

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Jun 5 2008

ARC REVIEW CODE: P806051

TO: Mayor R.W. Coley ATTN TO: Jim Risher, City Manager FROM: Charles Krautler, Director

Charles Krauth

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on file.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

<u>Name of Proposal</u>: City of Hampton Capital Improvement Study for Parks and Public Safety <u>Review Type</u>: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: An Impact Fee Methodology Report for Parks and Public Safety facilities for the City of Hampton.

<u>Submitting Local Government</u>: City of Hampton <u>Action Under Consideration</u>: Approval <u>Date Opened</u>: Jun 5 2008 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Jun 26 2008 <u>Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed</u>: Aug 4 2008

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ARC Environmental Planning Georgia Department of Community Affairs

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463–3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Jun 26 2008, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u> .



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Hampton	Date Received:	Jun 52008	
Local Contact:	Jim Risher, City Manager City of Hampton	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2008-06-30 09:00 AM	
Phone:	770-946-4306	E-Mail:		
Fax:	770-946-4356	Website:		
Street	PO Box 400	City State, Zip:	Hampton, GA 30228	
	Department of Comm		Review Required	
Review Title:	City of Hampton Capital Improvement Study for Parks and Public Safety			
Description:	An Impact Fee Methodology Report for Parks and Public Safety facilities for the City of Hampton.			
	Document can be viewed on http://www.atlantaregional Under Plan Review, search f	.com/landuse		
The submitte	d documents are available	e for review a	t ARC and the local government.	
Reviewing Re	gional Development Cent	er:		

Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 Phone 404.463.3302 FAX 404.463.3254

Contact	ontact Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator	
Person:		
E-Mail	hfleming@atlantaregional.com	

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Jun 5 2008

ARC REVIEW CODE: P806051

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs FROM: Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, Extension: 3-3311

<u>Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:</u>

Land Use: Rice, LeVar
Environmental: Santo, Jim
Aging: N/A

<u>Transportation:</u> Kray, Michael <u>Research:</u> Skinner, Jim

Name of Proposal: City of Hampton Capital Improvement Study for Parks and Public Safety Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Description: An Impact Fee Methodology Report for Parks and Public Safety facilities for the City of Hampton. Submitting Local Government: City of Hampton Date Opened: Jun 5 2008

Deadline for Comments: Jun 26 2008

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Aug 4 2008

	Response:
1)	□ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
3)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
4)	□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
5)	\square The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.
6)	\Box Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.
	COMMENTS: