

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: May 15 2008 **ARC REVIEW CODE:** P805151

TO: Mayor Bucky Johnson

ATTN TO: Jennifer Peterson, Cmty. Dev. Director

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director (

NOTE: This is digital signature.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: City of Norcross Community Agenda

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The Community Agenda of the City of Norcross's Comprehensive Plan

Submitting Local Government: City of Norcross

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: May 15 2008

Deadline for Comments: Jun 5 2008

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Jul 14 2008

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
CITY OF BERKELEY LAKE

CITY OF DACULA
CITY OF GRAYSON
CITY OF NORCROSS

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
GWINNETT COUNTY
CITY OF BUFORD
CITY OF ACWORTH
CITY OF LOGANVILLE

CITY OF LAWRENCEVILLE

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA ENV. FACILITIES AUTHORITY
GWINNETT COUNTY SCHOOLS
CITY OF SUGAR HILL
CITY OF LILBURN
CITY OF SNELLVILLE

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Jun 5 2008, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse .



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Norcross	Date Received:	May 15 2008		
Local Contact:	Jennifer Peterson, Cmty. Dev. Director City of Norcross	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2008-06-09 09:00 AM		
Phone:	770-448-2122	E-Mail:	jpeterson@norcrossga.net		
Fax:		Website:	www.norcrossga.net		
Street	65 Lawrenceville Street	City State, Zip:	Norcross, Georgia 30071		
	Department of Comm		Review Required		
Review Title: Description:	City of Norcross Community	, 0			
	The Community Agenda of the City of Norcross's Comprehensive Plan Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Norcross.				
Reviewing R	egional Development Cent	er:			
Atlanta Region	nal Commission				
40 Courtland S	Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 3.3302 FAX 404.463.3254				
40 Courtland S Phone 404.463 Contact Person:	Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303	ator			

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE:	May 15 2008	ARC REVIEW CODE: P805151		
TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs FROM: Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, Extension: 3-3311				
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:				
	Jse: Tuley, Jon	<u>Transportation:</u> Trudell, Talya		
Environ Aging	o <u>nmental:</u> Santo, Jim N/A	Research: Skinner, Jim		
Review Descri Submi Date C	of Proposal: City of Norcross Community Age v Type: Local Comprehensive Plan ption: The Community Agenda of the City of Norc tting Local Government: City of Norcross Opened: May 15 2008 ine for Comments: Jun 5 2008 tt the Regional Review can be Completed:	cross's Comprehensive Plan		
Response:				
1)	☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following	ng regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
2)	_	consistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development		
3)	\Box While neither specifically consistent nor in guide listed in the comment section.	consistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development		
4)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the fe	ollowing regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
5)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal does NOT relate to any development of the proposal does are supported by the proposal does not be a supported by the proposal does are supported by the proposal does are supported by the proposal does not be a supported	opment guide for which this division is responsible.		
6)	Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. COMMENTS:			