

REGIONAL REVIEW FINDING

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: AUG 14 2007 ARC REVIEW CODE: R707251

TO: CHAIRMAN CHARLES BANNISTER

ATTN TO: JEFFREY WEST, PLANNING MANAGEA

Charles Krautler. Director

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed regional review of the following proposal, Below is the ARC finding. The Atlanta Regional Commission reviewed the proposed project with regard to conflicts to regional plans, goals, and policies and impacts it might have on the activities, plans, goals, and policies of other local jurisdictions and state, federal, and other agencies.

Submitting Local Government: Gwinnett County

Name of Proposal: Button Gwinnett Solid Waste Transfer Station

Review Type: Development of Regional Impact Date Opened: Jul 25 2007 Date Closed: Aug 14 2007

FINDING: After reviewing the information submitted for the review, and the comments received from affected agencies, the Atlanta Regional Commission finding is that the DRI is in the best interest of the Region, and therefore, of the State.

Additional Comments: The proposed development is located in an industrial area within a mega corridor on the Unified Growth Policy Map. The proposed development is surrounded primarily by other industrial and warehouse uses within the County. It is important to consider compatible uses as the area continues to develop.

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

CITY OF NORCROSS

ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF DORAVILLE

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY DEKALB COUNTY

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3311. This finding will be published to the ARC website.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse.

Preliminary Report:	July 25, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Button Waste #1501
Final Report Due:	August 24, 2007	REVIEW REPORT	Comments Due By:	August 8, 2007

FINAL REPORT SUMMARY

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

The proposed Button Gwinnett solid waste transfer station is located on 3.5 acres in Gwinnett County and will consist of 52, 411 square feet that will include a scale house, enclosed transfer station, and existing administration office. The proposed development will have access onto Button Gwinnett Drive.



PROJECT PHASING:

The project is being proposed in one phase with a project build out date 2008.

GENERAL

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

Is the proposed project consistent with the host-local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

The project site is currently zoned M-1. Proposed zoning for the site is M-2 with a special use permit. Information submitted for the review states that the proposed development is not consistent with Gwinnett County's Future Land Use Map which designates the area as commercial and retail.

Is the proposed project consistent with any potentially affected local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

No comments were received identifying inconsistencies with any potentially affected local government's comprehensive plan.

Will the proposed project impact the implementation of any local government's short-term work program? If so, how?

No comments were received concerning implementation of any local government's short term work program.

Will the proposed project generate population and/or employment increases in the Region? If yes, what would be the major infrastructure and facilities improvements needed to support the increase?

No, the proposed development would not increase the need for services in the area.

What other major development projects are planned near the proposed project?



Preliminary Report:	July 25, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Button Waste #1501
Final Report Due:	August 24, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	August 8, 2007

The ARC has reviewed other major development projects, known as Area Plan (1984 to 1991) or as a DRI (1991 to present), within a 1 mile radius of the proposed project.

YEAR NAME

2007 Arrow Waste

2006 Jones Mill Solid Waste Transfer Station

Will the proposed project displace housing units or community facilities? If yes, identify and give number of units, facilities, etc.

Based on information submitted for the review, the site is currently occupied by an existing warehouse that will be demolished. The existing office building will remain on site.

Will the development cause a loss in jobs? If yes, how many? No.

Is the proposed development consistent with regional plans and policies?

The proposed development is located in an industrial area within a mega corridor on the Unified Growth Policy Map. The proposed development is surrounded primarily by other industrial and warehouse uses within the County. It is important to consider compatible uses as the area continues to develop.

Information submitted for the review states that the proposed development will not provide for storage of materials on site.



Preliminary Report:	July 25, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Button Waste #1501
Final Report Due:	August 24, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	August 8, 2007

FINAL REPORT

Regional Development Plan Policies

- 1. Provide sustainable economic growth in all areas of the region.
- 2. Encourage new homes and jobs within existing developed areas of the region, focusing on principal transportation corridors, the Central Business District, activity centers, and town centers.
- 3. Increase opportunities for mixed use development, transit-oriented development, infill, and redevelopment.
- 4. At strategic regional locations, plan and retail industrial and freight land uses.
- 5. Design transportation infrastructure to protect the context of adjoining development and provide a sense of place appropriate for our communities.
- 6. Promote the reclamation of Brownfield development sites.
- 7. Protect the character and integrity of existing neighborhoods, while also meeting the needs of communities to grow.
- 8. Encourage a variety of homes styles, densities, and price ranges in locations that are accessible to jobs and services to ensure housing for individuals and families of all incomes and age groups.
- 9. Promote new communities that feature greenspace and neighborhood parks, pedestrian scale, support transportation options, and provide an appropriate mix of uses and housing types.
- 10. Promote sustainable and energy efficient development.
- 11. Protect environmentally-sensitive areas including wetlands, floodplains, small water supply watersheds, rivers and stream corridors.
- 12. Increase the amount, quality, and connectivity, and accessibility of greenspace.
- 13. Provide strategies to preserve and enhance historic resources
- 14. Through regional infrastructure planning, limit growth in undeveloped areas of the region
- 15. Assist local governments to adopt growth management strategies that make more efficient use of existing infrastructure.
- 16. Inform and involve the public in planning at regional, local, and neighborhood levels.
- 17. Coordinate local policies and regulations to support Regional Policies
- 18. Encourage the development of state and regional growth management policy.

BEST LAND USE PRACTICES

Practice 1: Keep vehicle miles of travel (VMT) below the area average. Infill developments are the best at accomplishing this. The more remote a development the more self contained it must be to stay below the area average VMT.

Practice 2: Contribute to the area's jobs-housing balance. Strive for a job-housing balance with a three to five mile area around a development site.



Preliminary Report:	July 25, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Button Waste #1501
Final Report Due:	August 24, 2007	REVIEW REPORT	Comments Due By:	August 8, 2007

Practice 3: Mix land uses at the finest grain the market will bear and include civic uses in the mix.

Practice 4: Develop in clusters and keep the clusters small. This will result in more open space preservation.

Practice 5: Place higher-density housing near commercial centers, transit lines and parks. This will enable more walking, biking and transit use.

Practice 6: Phase convenience shopping and recreational opportunities to keep pace with housing. These are valued amenities and translate into less external travel by residents if located conveniently to housing.

Practice 7: Make subdivisions into neighborhoods with well-defined centers and edges. This is traditional development.

Practice 8: Reserve school sites and donate them if necessary to attract new schools. This will result in neighborhood schools which provide a more supportive learning environment than larger ones.

Practice 9: Concentrate commercial development in compact centers or districts, rather than letting it spread out in strips.

Practice 10: Make shopping centers and business parks into all-purpose activity centers. Suburban shopping centers and their environs could be improved by mixing uses and designing them with the pedestrian amenities of downtowns.

Practice 11: Tame auto-oriented land uses, or at least separate them from pedestrian-oriented uses. Relegate "big box" stores to areas where they will do the least harm to the community fabric.

BEST TRANSPORTATION PRACTICES

Practice 1: Design the street network with multiple connections and relatively direct routes.

Practice 2: Space through-streets no more than a half-mile apart or the equivalent route density in a curvilinear network.

Practice 3: Use traffic-calming measures liberally. Use short streets, sharp curves, center islands, traffic circles, textured pavements, speed bumps and raised crosswalks.

Practice 4: Keep speeds on local streets down to 20 mph.

Practice 5: Keep speeds on arterials and collectors down to 35 mph (at least inside communities).

Practice 6: Keep all streets as narrow as possible and never more than four traffic lanes wide. Florida suggests access streets 18 feet, subcollectors 26 feet, and collectors from 28 feet to 36 feet depending on lanes and parking.

Practice 7: Align streets to give buildings energy-efficient orientations. Allow building sites to benefit from sun angles, natural shading and prevailing breezes.

Practice 8: Avoid using traffic signals wherever possible and always space them for good traffic progression.

Practice 9: Provide networks for pedestrians and bicyclists as good as the network for motorists.

Practice 10: Provide pedestrians and bicyclists with shortcuts and alternatives to travel along high-volume streets.

Practice 11: Incorporate transit-oriented design features.

Practice 12: Establish TDM programs for local employees. Ridesharing, modified work hours, telecommuting and others.

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL PRACTICES

Practice 1: Use a systems approach to environmental planning. Shift from development orientation to basins or ecosystems planning.

Practice 2: Channel development into areas that are already disturbed.

Practice 3: Preserve patches of high-quality habitat, as large and circular as possible, feathered at the edges and connected by wildlife corridors. Stream corridors offer great potential.

Practice 4: Design around significant wetlands.

Practice 5: Establish upland buffers around all retained wetlands and natural water bodies.

Practice 6: Preserve significant uplands, too.

Practice 7: Restore and enhance ecological functions damaged by prior site activities.

Practice 8: Detain runoff with open, natural drainage systems. The more natural the system the more valuable it will be for wildlife and water quality.

Practice 9: Design man-made lakes and stormwater ponds for maximum environmental value. Recreation, stormwater management, wildlife habitat and others.



Preliminary Report:	July 25, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Button Waste #1501
Final Report Due:	August 24, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	August 8, 2007

Practice 10: Use reclaimed water and integrated pest management on large landscaped areas. Integrated pest management involves controlling pests by introducing their natural enemies and cultivating disease and insect resistant grasses.

Practice 11: Use and require the use of $Xeriscape^{TM}$ landscaping. $Xeriscaping^{TM}$ is water conserving landscape methods and materials.

BEST HOUSING PRACTICES

Practice 1: Offer "life cycle" housing. Providing integrated housing for every part of the "life cycle."

Practice 2: Achieve an average net residential density of six to seven units per acre without the appearance of crowding. Cluster housing to achieve open space.

Practice 3: Use cost-effective site development and construction practices. Small frontages and setbacks; rolled curbs or no curbs; shared driveways.

Practice 4: Design of energy-saving features. Natural shading and solar access.

Practice 5: Supply affordable single-family homes for moderate-income households.

Practice 6: Supply affordable multi-family and accessory housing for low-income households.

Practice 7: Tap government housing programs to broaden and deepen the housing/income mix.

Practice 8: Mix housing to the extent the market will bear.

LOCATION

Where is the proposed project located within the host-local government's boundaries?

The proposed development is located at the along Button Gwinnett Drive between Crescent Drive and Pleasantdale Road.

Will the proposed project be located close to the host-local government's boundary with another local government? If yes, identify the other local government.

The proposed development is entirely within the County's jurisdiction. The proposed project is within two miles of the City of Norcross, City of Doraville, and DeKalb County.

Will the proposed project be located close to land uses in other jurisdictions that would benefit, or be negatively impacted, by the project? Identify those land uses which would benefit and those which would be negatively affected and describe impacts.

None were determined during the review.

ECONOMY OF THE REGION

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

What new taxes will be generated by the proposed project?

Estimated value of the development is \$4,000,000 with an expected \$52,000 in annual local tax revenues.

How many short-term jobs will the development generate in the Region?



Preliminary Report:	July 25, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Button Waste #1501
Final Report Due:	August 24, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	August 8, 2007

Short-term jobs will depend upon construction schedule.

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?

Yes.

In what ways could the proposed development have a positive or negative impact on existing industry or business in the Region?

None were determined during the review.

NATURAL RESOURCES

Will the proposed project be located in or near wetlands, groundwater recharge area, water supply watershed, protected river corridor, or other environmentally sensitive area of the Region? If yes, identify those areas.

Watershed Protection and Stream Buffers

The property is in the Peachtree Creek watershed, which is part of the Chattahoochee River Watershed, but does not drain into the water supply watershed portion of the Chattahoochee. The USGS coverage for the area shows no streams on the property. Any unmapped streams that may be on the property will be subject to Gwinnett County's stream buffer ordinance, which requires a 75-foot buffer along perennial and intermittent streams. Further, any state waters that may be on the property will be subject to the 25-foot Erosion and Sedimentation Act buffers, which are administered by the Environmental Protection Division of Georgia DNR. Any work within these buffers will require a variance from Georgia EPD.

Storm Water / Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. ARC has estimated the amount of pollutants produced after the construction of the entire proposed development, based on the submitted site plans. These estimates are based on some simplifying assumptions for typical pollutant loading factors (lbs/ac/yr). The loading factors are based on the results of regional storm water monitoring data from the Atlanta Region. Actual pollutant loadings will vary based on actual use and the amount of impervious surface in the final project design. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis.

Estimated Pounds of Pollutants Per Year

Land Use:	Land Area (Acres)	Total Phosphorus	Total Nitrogen	BOD	TSS	Zinc	Lead
Heavy Industrial	3.50	5.08	67.34	448.00	2782.50	5.81	0.74
TOTAL	3.50	5.08	67.34	448.00	2782.50	5.81	0.74

Total Percentage Impervious: 80%



Preliminary Report:	July 25, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Button Waste #1501
Final Report Due:	August 24, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	August 8, 2007

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

HISTORIC RESOURCES

Will the proposed project be located near a national register site? If yes, identify site.

None have been identified.

In what ways could the proposed project create impacts that would damage the resource?

Not applicable.

In what ways could the proposed project have a positive influence on efforts to preserve or promote the historic resource?

Not applicable.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Transportation

Georgia Regional Transportation Authority Review Findings

This DRI proposal is being considered for review under the Georgia Regional Transportation Authority Expedited Review. The site is being proposed for a transfer station within Gwinnett County.

How much traffic (both average daily and peak am/pm) will be generated by the proposed project?

GRTA and ARC review staff agreed with the methodology and assumptions used in the analysis. The net trip generation is based on the specific operational parameters being proposed by the developer. Based on information submitted for the review and the proposed use on the site, the vehicle trips generated by the proposed development will be approximately 75 trips per day.

What are the existing traffic patterns and volumes on the local, county, state, and interstate roads that serve the site?

Projected traffic volumes from the Regional Travel Demand Model are compared to the assigned capacity of facilities within the study network. This data is used to calculate a volume to capacity (V/C) ratio. The V/C ratio values that define the LOS thresholds vary depending on factors such as the type of terrain traversed and the percent of the road where passing is prohibited. As a V/C ratio reaches



Preliminary Report:	July 25, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Button Waste #1501
Final Report Due:	August 24, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	August 8, 2007

reaches 0.8, congestion increases. Any facilities that have a V/C ratio of 1.00 or above are considered congested. By the year 2030, Pleasantdale Road is expected to operate at LOS B.

What transportation improvements are under construction or planned for the Region that would affect or be affected by the proposed project? What is the status of these improvements (long or short range or other)?

2005-2010 TIP*

ARC Number	Route	Type of Improvement	Scheduled Completion Year

2030 RTP*

ARC Number	Route	Type of Improvement	Scheduled Completion Year
AR-905B	I-85 NORTH BUS RAPID TRANSIT (BRT) FROM DORAVILLE MARTA STATION TO SUGARLOAF PARKWAY [SPLIT FUNDED - SEE AR-905B]	Fixed Guideway Transit Capital	2025
AR-905A	I-85 NORTH BUS RAPID TRANSIT (BRT) FROM DORAVILLE MARTA STATION TO SUGARLOAF PARKWAY [SPLIT FUNDED - SEE AR-905B]	Fixed Guideway Transit Capital	2025

^{*}The ARC Board adopted the 2030 RTP and FY 2005-2010 TIP in December 2004. USDOT approved in December 2004.

Impacts of the truck parking pad: What are the recommended transportation improvements based on the traffic study done by the applicant?

No significant impacts have been estimated because of the development of this project.

What are the conclusions of this review? Is the transportation system (existing and planned) capable of accommodating these trips?

With only an estimated 75 vehicle trips accessing the site daily, this development is permissible under the Expedited Review criteria.

What transportation demand management strategies does the developer propose (carpool, flextime, transit subsidy, etc.)?

Given the type of development, none are necessary and the Air Quality Benchmark test will not be used.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Wastewater and Sewage

Based on regional averages, wastewater is estimated at 0.0001 MGD.



Preliminary Report:	July 25, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Button Waste #1501
Final Report Due:	August 24, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	August 8, 2007

Which facility will treat wastewater from the project?

The Crooked Creek facility will provide wastewater treatment for the proposed development.

What is the current permitted capacity and average annual flow to this facility?

The capacity of the Crooked Creek Site is listed below:

PERMITTED CAPACITY MMF, MGD 1	DESIGN CAPACITY MMF, MGD	2001 MMF, MGD	2008 MMF, MGD	2008 CAPACITY AVAILABLE +/-, MGD	PLANNED EXPANSION	REMARKS
16	16	15	16	0	None.	Combined discharge to Chattahoochee River with F. Wayne Hill Plant.

MMF: Maximum Monthly Flow. Mgd: million of gallons per day.

What other major developments will be served by the plant serving this project?

ARC has reviewed a number of major developments that will be served by this plant.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Water Supply and Treatment

How much water will the proposed project demand?

Water demand also is estimated at 0.0001 MGD based on regional averages.

How will the proposed project's demand for water impact the water supply or treatment facilities of the jurisdiction providing the service?

Information submitted with the review suggests that there is sufficient water supply capacity available for the proposed project.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Solid Waste

How much solid waste will be generated by the project? Where will this waste be disposed?

Information submitted with the review 0 tons of solid waste per year.

Will the project create any unusual waste handling or disposal problems?



¹ Source: Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District SHORT-TERM WASTEWATER CAPACITY PLAN, August 2002.

Preliminary Report:	July 25, 2007	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Button Waste #1501
Final Report Due:	August 24, 2007	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	August 8, 2007

Are there any provisions for recycling this project's solid waste?

None stated.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Other facilities

According to information gained in the review process, will there be any unusual intergovernmental impacts on:

- · Levels of governmental services?
- · Administrative facilities?
- · Schools?
- · Libraries or cultural facilities?
- Fire, police, or EMS?
- · Other government facilities?
- Other community services/resources (day care, health care, low income, non-English speaking, elderly, etc.)?

None were determined during the review.

HOUSING

Will the proposed project create a demand for additional housing?

No.

Will the proposed project provide housing opportunities close to existing employment centers?

No.

Is there housing accessible to the project in all price ranges demanded?

Given the minimal number of employees, no housing impact analysis is necessary.

Is it likely or unlikely that potential employees of the proposed project will be able to find affordable* housing?

N/A

* Defined as 30 percent of the income of a family making 80 percent of the median income of the Region – FY 2000 median income of \$51,649 for family of 4 in Georgia.



DILLARD & GALLOWAY, LLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

3500 LENOX ROAD, N.E. SUITE 760 ATLANTA, GEORGIA LAUREL A. DAVID

DIRECT DIAL NUMBER (404) 965-3669

TELEPHONE (404) 965-3680 FACSIMILE (404) 965-3670

LAUREL@DANDGLAW.COM

July 11, 2007

Via E-Mail

Ms. Laura Beall Senior Land Use Planner Georgia Regional Transportation Authority 245 Peachtree Center, Suite 900 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Re:

Button Gwinnett Solid Waste Transfer Station DRI #1501

Dear Laura:

I am writing on behalf of our client, Advanced Disposal Services Atlanta, LLC, to request an expedited review of the above-mentioned application.

The proposed waste transfer station for property located at 2000 Button Gwinnett Drive does not require an air quality permit from Georgia Environmental Protection Division. Based on traffic volume generated by similar developments, this development is expected to generate seventy-five (75) vehicle trips per day.

Please don't hesitate to call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

DILLARD & GALLOWAY, LLC

Laurel A. David

LAD/dsh

cc:

Mary O'Brien

Jeff West, Gwinnett County Planning and Development

Andrea Cantrell Jones, Esq.

112468

Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Login

DRI #1501

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT				
Initial DRI Information				
This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.				
Local Government Information				
Submitting Local Government:	Gwinnett County			
Individual completing form:	Jeff West, Gwinnett P&D			
Telephone:	678-518-6200			
E-mail:	jeffrey.west@gwinnettcounty.com			
project is to be located in more than one jurisdicti	oleting this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a on and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.			
Proposed Project Information				
Name of Proposed Project:	Button Gwinnett Solid Waste Transfer Station			
Location (Street Address, GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description):	2000 Button Gwinnett Drive			
Brief Description of Project:	Solid Waste Transfer Station			

Development Type:					
(not selected)	Hotels	Wastewater Treatment Facilities			
Office	Mixed Use	Petroleum Storage Facilities			
Commercial	Airports	Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs			
Wholesale & Distribution	Attractions & Recreational Facilities	Intermodal Terminals			
Hospitals and Health Care Facilities	Post-Secondary Schools	Truck Stops			
Housing	Waste Handling Facilities	Any other development types			
Industrial	Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants	, my owner development types			
If other development type, describe:	Quarries, Aspiral & Cement Flants				
in caller development type, decembe.					
Project Size (# of units, floor area, et	c.): 52,441 sq ft				
Develop	er: Advanced Disposal Services Atlan	ta, LLC / Federal Road Transfer Station, LLC			
Mailian Adda	2005 Cata Dawleysov North Cuita 2	00			
	ss: 9995 Gate Parkway North, Suite 2				
Address	city:Jacksonville State: FL Zip:32	246			
	Olty.Subikosiiviilo Olalo. 1 E Zip.oz	.2.10			
·	ne: 904-737-7900				
Em Is property owner different from develop		mobrien@advanceddisposal.com			
applica					
If yes, property own	North Crescent Properties, LLC				
Is the proposed project entirely located with your local government's jurisdiction					
If no, in what additional jurisdictions is t project locate					
Is the current proposal a continuation expansion of a previous D					
If yes, provide the following informati	on: Project Name:				
	Project ID:				
The initial action being requested of the log government for this projection.	ect: Rezoning				
	Variance				
	Sewer				
	Water				
	Permit				
	Other				
Is this project a phase or part of a larger over proje					
If yes, what percent of the overall project do this project/phase represe					

Estimated Project Completion Dates:	This project/phase: 2008 Overall project: 2008
Back to Top	

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

 $\label{lem:copyright} \verb§@ 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.$

Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Login

DRI #1501

	ENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT ional DRI Information		
This form is to be completed by the city or county governme Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tie	ent to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. <u>ers and Thresholds</u> for more information.		
Local G	overnment Information		
Submitting Local Government:	Gwinnett County		
Individual completing form:	Jeff West, Gwinnett P&D		
Telephone	678-518-6200		
Email	jeffrey.west@gwinnettcounty.com		
Pı	roject Information		
Name of Proposed Project	Button Gwinnett Solid Waste Transfer Station		
DRI ID Number:	: 1501		
Developer/Applicant:	: Advanced Disposal Services Atlanta, LLC / Federal Road Transfer Station, LLC		
Telephone:	e: 904-737-7900		
Email(s):	mobrien@advanceddisposal.com		
Additiona	al Information Requested		
Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)	(not selected) Yes No		
If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?			
If no, the official review process can not start until this additi	onal information is provided.		
Fco	nomic Development		
Estimated Value at Build-Out:	\$4,000,000		
Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:	\$52,000		

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
Will this development displace any existing uses?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc):					
	Water Supply				
Name of water supply provider for this site:	Gwinnett County				
What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	.0001 mgd				
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply	capacity:				
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?					
Wa	stewater Dispo	sal			
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Gwinnett County				
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	.0001 mgd				
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:					
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?	If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?				
Laı	nd Transportati	on			
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	5.8/hr, 75/day				
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If yes, please describe below:					
Solid Waste Disposal					
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	0				

Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If no, describe any plans to expand existing landfill capacity:					
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If yes, please explain:					
Storr	nwater Manage	ment			
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?	95%				
Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detentio stormwater management:Stormwater BMPs	Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management:Stormwater BMPs				
		114			
Environmental Quality					
Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the	ne following:				
1. Water supply watersheds?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
3. Wetlands?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
4. Protected mountains?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
5. Protected river corridors?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
6. Floodplains?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
7. Historic resources?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	(not selected)	Yes	No		
If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:					
Back to Ton					

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.

