ARC

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Mar 24 2008

ARC REVIEW CODE: R803241

TO:Mayor Shirley FranklinATTN TO:Shelley Peart, Principal PlannerFROM:Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: Northside Tract

<u>Review Type:</u> Development of Regional Impact

Description: The proposed Northside Tract development is a mixed use development located on 3.43 acres in the City of Atlanta. The proposed development will consist of 260 apartments and 12,000 square feet of retail space. The proposed development is located on Northside Drive between Deering Road and Northside Circle.

<u>Submitting Local Government</u>: City of Atlanta <u>Date Opened</u>: Mar 24 2008 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Apr 7 2008 <u>Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed</u>: Apr 23 2008

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FULTON COUNTY ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION METRO ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CITY OF ATLANTA SCHOOLS

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463–3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by 2008–04–07 00:00:00, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u>.



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



Individual Completing form:

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT

DRI- REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Development Center for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before the specified return deadline.

Preliminary Findings of the RDC: Northside Tract See the Preliminary Report .

Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed):

Local Government:	Please Return this form to:
Department:	Haley Fleming, Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street NE Atlanta, GA 30303 Ph. (404) 463-3311 Fax (404) 463-3254
Telephone: ()	hfleming@atlantaregional.com
Signature: Date:	Return Date: <i>Apr 7 2008</i>

PRELIMINARY REPORT SUMMARY

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

The proposed Northside Tract development is a mixed use development located on 3.43 acres in the City of Atlanta. The proposed development will consist of 260 apartments and 12,000 square feet of retail space. The proposed development is located on Northside Drive between Deering Road and Northside Circle.

PROJECT PHASING:

The project is being proposed in one phase with a project build out date for 2010.

GENERAL

Due:

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

Is the proposed project consistent with the host-local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

The project site is currently zoned C-2 (commercial). The proposed zoning for the site is MR-5A (Multi-family Residential, Sub District 5A0. Information submitted for the review states that the proposed development is inconsistent with the City of Atlanta's Future Land Use Plan, which designates the area as low-density commercial.

Is the proposed project consistent with any potentially affected local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments

Will the proposed project impact the implementation of any local government's short-term work program? If so, how?

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments

Will the proposed project generate population and/or employment increases in the Region? If yes, what would be the major infrastructure and facilities improvements needed to support the increase?

Yes, the proposed development would increase the need for services in the area for existing and future residents.

What other major development projects are planned near the proposed project?





Preliminary Report:	March 24, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Northside Tract #1742
Final Report Due:	April 23, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due By:	April 7, 2008

The ARC has reviewed other major development projects, known as Area Plan (1984 to1991) or as a DRI (1991 to present), within a mile radius of the proposed project.

Year	Name
2007	643 Tenth Street
2004	Midtown Heights
2005	166 16 th Street
2004	Castlegate
1997	Atlantic Steel

Will the proposed project displace housing units or community facilities? If yes, identify and give number of units, facilities, etc.

No, the proposed development will not displace any housing or community facilities. According to information submitted for the review, the site is currently undeveloped.

Will the development cause a loss in jobs? If yes, how many?

No.

Is the proposed development consistent with regional plans and policies?

The proposed development meets many of ARC's Regional Development Policies, as well as the Atlanta Region Unified Growth Policy Map. The proposed development is located in a mega corridor which is defined as the most intensely developed radial corridors in the region and may include multiple regional centers.

PRELIMINARY REPORT

Regional Development Plan Policies

- 1. Provide sustainable economic growth in all areas of the region.
- 2. Encourage new homes and jobs within existing developed areas of the region, focusing on principal transportation corridors, the Central Business District, activity centers, and town centers.
- 3. Increase opportunities for mixed use development, transit-oriented development, infill, and redevelopment.
- 4. At strategic regional locations, plan and retail industrial and freight land uses.
- 5. Design transportation infrastructure to protect the context of adjoining development and provide a sense of place appropriate for our communities.
- 6. Promote the reclamation of Brownfield development sites.
- 7. Protect the character and integrity of existing neighborhoods, while also meeting the needs of communities to grow.
- 8. Encourage a variety of homes styles, densities, and price ranges in locations that are accessible to jobs and services to ensure housing for individuals and families of all incomes and age groups.
- 9. Promote new communities that feature greenspace and neighborhood parks, pedestrian scale, support transportation options, and provide an appropriate mix of uses and housing types.
- 10. Promote sustainable and energy efficient development.
- 11. Protect environmentally-sensitive areas including wetlands, floodplains, small water supply watersheds, rivers and stream corridors.
- 12. Increase the amount, quality, and connectivity, and accessibility of greenspace.
- 13. Provide strategies to preserve and enhance historic resources
- 14. Through regional infrastructure planning, limit growth in undeveloped areas of the region
- 15. Assist local governments to adopt growth management strategies that make more efficient use of existing infrastructure.
- 16. Inform and involve the public in planning at regional, local, and neighborhood levels.
- 17. Coordinate local policies and regulations to support Regional Policies
- 18. Encourage the development of state and regional growth management policy.

BEST LAND USE PRACTICES

Practice 1: Keep vehicle miles of travel (VMT) below the area average. Infill developments are the best at accomplishing this. The more remote a development the more self contained it must be to stay below the area average VMT.

Practice 2: Contribute to the area's jobs-housing balance. Strive for a job-housing balance with a three to five mile area around a development site.

Practice 3: Mix land uses at the finest grain the market will bear and include civic uses in the mix. Practice 4: Develop in clusters and keep the clusters small. This will result in more open space preservation.



Practice 5: Place higher-density housing near commercial centers, transit lines and parks. This will enable more walking, biking and transit use.

Practice 6: Phase convenience shopping and recreational opportunities to keep pace with housing. These are valued amenities and translate into less external travel by residents if located conveniently to housing. Practice 7: Make subdivisions into neighborhoods with well-defined centers and edges. This is traditional development.

Practice 8: Reserve school sites and donate them if necessary to attract new schools. This will result in neighborhood schools which provide a more supportive learning environment than larger ones.

Practice 9: Concentrate commercial development in compact centers or districts, rather than letting it spread out in strips.

Practice 10: Make shopping centers and business parks into all-purpose activity centers. Suburban shopping centers and their environs could be improved by mixing uses and designing them with the pedestrian amenities of downtowns.

Practice 11: Tame auto-oriented land uses, or at least separate them from pedestrian-oriented uses. Relegate "big box" stores to areas where they will do the least harm to the community fabric.

BEST TRANSPORTATION PRACTICES

Practice 1: Design the street network with multiple connections and relatively direct routes.

Practice 2: Space through-streets no more than a half mile apart, or the equivalent route density in a curvilinear network.

Practice 3: Use traffic-calming measures liberally. Use short streets, sharp curves, center islands, traffic circles, textured pavements, speed bumps and raised crosswalks.

Practice 4: Keep speeds on local streets down to 20 mph.

Practice 5: Keep speeds on arterials and collectors down to 35 mph (at least inside communities).

Practice 6: Keep all streets as narrow as possible and never more than four traffic lanes wide. Florida suggests access streets 18 feet, subcollectors 26 feet, and collectors from 28 feet to 36 feet depending on lanes and parking. Practice 7: Align streets to give buildings energy-efficient orientations. Allow building sites to benefit from sun angles, natural shading and prevailing breezes.

Practice 8: Avoid using traffic signals wherever possible and always space them for good traffic progression. Practice 9: Provide networks for pedestrians and bicyclists as good as the network for motorists.

Practice 10: Provide pedestrians and bicyclists with shortcuts and alternatives to travel along high-volume streets. Practice 11: Incorporate transit-oriented design features.

Practice 12: Establish TDM programs for local employees. Ridesharing, modified work hours, telecommuting and others.

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL PRACTICES

Practice 1: Use a systems approach to environmental planning. Shift from development orientation to basins or ecosystems planning.

Practice 2: Channel development into areas that are already disturbed.

Practice 3: Preserve patches of high-quality habitat, as large and circular as possible, feathered at the edges and connected by wildlife corridors. Stream corridors offer great potential.

Practice 4: Design around significant wetlands.

Practice 5: Establish upland buffers around all retained wetlands and natural water bodies.

Practice 6: Preserve significant uplands, too.

Practice 7: Restore and enhance ecological functions damaged by prior site activities.

Practice 8: Detain runoff with open, natural drainage systems. The more natural the system the more valuable it will be for wildlife and water quality.

Practice 9: Design man-made lakes and stormwater ponds for maximum environmental value. Recreation, stormwater management, wildlife habitat and others.



Preliminary	March 24,
Report:	2008
Final Report	April 23,
Due:	2008

Practice 10: Use reclaimed water and integrated pest management on large landscaped areas. Integrated pest management involves controlling pests by introducing their natural enemies and cultivating disease and insect resistant grasses.

Practice 11: Use and require the use of XeriscapeTM landscaping. XeriscapingTM is water conserving landscape methods and materials.

BEST HOUSING PRACTICES

Practice 1: Offer "life cycle" housing. Providing integrated housing for every part of the "life cycle".

Practice 2: Achieve an average net residential density of six to seven units per acre without the appearance of crowding. Cluster housing to achieve open space.

Practice 3: Use cost-effective site development and construction practices. Small frontages and setbacks; rolled curbs or no curbs; shared driveways.

Practice 4: Design of energy-saving features. Natural shading and solar access.

Practice 5: Supply affordable single-family homes for moderate-income households.

Practice 6: Supply affordable multi-family and accessory housing for low-income households.

Practice 7: Tap government housing programs to broaden and deepen the housing/income mix.

Practice 8: Mix housing to the extent the market will bear.

LOCATION

Where is the proposed project located within the host-local government's boundaries?

The proposed development is located in the City of Atlanta on Northside Drive, south of Northside Circle, and the north side of Deering Road.

Will the proposed project be located close to the host-local government's boundary with another local government? If yes, identify the other local government.

The proposed development is entirely within the City of Atlanta.

Will the proposed project be located close to land uses in other jurisdictions that would benefit, or be negatively impacted, by the project? Identify those land uses which would benefit and those which would be negatively affected and describe impacts.

To be determined during the review.

ECONOMY OF THE REGION

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

What new taxes will be generated by the proposed project?

Estimated value of the development is \$30,450,000 with an expected \$364,000 in annual local tax revenues.

How many short-term jobs will the development generate in the Region?



Preliminary Report:	March 24, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Northside Tract #1742
Final Report Due:	April 23, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due By:	April 7, 2008

Short-term jobs will depend upon construction schedule.

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?

Yes.

In what ways could the proposed development have a positive or negative impact on existing industry or business in the Region?

To be determined during the review.

NATURAL RESOURCES

Watershed Protection and Stream Buffers

The property is in the Peachtree Creek watershed. The USGS coverage for the area shows no streams on or near the property. Any unmapped streams that may be located on the property would be subject to the requirements of the City of Atlanta stream buffer ordinance. Any state waters that may be on the property are subject to the State 25-foot erosion and sedimentation buffer requirements.

Stormwater / Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. The amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development has been estimated by ARC. These are based on some simplifying assumptions for typical pollutant loading factors (lbs/ac/yr) from typical land uses in the Atlanta Region. The loading factors are based on regional storm water monitoring data from the Atlanta Region with impervious areas based on estimated averages for land uses in the Atlanta Region. If actual impervious percentages are higher or lower than the estimate, the pollutant loads will differ accordingly. A portion of the project is being built over existing impervious surfaces, which will affect the actual increases in loading amount. Based on the coverage of the proposed project as shown in the submitted plans, commercial was chosen as the use for the property. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis:

Land Use	Land Area (ac)	Total Phosphorus	Total Nitrogen	BOD	TSS	Zinc	Lead
Commercial	3.43	5.87	59.68	370.44	3371.69	4.22	0.75
TOTAL	3.43	5.87	59.68	370.44	3371.69	4.22	0.75

Estimated Pounds of Pollutants Per Year

Total Impervious = 85%

If new or upgraded on-site detention is required, the design should include stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (<u>www.georgiastormwater.com</u>) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.



HISTORIC RESOURCES

Will the proposed project be located near a national register site? If yes, identify site.

None have been identified.

In what ways could the proposed project create impacts that would damage the resource?

Not applicable.

In what ways could the proposed project have a positive influence on efforts to preserve or promote the historic resource?

Not applicable.

INFRASTRUCTURE Transportation

To be determined during the review.

The development PASSES the ARC's Air Quality Benchmark test.

Air Quality Impacts/Mitigation (based on ARC strategies)	Credits	Total
Where Residential is dominant, >15 units/ac	6%	6%
Where Residential is dominant, 10% Retail or		
10% Office	4%	4%
w/in 1/4 mile of Bus Stop (CCT, MARTA,		
Other)	3%	3%
Bike/ped networks that meet Mixed Use or		
Density target and connect to adjoining uses	5%	5%
Total Calculated ARC Air Quality		
Credits (15 % reduction required)		18%

What are the conclusions of this review? Is the transportation system (existing and planned) capable of accommodating these trips?

To be determined during the review.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Wastewater and Sewage

Wastewater is estimated at 0.064 MGD based on information submitted for the review.

Which facility will treat wastewater from the project?



Preliminary Report:	March 24, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Northside Tract #1742
Final Report Due:	April 23, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due By:	April 7, 2008

R.M Clayton will provide wastewater treatment for the proposed development.

What is the current permitted capacity and average annual flow to this facility?

The capacity of R.M. Clayton Site is listed below:

PERMITTED CAPACITY MMF, MGD 1	DESIGN CAPACITY MMF, MGD	2001 MMF, MGD	2008 MMF, MGD	2008 CAPACITY AVAILABLE +/-, MGD	PLANNED EXPANSION	Remarks
No Flow Limit	122	99	120	2	None. Plan before EPD to permit plant at design capacity consistent with draft Chattahoochee River Model.	Existing Consent Decree with the U.S. EPA and Georgia EPD require CSO and SSO improvements throughout the City of Atlanta wastewater system by 2007 and 2014, respectively

MMF: Maximum Monthly Flow. Mgd: million of gallons per day.

¹ Source: Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District SHORT-TERM WASTEWATER CAPACITY PLAN, August 2002.

What other major developments will be served by the plant serving this project?

ARC has reviewed a number of major developments that will be served by this plant.

<u>INFRASTRUCTURE</u> Water Supply and Treatment

How much water will the proposed project demand?

Water demand also is estimated at 0.076 MGD based on information submitted for the review.

How will the proposed project's demand for water impact the water supply or treatment facilities of the jurisdiction providing the service?

Information submitted with the review suggests that there is sufficient water supply capacity available for the proposed project.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Solid Waste

How much solid waste will be generated by the project? Where will this waste be disposed?



Information submitted with the review 927 tons of solid waste per year and the waste will be disposed of in the City of Atlanta.

Will the project create any unusual waste handling or disposal problems?

No.

Are there any provisions for recycling this project's solid waste.

None stated.

INFRASTRUCTURE Other facilities

According to information gained in the review process, will there be any unusual intergovernmental impacts on:

- Levels of governmental services?
- Administrative facilities?
- · Schools?
- Libraries or cultural facilities?
- Fire, police, or EMS?
- Other government facilities?
- Other community services/resources (day care, health care, low income, non-English speaking, elderly, etc.)?

To be determined during the review.

HOUSING

Will the proposed project create a demand for additional housing?

No, the proposed development will add 260 new residential units.

Will the proposed project provide housing opportunities close to existing employment centers?

Yes, once developed, this project will provide housing opportunities for existing employment centers as well as providing opportunities for individuals to live and work within close proximity to one another.



Preliminary Report:	March 24, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Northside Tract #1742
Final Report Due:	April 23, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due By:	April 7, 2008

Is there housing accessible to the project in all price ranges demanded?

The site proposed for the development is located in Census Tract 89.02. This tract had an 11 percent increase in number of housing units from 2000 to 2006 according to ARC's Population and Housing Report. The report shows that 40 percent, respectively, of the housing units are single-family, compared to 69 percent for the region; thus indicating is a variety of multi-family housing options around the development area.

Is it likely or unlikely that potential employees of the proposed project will be able to find affordable* housing?

Likely, assuming the development is approved with multiple price ranges of housing.

* Defined as 30 percent of the income of a family making 80 percent of the median income of the Region – FY 2000 median income of \$51,649 for family of 4 in Georgia.

RI Home	DRI Rules	Thresholds	Tier Map	FAQ Apply View	Submissio
			P		
RI #1742					
		DEVELO	PMENT OF REGIONAL IM	IPACT	
			Initial DRI Information		
determine i	if the project ap	pears to meet or		project information that will allow the RDC s. Refer to both the <u>Rules for the DRI</u>	
		Loc	al Government Informatio	n	
	Submitting Lo	ocal Government:	Atlanta		
	Individual	completing form:	-		
		Telephone:	404-330-6781		
			speart@atlantaga.gov	for the accuracy of the information	
		ernment in which t	he largest portion of the project i	s to be located is responsible for initiating	
			he largest portion of the project i posed Project Informatio	· · · ·	
	w process.		oposed Project Information	· · · ·	
he DRI reviev	w process. Name of F reet Address, G	Pro	pposed Project Information	· · · ·	
ne DRI reviev	w process. Name of F reet Address, G or Legal Land	Proposed Project: PS Coordinates, I Lot Description):	Northside 1527 Northside Drive Mixed use development consisti	n ing of 260 residential units and 12,000 sf c ite is located east of Northside Drive ,	
he DRI review	w process. Name of F reet Address, G or Legal Land Brief Desc	Proposed Project: PS Coordinates, I Lot Description):	Northside 1527 Northside Drive Mixed use development consisti reatil space. The development s	n ing of 260 residential units and 12,000 sf c ite is located east of Northside Drive ,	
he DRI review	Name of F reet Address, G or Legal Land Brief Desc t Type:	Proposed Project: PS Coordinates, I Lot Description): cription of Project:	Northside 1527 Northside Drive Mixed use development consisti reatil space. The development s	n ing of 260 residential units and 12,000 sf c ite is located east of Northside Drive ,	
he DRI review	Name of F reet Address, G or Legal Land Brief Desc t Type:	Proposed Project: PS Coordinates, I Lot Description): cription of Project:	Northside 1527 Northside Drive Mixed use development consisti reatil space. The development s between Northside Circle and D	n ing of 260 residential units and 12,000 sf o ite is located east of Northside Drive , eering Road. Wastewater Treatment	
he DRI review Location (Str Development (not sele	w process. Name of F reet Address, G or Legal Land Brief Desc t Type: ected)	Proposed Project: PS Coordinates, I Lot Description): cription of Project:	Pposed Project Information Northside 1527 Northside Drive Mixed use development consisti reatil space. The development s between Northside Circle and D	n ing of 260 residential units and 12,000 sf c ite is located east of Northside Drive , eering Road. Wastewater Treatment Facilities	
Location (Str Development (not sele Office Comme	w process. Name of F reet Address, G or Legal Land Brief Desc t Type: ected)	Proposed Project: PS Coordinates, I Lot Description): cription of Project:	Pposed Project Information Northside 1527 Northside Drive Mixed use development consisting reatil space. The development so between Northside Circle and D Hotels Mixed Use	n ing of 260 residential units and 12,000 sf o ite is located east of Northside Drive , eering Road. Wastewater Treatment Facilities Petroleum Storage Facilities Water Supply Intakes/	
he DRI review Location (Str Development (not sele Office Comme Wholesa	Name of F reet Address, G or Legal Land Brief Desc t Type: ected)	Proposed Project: PS Coordinates, I Lot Description): cription of Project:	Pposed Project Information Northside 1527 Northside Drive Mixed use development consisti reatil space. The development s between Northside Circle and D Hotels Mixed Use Airports Attractions & Recreational	n ing of 260 residential units and 12,000 sf of ite is located east of Northside Drive , eering Road. Wastewater Treatment Facilities Petroleum Storage Facilities Water Supply Intakes/ Reservoirs	

Login

DRI Initial Information Form

	Quarries, Asphalt & ment Plants
f other development type, describe:	
Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.):	260 residential units; 12,000 sf retail
Developer:	Cortland Partners
Mailing Address:	260 Peachtree St
Address 2:	Suite 1001
	City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30303
Telephone:	404-965-3978
Email:	colin@cortlandpartners.com
Is property owner different from developer/ applicant?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, property owner:	Mustard Seed Land Fund, LLC
Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?	(not selected) Yes No
If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?	
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, provide the following information:	Project Name:
	Project ID:
he initial action being requested of the local government for this project:	Rezoning
	Variance
	Sewer
	Water
	Permit
	Other Z-08-004
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?	
Estimated Project Completion Dates:	This project/phase: 2010 Overall project: 2010
ack to Top	

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.

DRI Home DRI Rules T	hresholds	Tier Map	FAQ Apply	View Submissions	Logi		
PRI #1742							
	-	IT OF REGIONAL IMPAC nal DRI Information	Г				
		ernment to provide information in <u>Process</u> and the <u>DRI Tiers and</u>					
	Local Gov	vernment Information					
Submitting Local Government:	Atlanta						
Individual completing form:							
Telephone:	404-330-6781						
Email:	speart@atlantaga.gov						
	Proj	ect Information					
Name of Proposed Project:	Northside						
DRI ID Number:							
Developer/Applicant:							
•	Telephone: 404-965-3978						
Email(s):	colin@cortlandpartners	s.com					
	Additional I	nformation Requested					
Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)	(not selected) Y	′es No					
If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?	(not selected) Y	⁄es No					
f no, the official review process	s can not start until this a	additional information is provide	ed.				
	Econo	mic Development					
Estimated Value at Build-Out:	\$30,450,000.00						

DRI Additional Information Form

Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:	\$364,000.00			
Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?	(not selected)	Yes	No	
Will this development displace any existing uses?	(not selected)	Yes	Νο	
If yes, please describe (includin	ng number of units, s	quare fe	eet, etc):	
		Mata	- Cumply	
Name of water supply provider for this site:	City of Atlanta	vvale	r Supply	
What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.076			
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	(not selected)	Yes	Νο	
If no, describe any plans to exp	pand the existing wat	er suppl	y capacity:	
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected)	Yes	Νο	
If yes, how much additional lin	e (in miles) will be re	quired?		
	10/0			
Wastewater Disposal				
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	RM Clayton			
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.064			
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected)	Yes	No	
If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:				
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected)	Yes	No	
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?				
Land Transportation				

DRI Additional Information Form

How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	AM Peak 166 trips,	PM Pea	ık 223 trips		
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	(not selected)	Yes	Νο		
If yes, please describe below:P	lease refer to traffic s	study pe	erformed by Kimley-Horn and Associates		
	Sol	id Wa	ste Disposal		
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	927				
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If no, describe any plans to expand existing landfill capacity:					
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If yes, please explain:					
	Storn	nwate	r Management		
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?	90%				
Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management: The site will meet all required standards of te City of Atlanta's Stormwater detention and quality standards					
Environmental Quality					
Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:					
1. Water supply watersheds?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	(not selected)	Yes	No		

http://www.dca.state.ga.us/DRI/AdditionalForm.aspx?driid=1742 (3 of 4) [3/24/2008 3:29:55 PM]

DRI Additional Information Form

3. Wetlands?	(not selected)	Yes	No	
4. Protected mountains?	(not selected)	Yes	No	
5. Protected river corridors?	(not selected)	Yes	No	
6. Floodplains?	(not selected)	Yes	No	
7. Historic resources?	(not selected)	Yes	No	
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	(not selected)	Yes	No	
If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:				
Back to Top				

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.

