

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Jun 12 2006 **ARC REVIEW CODE:** P606121

TO: Mayor Greg Zarus

ATTN TO: Phil Howland, City Manager **FROM:** Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original o

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments regarding related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: City of Pine Lake Comprehensive Plan Update

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

<u>Description</u>: Update to the City of Pine Lake's comprehensive plan, including the community assessment and public participation plan.

Submitting Local Government: City of Pine Lake

Action Under Consideration: Date Opened: Jun 12 2006

Deadline for Comments: Jul 26 2006

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Jul 12 2006

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DEKALB COUNTY
CITY OF STONE MOUNTAIN
CITY OF DORAVILLE
DEKALB COUNTY SCHOOLS

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF DECATUR
CITY OF CLARKSTON
CITY OF LITHONIA

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
CITY OF AVONDALE ESTATES
CITY OF CHAMBLEE
CITY OF ATLANTA

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Mike Alexander, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Jul 26 2006, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse .

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE : Jun 12 2006	ARC REVIEW CODE: P606121
TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs FROM: Mike Alexander, Review Coordinator, Extension: 3-3302	
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:	
Land Use: LeBeau, Robert Environmental: Santo, Jim Aging: Lawler, Kathryn	Transportation: Chovan, Chris Research: Skinner, Jim
Name of Proposal: City of Pine Lake Comprehensive Plan Update Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Description: Update to the City of Pine Lake's comprehensive plan, including the community assessment and public participation plan. Submitting Local Government: City of Pine Lake Date Opened: Jun 12 2006	
<u>Deadline for Comments:</u> Jul 26 2006 Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Jul 12 2006	
Response:	
	regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2) ☐ While neither specifically consistent nor incoguide listed in the comment section.	onsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
3)	onsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
	lowing regional development guide listed in the comment section.
4) The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following the proposal is a second control of the proposal in the proposal is a second control of the proposal in the proposal in the proposal is a second control of the proposal in the proposal	
 4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following. 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop. 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff. 	lowing regional development guide listed in the comment section. ment guide for which this division is responsible. ne reasons listed in the comment section.
 4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following. 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop. 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff. 	lowing regional development guide listed in the comment section. ment guide for which this division is responsible.
 4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following. 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop. 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff. 	lowing regional development guide listed in the comment section. ment guide for which this division is responsible. ne reasons listed in the comment section.
 4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following. 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop. 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff. 	lowing regional development guide listed in the comment section. ment guide for which this division is responsible. ne reasons listed in the comment section.
 4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following. 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop. 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff. 	lowing regional development guide listed in the comment section. ment guide for which this division is responsible. ne reasons listed in the comment section.
 4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following. 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop. 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff. 	lowing regional development guide listed in the comment section. ment guide for which this division is responsible. ne reasons listed in the comment section.
 4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following. 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop. 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff. 	lowing regional development guide listed in the comment section. ment guide for which this division is responsible. ne reasons listed in the comment section.
 4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following. 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop. 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff. 	lowing regional development guide listed in the comment section. ment guide for which this division is responsible. ne reasons listed in the comment section.
 4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following. 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop. 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff. 	lowing regional development guide listed in the comment section. ment guide for which this division is responsible. ne reasons listed in the comment section.