

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Jul 27 2006 **ARC Review Code:** P607271

TO: Mayor Ray Jenkins ATTN TO: Betty Cloer, City Clerk

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director Charles Krautler

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments regarding related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: City of Doraville Comprehensive Plan Update- Community Agenda

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The draft community agenda to the City of Doraville's Comprehensive Plan Update.

Submitting Local Government: City of Doraville

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Jul 27 2006

Deadline for Comments: Aug 10 2006

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Sep 5 2006

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DEKALB COUNTY
CITY OF DECATUR
CITY OF PINE LAKE
GWINNETT COUNTY
METRO ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY

ARC Transportation Planning
ARC Aging Division
Georgia Department of Transportation
Dekalb County Schools
City of Clarkston
City of Avondale Estates
City of Norcross

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
CITY OF CHAMBLEE
CITY OF STONE MOUNTAIN
CITY OF LITHONIA
CITY OF ATLANTA

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Mike Alexander, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Aug 10 2006, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse .



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Doraville	Date Received:	Jul 27 2006	
Local Contact:	Betty Cloer, City Clerk City of Doraville	Public Hearing Date and Time:	8/10/2006 9:00am	
Phone:	770-451-8745	E-Mail:	N/A	
Fax:	770-936-3862	Website:	n/a	
Street	3725 Park Avenue	City State, Zip:	Doraville, Georgia 30340	
	Department of Commu	ınity Affairs	Review Required	
Review Title:	City of Doraville Comprehensive Plan Update- Community Agenda			
Description: The draft community agenda to the City of Doraville's CoUpdate.			Doraville's Comprehensive Plan	
	Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Doraville.			
	ed documents are available		t the City and at ARC.	
	nal Commission			
40 Courtland	Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 3.3302 FAX 404.463.3254			
Contact M Person:	Iike Alexander, Review Coordinator			
E-Mail n	nalexander@atlantaregional.cor	n		

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE	: Jul 27 2006	ARC REVIEW CODE: P607271			
TO: FROM	TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs FROM: Mike Alexander, Review Coordinator, Extension: 3-3302				
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:					
Envir	<u>Use:</u> LeBeau, Robert conmental: Santo, Jim g: Lawler, Kathryn	<u>Transportation:</u> Chovan, Chris <u>Research:</u> Skinner, Jim			
Name of Proposal: City of Doraville Comprehensive Plan Update- Community Agenda Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Description: The draft community agenda to the City of Doraville's Comprehensive Plan Update. Submitting Local Government: City of Doraville Date Opened: Jul 27 2006					
Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Sep 5 2006					
Response:					
1)	$\hfill\Box$ Proposal is CONSISTENT with th	e following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
2)	☐ While neither specifically consist guide listed in the comment section	ent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development .			
3)	☐ While neither specifically consist guide listed in the comment section	ent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development .			
4)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal is INCONSISTENT	with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
5)	\Box The proposal does NOT relate to	any development guide for which this division is responsible.			
6)	Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. COMMENTS:				