

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Oct 17 2007 **ARC Review Code:** R7710172

TO: Mayor Arthur Letchas

ATTN TO: kathi Cook,

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: Windward Mill

Review Type: Development of Regional Impact

<u>Description:</u> Windward Mill is a proposed mixed-use development on 47.65 acres in the City of Alpharetta. The proposed development will include 850,000 sf of office space, 80,000 sf of retail space, a 5,000 sf bank, 500 high-rise condos and a 225-room hotel. The proposed development is located along North Point Parkway between Windward Parkway and Morris Road.

Submitting Local Government: City of Alpharetta

Date Opened: Oct 17 2007

Deadline for Comments: Oct 30 2007

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Nov 15 2007

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
CITY OF MILTON
FULTON COUNTY SCHOOLS
FULTON COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF JOHNS CREEK
METRO ATIANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
CITY OF ROSWELL
GEORGIA CONSERVANCY

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by 2007-10-30 00:00:00, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse .



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT

DRI- REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Development Center for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before the specified return deadline.

before the specified return deadline.	
Preliminary Findings of the RDC: Windward Mill See the Preliminary Rep	ort .
Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed):	
Individual Completing form:	
Local Government: Department:	Please Return this form to: Haley Fleming, Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street NE Atlanta, GA 30303
Telephone: ()	Ph. (404) 463-3311 Fax (404) 463-3254 <u>hfleming@atlantaregional.com</u>
Signature: Date:	Return Date: Oct 30 2007

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONA	L REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM
DATE : Oct 17 2007	ARC REVIEW CODE: R7710172
TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, I	Research, and Aging Division Chiefs
FROM: Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, Extension:	3-3311
,	
Reviewing staff	by Jurisdiction:
<u>Land Use:</u> Kovach, Julie	<u>Transportation:</u> Kray, Michael
Environmental: Santo, Jim	Research: Skinner, Jim
Aging: Stalvey, Beth	
Name of Proposal: Windward Mill	
Review Type: Development of Regional Impact	
Description: Windward Mill is a proposed mixed-use development	
will include 850,000 sf of office space, 80,000 sf of retail space, a 5,00 development is located along North Point Parkway between Windway	
Submitting Local Government: City of Alpharetta	at alkway and morns road.
Date Opened: Oct 17 2007	
Deadline for Comments: Oct 30 2007	
Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Nov 15	2007
Resp	onse:
1) □ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following region	nal development guide listed in the comment section.
2) While neither specifically consistent nor inconsiste	nt, the proposal relates to the following regional development
guide listed in the comment section.	
3) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following	regional development guide listed in the comment section.
4) The proposal does NOT relate to any development a	guide for which this division is responsible.
5) □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reas	ons listed in the comment section.
Сомм	IENTS:

Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Windward Mill #1558
Final Report Due:	November 15, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 30, 2007

PRELIMINARY REPORT SUMMARY

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

Windward Mill is a proposed multiple-use development on 47.65 acres in the City of Alpharetta. The proposed development will include 850,000 square feet of office space, 80,000 square feet of retail space, a 5,000 square foot bank, 500 high-rise residential condominiums and a 225-room hotel. The proposed development is located along North Point Parkway between Windward Parkway and Morris Road.



PROJECT PHASING:

The project is being proposed in one phase with a project build out date for 2015.

GENERAL

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

Is the proposed project consistent with the host-local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

The project site is currently zoned CUP (Community Unit Plan). The information submitted for the review states that the proposed development is seeking master plan amendment approval from the City. The Future Land Use Plan for the City of Alpharetta designates the area as Office Center and the development is consistent with the plan; however, currently residential uses are not allowed under this designation.

Is the proposed project consistent with any potentially affected local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

To be determined during the review based on comments received from potentially affected local governments.

Will the proposed project impact the implementation of any local government's short-term work program? If so, how?

To be determined during the review based on comments received from potentially affected local governments.

Will the proposed project generate population and/or employment increases in the Region? If yes, what would be the major infrastructure and facilities improvements needed to support the increase?



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Windward Mill #1558
Final Report Due:	November 15, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 30, 2007

Yes, the proposed development would increase the need for services in the area for existing and future residents.

What other major development projects are planned near the proposed project?

The ARC has reviewed other major development projects, known as Area Plan (1984 to 1991) or as a DRI (1991 to present), within a three mile radius of the proposed project.

YEAR	NAME
2007	Parkway 400
2007	Deerfield Place
2006	Offices at Prospect Park
2005	Forum at Alpharetta
2003	Cousins Westside Master Plan
1999	Milton Park MUD
1997	North Point Commons
1997	Brookside
1996	Orkin-Hines MUD
1994	North Point Square
1993	Northwind
1992	Windward
1989	Oxford Green
1989	North Atlanta Mall
1988	Millennium 400
1987	Pace Office Park
1986	North Meadow
1986	Royal 400
1986	Brookside

Will the proposed project displace housing units or community facilities? If yes, identify and give number of units, facilities, etc.

Based on information submitted for the review, the site is currently undeveloped.

Will the development cause a loss in jobs? If yes, how many?

No.

Is the proposed development consistent with regional plans and policies?

The proposed development is consistent with many of ARC's Regional Development Policies. The development is adding to a mix of uses within the area that will allow individuals the opportunity to live and work within close proximity. The proposed development also is ideally located adjacent to a



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Windward Mill #1558
Final Report Due:	November 15, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 30, 2007

major highway that will provide sufficient transportation connections to other areas in the region. The project is also consistent with the Atlanta Region Unified Growth Policy Map. The proposed development is located within a mega corridor which is defined as the most intensely developed radial corridors in the region. The area around GA 400 is specifically identified as an example of a mega corridor.

The site plan does not appear to adequately show proposed crosswalks within the development as well as sidewalks along the perimeter of the site, therefore it is difficult to determine if pedestrian facilities are appropriately planned for within the development. There are also potential issues with frontage along Dryden Road, on the west side of the site across from the Marta Park-n-Ride lot. It is recommended by ARC staff that development include some retail along the Dryden Road frontage. Additionally, there are potential issues with the orientation of the residential buildings along a proposed north-south private road that bisects the development. It is recommended by ARC staff that the developer consider reorienting the residential buildings so that they front the primary private road. It is also recommended by ARC staff that the development should consist of a more vertical integration of uses to provide for a greater mix of uses within proposed buildings/structures on the site.



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Windward Mill #1558
Final Report Due:	November 15, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 30, 2007

PRELIMINARY REPORT

Regional Development Plan Policies

- 1. Promote sustainable economic growth in all areas of the region.
- 2. Encourage development within principal transportation corridors, the Central Business District, activity centers, and town centers.
- 3. Increase opportunities for mixed use development, transit-oriented development, infill and redevelopment.
- 4. At strategic regional locations, plan and retail industrial and freight land uses.
- 5. Design transportation infrastructure to protect the context of adjoining development and provide a sense of place appropriate for our communities.
- 6. Promote the reclamation of Brownfield development sites.
- 7. Protect the character and integrity of existing neighborhoods, while also meeting the needs of communities.
- 8. Encourage a variety of homes styles, densities, and price ranges in locations that are accessible to jobs and services to ensure housing for individuals and families of all incomes and age groups.
- 9. Promote new communities that feature greenspace and neighborhood parks, pedestrian scale, support transportation options and provide an appropriate mix of uses and housing types.
- 10. Promote sustainable and energy-efficient development.
- 11. Protect environmentally-senstive areas including wetlands, floodplains, small water supply watersheds, rivers, and corridors.
- 12. Increase the amount, quality, connectivity, and accessibility of greenspace.
- 13. Provide strategies to preserve and enhance historic resouces.
- 14. Through regional infrastructure planning, discourage growth in undeveloped areas.
- 15. Assist local governments to adopt growth management strategies that make more efficient use of existing infrastructure.
- 16. Inform and involve the public in planning at regional, local, and neighborhood levels.
- 17. Coordinate local policies and regulations to support Regional Policies.
- 18. Encourage the development of state and regional growth management policy.

BEST LAND USE PRACTICES

Practice 1: Keep vehicle miles of travel (VMT) below the area average. Infill developments are the best at accomplishing this. The more remote a development the more self contained it must be to stay below the area average VMT.

Practice 2: Contribute to the area's jobs-housing balance. Strive for a job-housing balance with a three to five mile area around a development site.

Practice 3: Mix land uses at the finest grain the market will bear and include civic uses in the mix.



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Windward Mill #1558
Final Report Due:	November 15, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 30, 2007

Practice 4: Develop in clusters and keep the clusters small. This will result in more open space preservation.

Practice 5: Place higher-density housing near commercial centers, transit lines and parks. This will enable more walking, biking and transit use.

Practice 6: Phase convenience shopping and recreational opportunities to keep pace with housing. These are valued amenities and translate into less external travel by residents if located conveniently to housing.

Practice 7: Make subdivisions into neighborhoods with well-defined centers and edges. This is traditional development.

Practice 8: Reserve school sites and donate them if necessary to attract new schools. This will result in neighborhood schools which provide a more supportive learning environment than larger ones.

Practice 9: Concentrate commercial development in compact centers or districts, rather than letting it spread out in strips.

Practice 10: Make shopping centers and business parks into all-purpose activity centers. Suburban shopping centers and their environs could be improved by mixing uses and designing them with the pedestrian amenities of downtowns.

Practice 11: Tame auto-oriented land uses, or at least separate them from pedestrian-oriented uses. Relegate "big box" stores to areas where they will do the least harm to the community fabric.

BEST TRANSPORTATION PRACTICES

Practice 1: Design the street network with multiple connections and relatively direct routes.

Practice 2: Space through-streets no more than a half-mile apart or the equivalent route density in a curvilinear network.

Practice 3: Use traffic-calming measures liberally. Use short streets, sharp curves, center islands, traffic circles, textured pavements, speed bumps and raised crosswalks.

Practice 4: Keep speeds on local streets down to 20 mph.

Practice 5: Keep speeds on arterials and collectors down to 35 mph (at least inside communities).

Practice 6: Keep all streets as narrow as possible and never more than four traffic lanes wide. Florida suggests access streets 18 feet, subcollectors 26 feet, and collectors from 28 feet to 36 feet depending on lanes and parking.

Practice 7: Align streets to give buildings energy-efficient orientations. Allow building sites to benefit from sun angles, natural shading and prevailing breezes.

Practice 8: Avoid using traffic signals wherever possible and always space them for good traffic progression.

Practice 9: Provide networks for pedestrians and bicyclists as good as the network for motorists.

Practice 10: Provide pedestrians and bicyclists with shortcuts and alternatives to travel along high-volume streets.

Practice 11: Incorporate transit-oriented design features.

Practice 12: Establish TDM programs for local employees. Ridesharing, modified work hours, telecommuting and others.

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL PRACTICES

Practice 1: Use a systems approach to environmental planning. Shift from development orientation to basins or ecosystems planning.

Practice 2: Channel development into areas that are already disturbed.

Practice 3: Preserve patches of high-quality habitat, as large and circular as possible, feathered at the edges and connected by wildlife corridors. Stream corridors offer great potential.

Practice 4: Design around significant wetlands.

Practice 5: Establish upland buffers around all retained wetlands and natural water bodies.

Practice 6: Preserve significant uplands, too.

Practice 7: Restore and enhance ecological functions damaged by prior site activities.

Practice 8: Detain runoff with open, natural drainage systems. The more natural the system the more valuable it will be for wildlife and water quality.

Practice 9: Design man-made lakes and stormwater ponds for maximum environmental value. Recreation, stormwater management, wildlife habitat and others.



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Windward Mill #1558
Final Report Due:	November 15, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 30, 2007

Practice 10: Use reclaimed water and integrated pest management on large landscaped areas. Integrated pest management involves controlling pests by introducing their natural enemies and cultivating disease and insect resistant grasses.

Practice 11: Use and require the use of $Xeriscape^{TM}$ landscaping. $Xeriscaping^{TM}$ is water conserving landscape methods and materials.

BEST HOUSING PRACTICES

Practice 1: Offer "life cycle" housing. Providing integrated housing for every part of the "life cycle."

Practice 2: Achieve an average net residential density of six to seven units per acre without the appearance of crowding. Cluster housing to achieve open space.

Practice 3: Use cost-effective site development and construction practices. Small frontages and setbacks; rolled curbs or no curbs; shared driveways.

Practice 4: Design of energy-saving features. Natural shading and solar access.

Practice 5: Supply affordable single-family homes for moderate-income households.

Practice 6: Supply affordable multi-family and accessory housing for low-income households.

Practice 7: Tap government housing programs to broaden and deepen the housing/income mix.

Practice 8: Mix housing to the extent the market will bear.

LOCATION

Where is the proposed project located within the host-local government's boundaries?

The proposed is development is located in the City of Alpharetta, along the south side of Windward Parkway and west side of North Point Parkway. SR 400 and the MARTA Windward Parkway Park and Ride Lot are located to the west of the site.

Will the proposed project be located close to the host-local government's boundary with another local government? If yes, identify the other local government.

It is entirely within the City of Alpharetta's boundaries and it is within 2 miles of the City of Milton.

Will the proposed project be located close to land uses in other jurisdictions that would benefit, or be negatively impacted, by the project? Identify those land uses which would benefit and those which would be negatively affected and describe impacts.

To be determined during the review.

ECONOMY OF THE REGION

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

What new taxes will be generated by the proposed project?

Estimated value of the development is \$244,140,000 with an expected \$5,069,590 in annual local tax revenues.

How many short-term jobs will the development generate in the Region?



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Windward Mill #1558
Final Report Due:	November 15, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 30, 2007

Short-term jobs will depend upon construction schedule.

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?

Yes.

In what ways could the proposed development have a positive or negative impact on existing industry or business in the Region?

To be determined during the review.

NATURAL RESOURCES

Watershed Protection

The proposed project is located within the Big Creek watershed, a small water supply watershed, and is within seven miles of the City of Roswell's water supply intake. Under the Georgia Planning Act, all development in the watershed is subject to the DNR Part 5 Water Supply Watershed Minimum Criteria (Chapter 391-3-16-.01 Criteria for Water Supply Watersheds) unless alternative criteria are developed in a study with participation by all jurisdictions in the watershed.

The Big Creek Watershed Study was completed in December 2000 with participation by all jurisdictions in the basin. It includes alternative protection measures to the DNR Part 5 Water Supply Watershed Criteria, including structural and non-structural control measures. The study was submitted to Georgia EPD in 2001 and was not been officially approved when modifications to the criteria were considered in 2001-2003. Since that time, the local governments have been working to develop a formal watershed agreement, which has not been finalized. It is our understanding that the City of Alpharetta has adopted protection requirements consistent with those proposed in the Study and that DCA has accepted those requirements in lieu of the Part 5 minimum criteria. This project will need to conform to Alpharetta's requirements.

The USGS coverage for the project area shows no perennial streams on or near the property. Any unmapped streams on the property may be subject to City of Alpharetta stream buffer requirements. Any state waters on the property will be subject to the 25-foot State Erosion and Sedimentation Act buffers.

Storm Water/Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. ARC has estimated the amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development. These estimates are based on some simplifying assumptions for typical pollutant loading factors (lbs/ac/yr). The loading factors are based on regional storm water monitoring data from the Atlanta Region. The loading factor used for this project is office/light industrial, based on the impervious coverage shown on the submitted plans. Actual loading factors will depend on the uses and the amount of impervious surface in the final project design. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis:



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Windward Mill #1558
Final Report Due:	November 15, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 30, 2007

Estimated Pounds of Pollutants Per Year:

Land Use	Land Area (ac)	Total Phosphorus	Total Nitrogen	BOD	TSS	Zinc	Lead
Office/Light Industrial	47.65	61.47	816.24	5432.10	33736.20	70.52	9.05
TOTAL	47.65	61.47	816.24	5432.10	33736.20	70.52	9.05

Total % impervious

70%

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

HISTORIC RESOURCES

Will the proposed project be located near a national register site? If yes, identify site.

None have been identified.

In what ways could the proposed project create impacts that would damage the resource?

Not applicable.

In what ways could the proposed project have a positive influence on efforts to preserve or promote the historic resource?

Not applicable.

INFRASTRUCTURE Transportation

To be determined later during the review process.

The development **DOES PASS** the ARC's Air Quality Benchmark test.



Air Quality Impacts/Mitigation (based	Credits	Total

Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Windward Mill #1558
Final Report Due:	November 15, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 30, 2007

on ARC strategies)		
Where Residential is dominant, 10-12		
units/ac		
	4%	4%
Where Office is dominant, 10% Residential		
and 10% Retail		
	9%	9%
w/in 1/4 mile of Bus Stop (CCT, MARTA,		
Other)		
,	3%	3%
Bike/ped networks connecting uses w/in the		
site		
	2%	2%
Total		18%

What are the conclusions of this review? Is the transportation system (existing and planned) capable of accommodating these trips?

To be determined during the review.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Wastewater and Sewage

Based on regional averages, wastewater is estimated at 0.216 MGD.

Which facility will treat wastewater from the project?

Big Creek will provide wastewater treatment for the proposed development.

What is the current permitted capacity and average annual flow to this facility?

The capacity of Big Creek Site is listed below:

PERMITTED CAPACITY MMF, MGD 1	DESIGN CAPACITY MMF, MGD	2001 MMF, MGD	2008 MMF, MGD	2008 CAPACITY AVAILABLE +/-, MGD	PLANNED EXPANSION	REMARKS
24	24	25	26	-2	Planned expansion to 36 or 48 mgd by 2008, subject to permitting	

MMF: Maximum Monthly Flow. Mgd: million of gallons per day.

What other major developments will be served by the plant serving this project?



¹ Source: Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District **SHORT-TERM WASTEWATER CAPACITY PLAN**, August 2002.

Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Windward Mill #1558
Final Report Due:	November 15, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 30, 2007
Due.	13, 2007		Due by.	

ARC has reviewed a number of major developments that will be served by this plant.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Water Supply and Treatment

How much water will the proposed project demand?

Water demand also is estimated at 0.216 MGD based on regional averages.

How will the proposed project's demand for water impact the water supply or treatment facilities of the jurisdiction providing the service?

Information submitted with the review suggests that there is sufficient water supply capacity available for the proposed project.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Solid Waste

How much solid waste will be generated by the project? Where will this waste be disposed?

Information submitted with the review 2565 tons of solid waste per year and the waste will be disposed of in Fulton County.

Will the project create any unusual waste handling or disposal problems?

No.

Are there any provisions for recycling this project's solid waste?

None stated.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Other facilities

According to information gained in the review process, will there be any unusual intergovernmental impacts on:

- · Levels of governmental services?
- · Administrative facilities?
- · Schools?
- · Libraries or cultural facilities?
- Fire, police, or EMS?



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Windward Mill #1558
Final Report Due:	November 15, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 30, 2007

- · Other government facilities?
- Other community services/resources (day care, health care, low income, non-English speaking, elderly, etc.)?

To be determined during the review.

HOUSING

Will the proposed project create a demand for additional housing?

No, the proposed development will add 500 new housing units.

Will the proposed project provide housing opportunities close to existing employment centers?

Yes.

Is there housing accessible to the project in all price ranges demanded?

The site proposed for the development is located in Census Tract 116.06. This tract had an 11.3 percent increase in number of housing units from 2000 to 2006 according to ARC's Population and Housing Report. The report shows that 69 percent of the housing units are single-family, compared to 69 percent for the region; thus indicating a variety of housing options around the development area.

Is it likely or unlikely that potential employees of the proposed project will be able to find affordable* housing?

Likely, assuming the development is approved with multiple price ranges of housing.

* Defined as 30 percent of the income of a family making 80 percent of the median income of the Region – FY 2000 median income of \$51,649 for family of 4 in Georgia.



Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Login

DRI #1558

	DEVEL	OPMENT OF REGIONAL IN Initial DRI Information	IPACT
	rs to meet or		ct information that will allow the RDC to to to both the Rules for the DRI Process and
	Lo	ocal Government Information	on
Submitting Local Government:	Alpharetta		
Individual completing form:	Kathi Cook		
Telephone:	678-297-60	73	
E-mail:	kcook@alp	haretta.ga.us	
herein. If a project is to be loca	ated in more t		ne accuracy of the information contained ect meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the sible for initiating the DRI review process.
	Р	roposed Project Informatio	n
Name of Proposed Project:	Windward N	fill;Pod 66, Tract D	
Location (Street Address, GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description):	Southern in	tersection of Windward Parkway and Nor	th Point Parkway
Brief Description of Project:		project consisting of 500 multi-family units are feet retail, 5,000 square foot bank and	
Development Type:			
(not selected)		Hotels	Wastewater Treatment Facilities
Office		Mixed Use	Petroleum Storage Facilities
Commercial		Airports	Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs
Wholesale & Distribution	on	Attractions & Recreational Facilities	O Intermodal Terminals
Hospitals and Health Ca Facilities	are	O Post-Secondary Schools	Truck Stops
Housing		Waste Handling Facilities	Any other development types
Olndustrial		Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants	
If other development type, de-	scribe:		

Project Size (# of units, floor	500 multi-family, 850,000 sf office, 80,000 sf retail, 5,000 sf bank, 225 room hotel
area, etc.):	
Developer:	Windward Mill, LLC
Mailing Address:	4080 McGinnis Ferry Road, Suite 1402
Address 2:	
	City:Alpharetta State: ga Zip:30005
Telephone:	6787629950
Email:	dphodge@earthlink.net
Is property owner different from developer/applicant?	◯ (not selected) ◯ Yes ◉ No
If yes, property owner:	
Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?	◯ (not selected)
If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?	
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, provide the following information:	Project Name:
inionnadon.	Project ID:
The initial action being requested of the local government for this project:	Rezoning Variance Sewer Water Permit Other Master Plan Amendment
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	◯ (not selected) ◯ Yes ◉ No
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?	
Estimated Project Completion Dates:	This project/phase: Spring 2010 Overall project: Spring 2010
Back to Top	

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.

Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Login

DRI #1558

DE	EVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information
	city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the es for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.
	Local Government Information
Submitting Local Government:	Alpharetta
Individual completing form:	Kathi Cook
Telephone:	678-297-6073
Email:	kcook@alpharetta.ga.us
	Project Information
Name of Proposed Project:	Windward Mill;Pod 66, Tract D
DRI ID Number:	1558
Developer/Applicant:	Windward Mill, LLC
Telephone:	6787629950
Email(s):	dphodge@earthlink.net
	Additional Information Requested
Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ● No
If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?	(not selected) Yes No
If no, the official review process can	not start until this additional information is provided.
	Economic Development
Estimated Value at Build-Out:	\$244,140,000
Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:	\$3,439,640 = property tax, \$1,629,950 = sales tax
Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?	○ (not selected) ● Yes ○ No
Will this development displace	

any existing uses?	◯ (not selected) ◯ Yes ◉ No
If yes, please describe (including num	ber of units, square feet, etc):
	Water Supply
Name of water supply provider for this site:	Fulton County
What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.216
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	○ (not selected) ● Yes ○ No
If no, describe any plans to expand th	e existing water supply capacity:
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ● No
If yes, how much additional line (in m	iles) will be required?
	Wastewater Disposal
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Fulton County
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.216
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	◯ (not selected) ● Yes ◯ No
If no, describe any plans to expand ex	kisting wastewater treatment capacity:
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ● No
If yes, how much additional line (in mi	les) will be required?
	Land Transportation
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	1,058 entering and 350 exiting AM peak hour trips, 434 entering and 1,060 exiting PM peak hour trips
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	○ (not selected) ● Yes ○ No
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	○ (not selected) ● Yes ○ No
If yes, please describe below:Please	refer to the traffic study prepared by Kimley-Horn and Associates.
	Solid Waste Disposal
	- -

How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	2,565 tons
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	○ (not selected) ● Yes ○ No
If no, describe any plans to expand	d existing landfill capacity:
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ● No
If yes, please explain:	
	Stormwater Management
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?	approximately 60%
project's impacts on stormwater ma	(such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the anagement: Various stormwater management facilities will be constructed to handle and eorgia Stormwater Manual will be the basis for the design of these structures.
treat storm water runon and the Ge	
treat storm water runon and the Ge	
Treat storm water runon and the Ge	Environmental Quality
	Environmental Quality
Is the development located within,	Environmental Quality or likely to affect any of the following:
Is the development located within, 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater	Environmental Quality or likely to affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No
Is the development located within, 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	Environmental Quality or likely to affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No
Is the development located within, 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? 3. Wetlands?	Environmental Quality or likely to affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No
Is the development located within, 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains?	Environmental Quality or likely to affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No
Is the development located within, 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains? 5. Protected river corridors?	Environmental Quality or likely to affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No
Is the development located within, 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains? 5. Protected river corridors? 6. Floodplains?	Environmental Quality or likely to affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No
Is the development located within, 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains? 5. Protected river corridors? 6. Floodplains? 7. Historic resources? 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? If you answered yes to any questio (1) The site is located in the Big Creater of the supplemental of the site is located in the Big Creater of the supplemental of the site is located in the Big Creater of the supplemental of the site is located in the Big Creater of the supplemental of the site is located in the Big Creater of the supplemental of the supple	Environmental Quality or likely to affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.

