

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Apr 25 2007 **ARC Review Code:** P704251

TO: Mayor Ken Steele
ATTN TO: Eldridge Gunn, Director
FROM: Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: Capital Improvement Element 2007 City of Fayetteville

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: 2007 Update of the Capital Improvement Element of the City of Fayetteville

Submitting Local Government: City of Fayetteville

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Apr 25 2007

Deadline for Comments: May 9 2007

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Jun 26 2007

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
TOWN OF BROOKS

ARC Transportation Planning
ARC Aging Division
Georgia Department of Transportation
FAYETTE COUNTY
TOWN OF TYRONE

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA ENV. FACILITIES AUTHORITY
CITY OF PEACHTREE CITY
TOWN OF WOOLSEY

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by May 9 2007, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse .



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Fayetteville	Date Received:	Apr 25 2007	
Local Contact:	Eldridge Gunn, Director City of Fayetteville	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2007-05-21 9:00 AM	
Phone:	770-461-6029	E-Mail:		
Fax:	770-460-4238	Website:		
Street	240 South Glynn Street	City State, Zip:	Fayetteville, Georgia30214	
	Department of Comm	unity Affairs	Review Required	
Review Title:	Capital Improvement Elem	ent 2007 City of	f Fayetteville	
Description:	Document can be viewed or http://www.atlantaregiona	the Capital Improvement Element of the City of Fayetteville be viewed on the ARC website at: antaregional.com/landuse view, search for the City of Fayetteville.		
	Under Plan Review, search	for the City of F	ayetteville.	
The submitte	Under Plan Review, search ed documents are availabl	J		
		e for review a		
Reviewing R Atlanta Region 40 Courtland S	ed documents are availabl	e for review a		
Reviewing R Atlanta Region 40 Courtland S Phone 404.463	ed documents are available egional Development Central Commission Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303	e for review a		

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE:	Apr 25 2007	ARC REVIEW CODE: P704251		
TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs FROM: Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, Extension: 3-3311				
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:				
Land l	<mark>Úse:</mark> Calvert, Brad	Transportation: Hammond, Regan		
Environmental: Santo, Jim		Research: Skinner, Jim		
Aging	: N/A			
Review Descri Submi Date (of Proposal: Capital Improvement Element 2007 w Type: Local Comprehensive Plan ption: 2007 Update of the Capital Improvement Element Element Local Government: City of Fayetteville Depend: Apr 25 2007 Eine for Comments: May 9 2007 Set the Regional Review can be Completed: Just	ent of the City of Fayetteville		
		Response:		
1)	☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following	regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
2)	☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
3)	☐ While neither specifically consistent nor incoguide listed in the comment section.	onsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development		
4)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
5)	\Box The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.			
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
COMMENTS:				