



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax: 404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Mar 12 2007

ARC REVIEW CODE: R703121

TO: Mayor Shirley Franklin
ATTN TO: Shelley Peart, Principal Planner
FROM: Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital
signature. Original on file.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments regarding related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: Collier Road Redevelopment

Review Type: Development of Regional Impact

Description: Collier Road Redevelopment is a mixed use development located on 13 acres in the City of Atlanta. The proposed development will consist of 643 residential units and 55,050 square feet of retail space. Access to the development is proposed at two locations along Collier Road.

Submitting Local Government: City of Atlanta

Date Opened: Mar 12 2007

Deadline for Comments: Mar 26 2007

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Apr 11 2007

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
FULTON COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF ATLANTA SCHOOLS

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
METRO ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by 2007-03-26 00:00:00, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse> .



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT

DRI- REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Development Center for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before the specified return deadline.

Preliminary Findings of the RDC: **Collier Road Redevelopment** *See the Preliminary Report .*

Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed):

Individual Completing form:

Local Government:

Department:

Telephone: ()

Signature:

Date:

Please Return this form to:

Haley Fleming, Atlanta Regional Commission
40 Courtland Street NE
Atlanta, GA 30303
Ph. (404) 463-3311 Fax (404) 463-3254
hffleming@atlantaregional.com

Return Date: *Mar 26 2007*

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Mar 12 2007

ARC REVIEW CODE: R703121

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs

FROM: Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, Extension: 3-3311

Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

Land Use: Calvert, Brad

Transportation: Morley-Nikfar, Kris

Environmental: Santo, Jim

Research: Skinner, Jim

Aging: Stalvey, Beth

Name of Proposal: Collier Road Redevelopment

Review Type: Development of Regional Impact

Description: Collier Road Redevelopment is a mixed use development located on 13 acres in the City of Atlanta. The proposed development will consist of 643 residential units and 55,050 square feet of retail space. Access to the development is proposed at two locations along Collier Road.

Submitting Local Government: City of Atlanta

Date Opened: Mar 12 2007

Deadline for Comments: Mar 26 2007

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Apr 11 2007

Response:

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1) | <input type="checkbox"/> Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. |
| 2) | <input type="checkbox"/> While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. |
| 3) | <input type="checkbox"/> The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. |
| 4) | <input type="checkbox"/> The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible. |
| 5) | <input type="checkbox"/> Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. |

COMMENTS:


[illegible]

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |

PRELIMINARY REPORT SUMMARY

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

Collier Road Redevelopment is a mixed use development located on 13 acres in the City of Atlanta. The proposed development will consist of 643 residential units and 55,050 square feet of retail space. Access to the development is proposed at two locations along Collier Road.



PROJECT PHASING:

The project is being proposed in one phase with a project build out date for 2011.

GENERAL

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

Is the proposed project consistent with the host-local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

The project site is currently zoned R-4, I-1, RG-2. The proposed zoning for the site is MRC-3. Information submitted for the review states that the proposed development is inconsistent with the City of Atlanta's Future Land Use Map, which designates the area as industrial and medium density; however, the City will update the map, pending the rezoning.

Is the proposed project consistent with any potentially affected local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

Will the proposed project impact the implementation of any local government's short-term work program? If so, how?

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

Will the proposed project generate population and/or employment increases in the Region? If yes, what would be the major infrastructure and facilities improvements needed to support the increase?

Yes, the proposed development would increase the need for services in the area for existing and future residents.

What other major development projects are planned near the proposed project?

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |

The ARC has reviewed other major development projects, known as Area Plan (1984 to 1991) or as a DRI (1991 to present), within a mile radius of the proposed project.

| Year | Name |
|------|-------------------------------------|
| 2007 | Huff Road Assemblage |
| 2004 | Castlegate |
| 2001 | Winter Properties Marietta Blvd MUD |
| 1997 | Atlantic Steel |

Will the proposed project displace housing units or community facilities? If yes, identify and give number of units, facilities, etc.

No, the proposed development will not displace any housing units or community facilities. Based on information submitted for the review, the site is currently occupied by four warehouse that will all be vacated and demolished.

Will the development cause a loss in jobs? If yes, how many?

No.

Is the proposed development consistent with regional plans and policies?

The proposed development meets many of ARC's Regional Development Policies, as well as the Atlanta Region Unified Growth Policy Map, which identifies the area as Urban Neighborhood. These areas are distinct areas located in an urban area that may have small commercial component that serves the local area. Development types suitable in an urban neighborhood include town centers, general commercial and residential uses.

The proposed development is the redevelopment of a site dominated by warehouse uses. The site is surrounded by other residential uses and will provide the neighborhood oriented retail uses to the immediate area. It is important that adequate pedestrian connections are provided for individuals who will live within the development and individuals who live immediately surrounding the development.

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |

PRELIMINARY REPORT

Regional Development Plan Policies

1. Provide sustainable economic growth in all areas of the region.
2. Encourage new homes and jobs within existing developed areas of the region, focusing on principal transportation corridors, the Central Business District, activity centers, and town centers.
3. Increase opportunities for mixed use development, transit-oriented development, infill, and redevelopment.
4. At strategic regional locations, plan and retail industrial and freight land uses.
5. Design transportation infrastructure to protect the context of adjoining development and provide a sense of place appropriate for our communities.
6. Promote the reclamation of Brownfield development sites.
7. Protect the character and integrity of existing neighborhoods, while also meeting the needs of communities to grow.
8. Encourage a variety of homes styles, densities, and price ranges in locations that are accessible to jobs and services to ensure housing for individuals and families of all incomes and age groups.
9. Promote new communities that feature greenspace and neighborhood parks, pedestrian scale, support transportation options, and provide an appropriate mix of uses and housing types.
10. Promote sustainable and energy efficient development.
11. Protect environmentally-sensitive areas including wetlands, floodplains, small water supply watersheds, rivers and stream corridors.
12. Increase the amount, quality, and connectivity, and accessibility of greenspace.
13. Provide strategies to preserve and enhance historic resources
14. Through regional infrastructure planning, limit growth in undeveloped areas of the region
15. Assist local governments to adopt growth management strategies that make more efficient use of existing infrastructure.
16. Inform and involve the public in planning at regional, local, and neighborhood levels.
17. Coordinate local policies and regulations to support Regional Policies
18. Encourage the development of state and regional growth management policy.

BEST LAND USE PRACTICES

Practice 1: Keep vehicle miles of travel (VMT) below the area average. Infill developments are the best at accomplishing this. The more remote a development the more self contained it must be to stay below the area average VMT.

Practice 2: Contribute to the area's jobs-housing balance. Strive for a job-housing balance with a three to five mile area around a development site.

Practice 3: Mix land uses at the finest grain the market will bear and include civic uses in the mix.

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |

Practice 4: Develop in clusters and keep the clusters small. This will result in more open space preservation.

Practice 5: Place higher-density housing near commercial centers, transit lines and parks. This will enable more walking, biking and transit use.

Practice 6: Phase convenience shopping and recreational opportunities to keep pace with housing. These are valued amenities and translate into less external travel by residents if located conveniently to housing.

Practice 7: Make subdivisions into neighborhoods with well-defined centers and edges. This is traditional development.

Practice 8: Reserve school sites and donate them if necessary to attract new schools. This will result in neighborhood schools which provide a more supportive learning environment than larger ones.

Practice 9: Concentrate commercial development in compact centers or districts, rather than letting it spread out in strips.

Practice 10: Make shopping centers and business parks into all-purpose activity centers. Suburban shopping centers and their environs could be improved by mixing uses and designing them with the pedestrian amenities of downtowns.

Practice 11: Tame auto-oriented land uses, or at least separate them from pedestrian-oriented uses. Relegate "big box" stores to areas where they will do the least harm to the community fabric.

BEST TRANSPORTATION PRACTICES

Practice 1: Design the street network with multiple connections and relatively direct routes.

Practice 2: Space through-streets no more than a half mile apart, or the equivalent route density in a curvilinear network.

Practice 3: Use traffic-calming measures liberally. Use short streets, sharp curves, center islands, traffic circles, textured pavements, speed bumps and raised crosswalks.

Practice 4: Keep speeds on local streets down to 20 mph.

Practice 5: Keep speeds on arterials and collectors down to 35 mph (at least inside communities).

Practice 6: Keep all streets as narrow as possible and never more than four traffic lanes wide. Florida suggests access streets 18 feet, subcollectors 26 feet, and collectors from 28 feet to 36 feet depending on lanes and parking.

Practice 7: Align streets to give buildings energy-efficient orientations. Allow building sites to benefit from sun angles, natural shading and prevailing breezes.

Practice 8: Avoid using traffic signals wherever possible and always space them for good traffic progression.

Practice 9: Provide networks for pedestrians and bicyclists as good as the network for motorists.

Practice 10: Provide pedestrians and bicyclists with shortcuts and alternatives to travel along high-volume streets.

Practice 11: Incorporate transit-oriented design features.

Practice 12: Establish TDM programs for local employees. Ridesharing, modified work hours, telecommuting and others.

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL PRACTICES

Practice 1: Use a systems approach to environmental planning. Shift from development orientation to basins or ecosystems planning.

Practice 2: Channel development into areas that are already disturbed.

Practice 3: Preserve patches of high-quality habitat, as large and circular as possible, feathered at the edges and connected by wildlife corridors. Stream corridors offer great potential.

Practice 4: Design around significant wetlands.

Practice 5: Establish upland buffers around all retained wetlands and natural water bodies.

Practice 6: Preserve significant uplands, too.

Practice 7: Restore and enhance ecological functions damaged by prior site activities.

Practice 8: Detain runoff with open, natural drainage systems. The more natural the system the more valuable it will be for wildlife and water quality.

Practice 9: Design man-made lakes and stormwater ponds for maximum environmental value. Recreation, stormwater management, wildlife habitat and others.

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|--|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT <u>REVIEW REPORT</u> | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |

Practice 10: Use reclaimed water and integrated pest management on large landscaped areas. Integrated pest management involves controlling pests by introducing their natural enemies and cultivating disease and insect resistant grasses.

Practice 11: Use and require the use of Xeriscape™ landscaping. Xeriscaping™ is water conserving landscape methods and materials.

BEST HOUSING PRACTICES

Practice 1: Offer “life cycle” housing. Providing integrated housing for every part of the “life cycle”.

Practice 2: Achieve an average net residential density of six to seven units per acre without the appearance of crowding. Cluster housing to achieve open space.

Practice 3: Use cost-effective site development and construction practices. Small frontages and setbacks; rolled curbs or no curbs; shared driveways.

Practice 4: Design of energy-saving features. Natural shading and solar access.

Practice 5: Supply affordable single-family homes for moderate-income households.

Practice 6: Supply affordable multi-family and accessory housing for low-income households.

Practice 7: Tap government housing programs to broaden and deepen the housing/income mix.

Practice 8: Mix housing to the extent the market will bear.

LOCATION

Where is the proposed project located within the host-local government's boundaries?

The proposed development is located in the City of Atlanta. It is bounded by Collier Road to the south, Interstate 75 to the East, and Carlyle Place Apartments to the west.

Will the proposed project be located close to the host-local government's boundary with another local government? If yes, identify the other local government.

The proposed development is entirely within the City of Atlanta. Cobb County is less than three miles to the north/northeast.

Will the proposed project be located close to land uses in other jurisdictions that would benefit, or be negatively impacted, by the project? Identify those land uses which would benefit and those which would be negatively affected and describe impacts.

To be determined during the review.

ECONOMY OF THE REGION

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

What new taxes will be generated by the proposed project?

Estimated value of the development is \$120,000,000.00 with an expected \$1,300,000.00 in annual local tax revenues.

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |

How many short-term jobs will the development generate in the Region?

Short-term jobs will depend upon construction schedule.

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?

Yes.

In what ways could the proposed development have a positive or negative impact on existing industry or business in the Region?

To be determined during the review.

NATURAL RESOURCES

Watershed Protection and Stream Buffers

The property is in the Peachtree Creek watershed. The USGS coverage for the area shows no streams on or near the property. Any unmapped streams that may be on the property will be subject to the City of Atlanta's stream buffer ordinance, which requires a 75-foot buffer along perennial and intermittent streams. Further, any state waters that may be on the property will be subject to the 25-foot Erosion and Sedimentation Act buffers, which are administered by the Environmental Protection Division of Georgia DNR. Any work within these buffers will require a variance from Georgia EPD.

Stormwater / Water Quality

The project property is already developed with most of the site in impervious surface. It is located in a dense urban area and stormwater may be handled by the City stormwater system. If on-site stormwater detention is provided, the project design should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. The amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development has been estimated by ARC. These are based on some simplifying assumptions for typical pollutant loading factors (lbs/ac/yr) from typical land uses in the Atlanta Region. The loading factors are based on regional storm water monitoring data from the Atlanta Region with impervious areas based on estimated averages for land uses in the Atlanta Region. If actual impervious percentages are higher or lower than the estimate, the pollutant loads will differ accordingly. The project is being developed partly over existing impervious surfaces, which will affect the actual increases caused by the new loading amounts. Given the coverage of the proposed project, commercial was chosen as the use for the entire property. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis:

Estimated Pounds of Pollutants Per Year

| Land Use | Land Area (ac) | Total Phosphorus | Total Nitrogen | BOD | TSS | Zinc | Lead |
|--------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|
| Commercial | 13.13 | 22.45 | 228.46 | 1418.04 | 12906.79 | 16.15 | 2.89 |
| TOTAL | 13.13 | 22.45 | 228.46 | 1418.04 | 12906.79 | 16.15 | 2.89 |

Total Impervious = 85%



| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |

If on-site detention is used, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

HISTORIC RESOURCES

Will the proposed project be located near a national register site? If yes, identify site.

None have been identified.

In what ways could the proposed project create impacts that would damage the resource?

Not applicable.

In what ways could the proposed project have a positive influence on efforts to preserve or promote the historic resource?

Not applicable.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Transportation

How many site access points will be associated with the proposed development? What are their locations?

Two access driveways will be provided into the proposed site.

- One right-in/right-out driveway will be located at the western site boundary, onto Collier Road.
- One full-access driveway will be located approximately 280 ft east of the western site boundary, onto Collier Road.

How much traffic (both average daily and peak am/pm) will be generated by the proposed project?

Kimley-Horn and Associates performed the transportation analysis. GRTA and ARC review staff agreed with the methodology and assumptions used in the analysis. The net trip generation is based on the rates published in the 7th edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation report; they are listed in the following table:

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT | | | | | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | | | | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |
| | | A.M. Peak Hour | | | P.M. Peak Hour | | | 24 Hour |
| Land Use | | Enter | Exit | 2-Way | Enter | Exit | 2-Way | 2-Way |
| 419 Apartments | | 42 | 167 | 209 | 161 | 87 | 248 | 2668 |
| 224 Condominiums | | 17 | 81 | 98 | 78 | 38 | 116 | 1274 |
| 55,050 sq ft Commercial Space | | 66 | 43 | 109 | 203 | 219 | 422 | 4608 |
| Reductions | | - | - | - | -143 | -143 | -286 | -2999 |
| TOTAL NEW TRIPS | | 125 | 291 | 416 | 299 | 201 | 500 | 5551 |

What are the existing traffic patterns and volumes on the local, county, state and interstate roads that serve the site?

Incorporating the trip generation results, the transportation consultant distributed the traffic on the current roadway network. An assessment of the existing Level of Service (LOS) and projected LOS based on the trip distribution findings helps to determine the study network. The results of this exercise determined the study network, which has been approved by ARC and GRTA. If analysis of an intersection or roadway results in a substandard LOS "D", then the consultant recommends improvements.

Projected traffic volumes from the Regional Travel Demand Model are compared to the assigned capacity of facilities within the study network. This data is used to calculate a volume to capacity (V/C) ratio. The V/C ratio values that define the LOS thresholds vary depending on factors such as the type of terrain traversed and the percent of the road where passing is prohibited. LOS A is free-flow traffic from 0 to 0.3, LOS B is decreased free-flow from 0.31 to 0.5, LOS C is limited mobility from 0.51 to 0.75, LOS D is restricted mobility from 0.76 to 0.9, LOS E is at or near capacity from 0.91 to 1.00, and LOS F is breakdown flow with a V/C ratio of 1.01 or above. As a V/C ratio reaches 0.8, congestion increases. The V/C ratios for traffic in various network years are presented in the following table. Any facilities that have a V/C ratio of 1.0 or above are considered congested.

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---|------------------|--|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |

V/C Ratios



2005 AM Peak



2005 PM Peak




2010 AM Peak




2010 PM Peak



2030 AM Peak



2030 PM Peak



For the V/C ratio graphic, the data is based on 2005, 2010 and 2030 A.M./P.M. peak volume data generated from ARC's travel demand model for Mobility 2030, the 2030 RTP and the FY 2006-2011 TIP, approved in March of 2006. The travel demand model incorporates lane addition improvements and updates to the network as appropriate. As the life of the RTP progresses, volume and/or V/C ratio data may appear inconsistent due to (1) effect of implementation of nearby new or expanded facilities or (2) impact of socio-economic data on facility types.

List the transportation improvements that would affect or be affected by the proposed project.

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |

2006-2011 TIP*

| ARC Number | Route | Type of Improvement | Scheduled Completion Year |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| AT-AR-BP154 | COLLIER ROAD | Pedestrian Facility | 2006 |
| AT-026 | HOWELL MILL ROAD | Roadway Operations | 2008 |

2030 RTP*

| ARC Number | Route | Type of Improvement | Scheduled Completion Year |
|------------|-------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

**The ARC Board adopted the 2030 RTP and FY 2006-2011 TIP on February 22, 2006. USDOT approved on March 30th, 2006.*

Summarize the transportation improvements as recommended by consultant in the traffic study for Collier Road Redevelopment.

According to the findings, there will be some capacity deficiencies as a result of future year **background** traffic. The transportation consultant has made recommendations for improvements to be carried out in order to upgrade the existing level of service.

Collier Road at Emery Street

- Signalize this intersection.
- Add eastbound and westbound left-turn lanes.
- Coordinate the signal timing with signals at Collier Road at Howell Mill Road and at Beck Road at Howell Mill Road.

Collier Road at Northside Drive

- Add northbound right-turn lane.
- Add two northbound and two southbound through lanes.

Beck Street at Howell Mill Road

- Signalize this intersection.
- Add an eastbound left-turn lane.
- Coordinate signal timing with signal at Howell Mill Road at I-75 Ramps.

Interstate 75 Ramps at Howell Mill Road

- Modify signal timing to optimize coordination.

Bellemeade Avenue at Northside Drive

- Modify green timing during the AM peak hour.

According to the findings, there will be some capacity deficiencies as a result of future year **total** traffic. The transportation consultant has made recommendations for improvements to be carried out in order to upgrade the existing level of service. The recommendations stated in the no-build condition are also applicable to the build condition.

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |

Collier Road at Howell Mill Road

- Provide an exclusive eastbound right-turn lane along Collier Road.

Bellemeade Avenue at Northside Drive

- Modify green timing during the PM peak hour.

Is the site served by transit? If so, describe type and level of service and how it will enhance or be enhanced by the presence of transit? Are there plans to provide or expand transit service in the vicinity of the proposed project?

MARTA bus route 12 provides service within the vicinity of the proposed site.

- MARTA bus route 12 provides service, seven days a week, from 5:33 a.m. till 11:35 p.m. with headways of 30 minutes.

What transportation demand management strategies does the developer propose (carpool, flex-time, transit subsidy, etc.)?

None proposed.

The development **DOES NOT PASS** the ARC's Air Quality Benchmark test.

| Air Quality Impacts/Mitigation (based on ARC strategies) | Credits | Total |
|---|----------------|--------------|
| Where Residential is dominant, >15 units/ac | 6% | 6% |
| Bike/ped networks that meet Mixed Use or Density target and connect to adjoining uses | 5% | 5% |
| Total Calculated ARC Air Quality Credits (15 % reduction required) | | 11% |

What are the conclusions of this review? Is the transportation system (existing and planned) capable of accommodating these trips?

According to the impact analysis in the traffic study, seven intersections will operate below the acceptable level of service in the future year background condition prior to implementing the recommended improvements. Implementing the recommended improvements will allow all seven intersections to return to operation at an acceptable level of service. In the future year total condition, two intersections will operate below the acceptable level of service prior to implementing the recommended improvements. Implementing the recommended improvements will allow these two intersections to return to operation at an acceptable level of service.

The area surrounding the proposed development suffers from high peak hour congestion. Although implementing the recommended improvements will allow all studied intersections to operate at an acceptable level of service, many of the studied intersections function at the minimum standard LOS D even after the recommended improvements are implemented. It is suggested the developer implement all recommended improvements prior to construction completion. Additionally, it is suggested that the

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW REPORT | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |

developer work with MARTA to provide transit service within closer proximity to the proposed site. The developer is also encouraged to provide pedestrian connections into the adjacent multi-family communities to the west and north of the proposed site.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Wastewater and Sewage

Wastewater is estimated at 0.15 MGD based on information submitted for the review.

Which facility will treat wastewater from the project?

R.M Clayton will provide wastewater treatment for the proposed development.

What is the current permitted capacity and average annual flow to this facility?

The capacity of R.M. Clayton Site is listed below:

| PERMITTED CAPACITY MMF, MGD ¹ | DESIGN CAPACITY MMF, MGD | 2001 MMF, MGD | 2008 MMF, MGD | 2008 CAPACITY AVAILABLE +/-, MGD | PLANNED EXPANSION | REMARKS |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|---|--|
| No Flow Limit | 122 | 99 | 120 | 2 | None. Plan before EPD to permit plant at design capacity consistent with draft Chattahoochee River Model. | Existing Consent Decree with the U.S. EPA and Georgia EPD require CSO and SSO improvements throughout the City of Atlanta wastewater system by 2007 and 2014, respectively |

MMF: Maximum Monthly Flow. Mgd: million of gallons per day.

¹ Source: Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District **SHORT-TERM WASTEWATER CAPACITY PLAN**, August 2002.

What other major developments will be served by the plant serving this project?

ARC has reviewed a number of major developments that will be served by this plant.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Water Supply and Treatment

How much water will the proposed project demand?

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|--|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT <u>REVIEW REPORT</u> | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |

Water demand also is estimated at 0.17 MGD based on information submitted for the review.

How will the proposed project's demand for water impact the water supply or treatment facilities of the jurisdiction providing the service?

Information submitted with the review suggests that there is sufficient water supply capacity available for the proposed project.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Solid Waste

How much solid waste will be generated by the project? Where will this waste be disposed?

Information submitted with the review 616 tons of solid waste per year and the waste will be disposed of in the City of Atlanta.

Will the project create any unusual waste handling or disposal problems?

No.

Are there any provisions for recycling this project's solid waste.

None stated.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Other facilities

According to information gained in the review process, will there be any unusual intergovernmental impacts on:

- **Levels of governmental services?**
- **Administrative facilities?**
- **Schools?**
- **Libraries or cultural facilities?**
- **Fire, police, or EMS?**
- **Other government facilities?**
- **Other community services/resources (day care, health care, low income, non-English speaking, elderly, etc.)?**

To be determined during the review



| | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|--|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Preliminary Report: | March 12, 2007 | DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT <u>REVIEW REPORT</u> | Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment #1311 |
| Final Report Due: | April 11, 2007 | | Comments Due By: | March 26, 2007 |

HOUSING

Will the proposed project create a demand for additional housing?

No, the proposed development will add 643 new residential units.

Will the proposed project provide housing opportunities close to existing employment centers?

Yes, once developed, this project will provide housing opportunities for existing employment centers as well as providing opportunities for individuals to live and work within close proximity to one another.

Is there housing accessible to the project in all price ranges demanded?

The site proposed for the development is located in Census Tract 89.01. This tract had a 4.5 percent increase in number of housing units from 2000 to 2006 according to ARC's Population and Housing Report. The report shows that 33 percent, respectively, of the housing units are single-family, compared to 69 percent for the region; thus indicating is a variety of multi-family housing options around the development area.

Is it likely or unlikely that potential employees of the proposed project will be able to find affordable* housing?

Likely, assuming the development is approved with multiple price ranges of housing.

* Defined as 30 percent of the income of a family making 80 percent of the median income of the Region – FY 2000 median income of \$51,649 for family of 4 in Georgia.

Your DRI ID NUMBER for this submission is: **1311**
 Use this number when filling out a DRI REVIEW REQUEST.
 Submitted on: 1/12/2007 4:20:35 PM

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT

Fulton County Initial DRI Information (Form1b)

This form is intended for use by local governments within the Metropolitan Region Tier that are also within the jurisdiction of the Georgia Regional Transportation Authority (GRTA). The form is to be completed by the city or county government for submission to your Regional Development Center (RDC), GRTA and DCA. This form provides basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Local governments should refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process 110-12-3 and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds established by DCA.

Local Government Information

| | |
|--|--|
| Submitting Local Government: | City of Atlanta |
| *Individual completing form and Mailing Address: | Shelley Peart City of Atlanta 55 Trinity Ave, Suite 3350 Atlanta, GA 30303 |
| Telephone: | 404-330-6781 |
| Fax: | 404-658-7491 |
| E-mail (only one): | speart@atlantaga.gov |

*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information

| Name of Proposed Project: | Collier Road Redevelopment | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Development Type | Description of Project | Thresholds |
| Mixed Use | 643 Multi-Family Residential Units; 55050 SF Commercial/Retail | View Thresholds |
| Developer / Applicant and Mailing Address: | 1011 Collier, LLC 1011 Collier Road Atlanta, GA 30318 | |
| Telephone: | | |
| Fax: | | |
| Email: | mpollack@pollackinc.com | |
| Name of property owner(s) if different from developer/ applicant: | | |
| Provide Land-Lot-District Number: | | |
| What are the principal streets or roads providing vehicular access to the site? | Collier Road | |
| Provide name of nearest street(s) or intersection: | Collier Road @ Seaboard Place, NW | |
| Provide geographic coordinates (latitude/longitude) of the center of the proposed project (optional): | / | |
| If available, provide a link to a website providing a general location map of the proposed project (optional). (http://www.mapquest.com or http://www.mapblast.com are helpful sites to use.): | | |
| Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction? | Y | |
| If yes, how close is the boundary of the nearest other local government? | Approx 2 miles to Cobb County | |

| | |
|---|--|
| If no, provide the following information: | |
| In what additional jurisdictions is the project located? | |
| In which jurisdiction is the majority of the project located? (give percent of project) | Name: (NOTE: This local government is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.) |
| | Percent of Project: |
| Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI? | N |
| If yes, provide the following information (where applicable): | Name: |
| | Project ID: |
| | App #: |
| The initial action being requested of the local government by the applicant is: | Rezoning, Other Z-07-09 |
| What is the name of the water supplier for this site? | City of Atlanta |
| What is the name of the wastewater treatment supplier for this site? | RM Clayton |
| Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project? | N |
| If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent? | |
| Estimated Completion Dates: | This project/phase: Overall project: 2011 |

Local Government Comprehensive Plan

| | |
|--|---|
| Is the development consistent with the local government's comprehensive plan, including the Future Land Use Map? | N |
| If no, does the local government intend to amend the plan/map to account for this development? | Y |
| If amendments are needed, when will the plan/map be amended? | |

Service Delivery Strategy

| | |
|---|---|
| Is all local service provision consistent with the countywide Service Delivery Strategy? | Y |
| If no, when will required amendments to the countywide Service Delivery Strategy be complete? | |

Land Transportation Improvements

| | |
|---|---|
| Are land transportation or access improvements planned or needed to support the proposed project? | Y |
| If yes, how have these improvements been identified: | |
| Included in local government Comprehensive Plan or Short Term Work Program? | N |
| Included in other local government plans (e.g. SPLOST/LOST Projects, etc.)? | N |
| Included in an official Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP)? | N |
| Developer/Applicant has identified needed improvements? | Y |
| Other (Please Describe): Traffic Study in Progress by Kimley-Horn | Y |

Submitted on: 2/28/2007 6:02:22 PM

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT DRI Review Initiation Request (Form2a)

Local Government Information

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Submitting Local Government: | City of Atlanta |
| Individual completing form: | Shelley Peart |
| Telephone: | 404-330-6781 |
| Fax: | 404-658-7491 |
| Email (only one): | speart@atlantaga.gov |

Proposed Project Information

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Name of Proposed Project: | Collier Road Development |
| DRI ID Number: | 1311 |
| Developer/Applicant: | Marc Pollack, Pollack Investments, Inc. |
| Telephone: | 404-835-1475 |
| Fax: | 404-835-1476 |
| Email(s): | mpollack@pollackpartners.com |

DRI Review Process

| | |
|---|---|
| Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.) | N |
| If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA? | |
| If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided. | |

Economic Impacts

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Estimated Value at Build-Out: | \$120,000,000.00 |
| Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development: | 1,300,000.00 |
| Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project? | Y |
| If the development will displace any existing uses, please describe (using number of units, square feet., etc): 170,000 sq ft Industrial; 6,500 sq ft Office; 320 Parking spaces | |

Community Facilities Impacts

Water Supply

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Name of water supply provider for this site: | City of Atlanta |
| What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)? | 0.17MGD |
| Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project? | Y |
| If no, are there any current plans to expand existing water supply capacity? | |
| If there are plans to expand the existing water supply capacity, briefly describe below: | |
| If water line extension is required to serve this project, how much additional line (in miles) will be required? | |

Wastewater Disposal

| | |
|--|------------|
| Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site: | RM Clayton |
| What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)? | 0.15 MGD |
| Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project? | Y |
| If no, are there any current plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity? | |
| If there are plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity, briefly describe below: | |
| If sewer line extension is required to serve this project, how much additional line (in miles) will be required? | |

Land Transportation

| | |
|---|--|
| How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.) | 125in/291out AM peak hour; 299in/201out PM peak hour |
| Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project? | Y |
| If yes, has a copy of the study been provided to the local government? | Y |
| If transportation improvements are needed to serve this project, please describe below: See DRI Transportation Report | |

Solid Waste Disposal

| | |
|---|----------|
| How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)? | 616 Tons |
| Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project? | Y |
| If no, are there any current plans to expand existing landfill capacity? | |
| If there are plans to expand existing landfill capacity, briefly describe below: | |
| Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development? If yes, please explain below: | N |

Stormwater Management

| | |
|--|-----|
| What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? | 73% |
| Is the site located in a water supply watershed? | N |
| If yes, list the watershed(s) name(s) below: | |
| Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management: | |


Environmental Quality

| | |
|--|---|
| Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following: | |
| 1. Water supply watersheds? | N |
| 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? | N |
| 3. Wetlands? | N |
| 4. Protected mountains? | N |
| 5. Protected river corridors? | N |
| If you answered yes to any question 1-5 above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected below: | |
| Has the local government implemented environmental regulations consistent with the Department of Natural Resources' Rules for Environmental Planning Criteria? | Y |

Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Floodplains? | N |
| 2. Historic resources? | N |
| 3. Other environmentally sensitive resources? | N |

If you answered yes to any question 1-3 above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected below:



LOCATION MAP
NOT TO SCALE

- NOTES:
1. BUILDING FOOTPRINT IS BASED ON ARCHITECTURAL FILES DATED JANUARY 2, 2007
 2. LABELS **A** - **G** ARE GARAGE ENTRANCES.

CONTACTS

OWNER: POLLACK INVESTMENTS, INC.
5225 LONG ISLAND DRIVE
ATLANTA, GA 30327
PHONE: (404) 422-7000
FAX: (404) 253-1858
CONTACT: MARC POLLACK

ARCHITECT OF RECORD: LORD, AECK & SARGENT ARCHITECTURE
1201 PEACHTREE STREET, NE
SUITE 800
ATLANTA, GA 30361
PHONE: (404) 253-8775
FAX: (404) 253-8765
CONTACT: GEOFFREY BOYD

CIVIL ENGINEER: KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
817 WEST PEACHTREE STREET, NW
THE BILTMORE, SUITE 801
ATLANTA, GA 30306
PHONE: (404) 419-8701
FAX: (404) 419-8701
CONTACT: EMMY MONTANYE, P.E.

SITE DATA

| | | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| ZONING: | CURRENT: PROPOSED: | I-1 R-4, RG-2 MRC-3 |
| SITE ACREAGE: | NET: GROSS: | 12.78 ACRES 13.13 ACRES |
| <u>PROPOSED LAND USE</u> | | MIXED USE |
| TOTAL BUILDING AREA: | | 768,750 SF |
| BUILDING A: RESIDENTIAL: | | 244,800 SF (224 UNITS) |
| BUILDING B: RESIDENTIAL: NON-RESIDENTIAL: | | 181,150 SF (161 UNITS) 12,800 SF |
| BUILDING C: RESIDENTIAL: NON-RESIDENTIAL: | | 192,200 SF (167 UNITS) 10,800 SF |
| BUILDING D: RESIDENTIAL: NON-RESIDENTIAL: | | 44,100 SF (42 UNITS) 17,100 SF |
| BUILDING E: RESIDENTIAL: NON-RESIDENTIAL: | | 51,450 SF (49 UNITS) 14,550 SF |
| FAR: | | 121 |
| RESIDENTIAL UNITS/ACRE: | | 48.97 |
| BUILDING HEIGHT: | | |
| BUILDING A: RESIDENTIAL: | | 8 STORIES |
| BUILDING B: RESIDENTIAL: | | 5 STORIES |
| BUILDING C: RESIDENTIAL: | | 5 STORIES |
| BUILDING D: RESIDENTIAL: | | 4 STORIES |
| BUILDING E: RESIDENTIAL: | | 4 STORIES |
| PARKING SPACES REQUIRED: | | 600 |
| PARKING SPACES PROPOSED: | | 1034 |
| USABLE OPEN SPACE REQUIRED: | | 228,720 SF |
| USABLE OPEN SPACE PROVIDED: | | 230,600 SF |
| <u>EXISTING LAND USE</u> : | | INDUSTRIAL & RESIDENTIAL |
| TOTAL BUILDING SQUARE FOOTAGE: | | 182,280 SF |
| STORAGE: RESIDENTIAL: | | 173,674 SF 8,606 SF |
| PARKING SPACES: | | ≥ 271 |

