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District SHORT-TERM WASTEWATER CAPACITY PLAN, August 2002.

Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report Due:	July 16, 2008	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	June 30, 2008

#### **INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Solid Waste** 

How much solid waste will be generated by the project? Where will this waste be disposed?

Information submitted with the review 140 tons of solid waste per year and the waste will be disposed of in Fulton County.

Will the project create any unusual waste handling or disposal problems?

No.

Are there any provisions for recycling this project's solid waste?

None stated.

#### **INFRASTRUCTURE**

Other facilities

According to information gained in the review process, will there be any unusual intergovernmental impacts on:

- · Levels of governmental services?
- · Administrative facilities?
- · Schools?
- · Libraries or cultural facilities?
- Fire, police, or EMS?
- · Other government facilities?
- Other community services/resources (day care, health care, low income, non-English speaking, elderly, etc.)?

None were determined during the review.

#### **HOUSING**

Will the proposed project create a demand for additional housing?

No.

Will the proposed project provide housing opportunities close to existing employment centers?

No.



Preliminary Report:	June 16, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Fairburn Storage #1875
Final Report Due:	July 16, 2008	REVIEW REPORT	Comments Due Bv:	June 30, 2008

### Is there housing accessible to the project in all price ranges demanded?

Given the minimal number of employees, no housing impact analysis is necessary.

Is it likely or unlikely that potential employees of the proposed project will be able to find affordable\* housing?

N/A



<sup>\*</sup> Defined as 30 percent of the income of a family making 80 percent of the median income of the Region – FY 2000 median income of \$51,649 for family of 4 in Georgia.

### **Developments of Regional Impact**

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Logic

#### DRI #1875

### DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

#### **Local Government Information**

Submitting Local Government: Fulton County
Individual completing form: Abdul Akbar
Telephone: 404-730-7518

E-mail: Abdul.Akbar@fultoncountyga.gov

\*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

#### **Proposed Project Information**

Name of Proposed Project: Fairburn Storage Facility

Location (Street Address, GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lots 132,133,155,156,157, District 7 Land Lot Description):

Brief Description of Project: This will be a container storage area located in a gravel yard.

#### **Development Type:**

(not selected) Wastewater Treatment

Facilities

Office Mixed Use Petroleum Storage Facilities

Attractions & Recreational

Commercial Airports Water Supply Intakes/

Reservoirs

Wholesale & Distribution Facilities Intermodal Terminals

Hospitals and Health Care Post-Secondary Schools Truck Stops

Facilities Post-Secondary Schools Pruck Stops

Housing Waste Handling Facilities Any other development types

Quarries, Asphalt &

Industrial addition, Aspiral a

Cement Plants

If other development type, describe:

Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.):	108.87
Developer:	Dale Bartley
Mailing Address:	1766 Mountain Glen
Address 2:	
	City:Stone Mountain State: GA Zip:30087
Telephone:	1-815-534-6200
Email:	dale.bartley@clmg.com
Is property owner different from developer/applicant?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, property owner:	MI, LLC
Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?	(not selected) Yes No
If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?	City of Palmetto
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, provide the following information:	Project Name: Fairburn Storage Facility
	Project ID: 1565
he initial action being requested of the local government for this project:	
ioi tiis project.	Rezoning
	Variance
	Sewer
	Water
	Permit
	Other
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?	
Estimated Project Completion Dates:	This project/phase: 06/01/09 Overall project:

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.

### Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Login

DRI #1875

	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information
	y the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.
	Local Government Information
Submitting Local Government:	Fulton County
Individual completing form:	Abdul Akbar
Telephone:	404-730-7518
Email:	Abdul.Akbar@fultoncountyga.gov
	Project Information
Name of Proposed Project:	Fairburn Storage Facility
DRI ID Number:	1875
Developer/Applicant:	Dale Bartley
Telephone:	1-815-534-6200
Email(s):	dale.bartley@clmg.com
	Additional Information Requested
Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)	(not selected) Tes INO
If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?	(not selected) Tes INO
If no, the official review process	s can not start until this additional information is provided.
	Economic Development
Estimated Value at Build-Out:	\$ 7,000,000
Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:	\$ 24,000
Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No
Will this development displace any existing uses?	(not selected) Yes No

If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc):					
Water Supply					
Name of water supply provider for this site:	City of Atlanta				
What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.00025 MGD				
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If no, describe any plans to exp	pand the existing wat	er supp	y capacity:		
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If yes, how much additional lin	e (in miles) will be re	quired?			
	Wa	stewa	ter Disposal		
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Fulton County Gove	ernment			
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.000625 MGD				
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If no, describe any plans to exp	and existing wastew	ater tre	atment capacity:		
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If yes, how much additional line	e (in miles) will be red	quired?			
	La	nd Tra	nsportation		
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	300 trips per day				
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If yes, please describe below:					
	So	lid Wa	ste Disposal		

project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	140 tons annually		
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected)	Yes	No
If no, describe any plans to exp	oand existing landfill	capacity	r:
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	(not selected)	Yes	No
If yes, please explain:			
	Stori	nwate	r Management
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?	60 %		
			on or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the
project's impacts on stormwate	er management:Dete	ntion Po	ond
project's impacts on stormwate	•		ental Quality
project's impacts on stormwate	Env	/ironm	ental Quality
	Env	/ironm	ental Quality
Is the development located wit	Env	<b>/ironm</b> any of t	ental Quality the following:
Is the development located wit  1. Water supply watersheds?  2. Significant groundwater	Envi	vironm any of t	ental Quality the following:
Is the development located with 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	Envinin, or likely to affect (not selected)	vironm any of t Yes Yes	the following:  No  No
Is the development located with 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? 3. Wetlands?	Envinin, or likely to affect (not selected)  (not selected)  (not selected)	vironm any of Yes Yes Yes	ental Quality the following:  No  No  No
Is the development located with 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains?	Envinin, or likely to affect (not selected) (not selected) (not selected) (not selected)	vironm any of t Yes Yes Yes	ental Quality the following:  No  No  No  No
Is the development located wit  1. Water supply watersheds?  2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?  3. Wetlands?  4. Protected mountains?  5. Protected river corridors?	Envinin, or likely to affect (not selected) (not selected) (not selected) (not selected) (not selected)	vironm any of t Yes Yes Yes Yes	ental Quality the following:  No  No  No  No  No
Is the development located wit  1. Water supply watersheds?  2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?  3. Wetlands?  4. Protected mountains?  5. Protected river corridors?  6. Floodplains?	Enventure (not selected)	vironmany of the yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	ental Quality the following:  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No
Is the development located wit  1. Water supply watersheds?  2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?  3. Wetlands?  4. Protected mountains?  5. Protected river corridors?  6. Floodplains?  7. Historic resources?  8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	int selected) (not selected)	vironm any of the Yes	nental Quality The following:  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  N

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.

#### **Haley Fleming**

Parker, Sandra [sparker@coweta.ga.us] From: Thursday, July 03, 2008 10:01 AM Sent:

Haley Fleming To:

Kennedy, Wayne; tedwards@coweta.ga.us Cc:

Fairburn Storage Facility Subject:

Hello Haley,

The Coweta County Engineer had the following comment regarding the Fairburn Storage Facility:

My only concern with this proposed facility is the use of Gullatt Rd into Coweta County to Collinsworth to access I-85. We have recently receive complaints of trucks using this route and have posted Goodlin Rd, which changes to Gullatt in Fulton, with "No Through Truck" signs.

Wayne Kennedy Director of Development and Engineering Coweta County 21 East Washington St. Newnan, Ga. 30263 Office: 770-683-2300

Sandra R. Parker, AICP, Comprehensive Planner Coweta County Planning Department 22 East Broad Street Newnan, GA 30263 (770) 254-2635 office sparker@coweta.ga.us



# REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION



### DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT

a antaglicular stances i et a la colonia de apara propriata a para colonia de la colonia considerada de la col

### **DRI- REQUEST FOR COMMENTS**

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Development Center for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before

Preliminary Findings of the RDC: Fairburn Storage See the Preliminary Report.

Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed):

The City of Fairburn has no objection to this proposed project. It should be noted that it lends additional justification for the proposed and badly needed interchange on I-85 at Gullatt Road.

ngiviguai	Completing form	:
-----------	-----------------	---

James B. Williams

Local Government: City of Fairburn

Department:

City Administrator

Telephone:

Signature

Please Return this form to:

Haley Fleming, Atlanta Regional Commission

40 Courtland Street NE

Atlanta, GA 30303

Ph. (404) 463-3311 Fax (404) 463-3254

hfleming@atlantaregional.com

Return Date: Jun 30 2008

#### **Haley Fleming**

From: Akbar, Abdul [Abdul.Akbar@fultoncountyga.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 24, 2008 12:12 PM

To: Haley Fleming

**Subject:** FW: DRI Review Notification Fairburn Storage #1875

From: White, Wilford

Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 11:46 AM

To: Akbar, Abdul

Subject: RE: DRI Review Notification Fairburn Storage #1875

Abdul,

#### My comments are:

Stripe the intersections of Gullatt and McLarin Road with stop bars, lane and edge stripes. Stripe Gullatt Road at Roosevelt Highway Railroad Crossing with stop bars, edge and lane stripes. Repair any potholes on Gullatt Road from Project entrance to Roosevelt Highway. Add additional signs and stripes as maybe required by Fulton County Traffic Engineer at the time of Land Disturbance Permit submittal.

Wilford G. White, III
Engineer II
Department of Environment & Community Development
141 Pryor Street, Suite 2085
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Phone---404-730-7517 Fax--404-730-7818
Email--wilford.white@FultonCountyGA.Gov

From: Akbar, Abdul

Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 9:38 AM

To: Wilcox, Dick

Cc: White, Wilford; Webb, Christopher; Charlson, Michael; Callaway, Gene; Brown, James

Subject: FW: DRI Review Notification Fairburn Storage #1875

**From:** Jared Lombard [mailto:JLombard@atlantaregional.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 8:36 AM

To: Jared Lombard; Haley Fleming; carol\_couch@mail.dnr.state.ga.us; cindy.vandyke@dot.state.ga.us;

angela.alexander@dot.state.ga.us; steve.yost@dot.state.ga.us; lbeall@grta.org; Mike.Lobdell@dot.state.ga.us; Joe & Rhonda Palladi; Julie McQueen; Akbar, Abdul; mvickers@eberly.net; pfrisina@fayettecountyga.gov; gfritz@fairburn.com

Cc: Landuse; Jim Santo; Jim Skinner; Michael Kray; Sammie Carson

Subject: DRI Review Notification Fairburn Storage #1875

### Development of Regional Impact Request for Comments

This E-Mail serves as notice that the ARC staff has begun the review for DRI #1610, Locust Grove Retail

We request that you or a member of your staff review the attached preliminary report and provide comments to ARC by Monday, June 30, 2008.

Fairburn Storage, Fulton County: The proposed Fairburn Storage Facility is a 108.87 acre container storage area located in south Fulton County. The proposed development is to store containers, trailers, and chassis that are between reservations or waiting for delivery appointments. There is a small office building associated with the development. The proposed development is located along Gullatt Road. This development was originally reviewed in August of 2007.

<< Preliminary Report.pdf>>

Preliminary Report: June 16, 2008

Comments Due: June 30, 2008

Final Report: July 16, 2008

For more information regarding other DRI's reviewed by ARC, please see our website at

http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse

For more information regarding the DRI processes, and the information needed for the review, please see our Website at

<a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse">http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</a>

Please call Haley Fleming at (404-463-3311) if you have any questions about the review.

M. Haley Fleming, AICP

Principal Planner Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, GA 30303 Phone: 404.463.3311 | Fax: 404.463.3254

E-mail: hfleming@atlantaregional.com

www.atlantaregional.com

