



# REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax: 404.463.3105 • [www.atlantaregional.com](http://www.atlantaregional.com)

DATE: 2/3/2005

ARC REVIEW CODE: R502031

TO: Mayor Shirley Franklin  
ATTN TO: Nina Gentry, Senior Planner  
FROM: Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital  
signature. Original on file.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments regarding related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

**Name of Proposal:** Stratford on Peachtree

**Review Type:** Development of Regional Impact

**Description:** Stratford on Peachtree is a proposed mixed use development located in the City of Atlanta on approximately two acres. The project will consist of two towers along the east side of Stratford Road adjacent to the Buckhead MARTA station. The tower fronting Peachtree Road will consist of 100 hotel rooms and 30 condominiums. The rear tower will consist of 160 rental units that will be restricted to independent senior living. Vehicular access to the site will be provided on Stratford Road.

**Submitting Local Government:** City of Atlanta

**Date Opened:** 2/3/2005

**Deadline for Comments:** 2/17/2005

**Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed:** 3/4/2005

## THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING  
ARC DATA RESEARCH  
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
DEKALB COUNTY  
CITY OF ATLANTA SCHOOLS

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING  
ARC AGING DIVISION  
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
METRO ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY  
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING  
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS  
FULTON COUNTY  
BUCKHEAD CID

## Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Mike Alexander, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by 2/17/2005, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <http://www.atlantaregional.com/qualitygrowth/reviews.html>.



# REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



## DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT

### DRI- REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

**Instructions:** The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Development Center for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdiction of the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before the specified return deadline.

Preliminary Findings of the RDC: **Stratford on Peachtree** *See the Preliminary Report* .

Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed):

Individual Completing form:

Local Government:

Department:

Telephone: (     )

Signature:

Date:

**Please Return this form to:**

Mike Alexander, Atlanta Regional Commission  
40 Courtland Street NE

Atlanta, GA 30303

Ph. (404) 463-3302 Fax (404) 463-3254

[malexander@atlantaregional.com](mailto:malexander@atlantaregional.com)


**Return Date: 2/17/2005**

Preliminary Report:	Feb 3, 2005	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT</b>	Project:	55 Stratford on Peachtree #708
Final Report Due:	March 4, 2005		Comments Due By:	Feb. 17, 2005

## **PRELIMINARY REPORT SUMMARY**

### **PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:**

Stratford on Peachtree is a proposed mixed use development located in the City of Atlanta on approximately two acres. The project will consist of two towers along the east side of Stratford Road adjacent to the Buckhead MARTA station. The tower fronting Peachtree Road will consist of 100 hotel rooms and 30 condominiums. The rear tower will consist of 160 rental units that will be restricted to independent senior living. Vehicular access to the site will be provided on Stratford Road.



### **PROJECT PHASING:**

The project is being proposed in one phase with a project build out date for 2007.

### **GENERAL**

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

**Is the proposed project consistent with the host-local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.**

The project site is currently zone C-3 for commercial uses and a SPI district for streetscape and architectural detail. The proposed zoning is PD-MU (Planned Development-Mixed Use). Information submitted for the review states that the proposed development is consistent with the City of Atlanta's Future Land Use Plan, which designates the area as high density commercial and residential use.

**Is the proposed project consistent with any potentially affected local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.**

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

**Will the proposed project impact the implementation of any local government's short-term work program? If so, how?**

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

**Will the proposed project generate population and/or employment increases in the Region? If yes, what would be the major infrastructure and facilities improvements needed to support the increase?**

Yes, the proposed development would increase the need for services in the area for existing and future residents.

Preliminary Report:	Feb 3, 2005	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT</b>	Project:	55 Stratford on Peachtree #708
Final Report Due:	March 4, 2005		Comments Due By:	Feb. 17, 2005

**What other major development projects are planned near the proposed project?**

The ARC has reviewed other major development projects, known as Area Plan (1984 to 1991) or as a DRI (1991 to present), within two miles radius of the proposed project.

<b>2003</b>	<b>W Buckhead Hotel and Residences</b>
<b>2000</b>	<b>Bass Buckhead</b>
<b>1996</b>	<b>Alexander Estate Development</b>
<b>1989</b>	<b>Atlanta Plaza I</b>
<b>1989</b>	<b>Atlanta Plaza II</b>
<b>1989</b>	<b>Laing Stratford</b>
<b>1987</b>	<b>Capital City Plaza</b>
<b>1986</b>	<b>Allison Drive Residential</b>
<b>1986</b>	<b>Lenox Park</b>
<b>1986</b>	<b>City Center</b>
<b>1985</b>	<b>Lenox Ferncliff</b>
<b>1985</b>	<b>Principal Place</b>
<b>1984</b>	<b>Buckhead Plaza</b>
<b>1984</b>	<b>Monarch Center</b>

**Will the proposed project displace housing units or community facilities? If yes, identify and give number of units, facilities, etc.**

Based on information submitted for the review, the site is currently being used as a parking lot. The previous building on site has been demolished.

**Will the development cause a loss in jobs? If yes, how many?**

No.

**Is the proposed development consistent with regional plans and policies?**

Stratford on Peachtree is a proposed mixed use redevelopment project that meets many of the ARC's Regional Development Policies. The proposed development is within the Buckhead LCI Study Area and should, therefore, meet many of the goals and policies set forth in the study.

The proposed development meets the ARC's regional development policies, especially RDP Policy 3: increasing opportunities for mixed- use development, infill and redevelopment. Proximity to the MARTA Civic Center Station also provides an opportunity for increased transportation choices, RDP Policy 4.

The Buckhead LCI Action Plan envisions a "high energy, diverse activity center with stable single family neighborhoods surrounding a high density, mixed use core of corporate headquarters, regional retails store, excellent restaurants, and varied entertainment venues." Stratford on Peachtree is part of

Preliminary Report:	Feb 3, 2005	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT</b>	Project:	55 Stratford on Peachtree #708
Final Report Due:	March 4, 2005		Comments Due By:	Feb. 17, 2005

the Peachtree Road corridor which includes high density, street front retail, landscaped pedestrian paths, and dedicated bike routes. Peachtree Street is recognized as a signature street with a strong sense of identity and urban design. The fundamentals of the corridor include reinforcing the high density core, identifying public gathering places, developing greenspace, enhancing the pedestrian environment, reinforce Peachtree Road as a destination and signature street, and develop local transit options.

The proposed project's redevelopment contributes to the implementation of the Buckhead Action Plan. The project promotes high density in the core, actively engages with the street, enhances pedestrian activity, and incorporates hotel and residential uses that will have convenient and safe access to the retail, office, and entertainment uses also along the corridor.

The residential use proposed along Peachtree Road across from the Buckhead MARTA station will contribute to the jobs to housing imbalance and provide convenient transit options for residents. Typically, to be balanced an area should have 1.5 jobs per household (JPH). This proposed development helps to rectify some of this imbalance by providing opportunities for individuals to live and work in close proximity to one another.

The proposed project is located within the SPI-12 and SPI-19 overlay districts. These overlay districts promote appropriate types and character of development, especially around mass transit. The proposed development should meet or exceed the intent of these SPI districts.

Preliminary Report:	Feb 3, 2005	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT</b>	Project:	55 Stratford on Peachtree #708
Final Report Due:	March 4, 2005		Comments Due By:	Feb. 17, 2005

## **PRELIMINARY REPORT**

### **Regional Development Plan Policies**

1. Provide development strategies and infrastructure investments to accommodate forecasted population and employment growth more efficiently.
2. Guide an increased share of new development to the Central Business District, transportation corridors, activity centers and town centers.
3. Increase opportunities for mixed-use development, infill and redevelopment.
4. Increase transportation choices and transit-oriented development (TOD).
5. Provide a variety of housing choices throughout the region to ensure housing for individuals and families of diverse incomes and age groups.
6. Preserve and enhance existing residential neighborhoods.
7. Advance sustainable greenfield development.
8. Protect environmentally sensitive areas.
9. Create a regional network of greenspace that connects across jurisdictional boundaries.
10. Preserve existing rural character.
11. Preserve historic resources.
12. Inform and involve the public in planning at regional, local and neighborhood levels.
13. Coordinate local policies and regulations to support the RDP.
14. Support growth management at the state level.

### **BEST LAND USE PRACTICES**

Practice 1: Keep vehicle miles of travel (VMT) below the area average. Infill developments are the best at accomplishing this. The more remote a development the more self contained it must be to stay below the area average VMT.

Practice 2: Contribute to the area's jobs-housing balance. Strive for a job-housing balance with a three to five mile area around a development site.

Practice 3: Mix land uses at the finest grain the market will bear and include civic uses in the mix.

Practice 4: Develop in clusters and keep the clusters small. This will result in more open space preservation.

Practice 5: Place higher-density housing near commercial centers, transit lines and parks. This will enable more walking, biking and transit use.

Practice 6: Phase convenience shopping and recreational opportunities to keep pace with housing. These are valued amenities and translate into less external travel by residents if located conveniently to housing.

Practice 7: Make subdivisions into neighborhoods with well-defined centers and edges. This is traditional development.

Practice 8: Reserve school sites and donate them if necessary to attract new schools. This will result in neighborhood schools which provide a more supportive learning environment than larger ones.

Practice 9: Concentrate commercial development in compact centers or districts, rather than letting it spread out in strips.

Preliminary Report:	Feb 3, 2005	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT</b>	Project:	55 Stratford on Peachtree #708
Final Report Due:	March 4, 2005		Comments Due By:	Feb. 17, 2005

Practice 10: Make shopping centers and business parks into all-purpose activity centers. Suburban shopping centers and their environs could be improved by mixing uses and designing them with the pedestrian amenities of downtowns.

Practice 11: Tame auto-oriented land uses, or at least separate them from pedestrian-oriented uses. Relegate “big box” stores to areas where they will do the least harm to the community fabric.

## BEST TRANSPORTATION PRACTICES

Practice 1: Design the street network with multiple connections and relatively direct routes.

Practice 2: Space through-streets no more than a half-mile apart or the equivalent route density in a curvilinear network.

Practice 3: Use traffic-calming measures liberally. Use short streets, sharp curves, center islands, traffic circles, textured pavements, speed bumps and raised crosswalks.

Practice 4: Keep speeds on local streets down to 20 mph.

Practice 5: Keep speeds on arterials and collectors down to 35 mph (at least inside communities).

Practice 6: Keep all streets as narrow as possible and never more than four traffic lanes wide. Florida suggests access streets 18 feet, subcollectors 26 feet, and collectors from 28 feet to 36 feet depending on lanes and parking.

Practice 7: Align streets to give buildings energy-efficient orientations. Allow building sites to benefit from sun angles, natural shading and prevailing breezes.

Practice 8: Avoid using traffic signals wherever possible and always space them for good traffic progression.

Practice 9: Provide networks for pedestrians and bicyclists as good as the network for motorists.

Practice 10: Provide pedestrians and bicyclists with shortcuts and alternatives to travel along high-volume streets.

Practice 11: Incorporate transit-oriented design features.

Practice 12: Establish TDM programs for local employees. Ridesharing, modified work hours, telecommuting and others.

## BEST ENVIRONMENTAL PRACTICES

Practice 1: Use a systems approach to environmental planning. Shift from development orientation to basins or ecosystems planning.

Practice 2: Channel development into areas that are already disturbed.

Practice 3: Preserve patches of high-quality habitat, as large and circular as possible, feathered at the edges and connected by wildlife corridors. Stream corridors offer great potential.

Practice 4: Design around significant wetlands.

Practice 5: Establish upland buffers around all retained wetlands and natural water bodies.

Practice 6: Preserve significant uplands, too.

Practice 7: Restore and enhance ecological functions damaged by prior site activities.

Practice 8: Detain runoff with open, natural drainage systems. The more natural the system the more valuable it will be for wildlife and water quality.

Practice 9: Design man-made lakes and stormwater ponds for maximum environmental value. Recreation, stormwater management, wildlife habitat and others.

Practice 10: Use reclaimed water and integrated pest management on large landscaped areas. Integrated pest management involves controlling pests by introducing their natural enemies and cultivating disease and insect resistant grasses.

Practice 11: Use and require the use of Xeriscape™ landscaping. Xeriscaping™ is water conserving landscape methods and materials.

## BEST HOUSING PRACTICES

Practice 1: Offer “life cycle” housing. Providing integrated housing for every part of the “life cycle.”

Practice 2: Achieve an average net residential density of six to seven units per acre without the appearance of crowding. Cluster housing to achieve open space.

Preliminary Report:	Feb 3, 2005	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT</b>	Project:	55 Stratford on Peachtree #708
Final Report Due:	March 4, 2005		Comments Due By:	Feb. 17, 2005

Practice 3: Use cost-effective site development and construction practices. Small frontages and setbacks; rolled curbs or no curbs; shared driveways.  
Practice 4: Design of energy-saving features. Natural shading and solar access.  
Practice 5: Supply affordable single-family homes for moderate-income households.  
Practice 6: Supply affordable multi-family and accessory housing for low-income households.  
Practice 7: Tap government housing programs to broaden and deepen the housing/income mix.  
Practice 8: Mix housing to the extent the market will bear.

## **LOCATION**

### **Where is the proposed project located within the host-local government's boundaries?**

The project is located in the City of Atlanta. The project site approximately 2 acres located at the intersection of Stratford Road and Peachtree Street in Buckhead.

### **Will the proposed project be located close to the host-local government's boundary with another local government? If yes, identify the other local government.**

The proposed development is entirely within the City of Atlanta. DeKalb County is approximately 1 mile to the east.

### **Will the proposed project be located close to land uses in other jurisdictions that would benefit, or be negatively impacted, by the project? Identify those land uses which would benefit and those which would be negatively affected and describe impacts.**

To be determined during the review.

## **ECONOMY OF THE REGION**

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

### **What new taxes will be generated by the proposed project?**

Estimated value of the development is \$135 million with an expected \$4 million in annual local tax revenues.

### **How many short-term jobs will the development generate in the Region?**

Short-term jobs will depend upon construction schedule.

### **Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?**

Yes.

### **In what ways could the proposed development have a positive or negative impact on existing industry or business in the Region?**



Preliminary Report:	Feb 3, 2005	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT</b>	Project:	55 Stratford on Peachtree #708
Final Report Due:	March 4, 2005		Comments Due By:	Feb. 17, 2005

To be determined during the review.

## **NATURAL RESOURCES**

**Will the proposed project be located in or near wetlands, groundwater recharge area, water supply watershed, protected river corridor, or other environmentally sensitive area of the Region? If yes, identify those areas.**

### **Stream and Watershed Protection**

The project is not located in any water supply watershed and is not shown on any map as being near a flowing stream. Any unmapped waters of the state that may be on the property are subject to the State 25-foot sediment and erosion buffer and any intrusions into that buffer will require a variance from Georgia EPD.

### **Storm Water/Water Quality**

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. ARC has estimated the amounts of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development. These estimates are based on some simplifying assumptions for typical pollutant loading factors (lbs./ac/yr.) The loading factors are based on the results of regional storm water monitoring data from the Atlanta Region. The impervious areas are based on estimated averages for land uses in the Atlanta Region. These estimates are generalized for the metropolitan area and do not necessarily reflect the conditions of high-density, central business district development such as this project. These numbers also do not reflect any existing runoff and loading occurring on an already developed site. The impervious area estimate used for commercial, 85 percent, appears to be the closest to the approximate impervious coverage proposed for this project. If impervious percentages are higher or lower, the pollutant loads will differ accordingly from the estimates. The proportion of impervious surface in the form of roof area versus parking lot will be higher in this project than for most development, which will also affect the actual pollutant loading from runoff. The net land area was used in the calculations. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis:

Land Use	Land Area (acres)	Pollutant loads (lb./yr.)					
		TP	TN	BOD	TSS	Zinc	Lead
Commercial	1.63	2.79	28.36	176.04	1602.29	2.00	0.36
TOTAL	1.63	2.79	28.36	176.04	1602.29	2.00	0.36

### **Total Impervious: 85% in this analysis**

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual ([www.georgiastormwater.com](http://www.georgiastormwater.com)) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

Preliminary Report:	Feb 3, 2005	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT</b>	Project:	55 Stratford on Peachtree #708
Final Report Due:	March 4, 2005		Comments Due By:	Feb. 17, 2005

## **HISTORIC RESOURCES**

**Will the proposed project be located near a national register site? If yes, identify site.**

None have been identified.

**In what ways could the proposed project create impacts that would damage the resource?**

Not applicable.

**In what ways could the proposed project have a positive influence on efforts to preserve or promote the historic resource?**

Not applicable.

## **INFRASTRUCTURE**

### **Transportation**

#### **Georgia Regional Transportation Authority Review Findings**

**How many site access points will be associated with the proposed development? What are their locations?**

Vehicular access is provided to the site at two locations along Stratford Road. A third driveway is provided at the western edge of the development for loading.

**How much traffic (both average daily and peak am/pm) will be generated by the proposed project?**

URS performed the transportation analysis. GRTA and ARC review staff agreed with the methodology and assumptions used in the analysis. The net trip generation is based on the rates published in the 7<sup>th</sup> edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation report; they are listed in the following table:

Land Use	A.M. Peak Hour			P.M. Peak Hour			24-Hour
	Enter	Exit	2-Way	Enter	Exit	2-Way	2-Way
Senior Adult Housing 160 Units	6	7	13	11	7	18	557
Condominiums 30 Units	3	17	20	15	7	22	231
- alternative modes 7%	-0	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	-16
Hotel 100 Rooms	25	16	41	38	21	59	522
- alternative modes 7%	-2	-1	-3	-3	-1	-4	-37
Gross Trip Generation	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>1,310</b>
- alternative modes	-2	-2	-4	-4	-2	-6	-53
Net Trip Generation	<b>32</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>1,257</b>

Above table represents trip generation for the site with all appropriate reductions.

Preliminary Report:	Feb 3, 2005	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT</b>	Project:	55 Stratford on Peachtree #708
Final Report Due:	March 4, 2005		Comments Due By:	Feb. 17, 2005

**What are the existing traffic patterns and volumes on the local, county, state and interstate roads that serve the site?**

Incorporating the trip generation results, the transportation consultant distributed the traffic on the current roadway network. An assessment of the existing Level of Service (LOS) and projected LOS based on the trip distribution findings helps to determine the study network. The results of this exercise determined the study network, which has been approved by ARC and GRTA. If analysis of an intersection or roadway results in a substandard LOS "D", then the consultant recommends improvements.

Projected traffic volumes from the Regional Travel Demand Model are compared to the assigned capacity of facilities within the study network. This data is used to calculate a volume to capacity (V/C) ratio. The V/C ratio values that define the LOS thresholds vary depending on factors such as the type of terrain traversed and the percent of the road where passing is prohibited. As a V/C ratio reaches 0.8, congestion increases. The V/C ratios for traffic in various network years are presented in the following table. Any facilities that have a V/C ratio of 1.0 or above are considered congested.

**V/C Ratios**

To be determined during the review.

**List the transportation improvements that would affect or be affected by the proposed project.**

**2005-2010 TIP\***

ARC Number	Route	Type of Improvement	Scheduled Completion Year
AT-215A	SR 141 (PEACHTREE ROAD) MULTIMODAL CORRIDOR ENHANCEMENTS	Roadway Operations	2010
AT-215B	SR 141 (PEACHTREE ROAD CORRIDOR) - PHASE 2	Roadway Operations	2010
AR-340	SR 400 ATMS - COMMUNICATION AND SURVEILLANCE	Roadway Operations	2010

*\*The ARC Board adopted the 2030 RTP and FY 2005-2010 TIP in December 2004. USDOT approved in December 2004.*

**Summarize the transportation improvements as recommended by consultant in the traffic study for the Stratford Road Mixed Use Development.**

Improvements are recommended in three areas. At the intersection of Piedmont Road and Lenox Road, it is recommended that a striped or raised median be provided to allow the northbound right-turn lane to operate as free-flow. At the Lenox Road and Phipps Boulevard intersection, it is recommended that the eastbound approach be widened to provide a second left-turn lane. A signal modification is suggested to provide permissive-plus-overlap for the southbound Phipps Boulevard approach. At the intersection of Peachtree Road and Piedmont Road, provide a second left-turn lane along the eastbound Peachtree Road approach. In addition, provide a right-turn lane along the southbound Piedmont Road approach and optimize signal timing and phasing.

Preliminary Report:	Feb 3, 2005	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT</b>	Project:	55 Stratford on Peachtree #708
Final Report Due:	March 4, 2005		Comments Due By:	Feb. 17, 2005

**Is the site served by transit? If so, describe type and level of service and how it will enhance or be enhanced by the presence of transit? Are there plans to provide or expand transit service in the vicinity of the proposed project?**

Stratford Road Mixed-Use Development is located adjacent to the Buckhead MARTA rail station (N7), which is on the north-south line. Trains operate with ten-minute headways on weekdays. Late night and weekend headways are fifteen to twenty minutes. MARTA trains operate from approximately 5 a.m. to 1:00 a.m. on weekdays. Service ends at 12:30 a.m. on weekends.

MARTA rail service connects Buckhead to thirteen bus routes connecting to eight stations. Route 23 – Lenox / Arts Center and Route 25 – Peachtree Industrial have stops that are walking distance from the Stratford Road Mixed-Use Development. Weekday bus operations are from 5:00 a.m. to 1:30 a.m.

The BUC is a free shuttle that operates between offices, hotels, retail and Lenox and Buckhead MARTA stations. The shuttle operates from 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. every weekday. BUC offers a Blue Line and a Green Line, which serve the same uses, but vary routes slightly to provide the most efficient service between stops. The BUC has 23 stops service 19 commercial uses. Buckhead MARTA / Capital City Plaza is stop 18 on the Blue Line and the Green Line. Maps of the system are included in the appendix of this report.

**What transportation demand management strategies does the developer propose (carpool, flex-time, transit subsidy, etc.)?**

No transportation demand management strategies are proposed.

The development **PASSES** the ARC's Air Quality Benchmark test.

<b>Air Quality Impacts/Mitigation (based on ARC strategies)</b>	<b>Credits</b>	<b>Total</b>
Where Residential is dominant, >15 units/ac	6%	6%
w/in 1/2 mile of MARTA Rail Station	5%	5%
TMA or Parking Management Program	3%	3%
Bike/ped networks that meet Mixed Use or Density target and connect to adjoining uses	5%	5%
<b>Total</b>		<b>19%</b>

**What are the conclusions of this review? Is the transportation system (existing and planned) capable of accommodating these trips?**

This project provides high density residential uses within an easy walking distance of multiple transit opportunities. The infrastructure surrounding this project is more than capable of supporting this development. This project is a high efficient use of land within close proximity to a MARTA rail station.

## **INFRASTRUCTURE**

### **Wastewater and Sewage**



Preliminary Report:	Feb 3, 2005	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT</b>	Project:	55 Stratford on Peachtree #708
Final Report Due:	March 4, 2005		Comments Due By:	Feb. 17, 2005

Based on regional averages, wastewater is estimated at 0.05 MGD.

**Which facility will treat wastewater from the project?**

Information submitted with the review states that the R.M Clayton plant will provide wastewater treatment for the proposed development.

**What is the current permitted capacity and average annual flow to this facility?**

The capacity of R.M.Clayton is listed below

PERMITTED CAPACITY MMF, MGD <sub>1</sub>	DESIGN CAPACITY MMF, MGD	2001 MMF, MGD	2008 MMF, MGD	2008 CAPACITY AVAILABLE +/-, MGD	PLANNED EXPANSION	REMARKS
No flow limit	122	99	120	2	None. Plan before EPD to permit plant at design capacity consistent with draft Chattahoochee River Model.	Existing Consent Decree with the U.S. EPA and Georgia EPD require CSO and SSO improvements throughout City of Atlanta wastewater system by 2207 and 2014, respectively.

*MMF: Maximum Monthly Flow. Mgd: million of gallons per day.*

<sub>1</sub> Source: Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District **SHORT-TERM WASTEWATER CAPACITY PLAN**, August 2002.

**What other major developments will be served by the plant serving this project?**

ARC has reviewed a number of major developments that will be served by this plant.

**INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Water Supply and Treatment**

**How much water will the proposed project demand?**

Water demand also is estimated at 0.06 MGD based on regional averages.

**How will the proposed project's demand for water impact the water supply or treatment facilities of the jurisdiction providing the service?**

Information submitted with the review suggests that there is sufficient water supply capacity available for the proposed project.

**INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Solid Waste**



Preliminary Report:	Feb 3, 2005	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT <u>REVIEW REPORT</u></b>	Project:	55 Stratford on Peachtree #708
Final Report Due:	March 4, 2005		Comments Due By:	Feb. 17, 2005

**How much solid waste will be generated by the project? Where will this waste be disposed?**

Information submitted with the review 336 tons of solid waste per year.

**Other than adding to a serious regional solid waste disposal problem, will the project create any unusual waste handling or disposal problems?**

No.

**Are there any provisions for recycling this project's solid waste?**

None stated.

## **INFRASTRUCTURE**

### **Other facilities**

**According to information gained in the review process, will there be any unusual intergovernmental impacts on:**

- **Levels of governmental services?**
- **Administrative facilities?**
- **Schools?**
- **Libraries or cultural facilities?**
- **Fire, police, or EMS?**
- **Other government facilities?**
- **Other community services/resources (day care, health care, low income, non-English speaking, elderly, etc.)?**

To be determined during the review.

## **AGING**

**Does the development address population needs by age?**

To be determined during the review.

**What is the age demographic in the immediate area of the development?**

To be determined during the review.

Preliminary Report:	Feb 3, 2005	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT</b>	Project:	55 Stratford on Peachtree #708
Final Report Due:	March 4, 2005		Comments Due By:	Feb. 17, 2005

## **HOUSING**

### **Will the proposed project create a demand for additional housing?**

No, the project will provide an additional 190 housing units that will include condominiums.

### **Will the proposed project provide housing opportunities close to existing employment centers?**

Yes, once developed, this project will provide housing opportunities for existing employment centers.

### **Is there housing accessible to the project in all price ranges demanded?**

The site proposed for the development is located in Census Tract 100. This tract had a 9.3 percent increase in number of housing units from 2000 to 2003 according to ARC's Population and Housing Report. The report shows that 60 percent of the housing units are single-family, compared to 69 percent for the region; thus indicating a variety of housing options around the development area.

### **Is it likely or unlikely that potential employees of the proposed project will be able to find affordable\* housing?**

Likely, assuming the development is approved with multiple price ranges of housing.

\* Defined as 30 percent of the income of a family making 80 percent of the median income of the Region – FY 2000 median income of \$51,649 for family of 4 in Georgia.

Your DRI ID NUMBER for this submission is: **708**  
 Use this number when filling out a DRI REVIEW REQUEST.  
 Submitted on: 1/13/2005 3:06:11 PM

## DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT

### Fulton County Initial DRI Information (Form1b)

This form is intended for use by local governments within the Metropolitan Region Tier that are also within the jurisdiction of the Georgia Regional Transportation Authority (GRTA). The form is to be completed by the city or county government for submission to your Regional Development Center (RDC), GRTA and DCA. This form provides basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Local governments should refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process 110-12-3 and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds established by DCA.

### Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government:	City of Atlanta
*Individual completing form and Mailing Address:	Nina E. Gentry 55 Trinity Ave. Suite 3350 Atlanta, GA 30303
Telephone:	404.330.6722
Fax:	404.658.7491
E-mail ( <b>only one</b> ):	<a href="mailto:ngentry@atlantaga.gov">ngentry@atlantaga.gov</a>

\*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

### Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project:		Stratford on Peachtree
Development Type	Description of Project	Thresholds
Mixed Use	170000 s.f. hotel below approx. 125000 s.f. condominium space; independent senior living community of approx. 160 units and accessory uses	<a href="#">View Thresholds</a>
Developer / Applicant and Mailing Address:		Stratford Grove, LLC One Overton Park 3625 Cumberland Blvd. Suite 400 Atlanta, GA 30339
Telephone:		770.819.4142
Fax:		770.819.4191
Email:		<a href="mailto:kkern@grovestreetpartners.com">kkern@grovestreetpartners.com</a>
Name of property owner(s) if different from developer/applicant:		
Provide Land-Lot-District Number:		45-17
What are the principal streets or roads providing vehicular access to the site?		Peachtree Road and Stratford Road
Provide name of nearest street(s) or intersection:		Peachtree Road and Stratford Road
Provide geographic coordinates (latitude/longitude) of the center of the proposed project (optional):		/
If available, provide a link to a website providing a general location map of the proposed project (optional). ( <a href="http://www.mapquest.com">http://www.mapquest.com</a> or <a href="http://www.mapblast.com">http://www.mapblast.com</a> are helpful sites to use.):		



Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?	Y
If yes, how close is the boundary of the nearest other local government?	5500 feet from Dekalb County
If no, provide the following information:	
In what additional jurisdictions is the project located?	
In which jurisdiction is the majority of the project located? (give percent of project)	Name: (NOTE: This local government is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.)
	Percent of Project:
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	N
If yes, provide the following information (where applicable):	Name:
	Project ID:
	App #:
The initial action being requested of the local government by the applicant is:	Rezoning
What is the name of the water supplier for this site?	City of Atlanta
What is the name of the wastewater treatment supplier for this site?	City of Atlanta
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	N
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?	
Estimated Completion Dates:	This project/phase: Overall project: June 2007

### Local Government Comprehensive Plan

Is the development consistent with the local government's comprehensive plan, including the Future Land Use Map?	Y
If no, does the local government intend to amend the plan/map to account for this development?	
If amendments are needed, when will the plan/map be amended?	

### Service Delivery Strategy

Is all local service provision consistent with the countywide Service Delivery Strategy?	Y
If no, when will required amendments to the countywide Service Delivery Strategy be complete?	

### Land Transportation Improvements

Are land transportation or access improvements planned or needed to support the proposed project?	N
If yes, how have these improvements been identified:	
Included in local government Comprehensive Plan or Short Term Work Program?	
Included in other local government plans (e.g. SPLOST/LOST Projects, etc.)?	
Included in an official Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP)?	
Developer/Applicant has identified needed improvements?	

Other (Please Describe):

Traffic study is in progress to determine necessary intersection/access improvements.

Submitted on: 1/28/2005 11:04:09 AM

## DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT DRI Review Initiation Request (Form2a)

### Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government:	City of Atlanta
Individual completing form:	Nina Gentry
Telephone:	(404) 330-6722
Fax:	(404) 658-7491
Email ( <b>only one</b> ):	<a href="mailto:ngentry@atlantaga.gov">ngentry@atlantaga.gov</a>

### Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project:	Peachtree Stratford Mixed-use
DRI ID Number:	708
Developer/Applicant:	Grove Street Partners, LLC
Telephone:	(770) 818-4190
Fax:	(770) 818-4191
Email(s):	kkern@grovestreetpartners.com

### DRI Review Process

Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)	N
If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?	
If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.	

### Economic Impacts

Estimated Value at Build-Out:	\$135 million
Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:	\$4 million
Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?	Y
If the development will displace any existing uses, please describe (using number of units, square feet., etc): N/A - Site is vacant	

### Community Facilities Impacts

#### Water Supply

Name of water supply provider for this site:	City of Atlanta
What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.06 MGD
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	Y
If no, are there any current plans to expand existing water supply capacity?	
If there are plans to expand the existing water supply capacity, briefly describe below:	
If water line extension is required to serve this project, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?	

#### Wastewater Disposal

Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	City of Atlanta
--	-----------------

What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.05 MGD
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	Y
If no, are there any current plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity?	
If there are plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity, briefly describe below:	
If sewer line extension is required to serve this project, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?	

### Land Transportation

How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	1,257 vpd, 93 peak hour
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	Y
If yes, has a copy of the study been provided to the local government?	Y
If transportation improvements are needed to serve this project, please describe below: Planned improvements at the intersections of Peachtree Rd. / Piedmont Rd. and Lenox Rd. / Phipps Blvd. are sufficient to accommodate future demand.	

### Solid Waste Disposal

How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	336 tons
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	Y
If no, are there any current plans to expand existing landfill capacity?	
If there are plans to expand existing landfill capacity, briefly describe below:	
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development? If yes, please explain below:	
N	

### Stormwater Management

What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?	80%
Is the site located in a water supply watershed?	N
If yes, list the watershed(s) name(s) below:	
Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management: Applicant to provide stormwater detention to release at a rate equivalent to 70% of the pre-developed rate.	

### Environmental Quality

Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:	
1. Water supply watersheds?	N
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	N
3. Wetlands?	N
4. Protected mountains?	N
5. Protected river corridors?	N
If you answered yes to any question 1-5 above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected below:	
Has the local government implemented environmental regulations consistent with the Department of Natural Resources' Rules for Environmental Planning Criteria?	N


Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:

1. Floodplains?	N
2. Historic resources?	N
3. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	N

If you answered yes to any question 1-3 above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected below:

# SITE PLAN SPECIFICATIONS

1. Zoning Classification - Current = C-3  
Proposed = PD-MU  
SP-12 & SP-19 Overlay Districts
2. Square Footage -  
Residential Tower = 260,000 s.f.  
(Includes Wellness Center)  
Hotel/Condominium Tower = 283,000 s.f.  
Hotel = 161,000 s.f.  
(Includes Spa/Sauna/Cosmetology Space)  
Condominium Tower = 122,000 s.f.
3. Accessory Space - N/A
4. Dwelling Units - 116 56 Units/Acre  
Residential Tower = 160 units  
Hotel/Condominium Tower = 30 units
5. Building Heights -  
Residential Tower = 202 ft  
Hotel/Condominium Tower = 451.5 ft
6. Net Land Area - 70,909 s.f. / 1.63 acres
7. Gross Land Area - 69,886 s.f.
8. Floor Area Ratio (FAR) -  
Residential  
Proposed = 3.95 (355,000 s.f.)  
Commercial  
Proposed = 2.41 (171,000 s.f.)
9. Total Open Space -  
Proposed = 69,000 s.f.
10. Usable Open Space -  
Proposed = 44,000 s.f.  
Ground level + additional open space on balconies & terraces
11. Parking/Landing Spaces -  
Proposed = 410 spaces (1 per bedroom min.)  
Loading Bays = 4 @ 12 x 35  
b. No. Of Employees: 120 @ 60% of 200 Restaurant/Lounge: 8,150 s.f.  
Meeting Space: 1,800 s.f.



Proposed Site Plan

**Master Plan Architect:**  
Cooper Carry Inc.  
3520 Piedmont Road N.E.  
Suite 200  
Atlanta, GA 30305  
Tel: 404-237-2000  
Contact: Mike Service

**Developer:**  
Grove Street Partners  
One Overton Park  
3625 Cumberland Blvd.  
Suite 400  
Atlanta, GA 30339  
Tel: 770-818-4190  
Contact: Kevin Kern