

## REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: 12/21/2005 ARC REVIEW CODE: R512211

TO: Mayor Randel S. Mills

ATTN TO: Marvin Flanigan, Planner Director

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments regarding related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: Allied Recycling Solid Waste Transfer Station

**Review Type:** Development of Regional Impact

**Description:** The Allied Recycling and Transportation Inc Solid Waste Transfer Plant is a proposed transfer station for solid waste located on 8.58 acres in the City of Conyers. The proposed development is located at the intersection of Sigman Road and East Park Drive.

Submitting Local Government: City of Conyers

**Date Opened:** 12/21/2005

Deadline for Comments: 1/4/2006

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: 1/20/2006

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
ROCKDALE COUNTY
NORTHEAST GEORGIA RDC

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
NEWTON COUNTY

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
DEKALB COUNTY

#### Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Mike Alexander, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by 2006-01-04 00:00:00, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/qualitygrowth/reviews.html">http://www.atlantaregional.com/qualitygrowth/reviews.html</a> .



## REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



#### DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT

### **DRI- REQUEST FOR COMMENTS**

**Instructions:** The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Development Center for review as a Development of Re (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdict the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this propos development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us you in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before the specified return deadline.

Preliminary Findings of the RDC: Allied Recycling Solid Waste Transfer Station See the Preliminary Report. Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed): Individual Completing form: Local Government: Please Return this form to: Mike Alexander, Atlanta Regional Commission Department: 40 Courtland Street NE Atlanta, GA 30303 Ph. (404) 463-3302 Fax (404) 463-3254 Telephone: ) malexander@atlantaregional.com Signature: **Return Date: 1/4/2006** Date:

Preliminary Report:	December 21, 2005	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT <u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Project:	Allied Recycling SW Transfer Station #948
Final Report Due:	January 20, 2006		Comments Due By:	January 4, 2006

#### PRELIMINARY REPORT SUMMARY

#### **PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:**

The Allied Recycling and Transportation Inc is a proposinf transfer station for solid waste located on 8.58 acres in the City of Conyers. The proposed development is located at the intersection of Sigman Road and East Park Drive.

#### **PROJECT PHASING:**

The project is being proposed in one phase with a project build out date end of 2006.

#### **GENERAL**

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

Is the proposed project consistent with the host-local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

The project site is currently zoned I-D (Industrial/Distribution District). The DRI trigger for this development is the request for a special use permit for a solid waste transfer station. Information submitted with the review by the City of Conyers states that the development is consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan.

Is the proposed project consistent with any potentially affected local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

Will the proposed project impact the implementation of any local government's short-term work program? If so, how?

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

Will the proposed project generate population and/or employment increases in the Region? If yes, what would be the major infrastructure and facilities improvements needed to support the increase?

No, the proposed development would not increase the need for services in the area.

What other major development projects are planned near the proposed project?



Preliminary Report:	December 21, 2005	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT <u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Project:	Allied Recycling SW Transfer Station #948
Final Report Due:	January 20, 2006		Comments Due By:	January 4, 2006

The ARC has reviewed other major development projects, known as Area Plan (1984 to 1991) or as a DRI (1991 to present), within a 5 mile radius of the proposed project.

#### YEAR NAME

1994 Olympic Equestrian Venue and Village

Will the proposed project displace housing units or community facilities? If yes, identify and give number of units, facilities, etc.

Based on information submitted for the review, the site is currently undeveloped.

Will the development cause a loss in jobs? If yes, how many? No.

#### Is the proposed development consistent with regional plans and policies?

ARC staff would like the developer to confirm in a written statement that the cleaning of trucks and waste removal will take place indoors and have minimal or no impacts on the surrounding environment.

The proposed development is located in an area that is primarily dominated by other industrial and warehouse uses within the City of Conyers. There is also a large amount of undeveloped land within the City and the County surrounding this site. It is important to consider compatible uses as the area develops in the both the City and the County. The Regional Development Policies adopted by the ARC strive to advance sustainable development, protect environmentally sensitive areas, and create a regional network of greenspace. Mass grading and extensive removal of vegetation on the site should be avoided.



Preliminary Report:	December 21, 2005	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT <u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Project:	Allied Recycling SW Transfer Station #948
Final Report Due:	January 20, 2006		Comments Due By:	January 4, 2006

#### **PRELIMINARY REPORT**

#### **Regional Development Plan Policies**

- 1. Provide development strategies and infrastructure investments to accommodate forecasted population and employment growth more efficiently.
- 2. Guide an increased share of new development to the Central Business District, transportation corridors, activity centers and town centers.
- 3. Increase opportunities for mixed-use development, infill and redevelopment.
- 4. Increase transportation choices and transit-oriented development (TOD).
- 5. Provide a variety of housing choices throughout the region to ensure housing for individuals and families of diverse incomes and age groups.
- 6. Preserve and enhance existing residential neighborhoods.
- 7. Advance sustainable greenfield development.
- 8. Protect environmentally sensitive areas.
- 9. Create a regional network of greenspace that connects across jurisdictional boundaries.
- 10. Preserve existing rural character.
- 11. Preserve historic resources.
- 12. Inform and involve the public in planning at regional, local and neighborhood levels.
- 13. Coordinate local policies and regulations to support the RDP.
- 14. Support growth management at the state level.

#### BEST LAND USE PRACTICES

Practice 1: Keep vehicle miles of travel (VMT) below the area average. Infill developments are the best at accomplishing this. The more remote a development the more self contained it must be to stay below the area average VMT.

Practice 2: Contribute to the area's jobs-housing balance. Strive for a job-housing balance with a three to five mile area around a development site.

- Practice 3: Mix land uses at the finest grain the market will bear and include civic uses in the mix.
- Practice 4: Develop in clusters and keep the clusters small. This will result in more open space preservation.
- Practice 5: Place higher-density housing near commercial centers, transit lines and parks. This will enable more walking, biking and transit use.

Practice 6: Phase convenience shopping and recreational opportunities to keep pace with housing. These are valued amenities and translate into less external travel by residents if located conveniently to housing.

Practice 7: Make subdivisions into neighborhoods with well-defined centers and edges. This is traditional development.

Practice 8: Reserve school sites and donate them if necessary to attract new schools. This will result in neighborhood schools which provide a more supportive learning environment than larger ones.



Preliminary Report:	December 21, 2005	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT <u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Project:	Allied Recycling SW Transfer Station #948
Final Report Due:	January 20, 2006		Comments Due By:	January 4, 2006

Practice 9: Concentrate commercial development in compact centers or districts, rather than letting it spread out in strips.

Practice 10: Make shopping centers and business parks into all-purpose activity centers. Suburban shopping centers and their environs could be improved by mixing uses and designing them with the pedestrian amenities of downtowns.

Practice 11: Tame auto-oriented land uses, or at least separate them from pedestrian-oriented uses. Relegate "big box" stores to areas where they will do the least harm to the community fabric.

#### BEST TRANSPORTATION PRACTICES

Practice 1: Design the street network with multiple connections and relatively direct routes.

Practice 2: Space through-streets no more than a half-mile apart or the equivalent route density in a curvilinear network.

Practice 3: Use traffic-calming measures liberally. Use short streets, sharp curves, center islands, traffic circles, textured pavements, speed bumps and raised crosswalks.

Practice 4: Keep speeds on local streets down to 20 mph.

Practice 5: Keep speeds on arterials and collectors down to 35 mph (at least inside communities).

Practice 6: Keep all streets as narrow as possible and never more than four traffic lanes wide. Florida suggests access streets 18 feet, subcollectors 26 feet, and collectors from 28 feet to 36 feet depending on lanes and parking.

Practice 7: Align streets to give buildings energy-efficient orientations. Allow building sites to benefit from sun angles, natural shading and prevailing breezes.

Practice 8: Avoid using traffic signals wherever possible and always space them for good traffic progression.

Practice 9: Provide networks for pedestrians and bicyclists as good as the network for motorists.

Practice 10: Provide pedestrians and bicyclists with shortcuts and alternatives to travel along high-volume streets.

Practice 11: Incorporate transit-oriented design features.

Practice 12: Establish TDM programs for local employees. Ridesharing, modified work hours, telecommuting and others.

#### BEST ENVIRONMENTAL PRACTICES

Practice 1: Use a systems approach to environmental planning. Shift from development orientation to basins or ecosystems planning.

Practice 2: Channel development into areas that are already disturbed.

Practice 3: Preserve patches of high-quality habitat, as large and circular as possible, feathered at the edges and connected by wildlife corridors. Stream corridors offer great potential.

Practice 4: Design around significant wetlands.

Practice 5: Establish upland buffers around all retained wetlands and natural water bodies.

Practice 6: Preserve significant uplands, too.

Practice 7: Restore and enhance ecological functions damaged by prior site activities.

Practice 8: Detain runoff with open, natural drainage systems. The more natural the system the more valuable it will be for wildlife and water quality.

Practice 9: Design man-made lakes and stormwater ponds for maximum environmental value. Recreation, stormwater management, wildlife habitat and others.

Practice 10: Use reclaimed water and integrated pest management on large landscaped areas. Integrated pest management involves controlling pests by introducing their natural enemies and cultivating disease and insect resistant grasses.

Practice 11: Use and require the use of Xeriscape<sup>TM</sup> landscaping. Xeriscaping<sup>TM</sup> is water conserving landscape methods and materials.

#### **BEST HOUSING PRACTICES**

Practice 1: Offer "life cycle" housing. Providing integrated housing for every part of the "life cycle."



Preliminary Report:	December 21, 2005	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT <u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Project:	Allied Recycling SW Transfer Station #948
Final Report Due:	January 20, 2006		Comments Due By:	January 4, 2006

Practice 2: Achieve an average net residential density of six to seven units per acre without the appearance of crowding. Cluster housing to achieve open space.

Practice 3: Use cost-effective site development and construction practices. Small frontages and setbacks; rolled curbs or no curbs; shared driveways.

Practice 4: Design of energy-saving features. Natural shading and solar access.

Practice 5: Supply affordable single-family homes for moderate-income households.

Practice 6: Supply affordable multi-family and accessory housing for low-income households.

Practice 7: Tap government housing programs to broaden and deepen the housing/income mix.

Practice 8: Mix housing to the extent the market will bear.

#### **LOCATION**

Where is the proposed project located within the host-local government's boundaries?

The proposed development is located at the intersection of Sigman Road and East Park Drive in the City of Conyers.

Will the proposed project be located close to the host-local government's boundary with another local government? If yes, identify the other local government.

The proposed development is entirely within the City's jurisdiction; however, it is less than one mile from Rockdale County.

Will the proposed project be located close to land uses in other jurisdictions that would benefit, or be negatively impacted, by the project? Identify those land uses which would benefit and those which would be negatively affected and describe impacts.

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

#### **ECONOMY OF THE REGION**

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

What new taxes will be generated by the proposed project?

Estimated value of the development is \$750,000 with an expected \$8,500 in annual local tax revenues.

How many short-term jobs will the development generate in the Region?

Short-term jobs will depend upon construction schedule.

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?

Yes.



Preliminary Report:	December 21, 2005	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT <u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Project:	Allied Recycling SW Transfer Station #948
Final Report Due:	January 20, 2006		Comments Due By:	January 4, 2006

In what ways could the proposed development have a positive or negative impact on existing industry or business in the Region?

To be determined during the review.

#### **NATURAL RESOURCES**

Will the proposed project be located in or near wetlands, groundwater recharge area, water supply watershed, protected river corridor, or other environmentally sensitive area of the Region? If yes, identify those areas.

#### Stream Buffers and Watershed Protection

The proposed project property is not located in any public water supply watershed. The property is within the basin of the Chattahoochee and drains to the Corridor portion of the River. The USGS Regional topographic coverage shows no blue lines streams on the project property. Any unmapped streams on the property that meet ordinance criteria will be subject to the requirements of the City of Conyers Tributary Buffer Ordinance.

All waters of the state on the property are subject to the Georgia Department of Natural Resources (DNR) 25-foot erosion and sedimentation control buffer. Any intrusions into that buffer will require approval from DNR.

#### Storm Water/Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. ARC has estimated the amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development, based on the submitted site plan. These estimates are based on some simplifying assumptions for typical pollutant loading factors (lbs./ac/yr.) The loading factors are based on the results of regional storm water monitoring data from the Atlanta Region. Impervious surface amounts typically found for each land use in the Atlanta Region were used. Based on the proposed activity, heavy industrial was chosen for the use. Actual loadings will vary depending on the specific activity and the overall impervious surface in the development. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis:

Pollutant loads (lb./yr.)

Land Use	Land Area (acres)	TP	TN	BOD	TSS	Zinc	Lead
Heavy Industrial	8.58	12.44	165.08	1098.24	6821.10	14.24	1.80
TOTAL	8.58	12.44	165.08	1098.24	6821.10	14.24	1.80

**Total Impervious: 80%** 

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (<a href="www.georgiastormwater.com">www.georgiastormwater.com</a>) and meet the stormwater management quantity



Preliminary Report:	December 21, 2005	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT <u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Project:	Allied Recycling SW Transfer Station #948
Final Report Due:	January 20, 2006		Comments Due By:	January 4, 2006

and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

#### **HISTORIC RESOURCES**

Will the proposed project be located near a national register site? If yes, identify site.

None have been identified.

In what ways could the proposed project create impacts that would damage the resource?

Not applicable.

In what ways could the proposed project have a positive influence on efforts to preserve or promote the historic resource?

Not applicable.

#### INFRASTRUCTURE

**Transportation** 

#### Georgia Regional Transportation Authority Review Findings

This DRI proposal is being considered for review under the Georgia Regional Transportation Authority Expedited Review. The site is being proposed for a new solid waste transfer station in the City of Conyers.

# How much traffic (both average daily and peak am/pm) will be generated by the proposed project?

GRTA and ARC review staff agreed with the methodology and assumptions used in the analysis. The net trip generation is based on the specific operational parameters being proposed by the developer. Based on information submitted for the review and the proposed use on the site, the vehicle trips generated by the proposed development will be approximately 100 per day.

# What are the existing traffic patterns and volumes on the local, county, state, and interstate roads that serve the site?

Projected traffic volumes from the Regional Travel Demand Model are compared to the assigned capacity of facilities within the study network. This data is used to calculate a volume to capacity (V/C) ratio. The V/C ratio values that define the LOS thresholds vary depending on factors such as the type of terrain traversed and the percent of the road where passing is prohibited. As a V/C ratio reaches 0.8, congestion increases. Any facilities that have a V/C ratio of 1.00 or above are considered congested. Sigman Road, between SR 138 and East Park Drive, currently operates at LOS C (daily LOS). By the year 2030, Sigman Road is expected to operate at the same level. SR 138, between



Preliminary Report:	December 21, 2005	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT <u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Project:	Allied Recycling SW Transfer Station #948
Final Report Due:	January 20, 2006		Comments Due By:	January 4, 2006

Sigman Road and Interstate 20, currently operates at LOS B. By the year 2030, SR 138 is expected to operate at LOS C.

What transportation improvements are under construction or planned for the Region that would affect or be affected by the proposed project? What is the status of these improvements (long or short range or other)?

#### 2005-2010 TIP\*

ARC Number	Route	Type of Improvement	Scheduled Completion Year
RO-138C	SR 138/20 Gateway Beautification from Intersection of SR20 (McDonough Highway) and Honey Creek Road to Intersection of SR 138 (Walnut Grove Road) and Hi Roc Road/Dennard Road	Other- beautification project	2007
RO-138D	SR 138/20 (Walnut Grove Road) from North of I-20 East to Sigman Road	Roadway Capacity	2008

Impacts of the Solid Waste Transfer Plant: What are the recommended transportation improvements based on the traffic study done by the applicant?

No significant impacts have been estimated because of the development of this project.

What are the conclusions of this review? Is the transportation system (existing and planned) capable of accommodating these trips?

With only an estimated 100 truck trips accessing the site daily, this development is permissible under the Expedited Review criteria.

What transportation demand management strategies does the developer propose (carpool, flextime, transit subsidy, etc.)?

Given the type of development, none are necessary and the Air Quality Benchmark test will not be used.

#### **INFRASTRUCTURE**

#### Wastewater and Sewage

Based on information submitted with the review, water usage would be similarly to a single family home.

#### Which facility will treat wastewater from the project?

The Quiggs Branch Waste Water facility will provide wastewater treatment for this project. The facility will have a wastewater holding tank that will hold up to 25,000 gallons, This wastewater tank will be pumped out and the wastewater taken to the treatment facility no more than twice a month.



Preliminary Report:	December 21, 2005	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT <u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Project:	Allied Recycling SW Transfer Station #948
Final Report Due:	January 20, 2006		Comments Due By:	January 4, 2006

The total sewage to be treated would be a maximum of 50,000 gallons per month, plus whatever would be generated by the three restrooms in the building.

#### What is the current permitted capacity and average annual flow to this facility?

The capacity of Quiggs Branch Facility is listed below

PERMITTED CAPACITY MMF, MGD 1	DESIGN CAPACITY MMF, MGD	2001 MMF, MGD	2008 MMF, MGD	2008 CAPACITY AVAILABLE +/-, MGD	PLANNED EXPANSION	REMARKS
6.00	6.00	4.00	4.88	1.12	Planned expansion to 8 mgd by adding 2 mgd reuse system by 2004.	

MMF: Maximum Monthly Flow. Mgd: million of gallons per day.

#### What other major developments will be served by the plant serving this project?

ARC has reviewed a number of major developments that will be served by this plant.

#### **INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Water Supply and Treatment** 

How much water will the proposed project demand?

4,000 to 6,000 gallons per month.

How will the proposed project's demand for water impact the water supply or treatment facilities of the jurisdiction providing the service?

Information submitted with the review states that well water will be used and bottled water will be provided to the employees.

#### **INFRASTRUCTURE**

**Solid Waste** 

How much solid waste will be generated by the project? Where will this waste be disposed?

Information submitted with the review states that 400 tons of solid waste per year would be generated.

Other than adding to a serious regional solid waste disposal problem, will the project create any unusual waste handling or disposal problems?



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Source: Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District **SHORT-TERM WASTEWATER CAPACITY PLAN**, August 2002.

Preliminary Report:	December 21, 2005	Development Of Regional Impact Review Report	Project:	Allied Recycling SW Transfer Station #948
Final Report Due:	January 20, 2006		Comments Due By:	January 4, 2006

No.

Are there any provisions for recycling this project's solid waste?

None stated.

#### **INFRASTRUCTURE**

Other facilities

According to information gained in the review process, will there be any unusual intergovernmental impacts on:

- · Levels of governmental services?
- · Administrative facilities?
- · Schools?
- · Libraries or cultural facilities?
- Fire, police, or EMS?
- · Other government facilities?
- Other community services/resources (day care, health care, low income, non-English speaking, elderly, etc.)?

To be determined during the review.

#### **HOUSING**

Will the proposed project create a demand for additional housing?

No.

Will the proposed project provide housing opportunities close to existing employment centers?

No.

Is there housing accessible to the project in all price ranges demanded?

Given the minimal number of employees, no housing impact analysis is necessary.

Is it likely or unlikely that potential employees of the proposed project will be able to find affordable\* housing?

N/A

\* Defined as 30 percent of the income of a family making 80 percent of the median income of the Region – FY 2000 median income of \$51,649 for family of 4 in Georgia.



#### DANIEL S. DIGBY & ASSOCIATES, LLC

ATTORNEY AT LAW P.O. BOX 263 946 MAIN STREET, N.E. CONYERS, GEORGIA 30012

DANIEL S. DIGBY

TELEPHONE: (770) 760-1771 FACSIMILE: (770) 483-3559 Email: dsdigby@bellsouth.net

November 11, 2005

Mr. Mike Alexander Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, GA 30303

Re:

Allied Recycling & Transportation, Inc.; proposed Waste Transfer Station to be located at 2019 East Park Drive, Convers, Rockdale County, Georgia

Dear Mr. Alexander:

Pursuant to our telephone conversation of Wednesday, November 9, 2005, enclosed please find printed copies of the Site Plan of the proposed Waste Transfer Station, and graphic representations of the leachate containment, water quality, vector control, and odor suppression systems of the proposed project. I will email these documents to you as pdf files shortly.

Also enclosed for your convenience is a copy of correspondence sent to Brian Borden with the Georgia Regional Transportation Authority regarding the proposed project.

Should you have any questions or comments, or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at the number above.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Best regards.

Daniel S. Digby

and the second of the control of the

DSD:nl

Enclosures the wind were with a first the mention of the particle and against the latest wind and the contract of

cc: Allied Recycling & Transportation, Inc.

Marvin Flanigan, Planning Director, City of Convers

Your DRI ID NUMBER for this submission is: 948
Use this number when filling out a DRI REVIEW REQUEST.
Submitted on: 11/1/2005 4:29:52 PM

# DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Rockdale County Initial DRI Information (Form1b)

This form is intended for use by local governments within the Metropolitan Region Tier that are also within the jurisdiction of the Georgia Regional Transportation Authority (GRTA). The form is to be completed by the city or county government for submission to your Regional Development Center (RDC), GRTA and DCA. This form provides basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Local governments should refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process 110-12-3 and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds established by DCA.

Local Government Information			
Submitting Local Government:	City of Conyers		
*Individual completing form and Mailing Address:	Marvin Flanigan 1174 Scott Street Conyers, Georgia 30012		
Telephone:	770-929-4280		
Fax:	770-929-4292		
E-mail (only one):	marvin.flanigan@conyersga.com		

\*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information			
Name of Proposed Project: Sol	id Waste Transfer Station for Allied Recycling & Transportation, Inc.		
Development Type		Description of Project	Thresholds
Waste Handling	Solid Waste	Γransfer Station	View Thresholds
Developer / Applicant and Mailing Address:		Allied Recycling and Transportation, Inc. Peter Leonetti 2459 Covington Hwy Conyers, Georgia 30012 Agent: Daniel S. Digby, Attorney at Law 946 Main Street NE Conyers, Georgia 30012 770-760-1771 dsdigby@bellsouth.net	
Telephone:		770-922-6031	
Fax:		770-922-1645	
Email:			
Name of property owner(s) if different from developer/applicant:		GMB Holdings, LLC (Andrew M. Brown)	
Provide Land-Lot-District Number:		325 & 343 16th District	
What are the principal streets or roads provehicular access to the site?	viding	Sigman Road & East Park Drive	
Provide name of nearest street(s) or intersection:		Sigman Road & East Park Drive 1/4 West of Sigman Road & Ga Hwy 138 1/4 East of Sigman Road & Gees Mill Road	
Provide geographic coordinates (latitude/longitude) of the center of the proposed project (optional):		/	
If available, provide a link to a website providing a general location map of the proposed project (optional). (http://www.mapquest.com or http://www.mapblast.com are helpful sites to use.):			
Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?		Y	

If yes, how close is the boundary of the nearest other local government?	1/4 Mile	
If no, provide the following information:		
In what additional jurisdictions is the project located?	N/A	
In which jurisdiction is the majority of the project located? (give percent of project)	Name: City of Conyers (NOTE: This local government is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.)	
	Percent of Project: 100%	
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	N	
Market and the first transfer of the second	Name:	
If yes, provide the following information (where applicable):	Project ID:	
approximately.	App #:	
The initial action being requested of the local government by the applicant is:	Rezoning	
What is the name of the water supplier for this site?	Rockdale Water Resources	
What is the name of the wastewater treatment supplier for this site?	Rockdale Water Resources	
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	N	
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?		
Estimated Completion Dates:	This project/phase: Overall project:	

Local Government Comprehensive Plan	
Is the development consistent with the local government's comprehensive plan, including the Future Land Use Map?	Y
If no, does the local government intend to amend the plan/map to account for this development?	
If amendments are needed, when will the plan/map be amended?	

Service Delivery Strategy	
Is all local service provision consistent with the countywide Service Delivery Strategy?	Y
If no, when will required amendments to the countywide Service Delivery Strategy be complete?	

Land Transportation Improvements	
Are land transportation or access improvements planned or needed to support the proposed project?	Y
If yes, how have these improvements been identified:	
Included in local government Comprehensive Plan or Short Term Work Program?	N
Included in other local government plans (e.g. SPLOST/LOST Projects, etc.)?	Y
Included in an official Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP)?	N
Developer/Applicant has identified needed improvements?	N
Other (Please Describe):	

Submitted on: 12/16/2005 1:12:37 PM

# **DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT DRI Review Initiation Request (Form2a)**

Local Government Information			
Submitting Local Government:	City of Conyers		
Individual completing form:	Marvin Flanigan		
Telephone:	770-929-4280		
Fax:	770-929-4292		
Email (only one):	marvin.flanigan@conyersga.com		

Proposed Project Information			
Name of Proposed Project:	Solis Waste Transfer Station for Allied Recycling Transportation		
DRI ID Number:	948		
Developer/Applicant: Allied Recycling and Transportation, Inc C/O Daniel Digby			
Telephone:	770-760-1771		
Fax:	770-483-3559		
Email(s):	dsdigby@bellsouth.net		

DRI Review Process	
Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)	N
If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?	

If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.

Economic Impacts			
Estimated Value at Build-Out:	\$750,000		
Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:	\$8,500		
Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?	Υ		

If the development will displace any existing uses, please describe (using number of units, square feet., etc):			
Community Facilities Impacts			
Water Supply			
Name of water supply provider for this site:	Rockdale Water Resources		
What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	4,000 to 6,000 gallons per month		
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	Y		
If no, are there any current plans to expand existing water supply capacity?			
If there are plans to expand the existing water supply capacity, briefly describe below:			
If water line extension is required to serve this project, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?			

### **Wastewater Disposal**

Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site: Quiggs Branch Waste Water Facility

What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	Three (3) restrooms proposed - The facility will have a wastewater holding tank that will hold up to 25,0000 gallons. This wastewater tank will be pumped out and the wastewater taken to a treatment facility no more than twice a month. The toatl sewage to be treated would be a maximum of 50,000 gallons per month, plus whatever would be generated by the three (3) restrooms in the building.			
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	Y			
If no, are there any current plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity?				
If there are plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity, briefly describe below:				
If sewer line extension is required to serve this project, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?				
Land Transportation				
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the propo trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available		100 vehicle trips per day		
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not tra will be needed to serve this project?	nsportation or access improvements	N		
If yes, has a copy of the study been provided to the local government	ent?			
If transportation improvements are needed to serve this project, please describe below:				
Solid Waste Disposal				
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually	/ (in tons)?	400 tons per year		
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?		Υ		
If no, are there any current plans to expand existing landfill capacity?				
If there are plans to expand existing landfill capacity, briefly describe below:				
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development? If ye	es, please explain below:	N		
Stormwater Management				
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?		32 percent		
Is the site located in a water supply watershed?		N		
If yes, list the watershed(s) name(s) below:				
Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management:				
Environmental Quality				
Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:				
1. Water supply watersheds?		N		
Significant groundwater recharge areas?		N		
3. Wetlands?		N		
4. Protected mountains?		N		
		,		

5. Protected river corridors?	N	
If you answered yes to any question 1-5 above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected below:		
Has the local government implemented environmental regulations consistent with the Department of Natural Resources' Rules for Environmental Planning Criteria?	Υ	
Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:		
1. Floodplains?	N	
2. Historic resources?	N	
3. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	N	
If you answered ves to any question 1-3 above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected below:		

