

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: October 18, 2021 ARC REVIEW CODE: R2110181

TO: Mayor Rochelle Robinson

ATTN TO: Ryan Anderson, Zoning Administrator
FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director
RE: Development of Regional Impact Review

Digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a preliminary regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI's relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This preliminary report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the local government.

Name of Proposal: FTY01 1601 North River Road #3426

Review Type: DRI **Submitting Local Government**: City of Douglasville

<u>Date Opened</u>: October 18, 2021 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>:November 2, 2021 <u>Date to Close</u>: November 8,2021

Description: The proposed site is located in the City of Douglasville, west of North River Road, southwest of Summer Lake Road and north of Sweetwater Creek. The proposed development includes 980,000 square feet of Data Center Warehouse across 4 total buildings. The projected build-out is one phase to be completed by 2031. The proposed development includes a full access driveway along North River Road. The applicant is coordinating with the City of Douglasville and Douglas County on a potential emergency access connection to Summer Lake Road. The DRI trigger for this development is a land disturbance permit. The vehicular trip generation is estimated to be 970 net daily trips based on the ITE Trip Generation Manual 10th edition. The applicant is applying for approval under GRTA's expedited review process. While a TIS is not required for the DRI, GRTA supports any requirements the City of Douglasville and/or Douglas County have for pursuing a TIS outside of the DRI process.

<u>PRELIMINARY COMMENTS:</u> According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI is in an area designated as Developing Rural. The Plan details general information and policy recommendations for Developing Rural areas, which are listed at the bottom of these comments.

This DRI appears to manifest certain aspects of regional policy in the area of creating a competitive economy, in that it will create some local jobs albeit a limited number. The project proposes four 245,000 SF buildings to house data center activities and associated access roads and parking. As there is no housing included and only a limited number of people will work at the location, there are no real needs or opportunities for connecting the development to adjacent uses. Further, the project will only generate a limited amount of

traffic, so there are minimal transit considerations. However, it should be noted that this site is less than one mile from Connect Douglas bus route #30, with stops on Riverside Parkway at Avonlea-Tributary to the north and the American Red Cross to the south. This facility and others nearby could benefit from a new transit stop on Riverside Parkway at North River Road.

In terms of internal site circulation, care should be taken to ensure that the development, as constructed, promotes an interconnected, functional, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all streets, paths, entrances, and parking areas. To the maximum extent possible, new driveways and intersection corners where pedestrians will cross should be constructed with minimal curb radii to reduce speeds of turning vehicles and decrease crossing distances for pedestrians. These kinds of interventions can reduce dependence on single-occupancy vehicles for internal site circulation.

Additional transportation comments can be found in the attached comments from ARC's Transportation Access and Mobility Group.

The project proposes to retain 56 acres of the total approximately 160 acres as open space which is significant. However, about half of the 104 acres to be developed is currently undisturbed natural forest, adjacent to Sweetwater Creek State Park and Sweetwater Creek, creating the potential watershed and environmental impacts which need to be carefully considered. Further, a significant portion of the undeveloped 56 acres is still proposed to be cleared of existing natural forest to accommodate detention ponds. These forested areas provide valuable carbon storage/sequestration capacity, natural stormwater retention and treatment, wildlife habitat, and natural air cleaning and cooling benefits – all of which are regional priorities. The project would be substantially enhanced by the retention of as much of the existing extensive natural forest as possible. This could include considering shorter roadway options; using other stormwater management techniques such as green roofs or temporary holding tanks to reduce the size of the detention ponds; utilizing permeable pavement to reduce runoff; and generally considering ways to reduce the project footprint and the amount of forest cover eliminated.

The project's impact on water resources are detailed in the attached ARC Natural Resources staff comments. While the impacts on the Sweetwater Creek and Chattahoochee River watersheds are limited, there are concerns about the impact on protected stream buffers within the site. The site plan identifies five streams on the property, four of which start on the property and flow into Sweetwater Creek on the western and southwestern portions of the project property. A fifth stream is shown running along the eastern side of the property. A sixth stream is shown between Sweetwater Creek and the property but does not appear to extend into the property. Although the buffers are not entirely clear on the site plan, the plan does indicate the 50foot undisturbed stream buffer and additional 25-foot impervious setback required under the City's Stream Buffer Ordinance, as well as the State 25-foot State Erosion and Sedimentation Control buffer. Extensive intrusions into the buffers and apparent complete elimination of portions of the streams are shown on the site plan. The proposed building identified as Data Center One is shown on top of the headwaters of one stream and the proposed substation is shown on top of the headwaters of a second stream. These major impacts on streams are concerning and will likely require variances, if available, under the both the City Stream Buffer Ordinance and the State 25-foot State Erosion and Sedimentation Control buffer. Ideally the building placement would be revised to better preserve the extensive riparian systems on the site. The project documents do not discuss the use of water by the project. Data centers typically utilize large amounts of water for cooling. It is unclear if the proposed detention ponds are designed for stormwater or for water used by the facility. Clarification of this element of the project would be useful.

Protecting tree canopy and the area's water and other natural resources represents an opportunity to build in long-term value and create a defining identity and amenity for this development and the broader area. The project can generally support regional planning goals by incorporating aspects of regional policy, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design, e.g., pervious pavers, rain gardens, vegetated swales, etc., throughout the site in general – especially in parking areas, on site driveways, and as part of any improvements to site frontages.

As mentioned above, this project falls primarily in a designated Developing Rural area per ARC's UGPM. Developing Rural means areas in the region where little to no development has taken place, but where there is development pressure. These areas are characterized by limited single–family subdivisions, large single–family lots, agricultural uses, protected lands, and forests. The region should strive to protect these areas by limiting infrastructure investments to targeted areas and allowing no development or only low–intensity development of sensitive areas. Setting aside some of the site's natural areas for additional buffers for the adjacent ecosystems of Sweetwater Creek and Sweetwater Creek State Park would have a positive impact and strengthen regional conservation measures. Limited existing infrastructure in these areas will also constrain the amount of additional growth that is possible. Some transportation improvements may be needed in developing rural areas.

While the DRI is in an area of developing industrial and warehouse uses, it should be noted that this project is in close proximity to multiple existing residential neighborhoods, forested areas, and unincorporated Douglas County immediately to the north. City leadership and staff, along with the applicant team, must therefore collaborate to ensure absolute maximum sensitivity to nearby local governments, neighborhoods, land uses and natural resources.

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION
DOUGLAS COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS & MOBILITY ARC AGING & HEALTH RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF SOUTH FULTON
COBB COUNTY

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GRTA/SRTA
FULTON COUNTY

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Donald Shockey at (470) 378-1531 or dshockey@atlantaregional.org. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews.



DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Commission for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to generate impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is located, for example in adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included in this packet and offer your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to ARC on or before the specified return deadline.

	blease review the information about the project included in this packet and m should be returned to ARC on or before the specified return deadline.	
Preliminary Findings of the RDC: FTY01 1601 North River Road #3426 See the Preliminary Report.		
Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as nee	eded):	
Individual Completing Form:		
Local Government:	Please return this form to:	
	Donald Shockey Atlanta Regional Commission	
Department:	International Tower	
Telephone: ()	229 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303	
Telephone: ()	Ph. (470) 378-1531 <u>dshockey@atlantaregional.org</u>	
Signature:		
Signature.	Return Date: <i>November 2, 2021</i>	
Date:		

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATI	E: October 18, 2021	ARC REVIEW CODE: R2110181
	ARC Group Managers	
FKU	M: Donald Shockey, 470-378-1531	
	<u>Keviev</u>	wing staff by Jurisdiction:
Com	munity Development: Smith, Andrew	Transportation Access and Mobility: James, Reginald
<u>Natu</u>	ıral Resources: Santo, Jim	Research and Analytics: Skinner, Jim
Agin	ig and Health Resources: Perumbeti, Katie	
Mam	P. D	10.40.4
	<u>e of Proposal:</u> FTY01 1601 North River Road # ew Type: Development of Regional Impact	F3420
Road across full ac on a p permi editio DRI, o DRI p Subm Date Dead	and north of Sweetwater Creek. The propose is 4 total buildings. The projected build-out is of ccess driveway along North River Road. The appotential emergency access connection to Summit. The vehicular trip generation is estimated ton. The applicant is applying for approval under	ey of Douglasville, west of North River Road, southwest of Summer Lake and development includes 980,000 square feet of Data Center Warehouse the phase to be completed by 2031. The proposed development includes a splicant is coordinating with the City of Douglasville and Douglas County ther Lake Road. The DRI trigger for this development is a land disturbance to be 970 net daily trips based on the ITE Trip Generation Manual 10th ther GRTA's expedited review process. While a TIS is not required for the bouglasville and/or Douglas County have for pursuing a TIS outside of the wrille
		Response:
1)	☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the follow	ring regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)	☐ While neither specifically consistent nor	inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
	guide listed in the comment section.	
3)		inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
	guide listed in the comment section.	
4)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the	following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
5)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal does NOT relate to any deve	elopment guide for which this division is responsible.
6)	$\hfill\Box$ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant f	for the reasons listed in the comment section.
		COMMENTS:





Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home Tier Map **View Submissions Apply** <u>Login</u>

DRI #3426

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Douglasville

Individual completing form: Ryan Anderson, Zoning Administrator

Telephone: 678-449-3202

E-mail: andersonr@douglasvillega.gov

*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: FTY101

Location (Street Address, GPS 1601 North River Road, Douglasville, Ga., 30122

Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description):

If yes, property owner: Is the proposed project entirely located within your local

government's jurisdiction?

Brief Description of Project: Project includes a total of four (4) 245,000 square feet technology facilities within a 160 acre parcel. Total project is 980,000 square feet.

Development Type:		
(not selected)	Hotels	Wastewater Treatment Facilities
Office	Mixed Use	Petroleum Storage Facilities
Commercial	Airports	Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs
OWholesale & Distribution	OAttractions & Recreational Facilities	OIntermodal Terminals
Hospitals and Health Care Facilit	ies Post-Secondary Schools	OTruck Stops
Housing	OWaste Handling Facilities	Any other development types
Olndustrial	Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants	
If other development type, describe:		
Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.):	Total project is 980,000 square feet	
Developer:	Microsoft Corporation	
Mailing Address:	One Microsoft Way	
Address 2:		
	City:Redmond State: WA Zip:98052-6399	
Telephone:	1-470-645-6462	
Email:	mtrader@microsoft.com	
Is property owner different from developer/applicant?	(not selected) Yes No	

(not selected) Yes No

If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?	
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	
If yes, provide the following	Project Name:
information:	Project ID:
The initial action being requested of the local government for this project:	Sewer
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?	
Estimated Project Completion Dates:	This project/phase: 2023 Overall project: 2023
Back to Top	

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact





Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home

Tier Map

Apply

View Submissions

<u>Login</u>

DRI #3426

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Douglasville

Individual completing form: Ryan Anderson, Zoning Administrator

Telephone: 678-449-3202

Email: andersonr@douglasvillega.gov

Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: FTY101

DRI ID Number: 3426

Developer/Applicant: Microsoft Corporation

Telephone: 1-470-645-6462 Email(s): mtrader@microsoft.com

Additional Information Requested

Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed

with the official regional review process? (If no, (not selected) Yes No

proceed to Economic Impacts.)

If yes, has that additional information been provided

(not selected) Yes No to your RDC and, if

applicable, GRTA?

If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.

Economic Development

Estimated Value at Build-Out:

\$500/SF, approximately 125 million

Estimated annual local tax sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed

revenues (i.e., property tax, Estimated property tax : 14.1 million that will be made in PILOT payment Sales tax 7 million for the shell building at 100 million (7% sales tax rate) Total tax impact 21.1 million

payments

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed

(not selected) Yes No

project?

development:

Will this development (not selected) Yes No displace any existing uses?

If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc):

Water Supply

Name of water supply provider for this site:

Douglasville Douglas County water & Sewer Authority

What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	1.05 MGD
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	◯(not selected) Yes No
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand the existing water supply capacity:
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No
	ine (in miles) will be required?
	Wastewater Disposal
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Douglasville Douglas County Water & Sewer Authority
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of	0.266 MGD
Gallons Per Day (MGD)? Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	○(not selected)◎Yes○No
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand existing wastewater treatment capacity:
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No
	ne (in miles) will be required?
	Land Transportation
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	Am: 122 total entering and exiting, PM: 102 entering and exiting and 24 hour 970 total trips entering and exiting
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	◯(not selected) Yes No
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	○(not selected) ○Yes ◎ No
If yes, please describe below	:
	Solid Waste Disposal
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	22 tons (landfilled) cardboard-11 tons recycled, not landfilled
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand existing landfill capacity:
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	◯(not selected) Yes No
If yes, please explain:	
	Stormwater Management
What percentage of the site	impervious area on site is 67.94 ac/159.50 ac=43%

What percentage of the site impervious area on site is 67.94 ac/159.50 ac=43% is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?

	osed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the ter management:Site will contain detention ponds to regulate stormwater management per
	Environmental Quality
Is the development located w	within, or likely to affect any of the following:
Water supply watersheds?	(not selected) Yes No
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	○(not selected) ○Yes ◎ No
3. Wetlands?	(not selected) Yes No
4. Protected mountains?	(not selected) Yes No
5. Protected river corridors?	(not selected) Yes No
6. Floodplains?	(not selected) Yes No
7. Historic resources?	(not selected) Yes No
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	○(not selected) ○Yes ○No
	uestion above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected: we been permitted by USACE Historic Resources-all data recovery, management plans and submitted
Back to Top	

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact

1601 NORTH RIVER ROAD DRI

City of Douglasville Natural Resources Group Review Comments October 13, 2021

While ARC and the Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District have no regulatory or review authority over this project, the Natural Resources Group has identified County and State regulations that could apply to this property. Other regulations may also apply that we have not identified.

Watershed Protection

The project property is located in the portion of the Chattahoochee River Watershed drains into the Chattahoochee River Corridor, but it is not within the 2000-foot Chattahoochee River Corridor and is not subject to the requirements of the Metropolitan River Protection Act or the Chattahoochee Corridor Plan. This portion of the watershed drains into the Chattahoochee downstream of the existing public water supply intakes on the Chattahoochee. However, proposed intakes in South Fulton and Coweta County would include this portion of the Chattahoochee River watershed as a large water supply watershed (over 100 square miles), as defined under the Part 5 Criteria of the 1989 Georgia Planning Act. However, for large water supply watersheds without a water supply reservoir, the only applicable Part 5 requirements are restrictions on hazardous waste handling, storage and disposal within seven miles upstream of a public water supply intake. This property is more than seven miles upstream of the nearest proposed public water supply intake.

The property is also located in the Sweetwater Creek Water Supply Watershed, which is also a large (over 100 square miles) water supply watershed as defined under the Part 5 Criteria of the 1989 Georgia Planning Act.

For both the Chattahoochee and Sweetwater Creek Water Supply Watersheds, the only applicable Part 5 requirements are restrictions on hazardous waste handling, storage and disposal within seven miles upstream of a public water supply intake. The project property is more than seven miles upstream of the proposed Chattahoochee intakes, but is within seven miles upstream of the City of East Point Intake on Sweetwater Creek. The City of East Point's Sparks Reservoir is located in the basin of a tributary to Sweetwater Creek and receives no direct flow from Sweetwater Creek or the rest of the Sweetwater watershed. This project is not in the Sparks Reservoir watershed.

Stream Buffers

Based on the submitted site plan, the property boundaries do not appear to extend to the bank of Sweetwater Creek. The USGS coverage for the project area shows no other blue-line streams on the property. However, the submitted site does identify five streams on the property. Four start on the property and flow into Sweetwater Creek on the western and southwestern portions of the project property. A fifth stream is shown running along the eastern side of the property. A sixth stream is shown between Sweetwater and the property, but does not appear to extend into the property. Buffers are shown, but are not identified and are difficult to see over the contours on the site plan. The buffers are also shown more clearly on the project plans included in the project Methodology Meeting Packet, particularly the plan on Page One of that document. Although the buffers are not identified, this sheet shows the 50-foot undisturbed stream buffer and additional 25-foot impervious setback required under the City's Stream Buffer Ordinance, as well as the State 25-foot State Erosion and Sedimentation Control buffer. Intrusions into the buffers are shown on two streams. The proposed building identified as Data Center One is shown over the headwaters of one stream and the proposed substation is shown over the headwaters of a second stream. These intrusions may require variances under the both the City Stream Buffer Ordinance and the State 25foot State Erosion and Sedimentation Control buffer. Any other unmapped streams on the property may also be subject to the City buffer ordinance. Any unmapped State waters identified on the property may also be subject to the State 25-foot Sediment and Erosion Control buffer.

1601 North River Road DRI ARC Natural Resources Group Comments October 13, 2021

Stormwater/Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality.

During the planning phase, the stormwater management system (system) should meet the requirements of the local jurisdiction's post-construction (or post-development) stormwater management ordinance. The system should be designed to prevent increased flood damage, streambank channel erosion, habitat degradation and water quality degradation, and enhance and promote the public health, safety and general welfare. The system design should also be in accordance with the applicable sections of the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) such as design standards, calculations, formulas, and methods. Where possible, the project should use stormwater better site design practices included in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual, Volume 2, Section 2.3.

During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements.



regional impact + local relevance

Development of Regional Impact

Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

DRI INFORMATION

DRI Number #3426

DRI Title 1601 North River Road

County Douglas County

City (if applicable) Douglasville

Address / Location North of Sweetwater Creek, west of Riverside Parkway, and south of Summer Lake

Road.

Proposed Development Type: It is proposed to build a 980,000 SF Data Center Warehouse with a total of four

buildings.

Build Out: 2031

Review Process X EXPEDITED

NON-EXPEDITED

REVIEW INFORMATION

Prepared by ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division

Staff Lead Aries Little

Copied Click here to enter text.

Date October 14, 2021

LIMITED TRIP GENERATION MEMO

Prepared by A&R Engineering Inc.

Date October 12, 2021

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS

01. Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?
\boxtimes YES (provide the regional plan referenced and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)
There are two projects referenced in the current fiscally constrained RTP and the projects are noted on page 4 of the Limited Trip Generation Memo.
NO (provide comments below)
REGIONAL NETWORKS
02. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares
A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.
□ NO
oxtimes YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)
There are two proposed access points. The main access point is a full access driveway on North River Road, whereas the other access point is an emergency access connection to Summer Lake Road. North River Road and Summer Lake Road are perpendicular to Riverside Pkwy which provides direct connection to the regional thoroughfares SR 6 and SR 92.

03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

Ш	140
	YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points,

There are two proposed access points. The main access point is a full access driveway on North River Road, whereas the other access point is an emergency access connection to Summer Lake Road. North River Road and Summer Lake Road are perpendicular to Riverside Pkwy which provides direct connection to the regional truck routes SR 6 and SR 92.

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

\boxtimes	NOT APPLICABLE (neares	st station more than one mile away)
	RAIL SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)	
	Operator / Rail Line	
	Nearest Station	Click here to enter name of operator and rail line
	Distance*	☐ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)

	0.10 to 0.50 mile
	0.50 to 1.00 mile
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
	☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Transit Connectivity	Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
	Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
	No services available to rail station
	Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.

^{*} Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

05. If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

	NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
	NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
\boxtimes	NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
	YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
	CST planned within TIP period
	CST planned within first portion of long range period
	CST planned near end of plan horizon
Click	chere to provide comments.

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the connection a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

SERVICE WITHIN ON	SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)	
Operator(s)	Connect Douglas	
Bus Route(s)	Route 30	
Distance*	☐ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)	
	☐ 0.10 to 0.50 mile	
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity	
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete	
	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	
	There are no sidewalks for pedestrians to safely access Route 30.	
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity	
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity	
	Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets	
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	

development site

07.		• ,	provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within development site is located?		
	or ca co sei na to en an	prefer not to drive, expan n help reduce traffic congo mprehensive operations p rving the site during the ex ture of the development is the site is not feasible or o sure good walking and bid by routes within a one mile	opments and transit services provide options for people who cannot deconomic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and estion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a lan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to valuation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should cycling access accessibility is provided between the development and radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.		
		NO			
		YES			
	Con	nect Douglas operates wit	hin the jurisdiction of the proposed development site.		
08.	. If the development site is within one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information on accessibility conditions.				
	Access between major developments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path or trail is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and those facilities is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.				
	\boxtimes	NOT APPLICABLE (neares	t path or trail more than one mile away)		
	Dou	glas County Extension is f	the Chattahoochee Hill Country Regional Greenway Trail System. The rom Boundary of Waters Park to Sweetwater Creek State Park. The y programmed for fiscal year 2025.		
		YES (provide additional ii	nformation below)		
		Name of facility	Click here to provide name of facility.		
		Distance	Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)		
			0.15 to 0.50 mile		
			0.50 to 1.00 mile		
		Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity		
			Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete		

	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Bicycling Ac	cess* Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed
* Following developn	g the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the ment site
	ION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS
•	In provide for the construction of publicly accessible local road or drive aisle hadjacent parcels?
arterial or colle	drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent actor roadway networks can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities idered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.
YES (connec	tions to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
YES (stub ou	ats will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
NO (the site	plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
OTHER (Ple	ase explain)
	in enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the e safely and conveniently?
reliance on veh plans should in destinations. To	walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces nicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site corporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key to the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.
	lks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and ould have no major issues navigating the street network)
	me walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not sive and/or direct)
☐ NO (walking	g and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
NOT APPLIC	CABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and ps)

	OTHER (Please explain)
	es the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking nections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future?
re op	ne ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently duces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such oportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans henever possible.
	YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
	YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
	NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)
	NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
	NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
\boxtimes	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips)
	meerpareer walking and bicyeling crips)
froi	es the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, in the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding d network?
froi roa Th of an se	es the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, in the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding
froi roa Th of an se	es the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, in the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding dinetwork? The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is siten key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move round safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be agregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways,
froi roa Th of an se	es the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, in the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding dinetwork? The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is given key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move abound safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be agregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, dewalks, paths and other facilities. YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)
froi roa Th of an se	es the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, in the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding dinetwork? The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is siten key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move round safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be agregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, dewalks, paths and other facilities. YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical) PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary

RECOMMENDATIONS

13.	from a constructability standpoint?
	UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary)
	YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)
	NO (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
14.	Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?
	NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)
	YES (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
15.	ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):



