

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Jun 16 2008 **ARC REVIEW CODE:** P806161

TO: Mayor Dave Williams

ATTN TO: Josh Campbell, Planning Division Director

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director (

NOTE: This is digital signature.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: City of Suwanee Community Agenda

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The Community Agenda of the City of Suwanee Comprehensive Plan

Submitting Local Government: City of Suwanee

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Jun 16 2008

Deadline for Comments: Jul 7 2008

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Aug 15 2008

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
CITY OF SUGAR HILL
CITY OF DULUTH
FORSYTH COUNTY
CITY OF SUGAR HILL
GWINNETT COUNTY SCHOOLS

GEORGIA MOUNTAINS RDC

ARC Transportation Planning
ARC Aging Division
Georgia Department of Transportation
Georgia Env. Facilities Authority
City of Buford
City of Lawrenceville
City of Norcross
City of Dacula
City of Berkei by Lake

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA ENV. FACILITIES AUTHORITY
CITY OF SUWANEE
GWINNETT COUNTY
CITY OF GRAYSON
CITY OF GRAYSON
CITY OF SNELLVILLE
CITY OF LILBURN

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Jul 7 2008, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse.



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government	City of Suwanee	Date Received:	Jun 16 2008
Local Contact:	Josh Campbell, Planning Division Director City of Suwanee	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2008-07-11 09:00 AM
Phone:	770-945-8996	E-Mail:	jcampbell@suwanee.com
Fax:	770-945-2792	Website:	www.suwanee.com
Street	373 Highway 23	City State, Zip:	Suwanee, GA30024
	Department of Comm		Review Required
Description	City of Suwanee Community Agenda The Community Agenda of the City of Suwanee Comprehensive Plan Document can be viewed on the ARC website at:		
	http://www.atlantaregional Under Plan Review, search	.com/landuse	
The submit	ted documents are available	e for review a	t ARC and the local government.
Reviewing I	Regional Development Cent	ter:	
40 Courtland	onal Commission Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 63.3302 FAX 404.463.3254		
Contact Person:	Haley Fleming, Review Coordin	ator	
E-Mail	hfleming@atlantaregional.com		

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE:	Jun 16 2008	ARC REVIEW CODE: P806161			
TO: FROM	ARC Land Use, Environmental Haley Fleming, Review Coordi	, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs inator, Extension: 3-3311			
		Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:			
Land U	<u>Jse:</u> Tuley, Jon	<u>Transportation:</u> Trudell, Talya			
Environ Aging:	onmental: Santo, Jim 2 N/A	Research: Skinner, Jim			
Name of Proposal: City of Suwanee Community Agenda Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Description: The Community Agenda of the City of Suwanee Comprehensive Plan Submitting Local Government: City of Suwanee Date Opened: Jun 16 2008 Deadline for Comments: Jul 7 2008 Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Aug 15 2008					
		Response:			
1)	☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with t	he following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
2)	☐ While neither specifically consist guide listed in the comment section	stent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development n.			
3)	☐ While neither specifically consis	stent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development			
	guide listed in the comment section				
4)					
4) 5)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT	n.			
	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	n. with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
5)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. any development guide for which this division is responsible. pplicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
5)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. any development guide for which this division is responsible. pplicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
5)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. any development guide for which this division is responsible. pplicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
5)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. any development guide for which this division is responsible. pplicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
5)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. any development guide for which this division is responsible. pplicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
5)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. any development guide for which this division is responsible. pplicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
5)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. any development guide for which this division is responsible. pplicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
5)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. any development guide for which this division is responsible. pplicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			