

DRI REGIONAL REVIEW FINDING

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: February 23, 2022

TO: Mayor David Still, City of Lawrenceville

ATTN TO: Todd Hargrave, Planning and Development Director

FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, ARC Executive Director

RE: Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Review

Digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a regional review of the following DRI. ARC reviewed the DRI's relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This final report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the host local government.

Name of Proposal: Lawrenceville Gateway DRI 3525 Submitting Local Government: City of Lawrenceville

<u>Date Opened</u>: January 28, 2022 <u>Date Closed:</u> February 23, 2022

<u>Description</u>: A Development of Regional Impact Review of a proposal to construct a mixed-use development – with 100,000 SF of storage space, 325 multi-family housing units, 200 active adult housing units, 48,620 SF of medical office space, and 26,775 SF of retail space – on a currently mostly wooded 35–acre site is in the City of Lawrenceville. Vehicular access is proposed via three driveways. Bus and sidewalk access is available on adjacent streets. Expected buildout is in 2023.

Comments: Key Comments

- The project is somewhat consistent with applicable Established Suburbs growth management policies in that it is infill development that supports existing single-family neighborhoods.
- The proposed multi-use trail can significantly increase pedestrian access from the site to nearby locations and possibly be integrated into a future segment of the Gwinnett County trail system.
- All stakeholders would be served by a collaborative effort to provide safe and inviting pedestrian linkage from the site's 525 households to the substantial adjacent retail stores.
- A proposed retaining wall intrudes into the stream buffer at the SW corner of the site.
- Retention of additional existing trees would provide stormwater, urban cooling, energy use reduction, and air quality benefits.
- Improvement of the closest bus stop with a shelter and other amenities would encourage use of transit by project residents.

General Comments

According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI site is designated as Established Suburbs. The Plan's Regional Development Guide (RDG) details general information and policy recommendations for Established Suburbs which are provided at the end of these comments.

The project proposes a mixed-use development with 100,000 SF of storage space, 325 multi-family housing units, 200 active adult housing units, 48,620 SF of medical office space, and 26,775 SF of retail space.

Transportation and Mobility Comments

ARC's Transportation Access and Mobility Group comments are attached. The project is expected to generate a significant amount of vehicular traffic that will be managed through road and signalization improvements in the project vicinity.

Gwinnett County Transit bus service is available on Collins Hill Road just south of the project. Ideally this stop could be upgraded with a shelter and the short pedestrian route from the stop to the project entrance enhanced to invite use.

Notably, the project includes an approximately 15 foot wide multi-use trail/greenway running east-west along the utility easement through the center of the project. The trail entrance at Collins Hill Road can significantly augment the other sidewalks providing comfortable pedestrian access into and through the site. This relatively short trail segment should be considered for future integration into Gwinnett County's overall planned trail system.

The project site is immediately adjacent to a large retail complex but a pedestrian route from the project entrance to the actual store entrances requires walking through a huge poorly marked parking lot, Ideally the city, developer, and owner of the existing retail development can collaborate to develop a safe, inviting pedestrian route from the project to the retail entrances.

Care should be taken to ensure that the development, as constructed, promotes an interconnected, functional, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all driveways, paths, entrances, and parking areas. To the maximum extent possible, new driveways and intersection corners where pedestrians will cross should be constructed with minimal curb radii to reduce speeds of turning vehicles and decrease crossing distances for pedestrians.

ARC Natural Resources Group Comments

ARC's Natural Resources Group comments are attached.

An intermittent creek exists in the SW corner of the site which is subject to the city 50-foot stream buffer and 75-foot impervious setback, as well as the state 25-foot sediment and erosion control buffer. The plans show a proposed retaining wall within the 75-foot impervious setback. The wall, as well as any proposed mass grading, may require a variance from the City. Any other intrusions into the buffers may also require variances from the City or the State.

Other Environmental Comments

The current site is mostly wooded and development will require removal of most of the existing trees. A small number of trees will be retained in the stream buffer and open space areas. Additional retention of existing trees would be highly desirable and in keeping with regional goals regarding carbon sequestration and climate change/heat island effect mitigation.

The project will create approximately 640 surface parking spaces. Ideally these parking areas can utilize a combination of impervious paving and canopy tree plan to capture stormwater on site and reduce heat gain. The portion of a retaining wall that extends into the 75-foot impervious surface stream buffer would ideally be adjusted to preserve the full buffer.

The project can further support The Atlanta Region's Plan in general by incorporating other aspects of regional policy, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design, e.g., pervious pavers, rain gardens, vegetated swales, etc., in parking areas and site driveways, and as part of any improvements to site frontages.

Unified Growth Policy: Established Suburbs

Established Suburbs are areas where suburban development has occurred typically in the form of single-family subdivisions, townhomes, strip center retail, with scatterings of office, industrial and multi-family development. These areas represent the part of the region that has recently reached "build-out." With few remaining large parcels for additional development, these are the areas in which the region may see the least amount of land-use change outside of retail and commercial areas. While there is still room for limited infill development, these areas will begin to focus more on redevelopment over the next 30 years.

Preservation of existing single-family neighborhoods is important, and wholesale change will most likely not occur in the single-family subdivisions that make up a majority of these areas. However, infill and redevelopment will occur in areas of retail/commercial concentrations, especially commercial corridors. The intensity and land use of this proposed project somewhat aligns with The Atlanta Region's Plan's recommendations for Established Suburbs in that it provides substantial new residential development that can support existing commercial development without damaging any area single-family neighborhoods. The project could increase its alignment with Established Suburbs policies by preserving more of the site's natural forest area which is becoming ever scarcer in built-out areas. City of Lawrenceville leadership and staff, along with the applicant team, should collaborate closely to ensure absolute maximum sensitivity to nearby local governments, neighborhoods, land uses and natural resources.

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ATLANTA REGIONAL COMMISSION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
GEORGIA FAMILIONMENTAL FINANCE ALITHORITY

GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCE
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION COMMISSION

GEORGIA ENVIRONMENTAL FINANCE AUTHORITY GEORGIA CONSERVANCY

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Andrew Smith at (470) 378-1645 or asmith@atlantaregional.org. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews.





Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home Tier Map **View Submissions Apply** <u>Login</u>

DRI #3525

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Lawrenceville Individual completing form: Todd Hargrave

Telephone: 678.407.6563

E-mail: todd.hargrave@lawrencevillega.org

*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Lawrenceville Gateway

Location (Street Address, GPS 742 Collins Hill Road, Lawrenceville, Ga 30046 PINs - R7010 007, R7010 087, Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot R7010 088 AND TRACT 2 -

Description):

government's jurisdiction?

Brief Description of Project: Mixed-Use Development consisting multifamily, office, commercial and retail. on

	approximately 54.92-acres	
Development Type:		
(not selected)	Hotels	Wastewater Treatment Facilities
Office	Mixed Use	Petroleum Storage Facilities
Commercial	Airports	Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs
Wholesale & Distribution	Attractions & Recreational Facilities	OIntermodal Terminals
Hospitals and Health Care Facilit	ties Post-Secondary Schools	OTruck Stops
Housing	Waste Handling Facilities	Any other development types
Industrial	Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants	
If other development type, describe	:	
	Multifamily - 29.68-acres; 525 multifamily u Commercial a	nits (393,750 sq.ft.); 9 Buildings; Office,
Developer:	Charles Garfunkel; Applelands, LLC	
Mailing Address:	400 Mall Boulevard, Suite M	
Address 2:		
	City:Savannah State: Ga Zip:31406	
Telephone:	912.355.1311 ext. 10	
Email:	charlie@ajcgarfunkel.com	
Is property owner different from developer/applicant?	(not selected) Yes No	
If yes, property owner:		
Is the proposed project entirely located within your local	(not selected) Yes No	





Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home

Tier Map

Apply

View Submissions

<u>Login</u>

DRI #3525

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Lawrenceville Government:

Individual completing form: Todd Hargrave

Telephone: 678.407.6563

Email: todd.hargrave@lawrencevillega.org

Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Lawrenceville Gateway

DRI ID Number: 3525

Developer/Applicant: Charles Garfunkel; Applelands, LLC

Telephone: 912.355.1311 ext. 10 Email(s): charlie@ajcgarfunkel.com

Additional Information Requested

Has the RDC identified any additional information

required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no,

(not selected) Yes No

proceed to Economic

Impacts.)

If ves, has that additional information been provided

(not selected) Yes No

to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?

If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.

Economic Development

Estimated Value at Build-Out:

+/-\$80,000,000

Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax,

+/-\$568,000 sales tax) likely to be

generated by the proposed

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed

(not selected) Yes No

project?

Will this development

(not selected) Yes No

displace any existing uses? If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc):

Water Supply

Name of water supply provider for this site:

Gwinnett County Department of Water Resources

What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.41418 MGD	
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No	
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand the existing water supply capacity:	
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No	
if yes, now much additional i	line (in miles) will be required?	
	Wastewater Disposal	
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Gwinnett County Department of Water Resources	
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.41418 MGD	
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	○(not selected) ②Yes ○No	
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand existing wastewater treatment capacity: See study for details.	
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	○(not selected) ─Yes ◎No	
If yes, how much additional li	ine (in miles) will be required?	
	Land Transportation	
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	5,593 24-Hour 2-way Trips, 360 AM peak 2-way & 544 PM 2way	
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No	
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No	
If yes, please describe below	r: Please see traffic study for the improvements	
Solid Waste Disposal		
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	930 tons	
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	○(not selected) Yes No	
If no, describe any plans to e	expand existing landfill capacity:	
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	○(not selected) ─Yes ○No	
If yes, please explain:		
	Stormwater Management	
What percentage of the site		

What percentage of the site 40% is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?

Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management:Stream buffers, dedicated open space, rainfall runoff reduction, extended detention and overbank protection. Environmental Quality		
Water supply watersheds?	(not selected) Yes No	
Significant groundwater recharge areas?	(not selected) Yes No	
3. Wetlands?	(not selected) Yes No	
4. Protected mountains?	(not selected) Yes No	
5. Protected river corridors?	(not selected) Yes No	
6. Floodplains?	(not selected) Yes No	
7. Historic resources?	(not selected) Yes No	
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	○(not selected) ¥es No	
#8-A state water has been id	uestion above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected: entified in the southwest corner of the project. Other than a perpendicular aerial sanitary buffers are to be enforced and respected. +/- 1.5 acres of buffer area is to be preserved.	
Back to Top		

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact



GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact



regional impact + local relevance

Development of Regional Impact

Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

DRI INFORMATION

DRI Number #3525

DRI Title Lawrenceville Gateway

County Gwinnett County

City (if applicable) Lawrenceville Gateway

Address / Location Southwest of SR 316/US 29 (University Parkway) and Collins Hill Road, north of

Walmart Supercenter and Kohl's

Proposed Development Type: It is proposed to create 100,000 sf of self-storage, 325 units of multifamily

housing, 200 active adult housing units, 48,620 sf of medical office space, and 26,775

sf of retail space.

Build Out: 2023

Review Process EXPEDITED

NON-EXPEDITED

REVIEW INFORMATION

Prepared by ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division

Staff Lead Aries Little

Copied Marquitrice Mangham

Date January 26, 2022

TRAFFIC STUDY

Prepared by A&R Engineering Inc.

Date January 19, 2022

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS

	YES (provide the regional plan referenced and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)
	Click here to provide comments.
	NO (provide comments below)
	Within approximately one mile from the proposed site, a capacity improvement project (GW-364) was identified in the RTP, and all phases are currently in long range. The project is located on SR
NAL	20 from SR 124 to Hurricane Shoals Road. The referenced PI 0013898 location appears to be significantly distant from the project area. NETWORKS
	significantly distant from the project area.

Rd and Driveway 2 is located at the intersection of Collins Hill Rd and Park Access Drive/Walmart's signalize intersection. Both driveways are less than approximately 0.25 miles from the intersection

of SR 316/University Pkwy and Collins Hill Rd.

03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

	NO
\boxtimes	YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)
	SR 316/University Pkwy is identified as a Regional Truck Route. Driveway 1 is located on Collins Hill Rd and Driveway 2 is located at the intersection of Collins Hill Rd and Park Access Drive/Walmart signalize intersection. Each driveway is approximately less than a quarter of a mile from the intersection of SR 316/University Pkwy and Collins Hill Rd.

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

\boxtimes	NOT APPLICABLE (nearest station more than one mile away)
	RAIL SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)

Operator / Rail Line	
Nearest Station	Click here to enter name of operator and rail line
Distance*	☐ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
	0.10 to 0.50 mile
	0.50 to 1.00 mile
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Transit Connectivity	Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
	Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
	No services available to rail station
	Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.
* Following the most dir	ect feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the

05. If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

	NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
	NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
\boxtimes	NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
	YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
	CST planned within TIP period
	CST planned within first portion of long range period
	CST planned near end of plan horizon

Click here to provide comments.

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the connection a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

CEDVICE VAULTHIAL ONE A	AUE (annuide melditional information below)
SERVICE WITHIN ONE IN	AILE (provide additional information below)
Operator(s)	Gwinnett County Transit
Bus Route(s)	Route 45
Distance*	☑ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
	☐ 0.10 to 0.50 mile
	☐ 0.50 to 1.00 mile
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity
	☐ Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
	 Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

development site

			provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within development site is located?
	or ca co sei na to en	prefer not to drive, expand to help reduce traffic congoing mprehensive operations proving the site during the extension of the development of the site is not feasible or sure good walking and bis y routes within a one mile.	lopments and transit services provide options for people who cannot and economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and restion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a colan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should cycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make a priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.
		NO YES	
08.	If th		vides transit service within the jurisdiction of the development. thin one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information
	wl an or fac	ho cannot or prefer not to nd jobs, and can help redu trail is available nearby, cilities is a challenge, the	Plopments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people of drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people on the traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path but walking or bicycling between the development site and those applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.
		·	st path or trail more than one mile away)
		YES (provide additional i	<u> </u>
		Name of facility	Click here to provide name of facility.
		Distance	Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)
			0.15 to 0.50 mile
			0.50 to 1.00 mile
		Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity
			Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
			Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
		Bicycling Access*	Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity

Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity

	Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed
*	Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site
OTHER TRANS	PORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS
	e site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible local road or drive aisle tions with adjacent parcels?
arterio	pility for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent all or collector roadway networks can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities all be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.
XE:	S (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
YE	S (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
☐ NC	(the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
□ от	HER (Please explain)
	e site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the oment site safely and conveniently?
relian plans destin	bility for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces ce on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key actions. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large ge sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.
_	S (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and cyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)
	RTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not mprehensive and/or direct)
☐ NC	(walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
	OT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and cycling trips)
□ от	HER (Please explain)

re o _l	ne ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently duces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such apportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans henever possible.
	YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
	YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
	NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)
	NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
	NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips)
ai se	ten key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move cound safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be agregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, dewalks, paths and other facilities.
	YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)
	PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primar walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately)
	NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily by pedestrians, bicyclists and/or motorists)
	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/o very low truck volumes, so the potential for conflict is negligible)
СОММЕ	ENDATIONS
	the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible n a constructability standpoint?

	YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)
	NO (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
14.	. Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?
	\boxtimes NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)
	YES (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
15.	. ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):

LAWRENCEVILLE GATEWAY DRI

City of Lawrenceville Natural Resources Group Comments January 24, 2022

While ARC and the Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District have no regulatory or review authority over this project, the Natural Resources Group has identified City and State regulations that could apply to this property. Other regulations may also apply that we have not identified.

Watershed Protection

The proposed project is in the Yellow River watershed which is not a water supply watershed within the Atlanta Region or the Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District and is not subject to the Part 5 Water Supply Watershed Criteria of the 1989 Georgia Planning Act.

Stream Buffers

Both the site plan and the USGS coverage for the project area show an unnamed intermittent creek crossing the southwestern corner of the project property. The City's 50-foot stream buffer and 75-foot impervious setback, as well as the State 25-foot Sediment and Erosion Control buffer, are shown on the plans. The plans show a proposed retaining wall in the 75-foot impervious setback. The wall, as well as any proposed mass grading, ma require a variance from the City. Any other intrusions into the buffers may also require variances from the City or the State. Any unmapped streams on the property may also be subject to the City and State buffers. Any unmapped waters of the state will also be subject to the State 25-foot Sediment and Erosion Control buffer.

Stormwater/Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality.

During the planning phase, the stormwater management system (system) should meet the requirements of the local jurisdiction's post-construction (or post-development) stormwater management ordinance. The system should be designed to prevent increased flood damage, streambank channel erosion, habitat degradation and water quality degradation, and enhance and promote the public health, safety and general welfare. The system design should also be in accordance with the applicable sections of the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) such as design standards, calculations, formulas, and methods. Where possible, the project should use stormwater better site design practices included in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual, Volume 2, Section 2.3.

During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements.

