

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Feb 18 2008 **ARC REVIEW CODE:** R802181

TO: Mayor Shirley Franklin

ATTN TO: Shelley Peart, Principal Planner

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: Mecaslin Street Site

Review Type: Development of Regional Impact

Description: The proposed Mecaslin Street development is a residential development on 7.18 acres in the City of Atlanta. The proposed development will consist of 600 residential units. The development is located south of Atlantic Station at the intersection of 16th Street and Mecaslin Street.

Submitting Local Government: City of Atlanta

Date Opened: Feb 18 2008

Deadline for Comments: Mar 3 3008

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Mar 19 2008

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
FULTON COUNTY

ARC Transportation Planning
ARC Aging Division
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF ATLANTA SCHOOLS

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
METRO ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by 3008-03-03 00:00:00, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse .



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT

DRI- REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Development Center for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before the specified return deadline.

| before the specified return deadline. | |
|---|---|
| Preliminary Findings of the RDC: Mecaslin Street Site See the Preliminary R | eport . |
| Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed): | |
| | |
| Local Government: Department: | Please Return this form to: Haley Fleming, Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street NE Atlanta, GA 30303 Ph. (404) 463-3311 Fax (404) 463-3254 |
| Telephone: () | hfleming@atlantaregional.com |
| Signature: Date: | Return Date: Mar 3 3008 |

| Preliminary Report: | February 18, 2008 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT | Project: | Mecaslin Street #1676 |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Final Report Due: | March 19, 2008 | <u>REVIEW REPORT</u> | Comments Due By: | March 3, 2008 |

PRELIMINARY REPORT SUMMARY

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

The proposed Mecaslin Street development is a residential development on 7.18 acres in the City of Atlanta. The proposed development will consist of 600 residential units. The development is located south of Atlantic Station at the intersection of 16th Street and Mecaslin Street.

PROJECT PHASING:

The project is being proposed in one phase with a project build out date for 2010.



GENERAL

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

Is the proposed project consistent with the host-local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

The project site is currently zoned I-2 (industrial) and C-2 (commercial). The proposed zoning for the site is MR-5A. Information submitted for the review states that the proposed development is inconsistent with the City of Atlanta's Future Land Use Plan, which designates the area as industrial and low-density commercial. A land use amendment change to mixed use has been requested.

Is the proposed project consistent with any potentially affected local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

Will the proposed project impact the implementation of any local government's short-term work program? If so, how?

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

Will the proposed project generate population and/or employment increases in the Region? If yes, what would be the major infrastructure and facilities improvements needed to support the increase?

Yes, the proposed development would increase the need for services in the area for existing and future residents.

What other major development projects are planned near the proposed project?



| Preliminary Report: | February 18, 2008 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT | Project: | Mecaslin Street #1676 |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Final Report Due: | March 19, 2008 | <u>REVIEW REPORT</u> | Comments Due By: | March 3, 2008 |

The ARC has reviewed other major development projects, known as Area Plan (1984 to 1991) or as a DRI (1991 to present), within a mile radius of the proposed project.

| Year | Name |
|------|-----------------------------|
| 2007 | 643 Tenth Street |
| 2007 | Midtown Heights |
| 2006 | Trump Tower |
| 2005 | 166 16 th Street |
| 1997 | Atlantic Steel |

Will the proposed project displace housing units or community facilities? If yes, identify and give number of units, facilities, etc.

No, the proposed development will not displace any housing or community facilities. According to information submitted for the review, the site is currently owned by Georgia Tech Foundation and includes mainly open space and athletic field area.

Will the development cause a loss in jobs? If yes, how many?

No.

Is the proposed development consistent with regional plans and policies?

The proposed development meets many of ARC's Regional Development Policies, as well as the Atlanta Region Unified Growth Policy Map. The proposed development is located in a mega corridor which is defined as the most intensely developed radial corridors in the region and may include multiple regional centers. The proposed development is adjacent to a regional center, Atlantic Station, that includes residential, retail, employment, and entertainment opportunities.

According to information submitted for the review, the development is proposing 900 parking spaces whereas; the minimum parking requirement per the City of Atlanta Code is 402 spaces for the development. The development is proposing twice the number of parking spaces that required. Given the proposed development's location to commercial, entertainment, and employments services, as well as transit service, it is strongly recommended that the number of parking spaces be reduced to a number more comparable to the minimum standard.



| Preliminary Report: | February 18, 2008 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT | Project: | Mecaslin Street #1676 |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Final Report Due: | March 19, 2008 | <u>REVIEW REPORT</u> | Comments Due By: | March 3, 2008 |

PRELIMINARY REPORT

Regional Development Plan Policies

- 1. Provide sustainable economic growth in all areas of the region.
- 2. Encourage new homes and jobs within existing developed areas of the region, focusing on principal transportation corridors, the Central Business District, activity centers, and town centers.
- 3. Increase opportunities for mixed use development, transit-oriented development, infill, and redevelopment.
- 4. At strategic regional locations, plan and retail industrial and freight land uses.
- 5. Design transportation infrastructure to protect the context of adjoining development and provide a sense of place appropriate for our communities.
- 6. Promote the reclamation of Brownfield development sites.
- 7. Protect the character and integrity of existing neighborhoods, while also meeting the needs of communities to grow.
- 8. Encourage a variety of homes styles, densities, and price ranges in locations that are accessible to jobs and services to ensure housing for individuals and families of all incomes and age groups.
- 9. Promote new communities that feature greenspace and neighborhood parks, pedestrian scale, support transportation options, and provide an appropriate mix of uses and housing types.
- 10. Promote sustainable and energy efficient development.
- 11. Protect environmentally-sensitive areas including wetlands, floodplains, small water supply watersheds, rivers and stream corridors.
- 12. Increase the amount, quality, and connectivity, and accessibility of greenspace.
- 13. Provide strategies to preserve and enhance historic resources
- 14. Through regional infrastructure planning, limit growth in undeveloped areas of the region
- 15. Assist local governments to adopt growth management strategies that make more efficient use of existing infrastructure.
- 16. Inform and involve the public in planning at regional, local, and neighborhood levels.
- 17. Coordinate local policies and regulations to support Regional Policies
- 18. Encourage the development of state and regional growth management policy.

BEST LAND USE PRACTICES

Practice 1: Keep vehicle miles of travel (VMT) below the area average. Infill developments are the best at accomplishing this. The more remote a development the more self contained it must be to stay below the area average VMT.

Practice 2: Contribute to the area's jobs-housing balance. Strive for a job-housing balance with a three to five mile area around a development site.

Practice 3: Mix land uses at the finest grain the market will bear and include civic uses in the mix.

Practice 4: Develop in clusters and keep the clusters small. This will result in more open space preservation.



| Preliminary Report: | February 18, 2008 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT | Project: | Mecaslin Street #1676 |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Final Report Due: | March 19, 2008 | <u>REVIEW REPORT</u> | Comments Due By: | March 3, 2008 |

Practice 5: Place higher-density housing near commercial centers, transit lines and parks. This will enable more walking, biking and transit use.

Practice 6: Phase convenience shopping and recreational opportunities to keep pace with housing. These are valued amenities and translate into less external travel by residents if located conveniently to housing.

Practice 7: Make subdivisions into neighborhoods with well-defined centers and edges. This is traditional development.

Practice 8: Reserve school sites and donate them if necessary to attract new schools. This will result in neighborhood schools which provide a more supportive learning environment than larger ones.

Practice 9: Concentrate commercial development in compact centers or districts, rather than letting it spread out in strips.

Practice 10: Make shopping centers and business parks into all-purpose activity centers. Suburban shopping centers and their environs could be improved by mixing uses and designing them with the pedestrian amenities of downtowns.

Practice 11: Tame auto-oriented land uses, or at least separate them from pedestrian-oriented uses. Relegate "big box" stores to areas where they will do the least harm to the community fabric.

BEST TRANSPORTATION PRACTICES

Practice 1: Design the street network with multiple connections and relatively direct routes.

Practice 2: Space through-streets no more than a half mile apart, or the equivalent route density in a curvilinear network.

Practice 3: Use traffic-calming measures liberally. Use short streets, sharp curves, center islands, traffic circles, textured pavements, speed bumps and raised crosswalks.

Practice 4: Keep speeds on local streets down to 20 mph.

Practice 5: Keep speeds on arterials and collectors down to 35 mph (at least inside communities).

Practice 6: Keep all streets as narrow as possible and never more than four traffic lanes wide. Florida suggests access streets 18 feet, subcollectors 26 feet, and collectors from 28 feet to 36 feet depending on lanes and parking. Practice 7: Align streets to give buildings energy-efficient orientations. Allow building sites to benefit from sun

angles, natural shading and prevailing breezes.

Practice 8: Avoid using traffic signals wherever possible and always space them for good traffic progression.

Practice 9: Provide networks for pedestrians and bicyclists as good as the network for motorists.

Practice 10: Provide pedestrians and bicyclists with shortcuts and alternatives to travel along high-volume streets.

Practice 11: Incorporate transit-oriented design features.

Practice 12: Establish TDM programs for local employees. Ridesharing, modified work hours, telecommuting and others.

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL PRACTICES

Practice 1: Use a systems approach to environmental planning. Shift from development orientation to basins or ecosystems planning.

Practice 2: Channel development into areas that are already disturbed.

Practice 3: Preserve patches of high-quality habitat, as large and circular as possible, feathered at the edges and connected by wildlife corridors. Stream corridors offer great potential.

Practice 4: Design around significant wetlands.

Practice 5: Establish upland buffers around all retained wetlands and natural water bodies.

Practice 6: Preserve significant uplands, too.

Practice 7: Restore and enhance ecological functions damaged by prior site activities.

Practice 8: Detain runoff with open, natural drainage systems. The more natural the system the more valuable it will be for wildlife and water quality.

Practice 9: Design man-made lakes and stormwater ponds for maximum environmental value. Recreation, stormwater management, wildlife habitat and others.



| Preliminary Report: | February 18, 2008 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT | Project: | Mecaslin Street #1676 |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Final Report Due: | March 19, 2008 | <u>REVIEW REPORT</u> | Comments Due By: | March 3, 2008 |

Practice 10: Use reclaimed water and integrated pest management on large landscaped areas. Integrated pest management involves controlling pests by introducing their natural enemies and cultivating disease and insect resistant grasses.

Practice 11: Use and require the use of XeriscapeTM landscaping. XeriscapingTM is water conserving landscape methods and materials.

BEST HOUSING PRACTICES

Practice 1: Offer "life cycle" housing. Providing integrated housing for every part of the "life cycle".

Practice 2: Achieve an average net residential density of six to seven units per acre without the appearance of crowding. Cluster housing to achieve open space.

Practice 3: Use cost-effective site development and construction practices. Small frontages and setbacks; rolled curbs or no curbs; shared driveways.

Practice 4: Design of energy-saving features. Natural shading and solar access.

Practice 5: Supply affordable single-family homes for moderate-income households.

Practice 6: Supply affordable multi-family and accessory housing for low-income households.

Practice 7: Tap government housing programs to broaden and deepen the housing/income mix.

Practice 8: Mix housing to the extent the market will bear.

LOCATION

Where is the proposed project located within the host-local government's boundaries?

The proposed development is located in the City of Atlanta south of 16th Street and west of Mecaslin Street, just south of Atlantic Station.

Will the proposed project be located close to the host-local government's boundary with another local government? If yes, identify the other local government.

The proposed development is entirely within the City of Atlanta.

Will the proposed project be located close to land uses in other jurisdictions that would benefit, or be negatively impacted, by the project? Identify those land uses which would benefit and those which would be negatively affected and describe impacts.

To be determined during the review.

ECONOMY OF THE REGION

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

What new taxes will be generated by the proposed project?

Estimated value of the development is \$120,298,830 with an expected \$1,161,717 in annual local tax revenues.

How many short-term jobs will the development generate in the Region?



| Preliminary Report: | February 18, 2008 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT | Project: | Mecaslin Street #1676 |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Final Report Due: | March 19, 2008 | <u>REVIEW REPORT</u> | Comments Due By: | March 3, 2008 |

Short-term jobs will depend upon construction schedule.

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?

Yes.

In what ways could the proposed development have a positive or negative impact on existing industry or business in the Region?

To be determined during the review.

NATURAL RESOURCES

Watershed Protection and Stream Buffers

The property is in the Chattahoochee River watershed but is not in the 2000-foot Chattahoochee River Corridor. The USGS coverage for the area shows no streams on or near the property. Any unmapped streams that may be on the property will be subject to the City of Atlanta's stream buffer ordinance, which requires a 75-foot buffer along perennial and intermittent streams. Further, any state waters that may be on the property will be subject to the 25-foot Erosion and Sedimentation Act buffers, which are administered by the Environmental Protection Division of Georgia DNR. Any work within these buffers will require a variance from Georgia EPD.

Stormwater / Water Quality

The project property is currently developed as athletic facilities for Georgia Tech. The proposed project will have much more impervious surface than currently exists on the property. The site is in a dense urban area and stormwater may be handled by the City stormwater system. If on-site stormwater detention is provided, the project design should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. The amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development has been estimated by ARC. These are based on some simplifying assumptions for typical pollutant loading factors (lbs/ac/yr) from typical land uses in the Atlanta Region. The loading factors are based on regional storm water monitoring data from the Atlanta Region with impervious areas based on estimated averages for land uses in the Atlanta Region. If actual impervious percentages are higher or lower than the estimate, the pollutant loads will differ accordingly. Given the coverage of the proposed project, commercial was chosen as the use for the entire property. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis:

Estimated Pounds of Pollutants Per Year

| Land Use | Land Area | Total | Total | BOD | TSS | Zinc | Lead |
|------------|-----------|------------|----------|--------|---------|------|------|
| | (ac) | Phosphorus | Nitrogen | | | | |
| Commercial | 7.18 | 12.28 | 124.93 | 775.44 | 7057.94 | 8.83 | 1.58 |
| TOTAL | 7.18 | 12.28 | 124.93 | 775.44 | 7057.94 | 8.83 | 1.58 |

Total Impervious = 85%

If on-site detention is used, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria



| Preliminary Report: | February 18, 2008 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT | Project: | Mecaslin Street #1676 |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Final Report Due: | March 19, 2008 | <u>REVIEW REPORT</u> | Comments Due By: | March 3, 2008 |

outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

HISTORIC RESOURCES

Will the proposed project be located near a national register site? If yes, identify site.

None have been identified.

In what ways could the proposed project create impacts that would damage the resource?

Not applicable.

In what ways could the proposed project have a positive influence on efforts to preserve or promote the historic resource?

Not applicable.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Transportation

To be determined during the review.

The development **PASSES** the ARC's Air Quality Benchmark test.

| Air Quality Impacts/Mitigation (based | | |
|--|---------|-------|
| on ARC strategies) | Credits | Total |
| Where Residential is dominant, >15 units/ac | 6% | 6% |
| w/in 1/4 mile of Bus Stop (CCT, MARTA, | | |
| Other) | 3% | 3% |
| Shuttle service to employment ctr/transit | | |
| facility | 3% | 3% |
| Bike/ped networks that meet Mixed Use or | | |
| Density target and connect to adjoining uses | 5% | 5% |
| Total Calculated ARC Air Quality | | |
| Credits (15 % reduction required) | | 17% |

What are the conclusions of this review? Is the transportation system (existing and planned) capable of accommodating these trips?

To be determined during the review.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Wastewater and Sewage

Wastewater is estimated at 0.12 MGD based on information submitted for the review.



| Preliminary Report: | February 18, 2008 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT | Project: | Mecaslin Street #1676 |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Final Report Due: | March 19, 2008 | <u>Review Report</u> | Comments Due By: | March 3, 2008 |

Which facility will treat wastewater from the project?

R.M Clayton will provide wastewater treatment for the proposed development.

What is the current permitted capacity and average annual flow to this facility?

The capacity of R.M. Clayton Site is listed below:

| PERMITTED CAPACITY MMF, MGD 1 | DESIGN CAPACITY MMF, MGD | 2001 MMF, MGD | 2008 MMF, MGD | 2008 CAPACITY AVAILABLE +/-, MGD | PLANNED EXPANSION | REMARKS |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|
| No Flow Limit | 122 | 99 | 120 | 2 | None. Plan before EPD to permit plant at design capacity consistent with draft Chattahoochee River Model. | Existing Consent Decree with the U.S. EPA and Georgia EPD require CSO and SSO improvements throughout the City of Atlanta wastewater system by 2007 and 2014, respectively |

MMF: Maximum Monthly Flow. Mgd: million of gallons per day.

What other major developments will be served by the plant serving this project?

ARC has reviewed a number of major developments that will be served by this plant.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Water Supply and Treatment

How much water will the proposed project demand?

Water demand also is estimated at 0.144 MGD based on information submitted for the review.

How will the proposed project's demand for water impact the water supply or treatment facilities of the jurisdiction providing the service?

Information submitted with the review suggests that there is sufficient water supply capacity available for the proposed project.



₁ Source: Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District **SHORT-TERM WASTEWATER CAPACITY PLAN**, August 2002.

| Preliminary Report: | February 18, 2008 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT | Project: | Mecaslin Street #1676 |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Final Report Due: | March 19, 2008 | <u>REVIEW REPORT</u> | Comments Due By: | March 3, 2008 |

How much solid waste will be generated by the project? Where will this waste be disposed?

Information submitted with the review 818 tons of solid waste per year and the waste will be disposed of in the City of Atlanta.

Will the project create any unusual waste handling or disposal problems?

No.

Are there any provisions for recycling this project's solid waste.

None stated.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Other facilities

According to information gained in the review process, will there be any unusual intergovernmental impacts on:

- · Levels of governmental services?
- Administrative facilities?
- · Schools?
- · Libraries or cultural facilities?
- Fire, police, or EMS?
- · Other government facilities?
- Other community services/resources (day care, health care, low income, non-English speaking, elderly, etc.)?

To be determined during the review.

HOUSING

Will the proposed project create a demand for additional housing?

No, the proposed development will add 600 new residential units.

Will the proposed project provide housing opportunities close to existing employment centers?



| Preliminary Report: | February 18, 2008 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT | Project: | Mecaslin Street #1676 |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Final Report Due: | March 19, 2008 | <u>REVIEW REPORT</u> | Comments Due By: | March 3, 2008 |

Yes, once developed, this project will provide housing opportunities for existing employment centers as well as providing opportunities for individuals to live and work within close proximity to one another.

Is there housing accessible to the project in all price ranges demanded?

The site proposed for the development is located in Census Tract 6. This tract had a 52 percent increase in number of housing units from 2000 to 2006 according to ARC's Population and Housing Report. The report shows that 35 percent, respectively, of the housing units are single-family, compared to 69 percent for the region; thus indicating is a variety of multi-family housing options around the development area.

Is it likely or unlikely that potential employees of the proposed project will be able to find affordable* housing?

Likely, assuming the development is approved with multiple price ranges of housing.

* Defined as 30 percent of the income of a family making 80 percent of the median income of the Region – FY 2000 median income of \$51,649 for family of 4 in Georgia.



Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home **DRI Rules Thresholds** Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions

DRI #1676

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Atlanta Individual completing form: Shelley Peart Telephone: 404-330-6781

E-mail: speart@atlantaga.gov

*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Mecaslin Street Site

Location (Street Address, GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot 16th Street & Mecaslin Street (Southeast Corner) Description):

Brief Description of Project: 600 Residential Units

Development Type:

Wastewater Treatment (not selected) Hotels

Facilities

Office Mixed Use Petroleum Storage Facilities

Water Supply Intakes/ Commercial **Airports**

Reservoirs

Attractions & Recreational Wholesale & Distribution Intermodal Terminals

Facilities

Hospitals and Health Care Truck Stops Post-Secondary Schools

Facilities

Housing Waste Handling Facilities Any other development types

| Industrial Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants | k | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| If other development type, describe: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.): | 600 Units | | | | | |
| Developer: | Pollack Partners, Inc Michael Blair | | | | | |
| Mailing Address: | One Premier Plaza | | | | | |
| Address 2: | 5605 Glenridge Drive, Suite 775 | | | | | |
| | City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30342 | | | | | |
| Telephone: | 404-835-1475 | | | | | |
| Email: | Mblair@pollackpartners.com | | | | | |
| Is property owner different from developer/applicant? | (not selected) Yes No | | | | | |
| If yes, property owner: | Georgia Tech Foundation | | | | | |
| Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction? | (not selected) Yes No | | | | | |
| If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located? | | | | | | |
| Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI? | (not selected) Yes No | | | | | |
| If yes, provide the following information: | Project Name: | | | | | |
| | Project ID: | | | | | |
| The initial action being requested of the local government for this project: | Rezoning | | | | | |
| | Variance | | | | | |
| | Sewer | | | | | |
| | Water | | | | | |
| | Permit | | | | | |
| | Other Z-07-122 | | | | | |
| Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project? | (not selected) Yes No | | | | | |
| If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent? | | | | | | |
| Estimated Project Completion Dates: | This project/phase: 2010 Overall project: 2010 | | | | | |
| Back to Top | | | | | | |

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.

Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Login

DRI #1676

| DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information. | | | |
| | Local Government Information | | | |
| Submitting Local Government: Atlanta | | | | |
| Individual completing form: | | | | |
| Telephone: | 404-330-6781 | | | |
| Email: | speart@atlantaga.gov | | | |
| | Project Information | | | |
| Name of Proposed Project: | Mecaslin Street Site | | | |
| DRI ID Number: | | | | |
| Developer/Applicant: | Pollack Partners, Inc Michael Blair | | | |
| Telephone: | 404-835-1475 | | | |
| Email(s): Mblair@pollackpartners.com | | | | |
| | Additional Information Requested | | | |
| Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.) | (not selected) Yes No | | | |
| If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA? | (not selected) Yes No | | | |
| | can not start until this additional information is provided. | | | |
| | Economic Development | | | |
| | Loononia Development | | | |
| Estimated Value at Build-Out: | \$120,298,830.00 | | | |

| Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development: | \$1,161,717.00 | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|--------------|--|
| Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project? | (not selected) | Yes | No | |
| Will this development displace any existing uses? | (not selected) | Yes | No | |
| If yes, please describe (includir | ng number of units, s | quare fe | eet, etc): | |
| | | | | |
| | | Wate | r Supply | |
| Name of water supply provider for this site: | City of Atlanta | | | |
| What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)? | 0.12 MGD | | | |
| Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project? | (not selected) | Yes | No | |
| If no, describe any plans to exp | and the existing wat | er supp | ly capacity: | |
| Is a water line extension required to serve this project? | (not selected) | Yes | No | |
| If yes, how much additional lin | e (in miles) will be re | quired? | | |
| | | | | |
| | Wa | istewa | ter Disposal | |
| Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site: | City of Atlanta | | | |
| What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)? | 0.144 MGD | | | |
| Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project? | (not selected) | Yes | No | |
| If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity: | | | | |
| Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project? | (not selected) | Yes | No | |
| If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required? | | | | |
| Land Transportation | | | | |
| | | | | |

| How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.) | AM Trips: 283 (57 in/266 out); PM Trips: 331 (215 in/116 out) |
|---|---|
| Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project? | (not selected) Yes No |
| Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project? | (not selected) Yes No |
| If yes, please describe below:F | lease see the DRI Transportation Analysis performed by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. |
| | Solid Waste Disposal |
| | • |
| How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)? | 818 Tons |
| Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project? | (not selected) Yes No |
| If no, describe any plans to exp | and existing landfill capacity: |
| Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development? | (not selected) Yes No |
| If yes, please explain: | |
| | Stormwater Management |
| | |
| What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? | 67.3% |
| | ed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the r management:Underground stormwater retention facility |
| | |
| | Environmental Quality |
| Is the development located with | nin, or likely to affect any of the following: |
| Water supply watersheds? | (n-4 |
| Significant groundwater | (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No |
| recharge areas? | |

| 3. Wetlands? | (not selected) | Yes | No |
|---|----------------|-----|----|
| 4. Protected mountains? | (not selected) | Yes | No |
| 5. Protected river corridors? | (not selected) | Yes | No |
| 6. Floodplains? | (not selected) | Yes | No |
| 7. Historic resources? | (not selected) | Yes | No |
| 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? | (not selected) | Yes | No |
| If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected: | | | |
| | | | |
| Back to Top | | | |

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.