

# **REGIONAL REVIEW FINDING**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: November 10, 2021

### ARC REVIEW CODE: R2110211

TO:Chairwoman Nicole HendricksonATTN TO:Catherine Long, Long Range Planning ManagerFROM:Douglas R. Hooker, Executive DirectorRE:Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Review

)rayle R. Hok

Digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI's relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This final report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the host local government.

Name of Proposal: 6405 Sugarloaf Parkway DRI 3468 <u>Submitting Local Government</u>: Gwinnett County <u>Review Type</u>: Development of Regional Impact <u>Date Opened</u>: Oct 21 2021 <u>Date Closed</u>: Nov 10 2021

**Description**: A Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review of a proposal to build a mixed-use development on a 40.45-acre site at 6405 Sugarloaf Parkway in unincorporated Gwinnett County. The site is bounded by Sugarloaf Pkwy. on the west and Satellite Boulevard on the east. The proposed development plan includes 585 multifamily units, 122 townhomes, and 4,000 SF of retail space. Site access is proposed via an existing full movement driveway at the signalized intersection of Sugarloaf Pkwy and the Gas South District driveway, as well as a new right-in/right-out only driveway on Sugarloaf Pkwy. A potential third driveway is being contemplated on Satellite Blvd. at the existing signalized intersection with the Residence Inn/Courtyard by Marriott driveway. The nearest transit access is Gwinnett County Transit route #10B, with a stop on Satellite Blvd., just south of Sugarloaf Pkwy. The nearest state routes are I-85, approximately 0.5 miles east of the main DRI driveway via Sugarloaf Pkwy., and SR 120, approximately 1 mile south of the main DRI driveway via Satellite Blvd. The local trigger action is a rezoning application. Expected buildout is in 2024.

<u>Comments</u>: According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI is located within an area designated Established Suburbs. In addition, the site falls within a designated Regional Center. The Plan details general information and policy recommendations for Established Suburbs and Regional Centers which are covered at the end of these comments.

#### GENERAL

This DRI appears to advance some general aspects of regional policy including promoting economic development, mixed-use development, and multi-modal transportation. The project proposes to replace approximately 160,000 s.f. of existing office space on a 40.5-acre site with a residential development of 585 multifamily units and 122 townhomes along with 4,000 s.f. of retail space. While this use is overwhelmingly residential, the project advances mixed-use goals and benefits by adding 707 households in the center of an area consisting primarily of existing and planned commercial, office, and entertainment uses. These residential units will have direct pedestrian access across Sugarloaf Parkway to the Gas South District and its extensive restaurant, entertainment, special event, and cultural activity venues. While a proposed mixed-use development within the Gas South District with 900 apartments, 300,000 s.f. of retail space, and 865,000 s.f. of office space does not appear to be moving forward, some form of major mixed-use development is likely to eventually take place there, making it an even more important walkable destination for future residents of the proposed project. Pedestrian linkages between the two locations should therefore be strongly emphasized.

The project is located in the heart of the Sugarloaf Community Improvement District (CID), which is a key regional employment and business center that markets itself as "Gwinnett's Downtown." The Sugarloaf CID utilizes assessments to fund a wide range of projects intended to help make the District safe, attractive, and connected. The significant number of residential households created by the project will add to the District's connectivity and synergy of uses. The final development of the project plan should be coordinated with the Sugarloaf CID to ensure that it supports their efforts to create a more coherent and walkable District.

The project proposes a combination of twenty townhome buildings and six four-to five story multi-family buildings. Nine of the townhome buildings are sited along Sugarloaf Parkway which will help create more of a sense of place and appealing frontage along the street and can also help slow traffic and encourage pedestrian activity. These positive urban form effects could be significantly furthered by shifting one or more of the larger multi-family buildings from the center of the site to the Sugarloaf Parkway frontage. The larger buildings would have a major placemaking effect if they directly fronted the roadway. This would also provide additional walkability. The parallel shifting some of the townhomes from the proposed frontage location into the more central portion of the site could allow for the retention of additional tree canopy, which could enhance the overall value of the development and provide other benefits.

#### TRANSPORTATION AND MOBILITY

ARC's Transportation Access and Mobility Group comments are attached. In general, the project is expected to generate a modest amount of new vehicular traffic that can be mitigated through minor lane and intersection improvements as well as ensuring robust pedestrian connectivity within the site and to likely destinations outside of it. Pedestrian sidewalk facilities are currently provided along the entire site frontage adjacent to both Sugarloaf Parkway and Satellite Boulevard. Designated bicycle lanes are also currently provided along Sugarloaf Parkway. Pedestrian and bicycle routes within the project should connect seamlessly to these external networks.

The proposed Gwinnett Loop Trail is a multipurpose trail linking greenspace and various types of development along 17 miles in western Gwinnett County. The most recent location of the Trail is shown in the attached figure. It runs along the Satellite Boulevard edge of the DRI site and into the northeastern section of the site. Care should be taken to integrate the planned route of the Trail with the proposed site development and to add pedestrian circulation features within the site that directly connect to the Trail. In this regard, the potential additional driveway along Satellite Boulevard would provide an even more direct connection to the Trail. This entrance would also facilitate pedestrian connectivity from the site along Satellite Boulevard to the retail and commercial locations to the north at Sugarloaf Parkway and Meadow Church Road and to the south at Sugarloaf Parkway and Satellite Boulevard. This driveway, adjacent to the Residence Inn and Courtyard by Marriott; this intersection is already signalized with a crosswalk. The project proposes close to the minimum number of parking spaces required. This is consistent with the provision of measures that would allow adjacent destinations to be reached on foot or by bike and with regional and local policies promoting walkable development. To these ends, some further reduction in required parking is worth considering.

Gwinnett County is continuing to work with the Sugarloaf CID and other entities to study the feasibility of establishing a Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) route through the "Satellite Boulevard to Jimmy Carter Boulevard BRT Study." This project would establish BRT service from an eastern terminus adjacent to the DRI site at Sugarloaf Parkway to a multi-modal hub at Gwinnett Village to the west. This BRT line along Satellite Boulevard to Jimmy Carter Boulevard would serve as a spine of major high-capacity transit services in the County, providing much-needed transportation alternatives to the I-85 corridor. The plan would include a key stop at a Transit Center to be developed within the Gas South District next to this DRI site under review. The significant residential uses proposed on the site would be directly supportive of TOD around an adjacent BRT transit center. Plans for the site should be coordinated with County, CID and other officials to ensure compatibility with the latest BRT plans.

Care should be taken to ensure that the development, as constructed, promotes an interconnected, functional, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all streets, paths, entrances, and parking areas. To the maximum extent possible, new driveways and intersection corners where pedestrians will cross should be constructed with minimal curb radii to reduce speeds of turning vehicles and decrease crossing distances for pedestrians.

Comments from the Georgia Department of Transportation Aviation Programs are also attached. They note that the project is outside the FAA approach and departure surfaces, is outside airport compatible land use areas, and does not appear to impact the airport. If any construction equipment or construction exceeds 200' above ground level, an FAA Form 7460-1 must be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration.

# NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT

Comments from ARC's Natural Resources Group are attached. Both the site plan and the USGS coverage for the project area show a perennial blue-line stream and an intermittent tributary on the property. The

perennial stream runs along the eastern and southeastern portions of the property, roughly paralleling Satellite Boulevard. The intermittent stream runs north-south just west of the center of the property, joining the perennial stream near the southern end of the parcel. Buffers that appear to be the Gwinnett County Stream Buffer Ordinance 50-foot undisturbed buffer and 75-foot impervious surface setback, as well as the State 25-foot Sediment and Erosion Control buffer are shown on the submitted site plan but are not identified. No intrusions into the buffers along the perennial stream are shown, except for a proposed driveway connection, which, as a transportation crossing, is allowed under the Gwinnett buffer ordinance. Proposed grading shown along the intermittent stream extends into the 75-foot setback and may require a variance under the Gwinnett ordinance.

Any other intrusions into the buffers may require variances from the County or the State. Any unmapped streams on the property may also be subject to the City and State buffers. Any unmapped waters of the state will also be subject to the State 25-foot Sediment and Erosion Control buffer.

The site currently retains a substantial amount of tree canopy, which is fairly limited in the general surrounding area and therefore of high value. A significant portion of this tree canopy borders the streams on the site and will therefore be preserved in the stream buffers. The project is commendably preserving almost 15 acres of environmentally sensitive area and earning an FAR bonus in return. The project would be substantially enhanced by the retention of some additional tree canopy and natural vegetation where possible. Open space and natural areas with tree canopy can become a significant community amenity if connected by adjacent sidewalks to the larger sidewalk network. These areas can also retain some of the site's existing carbon storage/sequestration capacity and resulting positive effects on local air quality.

Although the number of parking spaces is being limited to close to the minimum required which is also to be commended, there is still a very large area of surface parking proposed. As mentioned earlier, the possibility of further reduction of parking spaces is worth considering as there are or will be substantial alternative transportation options available. This would reduce the large amount of impervious area proposed. The project can further support regional planning goals in this area by incorporating aspects of regional policy, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design, e.g., pervious pavers, rain gardens, vegetated swales, etc., throughout the site in general – especially in parking areas, on site driveways, and as part of any improvements to site frontages.

# UNIFIED GROWTH POLICY: ESTABLISHED SUBURBS/REGIONAL CENTER

As mentioned above, this project falls within a designated Established Suburbs area. These areas are characterized by single-family subdivisions, commercial development, and office, industrial and multifamily development in limited locations. These areas represent the part of the region that has recently reached "build-out." With few remaining large parcels for additional development, these are the areas in which the region may see the least amount of land use change outside of retail and commercial areas.

While there is still room for limited infill development, these areas will begin to focus more on redevelopment over the next 30 years. Preservation of existing single-family neighborhoods is important, and wholesale change will most likely not occur in the single-family subdivisions that make up the majority

of these areas. However, infill and redevelopment will occur in areas of retail/commercial concentrations, especially commercial corridors.

The project site also falls within a designated Regional Center per ARC's UGPM. Regional Centers reflect concentrated uses that have generally defined boundaries. People travel from around the region to these Centers for employment, shopping, and entertainment purposes. These Centers should be connected to the regional transportation network with existing or planned high-capacity transit service. In most cases, these Centers have a jobs-housing imbalance, so housing options should be expanded within their boundaries, especially around existing or planned transit. Thus the project, with its proposed addition of 707 housing units, is a desirable addition to the noted Regional Center.

Some Regional Centers could also be considered "Edge Cities," developed in a suburban, auto-oriented way. They have limited multi-modal transportation options and are challenged by increasing congestion. Local plans and policies should support efforts to transform these areas into highly accessible mixed-use urban hubs. Some Regional Centers may have high concentrations of logistics or industrial uses. The retention of these uses is a key regional strategy. While some housing and other uses can be added, special attention should be given to reducing the impacts these will have on the existing logistics/industrial uses.

The intensity and land use of this proposed project generally aligns with The Atlanta Region's Plan's recommendations for Established Suburbs as well as those for a Regional Center location. It should be noted that this project is relatively close to multiple existing residential neighborhoods as well as to the City of Duluth to the northwest. County leadership and staff, along with the applicant team, should collaborate closely to ensure absolute maximum sensitivity to nearby local governments, neighborhoods, land uses and natural resources. To fully capitalize on the DRI's potential, Gwinnett County staff should also ensure that the project supports its most current vision for the area

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SUGARLOAF COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT GEORGIA SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION COMMISSION ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS & MOBILITY ARC AGING & HEALTH RESOURCES GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MARTA ARC NATURAL RESOURCES GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GRTA/SRTA GEORGIA ENVIRONMENTAL FINANCE AUTHORITY CITY OF DULUTH GWINNETT COUNTY

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Andrew Smith at (470) 378–1645 or <u>asmith@atlantaregional.org</u>. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at <u>http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews</u>.





Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a	◯(not selected) ິYes ®No	
previous DRI?		
If yes, provide the following	Project Name:	
information:	Project ID:	
	Rezoning	
The initial action being requested of the local government for this	Variance	
project:	Water	
	Permit Other	
Is this project a phase or part of a		
larger overall project?	(not selected) Yes No	
If yes, what percent of the overall		
project does this project/phase represent?		
Estimated Project Completion Dates:	This project/phase: 2024 Overall project: 2024	
Back to Top		

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact



DRI #3468 DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI, Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI There and Thresholds for more information. Local Government Information Submitting Local Government		Develop	ments o	f Regional Impac	t
<section-header>         Description of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Teres and Thresholds for more iteration.                <b>Description</b> Counter iteration of the DRI Process and the DRI Teres and Thresholds for more iteration.                <b>Description</b> Counter iteration of the DRI Process and the DRI Teres and Thresholds for more iteration.                <b>Description</b> Counter iteration.                <b>More iteration</b> Counter iteration.                <b>Set Sine Scotter</b> Counter iteration.                <b>Description</b> Counter iteration.     <th><u>DRI H</u></th><th>lome <u>Tier Map</u></th><th><u>Apply</u></th><th>View Submissions</th><th>Log</th></section-header>	<u>DRI H</u>	lome <u>Tier Map</u>	<u>Apply</u>	View Submissions	Log
Additional DRI Information         This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.         Submitting Local Government:       Government:         Government:       Government:         Individual completing form:       Gabrielle Cazeau         Brain is ob Submitting Local Government:       Freipect Information         Drived Information       Project Information         Name of Proposed Project:       6405 Sugarload Parkway         DRI ID Numbe:       3488         Dreveroperiod:       Freipect Information         Mattional Information Requested       Government:         Additional Information Requested       (not selected) Yes No         review process? (fron, project I to proceed of Economic Impacts)       (not selected) Yes No         Information Reproved proving:       (not selected) Yes No         To the enficial review process can not start until this additional information is provided.       (not selected) Yes No         Comment Careers (String)       - \$180M         Estimated Value at Build:       - \$180M         Estimated annual local tag in a selected)       - \$2.4M         Government:       - \$2.4M         Government:       - \$2.4M	DRI #3468				
he proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more hormation. Local Government Information Submitting Local Government Gabrielle Cazeau Telephone: 678.518.6207 Email: gabrielle cazeau@gwinnettcounty.com Project Information Name of Proposed Project: 6405 Sugaroal Parkway DRI ID Numbe: 3488 Developer/Applicant: JLB Partners LLC Telephone: 678.855.7904 Email(s): mhaliman@jlbpartners.com Additional Information Requested Has the RDC identified any additional information review process? (If no proceed to Economic impracts.) If yes, has that additional information ben provided for to selected) Yes No review process? (If no selected) Yes No for telected) Yes No for telected Yes No applicable, GRTA? front selected Yes No for telected Yes No for t					
Submitting Local Government:       wwinnett         Individual completing form:       Gabrielle Cazeau         Brain:       gabrielle cazeau@gwinnettcounty.com         Project Information         Project Information         Project Information         Main:         Built Number:         Additional Parkway:         Developer/Applicant:         La Parkners LLC         Calebohn:         Calebohn:         Main(s):	the proposed DRI. Refer to b				
Individual completing form: Gabrielle Cazeau Ereiphone: 678.518.6207 Email: gabrielle cazeau@gwinnettcounty.com Project Information Name of Proposed Project: 6405 Sugarloaf Parkway DRI ID Number: 3468 Developer/Applicant: JLB Partners LLC Telephone: 678.855.7904 Email(s): mhallman@jibpartners.com Additional Information Requested Has the RDC Identified any additional Information is provided. Has the RDC Identified any additional Information (not selected) Yes No proceed to Economic Impacts.) If yes, has that additional information been provided. (not selected) Yes No applicable, GRTA? If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided. Estimated value at Build- Ou: Stimated Value at Build- Stimated Value at Build- Stimat		Local Governi	ment Inform	nation	
Name of Proposed Project:       6405 Sugarloaf Parkway:         DRI ID Number:       3468         Developer/Applicant:       LLB Partners LLC         Telephon:       678.855.7904         Email(s):       mhallman@jlbpartners.com         Additional Information Requested         Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed or Economic Impacts.)         If yes, has that additional information required in order to proceed or Economic Impacts.)       (not selected) Yes No         If yes, has that additional information sperovided.       (not selected) Yes No         If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.       - \$180M         Estimated Value at Build-Out:       - \$180M         Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed or construction of the demand or the proceed of Yes No         Byterior to file development       (not selected) Yes No	Individual completing form: Telephone:	Gabrielle Cazeau 678.518.6207	ounty.com		
Britishing       2488         Developer/Applicant:       JLB Partners LLC         Telephone:       678.855.7904         Email(s):       mhallman@jibpartners.com         Additional information additional information additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)         If yes, has that additional information applicable, GRTA?       (not selected) Yes No         If ore, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.       (not selected) Yes No         If one, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.       - \$180M         Estimated Value at Build- Out:       - \$180M         Estimated Value at Build- Out:       - \$2.4M         is the regional work force sufficient review process       - \$2.4M         is the regional work force sufficient review process       - \$2.4M         is the regional work force sufficient review process       - \$2.4M         is the regional work force sufficient review process       - \$2.4M         is the regional work force sufficient review process       - \$2.4M         is the regional work force sufficient review process       - \$2.4M         is the regional work force sufficient reliable development       - \$2.4M		Project I	nformation		
Estimated Value at Build- Out: Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development: Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project? Will this development (not selected) Yes No	DRI ID Number: Developer/Applicant: Telephone: Email(s): Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.) If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?	3468 JLB Partners LLC 678.855.7904 mhallman@jlbpartners.com Additional Infor (not selected) Yes No			
Out:       ~\$180M         Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be       ~\$2.4M         generated by the proposed development:       ~\$2.4M         Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?       (not selected) Yes No         Will this development       (not selected) Yes No		Economic	Developme	nt	
revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development: Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project? Will this development	Estimated Value at Build- Out:	~ \$180M			
sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project? Will this development	Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:	~ \$2.4M			
	Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No			
If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc): ~160,000 SF office	Will this development displace any existing uses?	. ,			

#### Water Supply

Gwinnett County Department of Water Resources

Name of water supply provider for this site:

# DRI Additional Information Form

	DRI Additional Information Form
What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	~0.2MGD
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand the existing water supply capacity:
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	◯(not selected)◯Yes <sup>®</sup> No
If yes, how much additional l	line (in miles) will be required?
	Wastewater Disposal
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Gwinnett County Wastewater
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	~0.17MGD
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	◯(not selected) <sup>©</sup> Yes <sup>®</sup> No
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand existing wastewater treatment capacity:
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	◯(not selected)◯Yes <sup>®</sup> No
If yes, how much additional li	ine (in miles) will be required?
	Land Transportation
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	4,188 daily trips, 255 AM peak trips, 320 PM peak trips
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	◯(not selected) <sup>©</sup> Yes <sup>O</sup> No
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	◯(not selected) <sup>®</sup> Yes <sup>No</sup>
If yes, please describe below	:See traffic study
	Solid Waste Disposal
How much solid waste is the	
project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	~1200 tons
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	◯(not selected) ♥Yes No
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand existing landfill capacity:
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	◯(not selected)◯Yes <sup>®</sup> No
lf yes, please explain:	
	Stormwater Management
	etermater management

What percentage of the site ~48% is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?

Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management:underground stormwater detention systems

	Environmental Quality
Is the development located w	ithin, or likely to affect any of the following:
1. Water supply watersheds?	(not selected) Yes No
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	(not selected) Yes No
3. Wetlands?	(not selected) Yes No
4. Protected mountains?	(not selected) Yes No
5. Protected river corridors?	(not selected) Yes No
6. Floodplains?	(not selected) Yes No
7. Historic resources?	◯(not selected)◯Yes <sup>®</sup> No
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	(not selected) Yes No
	uestion above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected: lains are located throughout the site. Although buffers are shown on the site plan, a stream red.
Back to Top	

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact



regional impact + local relevance

# **Development of Regional Impact** Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

# **DRI INFORMATION**

DRI Number	#3468
DRI Title	6505 Sugarloaf
County	Gwinnett County
City (if applicable)	
Address / Location	Northwest quadrant of the Sugarloaf Parkway at Satellite Boulevard intersection
Proposed Developme	<b>nt Type:</b> It is proposed to develop 122 townhome units, 585 multi-family apartment units, and 4,000 SF of retail space.
	Build Out: 2024
<b>Review Process</b>	
	NON-EXPEDITED
REVIEW INFORMATI	<u>ON</u>
Prepared by	ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division
Staff Lead	Aries Little
Copied	Marquitrice Mangham
Date	October 20, 2021

#### **TRAFFIC STUDY**

Prepared by	Kimley-Horn
Date	October 14, 2021

#### **REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS**

- 01. Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?
  - YES (provide the regional plan referenced and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)

There is a list of projects found on page 12. The segmented widenings on Sugarloaf Parkway, near the project area, construction is anticipated after the project's buildout year 2024.

NO (provide comments below)

### **REGIONAL NETWORKS**

02. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares?

A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

NO

YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)

Driveway C is located on Satellite Boulevard which is identified as a regional throughfare. I-85 is a regional thoroughfare located approximately 0.25 miles from the intersection of Sugarloaf Parkway and Satellite Boulevard.

### 03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

# 🗌 NO

#### YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)

There are three access points leading to the proposed site and none are directly served by a regional truck route. However, I-85 is a regional truck route located approximately 0.25 miles from the project site.

# 04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

NOT APPLICABLE (nearest station more than one mile away)

RAIL SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)

#### Operator / Rail Line

Nearest Station	Click here to enter name of operator and rail line
Distance*	Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
	0.10 to 0.50 mile
	0.50 to 1.00 mile
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete

	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Transit Connectivity	Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
	Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
	No services available to rail station
	Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.

\* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

**05.** If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

- ] NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
- NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
- NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
  - YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
    - CST planned within TIP period
    - CST planned within first portion of long range period
    - CST planned near end of plan horizon

Click here to provide comments.

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

cc jo bi lo	annot or prefer not to driv bs, and can help reduce of cycling between the deve	elopments and transit services provide options for people who we, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or clopment site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable buraged to make the connection a funding priority for future structure improvements.
	NOT APPLICABLE (neare	est bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away)
$\boxtimes$	SERVICE WITHIN ONE N	IILE (provide additional information below)
	Operator(s)	Gwinnett County Transit
	Bus Route(s)	10A/10B
	Distance*	Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
		🔀 0.10 to 0.50 mile
		0.50 to 1.00 mile
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
		Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
		Click here to provide comments.
	Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
		Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity
		Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
		Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Bi	ke lanes are provided on Sugarloaf Parkway but not on Satellite Boulevard.

\* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

#### 07. Does a transit agency which provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within the jurisdiction in which the development site is located?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a comprehensive operations plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to serving the site during the evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the nature of the development is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and any routes within a one mile radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make these connections a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.



Gwinnett County Transit provide fixed route services in the jurisdiction of the development. Route 10A and 10B, which provide service less than 0.5 miles away from the project area, also provide connection to MARTA's Doraville Station.

08. If the development site is within one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path or trail is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and those facilities is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

NOT APPLICABLE (nearest path or trail more than one mile away)

YES (provide additional information below)

Name of facility	Click here to provide name of facility.	
Distance 🗌 Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile		
	0.15 to 0.50 mile	
	0.50 to 1.00 mile	
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity	
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete	

	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed

\* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

### **OTHER TRANSPORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS**

09.	Does the site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible local road or drive aisle
	connections with adjacent parcels?

The ability for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent arterial or collector roadway networks can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.

- YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
- YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
- NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
- OTHER ( Please explain)

# **10.** Does the site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the development site safely and conveniently?

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site plans should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key destinations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large acreage sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.

YES (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and bicyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)

PARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not comprehensive and/or direct)

NO (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)

NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and bicycling trips)

OTHER ( Please explain)

11.	Does the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking connections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future?		
	The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.		
	YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)		
	YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)		
	NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)		
	NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)		
	NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)		
	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips)		
	Sidewalks are currently existing on the frontage of the proposed site. Gwinnett County's Loop Trail study highlights a proposed trail on Satellite Boulevard.		

1.12.1

....

12. Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network?

The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, sidewalks, paths and other facilities.

YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space
for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)

PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary
walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately)

- NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily by pedestrians, bicyclists and/or motorists)
- NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/or very low truck volumes, so the potential for conflict is negligible)

# **RECOMMENDATIONS**

<b>13.</b> Do the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible from a constructability standpoint?		
UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary)		
YES (based on information made available through the review thorough engineering / financial analysis)	v process; does not represent a	
NO (see comments below)		
Click here to enter text.		
14. Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?		
$\bigotimes$ NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or d	uring the review process; does not	

reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)
YES (see comments below)

Click here to enter text.

**15.** ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):

# 6405 SUGARLOAF DRI Gwinnett County Natural Resources Group Comments October 19, 2021

While ARC and the Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District have no regulatory or review authority over this project, the Natural Resources Group has identified County and State regulations that could apply to this property. Other regulations may also apply that we have not identified.

# **Watershed Protection**

The proposed project is in the Yellow River watershed which is not a water supply watershed within the Atlanta Region or the Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District and is not subject to the Part 5 Water Supply Watershed Criteria of the 1989 Georgia Planning Act.

# **Stream Buffers**

Both the site plan and the USGS coverage for the project area show a perennial blue-line stream and an intermittent tributary on the property, The perennial stream runs along the eastern and southeastern portions of the property, roughly paralleling Satellite Boulevard. The intermittent stream runs north-south just west of the center of the property, joining the perennial stream near the southern end of the parcel. Buffers that appear to be the Gwinnett County Stream Buffer Ordinance 50-foot undisturbed buffer and 75-foot impervious surface setback, as well as the State 25-foot Sediment and Erosion Control buffer are shown on the submitted site plan but are not identified. No intrusions into the buffers along the perennial stream are shown, except for a proposed driveway connection, which, as a transportation crossing, is allowed under the Gwinnett buffer ordinance. Proposed grading shown along the intermittent stream extends into the 75-foot setback and may require a variance under the Gwinnett ordinance. The road access (shown as Driveway B on the plans) is existing. Work around the lake is affected by the Gwinnett ordinance, as it does not include ponds or lakes. Any other intrusions into the buffers may require variances from the County or the State. Any unmapped streams on the property may also be subject to the City and State buffers. Any unmapped waters of the state will also be subject to the State 25-foot Sediment and Erosion Control buffer.

# **Stormwater/Water Quality**

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality.

During the planning phase, the stormwater management system (system) should meet the requirements of the local jurisdiction's post-construction (or post-development) stormwater management ordinance. The system should be designed to prevent increased flood damage, streambank channel erosion, habitat degradation and water quality degradation, and enhance and promote the public health, safety and general welfare. The system design should also be in accordance with the applicable sections of the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) such as design standards, calculations, formulas, and methods. Where possible, the project should use stormwater better site design practices included in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual, Volume 2, Section 2.3.

During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements.

# **Donald Shockey**

From:	Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov></achood@dot.ga.gov>
Sent:	Thursday, November 4, 2021 8:33 AM
То:	Donald Shockey
Subject:	RE: ARC Preliminary Report - 6405 Sugarloaf Parkway DRI 3468

Donald,

The proposed mixed-use development on a 40.45-acre site at 6405 Sugarloaf Parkway in unincorporated Gwinnett County is 6.8 miles east of the Gwinnett County Airport – Briscoe Field (LZU). It is outside the FAA approach and departure surfaces, and is outside airport compatible land use areas, and does not appear to impact the airport.

If any construction equipment or construction exceeds 200' above ground level, an FAA Form 7460-1 must be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration. Those submissions for any associated buildings or cranes taller than 200' may be done online at <a href="https://oeaaa.faa.gov">https://oeaaa.faa.gov</a>. The FAA must be in receipt of the notifications, no later than 120 days prior to construction. The FAA will evaluate the potential impacts of the project on protected airspace associated with the airports and advise the proponent if any action is necessary.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed development.

# **Alan Hood**

Airport Safety Data Program Manager



Aviation Programs 600 West Peachtree Street NW 6<sup>th</sup> Floor Atlanta, GA, 30308 404.660.3394 cell 404.532.0082 office

From: Donald Shockey <DShockey@atlantaregional.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 10:26 PM

To: Andrew Smith <ASmith@atlantaregional.org>; Susan Canon - Gwinnett County Planning <Susan.Canon@gwinnettcounty.com>; Matthew.Dickison@gwinnettcounty.com; cyndi.sloan@gwinnettcounty.com; Long, Catherine <catherine.long@gwinnettcounty.com>; soleen.karim@gwinnettcounty.com; David Schlifka - Gwinnett County <david.schlifka@gwinnettcounty.com>; Cazeau, Gabrielle <gabrielle.cazeau@gwinnettcounty.com>; maria.serban@gwinnettcounty.com; Josh Ferguson - Gwinnett County <Joshua.Ferguson@gwinnettcounty.com>; Sever, Tom <tom.sever@gwinnettcounty.com>; Vince Edwards - Gwinnett County DOT <Vince.Edwards@gwinnettcounty.com>; Lewis Cooksey - Gwinnett County DOT <Lewis.Cooksey@gwinnettcounty.com>; Alex Hofelich - Gwinnett County DOT <alex.hofelich@gwinnettcounty.com>; Michael Johnson - Gwinnett County DOT <Michael.Johnson2@gwinnettcounty.com>; Rebecca Shelton - Gwinnett County Water Resources <Rebecca.Shelton@gwinnettcounty.com>; Campagne, Lorraine <lorraine.campagne@gwinnettcounty.com>; Tai Yi Su -Gwinnett Water Resources <TaiYi.Su@gwinnettcounty.com>; Tyler Richards - Gwinnett County Water Resources <Tyler.richards@gwinnettcounty.com>; planning@lawrencevillega.org; Todd Hargrave

<Todd.Hargrave@lawrencevillega.org>; Helen Appenzeller <Helen.Appenzeller@lawrencevillega.org>;

