



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax: 404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Aug 22 2007

ARC REVIEW CODE: P708221

TO: Mayor Lillian Webb
ATTN TO: Jennifer Peterson, Cmty. Dev. Director
FROM: Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital
signature. Original on file.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: City of Norcross Community Participation Plan
Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The City of Norcross Community Participation Plan

Submitting Local Government: City of Norcross

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Aug 22 2007

Deadline for Comments: Sep 6 2007

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Sep 21 2007

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
GWINNETT COUNTY SCHOOLS
CITY OF LILBURN
CITY OF SUGAR HILL
CITY OF DACULA

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF NORCROSS
CITY OF SNELLVILLE
CITY OF BERKELEY LAKE
CITY OF SUWANEE
CITY OF LAWRENCEVILLE

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA ENV. FACILITIES AUTHORITY
GWINNETT COUNTY
CITY OF DULUTH
CITY OF GRAYSON
CITY OF BUFORD

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Sep 6 2007, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse> .



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax: 404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Norcross	Date Received:	Aug 22 2007
Local Contact:	Jennifer Peterson, Cmty. Dev. Director City of Norcross	Public Hearing Date and Time:	N/A
Phone:	770-448-2122	E-Mail:	jpeterson@norcross-ga-gvt.com
Fax:		Website:	
Street	65 Lawrenceville Street	City State, Zip:	Norcross, Georgia 30071

Department of Community Affairs Review Required

Review Title:	City of Norcross Community Participation Plan
Description:	<p>The City of Norcross Community Participation Plan</p> <p>Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Norcross.</p>

The submitted documents are available for review at the City and at ARC.

Reviewing Regional Development Center:

Atlanta Regional Commission
40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303
Phone 404.463.3302 FAX 404.463.3254

Contact Person:	Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator
E-Mail	hffleming@atlantaregional.com

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Aug 22 2007

ARC REVIEW CODE: P708221

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs

FROM: Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, Extension: 3-3311

Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

Land Use: LeBeau, Robert

Transportation: Trudell, Talya

Environmental: Santo, Jim

Research: Skinner, Jim

Aging: Stalvey, Beth

Name of Proposal: City of Norcross Community Participation Plan

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The City of Norcross Community Participation Plan

Submitting Local Government: City of Norcross

Date Opened: Aug 22 2007

Deadline for Comments: Sep 6 2007

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Sep 21 2007

Response:

- 1) ☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 2) ☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 3) ☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 4) ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 5) ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.
- 6) ☐ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.

COMMENTS:
