Rowen DRI Traffic Study

Prepared for:

Rowen Foundation, Inc



Prepared by: Michael Baker International, Inc.





September 14, 2021

© 2021 Rowen Foundation. All rights reserved. The contents of this publication reflect the views of the author(s), who is (are) responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. This publication does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	PURPOSE AND INTENTION	1
2.0	INTRODUCTION	1
3.0	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	1
3	1.1 Description	1
3	1.2 Future Lane Use	2
3	1.3 Zoning	2
3	.1.4 Other Plans or Projects	2
3.2	Map of the Development Area	4
3.3	DRI Plan of Development (Site Plan)	4
4.0	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS METHODS	6
4.1	Trip Generation	6
4	.1.1 Trip Reduction due to Internal Capture, Modal Choice, Pass By-Trips	6
4	.1.2 Total Traffic Generated	6
4	1.3 Trip Distribution	7
4	.1.1 Generated Traffic Volumes per Scenario	7
4.2	Growth Rate	
4	.2.1 Background Growth	
4.3	Analysis Tools	
5.0	TRAFFIC ANALYSIS RESULTS	20
5.1	Intersection Analysis	22
5	1.1 Existing Condition (2021), No Build (2035)	
5	1.2 Build Conditions (2035)	32
6.0	IDENTIFIED NEEDS	36
6.1	Proposed Improvements by 2035	
6.2	Signal Warrant Analysis	
7.0	CRITERIA FOR GRTA REVIEW	46
7.1	Accessibility	
7.2	Connectivity	46
7.3	Access Management	
7.4	Regional Policies and Adopted Plans	47
7.5	Local Standards Supporting Regional Policies	48



7.6	Transportation and Traffic Analysis	48
7.7	Relationship to Existing Development and Infrastructure	48

Appendix A: Rowen Site Layout And Design Guidelines

Appendix B: GRTA Letter of Understation

Appendix C: Future Land Use

Appendix D: Trip Generation

Appendix E: Synchro 2021 No Build

Appendix F: Synchro 2035 No Build

Appendix G: Synchro 2035 Build

Appendix H: Signal Warrants

Appendix I: Traffic Counts

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Rowen Land Use	. 2
Table 2: Nearby Planned and Programmed Projects	. 3
Table 3: Rowen Trip Generation	. 7
Table 4: GDOT Count Station Historical Growth	18
Table 5: ARC Travel Demand Model Growth Factors	18
Table 6: US Census Data and ARC Projected Growth	18
Table 7: Level of Service Definitions	19
Table 8: Existing and No Build Analysis Results (1 of 3)	29
Table 9: Existing and No Build Analysis Results (2 of 3)	30
Table 10: Existing and No Build Analysis Results (3 of 3)	31
Table 11: Build Analysis Results (1 of 3)	33
Table 12: Build Analysis Results (2 of 3)	34
Table 13: Build Analysis Results (3 of 3)	35
Table 14: Proposed Improvements (1 of 3)	36
Table 15: Proposed Improvements (2 of 3)	37
Table 16: Proposed Improvements (3 of 3)	38
Table 17: All Analysis Results (1 of 3)	43
Table 18: All Analysis Results (2 of 3)	44
Table 19: All Analysis Results (3 of 3)	45



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Study Area Map
Figure 2: Development Concept Plan
Figure 3: Trip Distribution
Figure 4: Trip Distribution (Continued)
Figure 5: 2021 Existing Traffic Volumes (1 of 2) 10
Figure 6: 2021 Existing Traffic Volumes (2 of 2) 11
Figure 7: 2035 No Build Traffic Volumes (1 of 2) 12
Figure 8: 2035 No Build Traffic Volumes (2 of 2)13
Figure 9: Rowen Net Trips Added (1 of 2) 14
Figure 10: Rowen Net Trips Added (2 of 2) 15
Figure 11: 2035 Build Traffic Volumes (1 of 2)16
Figure 12: 2035 Build Traffic Volumes (2 of 2) 17
Figure 13: Study Area Intersections
Figure 14: 2021 Existing Intersection Geometry and Traffic Control (1 of 2)
Figure 15: 2021 Existing Intersection Geometry and Traffic Control (2 of 2)
Figure 16: 2035 No Build Intersection Geometry and Traffic Control (1 of 2)25
Figure 17: 2035 No Build Intersection Geometry and Traffic Control (2 of 2)26
Figure 18: 2035 Build Intersection Geometry and Traffic Control (1 of 2)27
Figure 19: 2035 Build Intersection Geometry and Traffic Control (2 of 2)28
Figure 20: No Build Intersection Geometry and Traffic Control with Improvements (1 of 2)
Figure 21: No Build Intersection Geometry and Traffic Control with Improvements (2 of 2)
Figure 22: Build Intersection Geometry and Traffic Control with Improvements (1 of 2) 41
Figure 23: Build Intersection Geometry and Traffic Control with Improvements (2 of 2) 42
Figure 24: Excerpt from Rowen Design Guidelines

1.0 PURPOSE AND INTENTION

The purpose of this Transportation Impact Study (TIS) document is to present necessary information concerning the subject development for the Development of Regional Impact (DRI) transportation analysis per the requirements of the Georgia Regional Transportation Authority (GRTA) DRI Review Procedures adopted on March 10, 2021, and in accordance with the Letter of Understanding (LOU) for the Rowen DRI published on June 28, 2021. See Appendix B for the LOU.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

Rowen is a proposed innovation district in Gwinnett County, Georgia. It is a knowledge community which will include a combination of offices, research facilities, public spaces, and residences, driven by three programmatic focuses: medicine, agriculture, and the environment. The planning and visioning of this project is led by the Rowen Foundation Inc., an independent not-for-profit organization.

The proposed development will construct a publicly accessible community for research, education, working, and living. The site will be comprised of a central Town Center, Medium Density Office Parks, and Light Density Office Parks. The Town Center will include Offices, Single and Multi-Family Residential Space, Hotels, Retail, and Civic land use. The anticipated open year of the development is 2035, with additional build-out planned for the future. The future phases are not covered by this TIS.

3.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

3.1.1 Description

The proposed development will construct a publicly-accessible community for research, education, working, and living. The site will be comprised of a central Town Center, Medium Density Office Parks, and Light Density Office Spaces. The Town Center will include Office Space, Single and Multi-Family Residential Space, Hotels, Retail, and Civic land uses. A summary of land uses can be found in Table 1 below.

Location	Land Use	ITE Code	ITE Unit	2035 Totals
Non-Town Center, North of SR 316	Office	710	KSF	500
Non-Town Center, South of SR 316	Office	710	KSF	1,200
	Office	710	KSF	1,670
	Residential	221	DU	300
Town Center	Hotel	310	Rooms	311
	Retail	820	KSF	50
	Civic	760	KSF	25
	Office	710	KSF	3,370
	Residential	221	DU	300
TOTAL	Hotel	310	Rooms	311
	Retail	820	KSF	50
	Civic	760	KSF	25

Table 1: Rowen Land Use

3.1.2 Future Lane Use

The Gwinnett County 2040 Unified Plan classifies the Rowen project area as an "Innovation District." Rowen serves this land classification directly, as it falls within line with the vision and anticipated development of project area. Additional Innovation Districts are designated through Gwinnett County in the areas surrounding SR 316 east of Lawrenceville and surrounding Dacula. The 2040 Unified Plan Future Development Map for Gwinnett County is contained in Appendix C.

3.1.3 Zoning

The existing zoning for the parcels in question are M1 (Light Industry District), M2 (Heavy Industry District), R1400 (Single Family Residence District), and RA200 (Agriculture Residence District).

The area encompassing Rowen is currently designated as "Innovation District" on the long-range 2040 Unified Plan for Gwinnett County. The County is currently defining and writing the text for a specific Zoning Classification as an Overlay that will encompass all land fronting on State Route (SR) 316 from the intersection of SR 316 and SR 8 eastward to Barrow County. The Overlay will allow for all the land uses and densities anticipated within the boundaries of Rowen and is anticipated to be adopted in 2021.

3.1.4 Other Plans or Projects

The Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) GeoPi mapping application, ARC's Regional Transportation Program (RTP), and the Gwinnett County SPLOST program list multiple programmed and planned projects in the vicinity of Rowen, tabulated in Table 2 below. Of these projects, two are located on SR 316 and would directly interact with the proposed development. Both projects involve constructing an interchange and/or a collector-distributor system along SR 316, at Williams Farm Drive and Drowning Creek Road. Both of these interchanges would provide a central point of access for traffic travelling on and off the Rowen Development, increasing capacity and improving operations. The remainder of the projects listed in the project table provide additional capacity and connectivity to the network surrounding Rowen and would not conflict with the proposed development. The relevant Transportation Plans and project-specific documents are contained in Appendix C.

				ARC ID #	Design	ROW /		
Project Name	Project Limits	Sponsor	GDOT PI #	(RTP) or	FY	UTL	CST FY	Source
				Gwinnett #		FY		
Programmed Projects	i							
SR 8 at Dacula Road		Gwinnett						Gwinnett
Intersection and	-	County	n/a	F-1248			2020	SPLOST
Bridge Replacement		county						51 20 51
Tanner Road Safety	Harbins Road to	Gwinnett	n/a	_			TBD	Gwinnett
and Alignment	Drowning Creek Road	County	117 a	-				SPLOST
SR 316 at Williams	-	GDOT	0013900	-	2024	2026	2027	GeoPl
Farm Drive		0001	0013700		2021	2020	2027	00011
SR 316 at Drowning	-	GDOT	0013901	GW-184B	2023	2025	2030	GeoPl
Creek Road								
SR 316 at Kilcrease	-	GDOT	0013902	BA-184E	2022	2023	2030	GeoPl
Road								
SR 316 at Fence Rd	-	GDOT	0013896	-	2017	2022	2024	GeoPl
SR 316 at SR 8 /							}	
Winder Hwy	-	GDOT	0013897	-	2017	2022	2023	GeoPl
CP 316 at Harbins								GeoPi/
	-	GDOT	0013898	F-1253			2020	Gwinnett
KUdu								SPLOST
Sugarload Parkway	from I-85 to SR 316	Gwinnett	0006924	GW-308B	2011	2020-	2030	GeoPI / ARC
Extension		County				2030		RTP
Gwinnett County ITS	Gwinnett County	Gwinnett	0016070	GW-415	N/A	N/A	2021	ARC TIP
Ennancements Patrick Mill Poad		Barrow						
Pridro Poplacomont	Apalachee River	County	0015609	BA-038	2019	2018	2024	ARC RTP
Martins Chapel Road		Gwinnett					-	
Bridge Replacement	Alcovy River	County	0016583	GW-348B	2020	2023	2025	ARC RTP
Harbins Road Park	In the Vicinity of SR	Gwinnett				No		
and Ride Lot	316	County	n/a	GW-428	No Info	Info	2023	ARC TIP
		Barrow	004055	D.4. 005.0	2012	2020		
West Winder Bypass	-	County	001055	BA-005C	2013	2020	2022	ARC TIP
Harbins Road	from CP 216 to CP 9	Gwinnett	n/2	E 1261 01				Gwinnett
Widening		County	11/a	F-1301-01	-	-	-	County
Planned Projects/Lon	g Range						•	
Mount Moriah	from SR 8 to Barrow	Barrow	007921	PA 021			2050	
Widening	County Line	County	007831	DA-UZ I	N/A	N/A	2050	ANCINIF
Fence Road	No Info	No Info	006974	No Info	No Info	No	No	GeoPl
Interchange			000724			Info	Info	00011
SR 211 Widening	From SR 124 to West	Barrow	n/a	BA-013	No Info	No	2050	ARC RTP
	Winder Bypass	County				Info		_
West Winder Bypass	From SR 211 to SR 53	Barrow	001055	BA-005D	No Info	No	2030	ARC RTP
Phase 4		County				Info	L	
Local DRIS								
Inland Pass Mixed	At Harbins Road and	Gwinnett	n/a	DRI 3207	n/a	n/a	2025	DRI Database
Use	SR 316 (SE Corner)	County						

Table 2: Nearby Planned and Programmed Projects

3.2 Map of the Development Area

A map of the study area is presented in Figure 1 and a proposed site plan in Figure 2. The study area map represents the full Rowen Development area, the site plan in Figure 2 represents the area under study for the DRI.





Source: Gwinnett County GIS; Rowen Foundation Inc.

3.3 DRI Plan of Development (Site Plan)

Figure 2 shows the planned layout of the development area contained within the DRI. The site area internal roadways shown in the figure are currently under development and the design of them are informed by the traffic study contained herein. The internal roadway network presented should not be assumed to be the final form.

For full discussion of the Trip Generation, see Section 4.1.



4.0 TRAFFIC ANALYSIS METHODS

The total trips projected for this development based on the projected trip generation and reductions described below is 35,617 trips per day. Note that this differs slightly from the GRTA Letter of Understanding (LOU) which cited the previous estimated volume of 34,693 trips per day. This alteration was due to slight adjustments to number of residential units from 167 DU to 300.

4.1 Trip Generation

The total additional daily trips generated by this development before reductions is 39,227 vehicles per day (vpd) as shown in Table 3. Projected trips were generated per the *Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Handbook*, 3rd Edition and the *ITE Trip Generation Manual*, 10th Edition. The chosen land use types for the development are shown in Table 3. These land uses were used to generate daily and peak hour projections of new trips based average rates of generation.

4.1.1 Trip Reduction due to Internal Capture, Modal Choice, Pass By-Trips

Three methods are proposed for trip reductions of the daily traffic generation of the overall project development: Alternative Mode selection, internal capture within the development, and pass-by reductions based on the *ITE Trip Generation Manual*.

Alternative Mode Reduction – The Rowen development is using a 5% alternative mode reduction, as approved in the LOU. This is a conservative estimate with respect to the trip reduction being used. It acknowledges the vision and goals of the Rowen development; that it will provide for a number of opportunities that allow modal choices other than single-occupancy passenger vehicles. These elements will focus on transit accommodations in various types (traditional bus service, park-and-ride shuttling, ride-hailing, car-sharing, micromobility opportunities, internal circulators, and others). Multimodal improvements also incorporate the pedestrian and bicycle accommodations throughout the site.

Internal Capture Reduction – The internal capture reduction percentage is calculated using the NCHRP 684 spreadsheet tool. The LOU-approved reduction rate is 5% based on conglomerating the AM and PM internal capture calculation. The NCHRP 684 is found in the Appendix D.

Pass-By Trip Reduction - Per the requirements of the GRTA DRI Procedures, no pass-by reduction greater than 15% of the adjacent roadway volume is proposed. The only ITE Trip Generation Land Use Code that pass-by trips are applied to for this DRI are Shopping Center (LU 820). The average daily pass-by trip reduction proposed is 20%. This equates to 340 daily vehicles, well beneath the 15% adjacent road threshold.

4.1.2 Total Traffic Generated

The total trips projected for this development based on these assumptions is 35,617. The details of the Total, AM, and PM peak hour generation are shown in Table 3.

	Land	l Use		Trip Generation	Internal	Capture	Alternati	ve Mode	Pas	s-By
Land Use Type	ITE Code	ITE Unit	Land Use Totals	Generated Daily Trips	Adjustment Factor	Cumulative Adjusted Trips	Adjustment Factor	Cumulative Adjusted Trips	Adjustment Factor	Cumulative Adjusted Trips
Town Cente	er									
Office	710	KSF	1,670	16,267	5%	15,454	5%	14,681	0%	14,681
Residential	221	DU	300	1,632	5%	1,550	5%	1,473	0%	1,473
Hotel	310	Rooms	311	2,600	5%	2,470	5%	2,347	0%	2,347
Retail	820	KSF	50	1,888	5%	1,794	5%	1,704	20%	1,363
Civic	760	KSF	25	282	5%	268	5%	255	0%	255
			Subtotal	22,669		21,536		20,459		20,118
Non-Town	Center	, North	of SR 316							
Office	710	KSF	500	4,870	5%	4,627	5%	4,395	0%	4,395
			Subtotal	4,870		4,627		4,395		4,395
Non-Town	Center	, South	of SR 316							
Office	710	KSF	1,200	11,688	0%	11,688	5%	11,104	0%	11,104
			Subtotal	11,688		11,688		11,104		11,104
		TOT	TAL TRIPS	39,227		37,850		35,958		35,617

Table 3: Rowen Trip Generation

Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition

4.1.3 Trip Distribution

Trip distribution for this report uses assumed percentages based on the location of various land uses. These percentages are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4.

4.1.1 Generated Traffic Volumes per Scenario

The traffic volumes for all scenarios envisioned by this study are shown in Figure 5 through Figure 12. These are for Existing (2035), No Build (2035), and Build (2035).

Note that trips from nearby Inland Pass DRI were included in all year 2035 scenarios.























4.2 Growth Rate

4.2.1 Background Growth

The background traffic growth was calculated with a combination of ARC travel demand model projections, US Census and ARC population projections, and local GDOT count station historical growth. Current background growth values for selected GDOT count stations is shown in Table 4. ARC travel demand model results are shown in Table 5 and Census/ARC population values are shown in Table 6.

Count Station	Roadway	Years	Growth Rate Using Actual Counts
135-0255	SR 316 West of Drowning Creek Road	2010 to 2019	6.05%
013-0363	SR 316 East of Kilcrease Road	2010 to 2019	3.13%
135-0040	SR 8 East of Village Broad Street	2010 to 2019	0.35%
135-0041	SR 8 West of Still Road	2010 to 2019	2.14%
0013-0001	SR 8 West of SR 324	2010 to 2019	3.14%
013-0003	SR 8 East of SR 324	2010 to 2019	3.64%
013-0154	SR 324 North of SR 8	2010 to 2019	5.90%
135-7369	Harbins Road West of Whitley Road	2010 to 2019	7.40%

Table 4:	GDOT	Count Station	Historical	Growth
----------	------	----------------------	------------	--------

Table 5: ARC Travel Demand Model Growth Factors

Facility	Annual Growth Rate (CAGR)				
, actively	2015 to 2030	2030 to 2040			
SR 316	3.4%	1.1%			
SR 8	-0.7%	0.8%			

Table 6: US Census Data and ARC Projected Growth

Voor	Decule	Annual	Gwinnett	Annual	Barrow	Annual
real	Dacula	Growth Rate	County	Growth Rate	County	Growth Rate
		US Census B	Bureau Popu	Ilation Estima	tes	
2010	4,416	-	805,286	-	69,356	-
2016	5,053	2.3%	904,962	2%	77,000	1.8%
2019	5,919	5.4%	936,250	1%	83,240	2.6%
		ARC	Population	Estimates		
2010	-	-	805,321		69,676	-
2015	-	-	859,800	1.3%	75,103	1.5%
2020	-	-	941,300	1.8%	-	
2050	-	-	1,448,676	1.4%	120,361	1.4%

Based on the historical traffic growth, ARC and Census population data, and the ARC travel demand model projects, a 2% background growth rate was used from 2021 to 2035.

This TIS is not proposing to use additional growth rates.

4.3 Analysis Tools

The traffic analysis software Synchro and its internal Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) module was used to perform operational analysis for the study area intersections. Using the methods described in the HCM, Synchro evaluates the performance of an intersection or group of intersections. It determines the average delay experienced by each vehicle due to traffic control devices, which then provides a Level of Service (LOS). Definitions of LOS for Stop Controlled/Roundabout Controlled and Signalized intersections are shown in Table 7 and will be used for this DRI analysis. Default saturation flow rates (1900 vphpl) were used.

	Control Delay Per Vehicle (sec)			
Level of Service	Stop Controlled Intersection	Signalized Intersection		
A	≤ 10	≤ 10		
В	> 10 and ≤ 15	> 10 and ≤ 20		
C	> 15 and ≤ 25	> 20 and ≤ 35		
D	> 25 and ≤ 35	> 35 and ≤ 55		
E	> 35 and ≤50	> 55 and ≤ 80		
F	>50	> 80		

Table 7: Level of Service Definitions

5.0 TRAFFIC ANALYSIS RESULTS

The following intersections were designated as necessary to analyze for review of the DRI impacts. The intersections numbers were chosen so as to conform with the GRTA Letter of Understanding and have no particular significance. Figure 13 shows the geographic location of each intersection.

- 1. SR 316 at Harbins Road
- 2. SR 316 at Williams Farm Road
- 3. SR 316 at Drowning Creek Road
- 4. SR 316 at Kilcrease Road
- 5. Drowning Creek Road at Harbins Road
- 6. Harbins Road at Tanner Road
- 7. Tanner Road at Franklin Drive
- 8. Drowning Creek Road at Old Freeman Mill Road
- 9. SR 8 at Harbins Road / Dacula Road
- 10. SR 8 at Franklin Drive
- 11. SR 8 at Still Road
- 12. SR 8 at Old Freeman Mill Road
- 13. SR 8 at SR 324 / Hill's Shop Road
- 14. SR 8 at Apalachee Church Road
- 15. Brown Bridge Road at Apalachee Church Road
- 16. Dacula Road at Fence Road

The GRTA LOU also contained intersection number 17 – Fence Road at Sugarloaf Parkway interchange, however this intersection has not been examined as there is no specific design available to enable a No Build comparison. Forecast traffic information will be provided to Gwinnett County DOT.



5.1 Intersection Analysis

Each study intersection was examined under the Existing, No Build and Build conditions. Further examination was made to mitigate any intersections that did not meet the LOS D threshold requirement.

A note on terminology in this section:

- *Existing* refers to the roadway conditions in the year 2021 when this analysis was developed, and the Rowen Development is not built.
- *No Build* refers to the condition 2035 where the Rowen Development is not built.
- *Build* refers to year 2035 with the trip generation distributed to the roadway network.
- Unmodified refers to any year or condition where no changes to the traffic control or roadway geometry have been made from the Existing 2021 conditions.
- With Improvements or Mitigated refers to any year or condition where either signal timing, traffic control, or geometric improvements have been incorporated into a scenario to alleviate a failing LOS.

The existing geometry of all study intersections is shown in Figure 14 and Figure 15. The 2025 geometry is shown in Figure 16 and Figure 17. The build scenario geometry is shown in Figure 18 and Figure 19.













5.1.1 Existing Condition (2021), No Build (2035)

٦r

The Existing and No Build conditions LOS results are shown in Table 8 and Table 9, and the Synchro reports can be found in Appendix E and F. These results show that the intersections of SR 316 at Harbins Road, SR 316 at Williams Farm Road, SR 8 at Harbins Road, and Dacula Road at Fence Road all have approaches which fail to meet the minimum LOS D threshold in the 2021 Existing Scenario.

The SR 316 intersections are converted to interchanges and a collector-distributor system in the 2035 No Build Scenarios, and all but SR 316 Eastbound Ramps at Harbins Road operate at an LOS D or better.

Additional intersections drop below the LOS D threshold in the year 2035, including Harbins Road at Tanners Road, which is signalized in the future year, and SR 8 at SR 324 and at Apalachee Church Road.

٦Г

	Intersection		20) (De	21 Existing lay [†] in sec/	LOS veh)	2035 No Build LOS - (Delay [†] in sec/veh) 2035 No Build LOS - (Delay [†] in sec/veh) 2035 No Build LOS With Improvemen (Delay [†] in sec/veh			LOS - nents /veh)		
#	Name	Approach	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak
		EB	Signal	D (37.7)	D (39.8)						
	CD 244 at Usetina	WB	Signal	F (82.8)	D (42.2)						
1	SR 316 at Harbins	NB	Signal	F (107.9)	F (154.0)						
	KUdu	SB	Signal	F (106.2)	F (117.9)						
		Total		E (76.1)	E (66.0)						
		EB	Free	A (0.3)	A (0.2)						
		WB	Free	A (0.1)	A (0.4)						
2	SR 316 at Williams	NB	Stop	F (944.4)	A (0.0)						
	Tann Noau	SB	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)			.			
		Total		D (47.9)	C (20.5)			See Intersect	10ns 101 - 4	401	
		EB	Free	A (0.2)	A (0.1)		At-Grade Int	ersections R	anlaced wit	h Interchan	005
	CD 244 - 1 D	WB	Free	A (0.1)	A (0.0)						
3	SR 316 at Drowning	NB	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)						
	CIEEK Kodu	SB	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)						
		Total		A (0.1)	A (0.1)						
		EB	Signal	B (15.1)	C (21.2)						
		WB	Signal	B (15.4)	C (25.6)						
4	SR 316 at Kilcrease	NB	Signal	E (66.8)	E (64.3)						
	Noad	SB	Signal	F (124.0)	F (141.7)						
		Total		C (26.9)	C (34.5)						
	Drewmine Creat	EB	Free	A (0.4)	A (0.6)	Free	A (0.5)	A (0.6)	Free	A (0.5)	A (0.6)
5	Drowning Creek	WB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
	Road	SB	Stop	B (14.5)	C (20.6)	Stop	C (19.5)	E (47.8)	Stop	C (16.8)	D (33.4)
	noud	Total		A (0.9)	A (2.0)		A (1.2)	A (4.3)		A (1.0)	A (3.1)
		EB	Stop	A (0.0)	E (40.0)	Signal	A (0.0)	E (73.2)	Signal	A (0.0)	E (73.2)
	Harbing Boad at	WB	Stop	C (17.6)	D (29.3)	Signal	C (21.2)	D (40.2)	Signal	C (21.2)	D (40.2)
6	Tanner Road	NB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Signal	B (197)	B (10.0)	Signal	C (20.3)	A (7.7)
		SB	Free	A (0.7)	A (1.7)	Signal	A (2.0)	A (4.4)	Signal	A (1.9)	A (4.2)
		Total		A (3.5)	A (3.5)		B (13.4)	B (10.2)		B (13.7)	A (9.0)

Table 8: Existing and No Build Analysis Results (1 of 3)

٦Г

	Intersection		20: (De	21 Existing elay [†] in sec/	LOS veh)	203 (De	35 No Build elay [†] in sec.	LOS - /veh)	20 Wi (D	35 No Build th Improver elay [†] in sec	LOS - nents /veh)
#	Name	Approach	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak
		EB	Free	A (5.0)	A (2.7)	Free	A (5.1)	A (2.8)	Free	A (5.1)	A (2.8)
7	Tanner Road at	WB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
′	Franklin Drive	SB	Stop	A (10.0)	B (11.5)	Stop	B (10.8)	B (13.4)	Stop	B (10.8)	B (13.4)
		Total		A (3.2)	A (3.3)		A (3.4)	A (3.7)		A (3.4)	A (3.7)
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
	Drowning Creek	WB	Stop	A (9.1)	A (9.0)	Stop	A (9.4)	A (9.5)	Stop	A (9.4)	A (9.5)
8	Road at Old	NB	Free	A (0.8)	A (1.0)	Free	A (0.6)	A (0.8)	Free	A (0.6)	A (0.8)
	Freeman Mill Road	SB	Stop	A (8.5)	A (8.7)	Stop	A (8.6)	A (8.9)	Stop	A (8.6)	A (8.9)
		Total		A (2.4)	A (1.8)		A (2.2)	A (1.6)		A (2.2)	A (1.6)
		EB	Signal	C (30.5)	D (45.4)	Signal	C (34.9)	F (175.8)	Signal	C (34.5)	D (47.4)
	SR 8 at Harbins	WB	Signal	B (19.6)	D (35.8)	Signal	C (31.1)	D (43.7)	Signal	C (27.5)	D (35.1)
9	Road / Dacula Road	NB	Signal	D (47.4)	E (65.0)	Signal	C (32.4)	F (89.8)	Signal	C (32.5)	E (59.2)
		SB	Signal	C (25.7)	D (53.1)	Signal	C (28.1)	E (67.6)	Signal	B (19.8)	D (44.4)
		Total		C (30.9)	D (50.3)		C (31.4)	F (98.9)		C (28.5)	D (47.2)
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
10	SR 8 at Franklin	WB	Free	A (0.7)	A (0.9)	Free	A (0.7)	A (1.0)	Free	A (0.7)	A (1.0)
	Drive	NB	Stop	B (14.3)	C (18.7)	Stop	C (21.2)	E (40.5)	Stop	C (17.6)	C (24.1)
		Total		A (1.6)	A (2.4)		A (2.2)	A (4.8)		A (1.9)	A (3.0)
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
11	SR 8 at Still Road	WB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
1	Sit o at Stitt Road	NB	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
		Total		A (0.0)	A (0.0)		A (0.0)	A (0.0)		A (0.0)	A (0.0)
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
12	SR 8 at Old	WB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.4)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.3)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.3)
12	Freeman Mill Road	NB	Stop	B (10.4)	B (12.6)	Stop	B (11.3)	B (14.9)	Stop	B (11.3)	B (14.9)
		Total		A (0.1)	A (0.2)		A (0.0)	A (0.2)		A (0.0)	A (0.2)
		EB	Signal	B (16.9)	C (24.3)	Signal	C (26.6)	D (44.8)	Signal	B (16.2)	C (22.0)
	SR 8 at SR 374 /	WB	Signal	B (16.8)	B (18.1)	Signal	B (19.3)	C (25.3)	Signal	B (15.0)	B (16.0)
13	Hill's Shop Road	NB	Signal	A (0.0)	A (0.4)	Signal	A (0.0)	A (0.6)	Signal	A (0.0)	A (0.6)
		SB	Signal	C (29.6)	C (33.3)	Signal	D (50.3)	D (48.0)	Signal	D (36.2)	C (32.1)
		Total		B (19.7)	C (24.4)		C (27.6)	D (38.0)		C (19.9)	C (22.6)
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Signal	A (5.1)	A (5.9)
14	SR 8 at Apalachee	WB	Free	A (0.3)	A (0.6)	Free	A (0.3)	A (0.6)	Signal	C (29.5)	B (12.3)
	Church Road	NB	Stop	D (27.3)	D (29.7)	Stop	F (90.3)	F (100.8)	Signal	C (34.8)	C (21.2)
		Total		A (2.4)	A (1.8)		A (7.7)	A (5.5)		C (22.2)	A (9.5)
	Brown Bridge Deed	EB	Stop	A (7.5)	A (7.4)	Stop	A (7.7)	A (7.4)	Stop	A (7.7)	A (7.5)
15	at Analachee	WB	Stop	A (6.9)	A (6.8)	Stop	A (7.0)	A (6.8)	Stop	A (7.0)	A (7.0)
'	Church Road	SB	Stop	A (7.7)	A (7.7)	Stop	A (8.0)	A (7.7)	Stop	A (8.0)	A (7.9)
Charen Road	Total		A (7.4)	A (7.3)		A (7.6)	A (7.3)		A (7.6)	A (7.5)	

Table 9: Existing and No Build Analysis Results (2 of 3)

Intersection			202 (De	21 Existing lay [†] in sec/	LOS veh)	203 (De	35 No Build elay [†] in sec.	LOS - /veh)	20) Wi (D	35 No Build th Improver elay [†] in sec	LOS - ments /veh)
#	Name	Approach	Control	ontrol AM Peak PM Peak		Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak
		EB	Signal	D (53.8)	E (60.7)	Signal	E (55.2)	F (103.4)	Signal	D (38.9)	E (66.8)
	Decula Deed at	WB	Signal	C (29.2)	D (48.2)	Signal	C (33.9)	F (84.6)	Signal	C (30.0)	E (60.6)
16	Fence Road	NB	Signal	B (15.1)	C (28.6)	Signal	D (37.2)	F (140.4)	Signal	B (10.4)	B (14.3)
	Tence Road	SB	Signal	C (24.1)	D (40.1)	Signal	D (41.1)	F (141.4)	Signal	B (19.9)	C (31.8)
		Total		C (27.5)	D (43.8)		D (39.5)	F (120.5)		C (23.0)	D (41.3)
	CP 216 Eastbound	EB				Signal	D (36.4)	D (50.6)	Signal	B (18.A)	D (43.6)
101	Ramps at Harbins	NB				Signal	B (19.2)	D (43.0)	Signal	B (10.1)	C (22.1)
	Road	SB				Signal	A (3.5)	C (29.2)	Signal	A (1.5)	A (7.0)
	nouu	Total					B (20.0)	D (42.0)		B (10.2)	C (25.6)
	CD 216 Westhound	WB				Signal	D (36.6)	C (30.3)	Signal	D (36.6)	C (30.3)
102	Ramps at Harbins	NB				Signal	C (31.2)	B (16.1)	Signal	D (35.1)	C (21.2)
102	Road	SB				Signal	B (17.7)	C (127.7)	Signal	B (16.3)	C (21.5)
		Total					C (27.5)	C (21.8)		C (29.3)	C (22.2)
		EB				Signal	A (1.0)	A (1.2)	Signal	A (1.0)	A (1.2)
	RELOCATED SR 316	WB				Signal	A (3.6)	A (2.3)	Signal	A (3.6)	A (2.3)
201	at Williams Farm	NB				Signal	C (25.5)	B (14.1)	Signal	C (25.5)	B (14.1)
	Road	SB				Signal	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Signal	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
		Total	500	Car laterna tions ()			B (19.0)	A (4.7)		B (19.0)	A (4.7)
	CD 216 Easthound	EB	see	intersection	15 1-4	Signal	A (6.5)	B (15.6)	Signal	A (6.5)	B (15.6)
301	Ramps at Drowning	NB	At-Grade	Intersection	ns Precede	Signal	A (4.0)	A (4.9)	Signal	A (4.0)	A (4.9)
	Creek Road	SB		Interchange	S	Signal	A (1.5)	A (2.0)	Signal	A (1.5)	A (2.0)
		Total					A (4.0)	A (7.6)		A (4.0)	A (7.6)
	CD 216 Wastbound	WB				Signal	A (10.0)	A (0.1)	Signal	A (10.0)	A (0.1)
302	Ramps at Drowning	NB				Signal	A (2.0)	A (1.4)	Signal	A (2.0)	A (1.4)
502	Creek Road	SB				Signal	A (4.9)	A (3.8)	Signal	A (4.9)	A (3.8)
		Total					A (5.3)	A (2.2)		A (5.3)	A (2.2)
	CP 216 Eastbound	EB				Signal	C (28.1)	D (37.5)	Signal	C (28.1)	D (37.5)
401	Ramps at Kilcrease	NB				Signal	A (6.7)	A (7.7)	Signal	A (6.7)	A (7.7)
	Road	SB				Signal	A (2.7)	A (3.5)	Signal	A (2.7)	A (3.5)
		Total					B (10.2)	B (16.0)		A (5.3)	A (2.2)
	SR 316 Westhound	WB				Signal	C (20.6)	B (18.6)	Signal	C (20.6)	B (18.6)
402	Ramps at Kilcrease	NB				Signal	A (2.7)	A (4.2)	Signal	A (2.7)	A (4.2)
	Road	SB				Signal	A (3.4)	A (3.5)	Signal	A (3.4)	A (3.5)
1		Total					A (6.6)	A (6.2)		A (6.6)	A (6.2)

Table 10: Existing and No Build Analysis Results (3 of 3)

5.1.2 Build Conditions (2035)

The 2035 No Build, 2035 Build unmodified road network and 2035 Build with improvements conditions LOS results are shown in Table 11 and Table 12, and the corresponding Synchro reports can be found in Appendix G.

Results show that the only intersections requiring mitigation due to the additional volumes produced by the Rowen Development are Drowning Creek Road at Old Freeman Mill Road, Drowning Creek Road at Harbins Road, SR 8 at Franklin Drive, and SR 8 at Old Freeman Mill Drive. These intersections either are a direct connection from Rowen to the study network, or require the addition of turn lanes to support a connection. Any other intersection operating LOS E or lower did so in both the Build and No Build Scenarios. Table 11 and Table 12 also show the results of modifications to the intersections. These recommended modifications will be discussed in more detail in Section 6.0.

The eastbound approach of Harbins Road and Tanners Road operates at a Level of Service E in the mitigated scenario in the PM peak, due to the approach being a one-way driveway, with a maximum of 4 and 5 vehicles per hour during peak hour. The Fence Road approaches of Dacula Road at Fence intersection operate at an LOS E in the PM peak period, improved from LOS F in the 2035 No Build and Build unmitigated scenarios. The overall intersection operates at LOS D in the PM peak period, and it was determined that further mitigations to improve the side street would be excessive.

			20)35 Build LOS - 2035 Build L			5 Build LOS	-
	Intersection		(Do	130^{\dagger} in sec.	(ob)	With	Improveme	nts
			(De	ay in sec.	en)	(Dela	y [†] in sec/ve	eh)
#	Name	Approach	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak
	Note: See	Intersections	101 - 401; At	-Grade Inter	sections Repl	aced with Interd	changes	
	Drowning Creek	EB	Free	A (4.0)	A (1.4)	Signal	A (5.8)	B (19.1)
5	Road at Harbins	WB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Signal	A (5.4)	B (11.1)
	Road	SB	Stop	F (89.5)	F (839.0)	Signal	B (11.9)	B (15.8)
		Total		A (8.1)	F (191.7)		A (6.0)	B (15.7)
		EB	Signal	A (0.0)	E (73.2)	Signal	A (0.0)	E (71.0)
	Harbing Doad at	WB	Signal	B (19.6)	D (41.6)	Signal	C (31.8)	D (41.6)
6	Tanner Road	NB	Signal	C (24.8)	B (11.5)	Signal	B (12.7)	B (11.0)
		SB	Signal	A (4.6)	A (5.3)	Signal	A (3.3)	A (5.0)
		Total		B (16.2)	B (14.5)		B (12.5)	B (14.0)
		EB	Free	A (1.5)	A (2.5)	Free	A (1.5)	A (2.5)
7	Tanner Road at	WB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
'	Franklin Drive	SB	Stop	B (11.8)	C (20.9)	Stop	B (11.8)	C (20.9)
		Total		A (2.2)	A (3.3)		A (2.2)	A (3.3)
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Roundabout	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
	Drowning Creek	WB	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Roundabout	B (11.3)	A (4.2)
8	Road at Old	NB	Free	F (396.5)	C (31.9)	Roundabout	B (10.3)	A (4.2)
	Freeman Mill Road	SB	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Roundabout	A (9.4)	B (10.8)
		Total		F (353.8)	B (10.8)		B (10.2)	A (7.8)
		EB	Signal	D (39.4)	F (175.8)	Signal	D (39.1)	D (53.6)
	CD 9 at Harbins	WB	Signal	D (35.9)	D (39.7)	Signal	C (33.0)	C (33.0)
9	Boad / Dacula Boad	NB	Signal	E (56.7)	F (98.2)	Signal	D (40.4)	E (58.7)
		SB	Signal	C (31.5)	E (79.6)	Signal	C (20.9)	D (45.5)
		Total		D (41.3)	F (101.8)		C (32.9)	D (48.7)
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
10	SR 8 at Franklin	WB	Free	A (0.8)	A (0.9)	Free	A (0.8)	A (0.9)
	Drive	NB	Stop	D (25.1)	E (49.7)	Stop	C (20.0)	C (27.0)
		Total		A (2.4)	A (5.4)		A (2.0)	A (3.1)
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
11	CD 9 at Still Dood	WB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
	SK O AL SLIIL KUAD	NB	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
		Total		A (0.0)	A (0.0)		A (0.0)	A (0.0)

Table 11: Build Analysis Results (1 of 3)

	Intersection		20	35 Build LC)S -	2035 Build LOS - With Improvements			
			(De	lay [™] in sec/\	/eh)	(Dela	v [†] in sec/ve	eh)	
#	Name	Approach	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	
12	SR 8 at Old	WB	Free	A (1.8)	A (0.9)	Free	A (1.8)	A (0.9)	
12	Freeman Mill Road	NB	Stop	C (19.4)	F (58.1)	Stop	B (18.5)	C (29.1)	
		Total		A (1.7)	A (7.1)		A (1.7)	A (3.7)	
		EB	Signal	D (38.8)	F (155.0)	Signal	B (14.0)	B (19.2)	
		WB	Signal	B (19.3)	C (25.3)	Signal	D (40.4)	C (27.2)	
13	SK & at SK 324 / Hill's Shop Boad	NB	Signal	A (0.00	A (0.6)	Signal	A (0.00	A (0.4)	
	That is shop hoad	SB	Signal	D (41.2)	D (46.0)	Signal	C (33.0)	D (48.9)	
		Total		D (28.7)	E (75.1)		C (33.5)	C (30.7)	
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Signal	A (5.0)	A (5.9)	
14	SR 8 at Apalachee	WB	Free	A (0.3)	A (0.6)	Signal	C (27.1)	B (12.3)	
14	Church Road	NB	Stop	F (90.3)	F (100.8)	Signal	D (36.4)	C (21.2)	
		Total		A (7.7)	A (5.5)		C (20.9)	A (9.5)	
	Brown Bridge Deed	EB	Stop	A (7.8)	A (7.7)	Stop	A (7.8)	A (7.7)	
15	at Apalachoo	WB	Stop	A (7.6)	A (7.2)	Stop	A (7.6)	A (7.2)	
		SB	Stop	A (8.2)	A (8.0)	Stop	A (8.2)	A (8.0)	
	endren noda	Total		A (7.8)	A (7.6)		A (7.8)	A (7.6)	
		EB	Signal	D (55.0)	F (103.4)	Signal	D (46.5)	E (66.3)	
	Dacula Road at	WB	Signal	D (41.2)	F (84.9)	Signal	D (43.9)	E (67.2)	
16	Fence Road	NB	Signal	D (50.1)	F (255.7)	Signal	B (13.8)	B (17.8)	
	rence noud	SB	Signal	F (88.3)	F (162.7)	Signal	C (30.4)	C (32.0)	
		Total		F (58.6)	F (160.8)		C (31.8)	D (42.3)	
	CP 216 Eastbound	EB	Signal	D (38.2)	E (66.3)	Signal	C (21.2)	D (44.9)	
101	Ramps at Harbins	NB	Signal	C (19.3)	D (38.5)	Signal	B (13.1)	C (22.7)	
	Road	SB	Signal	A (3.8)	D (52.8)	Signal	A (2.2)	B (16.5)	
		Total		C (20.9)	D (52.1)		B (12.9)	C (28.5)	
	SP 316 Wostbound	WB	Signal	D (38.4)	D (42.7)	Signal	D (38.4)	D (42.7)	
102	Ramps at Harbins	NB	Signal	C (24.3)	C (23.7)	Signal	C (27.3)	C (28.2)	
	Road	SB	Signal	B (14.7)	C (26.7)	Signal	B (15.8)	C (24.4)	
		Total		C (22.8)	C (27.1)		C (24.9)	C (28.4)	
		EB	Signal	F (435.2)	A (5.0)	Signal	A (9.3)	A (4.4)	
	RELOCATED SR 316	WB	Signal	D (44.6)	A (5.9)	Signal	A (4.1)	A (5.8)	
201	at Williams Farm	NB	Signal	C (34.1)	F (209.5)	Signal	B (17.6)	B (13.1)	
	Road	SB	Signal	C (24.4)	F (580.8)	Signal	B (11.9)	B (13.5)	
		Total		F (222.5)	F (380.3)		A (8.4)	B (12.0)	

Table 12: Build Analysis Results (2 of 3)

	Intersection		20 (De	35 Build LC lay [†] in sec/v)S - /eh)	2035 Build LOS - With Improvements (Delay [†] in sec/veh)		
#	Name	Approach	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak
		EB	Signal	E (74.1)	C (29.0)	Signal	C (29.2)	C (30.4)
201	SR 316 Eastbound	NB	Signal	C (24.0)	C (20.8)	Signal	B (18.4)	B (18.5)
501	Creek Road	SB	Signal	B (16.7)	C (20.9)	Signal	A (8.9)	B (16.7)
	CICCK Road	Total		D (48.7)	C (22.3)		C (22.0)	B (19.7)
		WB	Signal	E (69.3)	C (20.7)	Signal	D (59.3)	C (20.7)
202	SR 316 Westbound	NB	Signal	C (32.7)	B (17.5)	Signal	D (39.5)	B (18.3)
302	Creek Road	SB	Signal	B (13.1)	C (21.9)	Signal	B (10.1)	C (20.8)
	Creek Road	Total		D (43.9)	C (20.6)		D (46.8)	C (20.1)
	CD 244 Faathaund	EB	Signal	C (30.3)	D (38.4)	Signal	C (30.3)	D (38.4)
401	SR 316 EastDound	NB	Signal	A (7.1)	A (9.8)	Signal	A (7.1)	A (9.8)
401	Road	SB	Signal	A (2.9)	A (4.5)	Signal	A (2.9)	A (4.5)
	Noud	Total		B (11.6)	B (19.4)		B (11.6)	B (19.4)
	CD 244 Weath averal	WB	Signal	C (20.6)	B (18.6)	Signal	C (20.6)	B (18.6)
102	SR 316 Westbound	NB	Signal	A (2.8)	A (4.7)	Signal	A (2.8)	A (4.7)
	Road	SB	Signal	A (3.0)	A (3.3)	Signal	A (3.0)	A (3.3)
	Nodu	Total		A (6.0)	A (6.1)		A (6.0)	A (6.1)

Table 13: Build Analysis Results (3 of 3)

IDENTIFIED NEEDS 6.0

The following improvements are recommended to address transportation needs due to the Rowen development. These projects will mitigate the intersections that were identified in section 5.0 as exceeding the LOS D threshold as required by GRTA. The proposed improvements are show in Figure 20, Figure 21, Figure 22 and Figure 23.

Proposed Improvements by 2035 6.1

Table 14: Proposed Improvements (1 of 3)

	Intersection		2035	No Build Improvements	2035 Buil	d Improvements		
#	Name	Approach	Proposed Improvement	Justification	Proposed Improvement	Justification		
		EB	Add left turn lane		Same as No Build			
F	Drowning Creek Road at	WB	Add right turn lane	Even though intersection's overall LOS is A, the LOS for southbound	Same as No Build	Southbound approach has LOS F and excessive delay		
5	Harbins Road	SB	Add right turn lane	approach is E without these improvements.	Same as No Build	without signalization.		
		Overall	-		Signalize Intersection			
		EB	-		-			
		WB	-		-			
6	Harbins Road at Tanner Road	NB	-	Intersection has LOS E without the improvements. Adding a southbound left turn lane improves intersection LOS to B and the left turn volume meets criterial for left turn signal	-	Same as No Build		
		SB	Add left turn lane; change left-turn phasing to protected/permissive		Same as No Build			
		Overall -			-			
		EB	-		Add additional approach lane resulting in left-thru lane and a right turn slip lane			
		WB - owning Creek Road at Id Freeman Mill Road NB -			Add additional approach lane resulting in left-thru lane and an exclusive right turn lane	The build condition adds significant traffic to intersection		
8	Drowning Creek Road at Old Freeman Mill Road			-	Add additional approach lane resulting in an exclusive left turn lane and a thru-right lane	#8 as the main roadway providing access to SR 316. Intersection #8 will be too close to the proposed interchange for any improvement to result in satisfactor.		
		SB	-		Add additional approach lane resulting in left-thru lane and an exclusive right turn lane	LOS.		
		Overall	-		Convert to roundabout]		
		EB	Add additional left turn lane; add auxiliary thru lane to terminate at Franklin Dr	The intersection operates at LOS F. Current configurations will	Same as No Build			
		WB	Add additional left turn lane	allow the dual lefts (eastbound/westbound) to have two receiving lanes. The southbound thru with a single lane has 715 vph during	Same as No Build			
9	SR 8 at Harbins Road / Dacula Road	NB	Add right turn lane	to thru/right shared allows it to operate as auxiliary thru lane. Ideally, Harbins Road/Dacula Road would be widened to 4 lanes	Same as No Build	Same as No Build		
		SB	Convert right turn lane to shared thru/right lane.	since it connects to proposed interchange at SR 316 and a general shortage of N/S connectivity compared to the other two state	Same as No Build			
		Overall	Ideally Harbins Road/Dacula Road would be widened to 4 lanes*	routes (SR 316, SR 8) running E/W in this section.	Same as No Build			

Table 15: Proposed I	mprovements (2 of 3)
----------------------	----------------------

	Intersection		2035	No Build Improvements	2035 Bui
#	Name	Approach	Proposed Improvement	Justification	Proposed Improvement
		EB			
10	SR 8 at Franklin Drive	WB	-	Intersection LOS is A; however, northbound approach LOS is E without the improvements. The porthbound right turn lane	-
		NB	Add right turn lane	improves LOS for the approach to D	Same as No Build
		Overall	-		-
		EB	-		-
		WB	-	Intersection operates split phase with heavy SBL volume during PM peak (575 vph). This utilizes significant green time causing the	-
13	SR 8 at SR 324 / Hill's Shop Road	NB	-	eastbound left (which does not meet criterial for left turn signal) to operate at LOS F even though the eastbound approach overall operates at LOS D. The exclusive left turn lane allows for	
		SB	Add exclusive left turn lane	southbound allows two lanes for SBL which frees up more time on the mainline to create gaps for eastbound lefts	Same as No Build; Also convert left-turn phasing to protected/permissive
		Overall	-		-
		EB	-		
14	SR 8 at Apalachee	WB	-	LOS for northbound approach is E with a shared left/right lane due to heavy traffic on mainline. Separating the right and left turn	
14	Church Road	NB	Add right turn lane	higher left turn volume and remains LOS F even with the turn lanes without signalization.	Same as No Build
		Overall	Signalize Intersection		Same as No Build
		EB	Allow two thru lanes; add right turn lane; add additional left turn lane (dual lefts)	Intersection has limited capacity already. Proposed improvement	Same as No Build
		WB	Allow two thru lanes; add right turn lane; add additional left turn lane (dual lefts)	can address localized congestion and improve LOS at the intersection from F to D. However, the eastbound and westbound	Same as No Build
16	Dacula Road at Fence Road	NB	Allow two thru lanes; add right turn lane	approacnes will still be at LOS E due to the eastbound and westbound left turns having LOS F. If both roads are not widened to 4 lanes, the second thru lanes can end as right turn lanes at Kroger	Same as No Build
		SB	Allow two thru lanes; add right turn lane; add additional left turn lane (dual lefts)	shopping for northbound on Dacula Road, at Dacula High School for southbound, at park and right lot for westbound and at Dacula	Same as No Build
		Overall Ideally, widen both roads to 4 lanes.*		Elementary School for eastbound.	Same as No Build

*Not required Blue Text indicates additional improvement recommended for Build Scenario

I Improvements
Justification
Same as No Build
Projected traffic volume for PM peak under build scenario meets criteria for left turn signal and installing it will improve LOS
Same as No Build
Same as No Build. Intersection has limited capacity already. Proposed improvement can address localized congestion and improve LOS at the intersection from F to D. However, the eastbound and westbound approaches will still be at LOS E due to the eastbound and westbound left turns having LOS F.

	Intersection		2035	No Build Improvements	2035 Buil
#	Name	Approach	Proposed Improvement	Justification	Proposed Improvement
		EB	Add additional right turn lane		Same as No Build
101	SR 316 Eastbound Ramps	NB	Add additional receiving lane for EBR, terminating at Alcovy Road	There are heavy right turns in both AM & PM peaks, particularly the PM with 740 yph. Even though the approach overall is at LOS D	Same as No Build
101	at Harbins Road	SB	-	the right turn movement without dual right is at LOS E.	-
		Overall -		-	
		EB	-		Add right and left turn lanes
		WB	-		Add right and left turn lanes
201	RELOCATED SR 316 at Williams Farm Road	NB	-	-	Add right and left turn lanes
		SB - Overall -			Add right and left turn lanes
					Add right and left turn lanes
		EB			Add additional exclusive left turn lane
201	301 SR 316 Eastbound Ramps at Drowning Creek Road	NB	-		-
301		SB	-		-
		Overall	-		-

Table 16: Proposed Improvements (3 of 3)

*Not required

Blue Text indicates additional improvement recommended for Build Scenario

6.2 Signal Warrant Analysis

Signal Warrant Analyses were conducted using the available turning movements counts for all intersections under consideration for signalization in the 2035 No Build and Build scenarios. Below is a list of intersections which warrant a signal, and the scenario in which they are warranted.

- #5 Harbins Road at Drowning Creek Road (2035 Build)
- #8 Harbins Road at Old Freeman Mill Road (2035 Build)
- #14 SR 8 at Apalachee Church Road (2035 No Build)

Signal Warrant Analysis documentation can be found in Appendix H.

d Improvements
Justification
Same as No Build
This intersection is another major connection to SR 316 from the Rowen property and thus the build condition adds significant traffic. Adding exlusive turn lanes allows the intersection to operate at LOS A.
The build condition adds significant traffic to this intersection as it is one of two major connection points to SR 316 from the Rowen property. An additonal right turn lane on the ramp improves the eastbound approach operations from LOS E to LOS C.

Table 17: All Analysis Results (1 of 3)

Intersection			202 (De	21 Existing lay [†] in sec/ [•]	LOS veh)	203 (De	2035 No Build LOS - (Delay [†] in sec/veh)			2035 No Build LOS - With Improvements (Delay [†] in sec/veh)			2035 Build LOS - (Delay [†] in sec/veh)			2035 Build LOS - With Improvements (Delay [†] in sec/veh)					
#	Name	Approach	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak				
		EB	Signal	D (37.7)	D (39.8)																
	SR 316 at Harbins	WB	Signal	F (82.8)	D (42.2)																
1	Road	NB	Signal	F (107.9)	F (154.0)																
		SB	Signal	F (106.2)	F (117.9)																
		Total		E (76.1)	E (66.0)																
		EB	Free	A (0.3)	A (0.2)																
	SR 316 at Williams Farm Road	WB	Free	A (0.1)	A (0.4)																
2		NB	Stop	F (944.4)	A (0.0)																
		SB	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)		See Intersections 101 - 101														
		Total		D (47.9)	C (20.5)				See intersections 101 - 401												
		EB	Free	A (0.2)	A (0.1)			At-Grade Intersections Replaced with Interchanges													
	SP 216 at Drowning	WB	Free	A (0.1)	A (0.0)		At-Orade intersections replaced with interchanges														
3	Creek Road	NB	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)																
	Creek Roud	SB	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)																
		Total		A (0.1)	A (0.1)																
	SR 316 at Kilcrease Road	EB	Signal	B (15.1)	C (21.2)																
		WB	Signal	B (15.4)	C (25.6)																
4		NB	Signal	E (66.8)	E (64.3)																
		SB	Signal	F (124.0)	F (141.7)																
		Total		C (26.9)	C (34.5)																
	Drowning Crook	EB	Free	A (0.4)	A (0.6)	Free	A (0.5)	A (0.6)	Free	A (0.5)	A (0.6)	Free	A (4.0)	A (1.4)	Signal	A (5.8)	B (19.1)				
5	Drowning Creek Road at Harbins	WB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Signal	A (5.4)	B (11.1)				
	Road	SB	Stop	B (14.5)	C (20.6)	Stop	C (19.5)	E (47.8)	Stop	C (16.8)	D (33.4)	Stop	F (89.5)	F (839.0)	Signal	B (11.9)	B (15.8)				
	nouu	Total		A (0.9)	A (2.0)		A (1.2)	A (4.3)		A (1.0)	A (3.1)		A (8.1)	F (191.7)		A (6.0)	B (15.7)				
		EB	Stop	A (0.0)	E (40.0)	Signal	A (0.0)	E (73.2)	Signal	A (0.0)	E (73.2)	Signal	A (0.0)	E (73.2)	Signal	A (0.0)	E (71.0)				
	Harbing Road at	WB	Stop	C (17.6)	D (29.3)	Signal	C (21.2)	D (40.5)	Signal	C (21.2)	D (40.2)	Signal	C (22.6)	D (54.6)	Signal	C (31.8)	D (44.3)				
6	Tanner Road	NB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Signal	B (14.7)	B (13.9)	Signal	B (16.8)	B (18.7)	Signal	B (18.7)	B (18.4)	Signal	C (32.9)	C (32.1)				
	Tannet Road	SB	Free	A (0.7)	A (1.7)	Signal	A (5.2)	F (111.5)	Signal	A (7.3)	B (15.7)	Signal	F (289.4)	F (282.2)	Signal	B (16.3)	C (21.0)				
		Total		A (3.5)	A (3.5)		B (12.1)	D (64.4)		B (13.9)	B (19.3)		F (125.7)	F (140.5)		C (26.2)	C (29.5)				
		EB	Free	A (5.0)	A (2.7)	Free	A (5.1)	A (2.8)	Free	A (5.1)	A (2.8)	Free	A (1.5)	A (2.5)	Free	A (1.5)	A (2.5)				
7	Tanner Road at	WB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)				
'	Franklin Drive	SB	Stop	A (10.0)	B (11.5)	Stop	B (10.8)	B (13.4)	Stop	B (10.8)	B (13.4)	Stop	B (11.8)	C (20.9)	Stop	B (11.8)	C (20.9)				
		Total		A (3.2)	A (3.3)		A (3.4)	A (3.7)		A (3.4)	A (3.7)		A (2.2)	A (3.3)		A (2.2)	A (3.3)				
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Roundabout	A (0.0)	A (0.0)				
	Drowning Creek	WB	Stop	A (9.1)	A (9.0)	Stop	A (9.4)	A (9.5)	Stop	A (9.4)	A (9.5)	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Roundabout	B (11.3)	A (4.2)				
8	Road at Old	NB	Free	A (0.8)	A (1.0)	Free	A (0.6)	A (0.8)	Free	A (0.6)	A (0.8)	Free	F (396.5)	C (31.9)	Roundabout	B (10.3)	A (4.2)				
	Freeman Mill Road	SB	Stop	A (8.5)	A (8.7)	Stop	A (8.6)	A (8.9)	Stop	A (8.6)	A (8.9)	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Roundabout	A (9.4)	B (10.8)				
		Total		A (2.4)	A (1.8)		A (2.2)	A (1.6)		A (2.2)	A (1.6)		F (353.8)	B (10.8)		B (10.2)	A (7.8)				

Intersection		20: (De	2021 Existing LOS (Delay [†] in sec/veh)2035 No Build LOS - (Delay [†] in sec/veh)2035 No Build LOS - With Improvements)S - /eh)	2035 Build LOS - With Improvements (Delay [†] in sec/veh)								
#	Name	Approach	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak
		EB	Signal	C (30.5)	D (45.4)	Signal	C (34.9)	F (175.8)	Signal	C (34.5)	D (45.3)	Signal	D (39.4)	F (175.8)	Signal	D (39.1)	D (53.6)
	SP 8 at Harbins	WB	Signal	B (19.6)	D (35.8)	Signal	C (31.1)	D (43.7)	Signal	C (27.5)	D (35.3)	Signal	D (35.9)	D (39.7)	Signal	C (33.0)	C (33.0)
9	Road / Dacula Road	NB	Signal	D (47.4)	E (65.0)	Signal	D (44.5)	F (84.0)	Signal	D (46.5)	E (60.7)	Signal	D (47.2)	F (82.4)	Signal	D (39.5)	D (53.0)
		SB	Signal	C (25.7)	D (53.1)	Signal	C (28.1)	E (67.6)	Signal	B (19.8)	D (43.9)	Signal	C (31.5)	E (79.6)	Signal	C (20.9)	D (45.5)
		Total		C (30.9)	D (50.3)		D (35.2)	F (97.5)		D (32.9)	D (46.9)		D (38.5)	F (97.7)		C (32.6)	D (47.2)
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
10	SR 8 at Franklin	WB	Free	A (0.7)	A (0.9)	Free	A (0.7)	A (1.0)	Free	A (0.7)	A (1.0)	Free	A (0.8)	A (0.9)	Free	A (0.8)	A (0.9)
	Drive	NB	Stop	B (14.3)	C (18.7)	Stop	C (21.2)	E (40.5)	Stop	C (17.6)	C (24.1)	Stop	D (25.1)	E (49.7)	Stop	C (20.0)	C (27.0)
		Total		A (1.6)	A (2.4)		A (2.2)	A (4.8)		A (1.9)	A (3.0)		A (2.4)	A (5.4)		A (2.0)	A (3.1)
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
	SR 8 at Still Road	WB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
11		NB	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Stop	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
		Total		A (0.0)	A (0.0)		A (0.0)	A (0.0)		A (0.0)	A (0.0)		A (0.0)	A (0.0)		A (0.0)	A (0.0)
	SR 8 at Old Freeman Mill Road	EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
12		WB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.4)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.3)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.3)	Free	A (1.8)	A (0.9)	Free	A (1.8)	A (0.9)
12		NB	Stop	B (10.4)	B (12.6)	Stop	B (11.3)	B (14.9)	Stop	B (11.3)	B (14.9)	Stop	C (19.4)	F (58.1)	Stop	B (18.5)	C (29.1)
		Total		A (0.1)	A (0.2)		A (0.0)	A (0.2)		A (0.0)	A (0.2)		A (1.7)	A (7.1)		A (1.7)	A (3.7)
		EB	Signal	B (16.9)	C (24.3)	Signal	C (26.6)	D (44.8)	Signal	B (16.2)	C (22.0)	Signal	D (38.8)	F (155.0)	Signal	B (14.0)	B (19.2)
		WB	Signal	B (16.8)	B (18.1)	Signal	B (19.3)	C (25.3)	Signal	B (15.0)	B (16.0)	Signal	B (19.3)	C (25.3)	Signal	D (40.4)	C (27.2)
13	SR 8 at SR 324 /	NB	Signal	A (0.0)	A (0.4)	Signal	A (0.0)	A (0.6)	Signal	A (0.0)	A (0.6)	Signal	A (0.00	A (0.6)	Signal	A (0.00	A (0.4)
	піц з зпор коай	SB	Signal	C (29.6)	C (33.3)	Signal	D (50.3)	D (48.0)	Signal	D (36.2)	C (32.1)	Signal	D (41.2)	D (46.0)	Signal	C (33.0)	D (48.9)
		Total		B (19.7)	C (24.4)		C (27.6)	D (38.0)		C (19.9)	C (22.6)		D (28.7)	E (75.1)		C (33.5)	C (30.7)
		EB	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Signal	A (5.1)	A (5.9)	Free	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Signal	A (5.0)	A (5.9)
	SR 8 at Apalachee	WB	Free	A (0.3)	A (0.6)	Free	A (0.3)	A (0.6)	Signal	C (29.5)	B (12.3)	Free	A (0.3)	A (0.6)	Signal	C (27.1)	B (12.3)
14	Church Road	NB	Stop	D (27.3)	D (29.7)	Stop	F (90.3)	F (100.8)	Signal	C (34.8)	C (21.2)	Stop	F (90.3)	F (100.8)	Signal	D (36.4)	C (21.2)
		Total		A (2.4)	A (1.8)		A (7.7)	A (5.5)		C (22.2)	A (9.5)		A (7.7)	A (5.5)		C (20.9)	A (9.5)
		EB	Stop	A (7.5)	A (7.4)	Stop	A (7.7)	A (7.4)	Stop	A (7.7)	A (7.5)	Stop	A (7.8)	A (7.7)	Stop	A (7.8)	A (7.7)
	Brown Bridge Road	WB	Stop	A (6.9)	A (6.8)	Stop	A (7.0)	A (6.8)	Stop	A (7.0)	A (7.0)	Stop	A (7.6)	A (7.2)	Stop	A (7.6)	A (7.2)
15	at Apalachee	SB	Stop	A (7.7)	A (7.7)	Stop	A (8.0)	A (7.7)	Stop	A (8.0)	A (7.9)	Stop	A (8.2)	A (8.0)	Stop	A (8.2)	A (8.0)
	Church Koau	Total		A (7.4)	A (7.3)		A (7.6)	A (7.3)		A (7.6)	A (7.5)		A (7.8)	A (7.6)		A (7.8)	A (7.6)
		EB	Signal	D (53.8)	E (60.7)	Signal	E (55.2)	F (103.4)	Signal	D (38.9)	E (66.8)	Signal	D (55.0)	F (103.4)	Signal	D (46.5)	E (66.3)
	Danula Division	WB	Signal	C (29.2)	D (48.2)	Signal	C (33.9)	F (84.6)	Signal	C (30.0)	E (60.6)	Signal	D (41.2)	F (84.9)	Signal	D (43.9)	E (67.2)
16	Dacula Road at	NB	Signal	B (15.1)	C (28.6)	Signal	D (37.2)	F (140.4)	Signal	B (10.4)	B (14.3)	Signal	D (50.1)	F (255.7)	Signal	B (13.8)	B (17.8)
	I EIICE ROdu	SB	Signal	C (24.1)	D (40.1)	Signal	D (41.1)	F (141.4)	Signal	B (19.9)	C (31.8)	Signal	F (88.3)	F (162.7)	Signal	C (30.4)	C (32.0)
		Total		C (27.5)	D (43.8)		D (39.5)	F (120.5)		C (23.0)	D (41.3)		F (58.6)	F (160.8)		C (31.8)	D (42.3)

Table 18: All Analysis Results (2 of 3)

Table 19:	All Analysis F	Results (3 of 3)
-----------	----------------	------------------

Intersection			2021 Existing LOS (Delay [†] in sec/veh)		2035 No Build LOS - (Delay [†] in sec/veh)			2035 No Build LOS - With Improvements (Delay [†] in sec/veh)			2035 Build LOS - (Delay [†] in sec/veh)			2035 Build LOS - With Improvements (Delay [†] in sec/veh)			
#	Name	Approach	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak	Control	AM Peak	PM Peak
101	CD 244 Fasthaund	EB	-			Signal	D (36.7)	E (58.3)	Signal	B (18.2)	D (43.6)	Signal	D (38.9)	E (56.6)	Signal	C (20.9)	D (44.9)
	Ramps at Harbins Road	NB			Signal	B (19.1)	D (45.5)	Signal	B (12.8)	C (24.7)	Signal	C (20.2)	D (52.5)	Signal	B (16.9)	C (27.6)	
		SB				Signal	A (8.0)	C (27.4)	Signal	A (4.7)	A (6.5)	Signal	B (10.2)	E (58.6)	Signal	A (7.6)	B (10.9)
		Total					C (21.0)	D (45.2)		B (12.3)	C (26.5)		C (22.9)	E (55.6)		B (15.9)	C (28.7)
	CD 244 Marthaurd	WB				Signal	D (36.6)	C (30.3)	Signal	D (36.6)	C (30.3)	Signal	D (38.4)	D (42.4)	Signal	D (38.4)	D (42.4)
102	SR 316 Westbound	NB				Signal	B (15.3)	B (13.5)	Signal	B (18.6)	B (18.7)	Signal	B (15.4)	B (13.4)	Signal	B (16.8)	B (18.1)
102	Road	SB			Signal	B (13.4)	B (16.6)	Signal	B (12.1)	B (14.9)	Signal	B (17.4)	C (20.7)	Signal	C (22.7)	C (20.3)	
	Noud	Total					B (16.8)	B (16.3)		B (18.3)	B (18.4)		C (18.2)	C (19.6)		C (20.7)	C (21.8)
		EB				Signal	A (1.0)	A (1.2)	Signal	A (1.0)	A (1.2)	Signal	F (435.2)	A (5.0)	Signal	A (9.3)	A (4.4)
	RELOCATED SR 316 at Williams Farm Road	WB	See Intersections 1-4		Signal	A (3.6)	A (2.3)	Signal	A (3.6)	A (2.3)	Signal	D (44.6)	A (5.9)	Signal	A (4.1)	A (5.8)	
201		NB			Signal	C (25.5)	B (14.1)	Signal	C (25.5)	B (14.1)	Signal	C (34.1)	F (209.5)	Signal	B (17.6)	B (13.1)	
		SB			Signal	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Signal	A (0.0)	A (0.0)	Signal	C (24.4)	F (580.8)	Signal	B (11.9)	B (13.5)	
		Total				B (19.0)	A (4.7)		B (19.0)	A (4.7)		F (222.5)	F (380.3)		A (8.4)	B (12.0)	
	SR 316 Eastbound Ramps at Drowning Creek Road	EB		ns 1-4	Signal	A (6.5)	B (15.6)	Signal	A (6.5)	B (15.6)	Signal	E (74.1)	C (29.0)	Signal	C (29.2)	C (30.4)	
201		NB		ns Procodo	Signal	A (4.0)	A (4.9)	Signal	A (4.0)	A (4.9)	Signal	C (24.0)	C (20.8)	Signal	B (18.4)	B (18.5)	
100		SB	ALGIAUE	Interchange		Signal	A (1.5)	A (2.0)	Signal	A (1.5)	A (2.0)	Signal	B (16.7)	C (20.9)	Signal	A (8.9)	B (16.7)
		Total		.5		A (4.0)	A (7.6)		A (4.0)	A (7.6)		D (48.7)	C (22.3)		C (22.0)	B (19.7)	
		WB			Signal	A (10.0)	A (0.1)	Signal	A (10.0)	A (0.1)	Signal	E (69.3)	C (20.7)	Signal	D (59.3)	C (20.7)	
202	SR 316 Westbound	NB		Signal	A (2.0)	A (1.4)	Signal	A (2.0)	A (1.4)	Signal	C (32.7)	B (17.5)	Signal	D (39.5)	B (18.3)		
302	Creek Road	SB			Signal	A (4.9)	A (3.8)	Signal	A (4.9)	A (3.8)	Signal	B (13.1)	C (21.9)	Signal	B (10.1)	C (20.8)	
	Creek Road	Total				A (5.3)	A (2.2)		A (5.3)	A (2.2)		D (43.9)	C (20.6)		D (46.8)	C (20.1)	
		EB			Signal	C (28.1)	D (37.5)	Signal	C (28.1)	D (37.5)	Signal	C (30.3)	D (38.4)	Signal	C (30.3)	D (38.4)	
401	SR 316 Eastbound	NB		Signal	A (6.7)	A (7.7)	Signal	A (6.7)	A (7.7)	Signal	A (7.1)	A (9.8)	Signal	A (7.1)	A (9.8)		
401	Road	SB			Signal	A (2.7)	A (3.5)	Signal	A (2.7)	A (3.5)	Signal	A (2.9)	A (4.5)	Signal	A (2.9)	A (4.5)	
	Noad	Total				B (10.2)	B (16.0)		A (5.3)	A (2.2)		B (11.6)	C (19.4)		C (22.0)	B (19.7)	
		WB			Signal	C (20.6)	B (18.6)	Signal	C (20.6)	B (18.6)	Signal	C (20.6)	B (18.6)	Signal	C (20.6)	B (18.6)	
102	Bamps at Kilcrosso	NB			Signal	A (2.7)	A (4.2)	Signal	A (2.7)	A (4.2)	Signal	A (2.8)	A (4.7)	Signal	A (2.8)	A (4.7)	
402	Road	SB				Signal	A (3.4)	A (3.5)	Signal	A (3.4)	A (3.5)	Signal	A (3.0)	A (3.3)	Signal	A (3.0)	A (3.3)
	Noud	Total				A (6.6)	A (6.2)		A (6.6)	A (6.2)		A (6.0)	A (6.1)		A (6.0)	A (6.1)	

7.0 CRITERIA FOR GRTA REVIEW

The Rowen Development is evaluated and summarized here per the review criteria contained in Section 4. 3 of the DRI Review Procedures. It is our contention that the Rowen Development meets all goals and objectives of GRTA and ARC.

7.1 Accessibility

"The Project is designed to provide safe, quality, and convenient access and provides the flexibility of non-vehicular transportation options from the proposed development to existing or planned pedestrian, bicycle, or transit facilities such that there is a likelihood of significant use by residents, employees and visitors to the Project."

The Rowen Development incorporates a complete streets philosophy throughout the development, as evidenced by Rowen Design Guidelines, summarized in Figure 24 and reviewable in Appendix A:

Figure 24: Excerpt from Rowen Design Guidelines

- C. Transportation Network, Complete Streets and Walkability
 - i. The transportation network should support connectivity within Rowen and to the surrounding district.
 - ii. The transportation network should value and seek to advance alternative forms of transportation.
 - iii. The transportation network considers walking a vital form of transportation.
 - iv. All streets will be complete streets including facilities for bike, pedestrian, and transit.
 - v. The maximum design speed for streets shall be 30 MPH and shall be designed to promote traffic calming.
 - vi. Walkability will address reasons to walk, pedestrian safety, pedestrian comfort, and pedestrian interest along all walkways.
 - vii. Typical street sections shall provide for multiple modes of transportation, afford areas for landscape enhancements including opportunities for areas of formal street tree plantings and environmental restoration.
 - viii. The transportation network should be considered as a laboratory for appropriately testing, measuring, and validating innovative concepts in all forms of transportation and last mile connectivity.
- D. Distribution of Land Uses
 - i. The Master Plan should seek to distribute land uses in a manner which supports accessibility to a wide range of companies and institutions.
 - ii. Uses should be distributed in a manner that affords all users services and access to open space.

7.2 Connectivity

"The Project is likely to promote improved regional mobility in terms of new vehicular connections, on-site vehicular movements, and alternate routes that are likely to operate in a safe and efficient manner and avoid delays during peak periods. The Project is designed to maximize bicycle and pedestrian connections within the site as well as promote efficient and direct connections to external bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure. The Project is designed to incorporate existing and planned transit accessing the site. Street and sidewalk networks are designed, to the extent possible, in a manner that provides multiple travel paths for vehicles and pedestrians thus minimizing traffic bottlenecks and providing more direct pedestrian routes."

The Rowen Development incorporates new and revised connections for all vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle modes of travel. These connections are planned in cooperation with the surrounding jurisdictions of Gwinnett County, Barrow County, City of Dacula, City of Auburn, and the State of Georgia, and the known or planned improvements ongoing covered under various master plans or programmed projects. The intra-site connectivity is under design, but the roadway/sidewalk/path network is being designed to provide adequate connections to the proposed roadway intersections with SR 8, Harbins Road, Tanners Road, SR 316, Browns Bridge Road, and others. These connections will incorporate planned pathways linking the Apalachee River trail, the planned Piedmont Trail following the SR 316 corridor, and other pedestrian trails linking to the City of Dacula and to elsewhere in Gwinnett County.

The Rowen Development will incorporate improved connections to SR 316, providing alternate routes for vehicles to access eastern Gwinnett County with improved roadway infrastructures. These connections will have multiple routes through the site, to avoid unnecessary congestion during peak congestion periods.

While there are no specific Transit stops incorporated into the plan, there are discussions with Gwinnett County Transit and the Rowen Site Plan will incorporate stops and needed or desired to provide access to a growing transit system.

7.3 Access Management

"The Project is designed so that vehicular ingress and egress to any on-site parking facilities and all access points to adjacent public roads are likely to operate in a safe and efficient manner and are not reasonably anticipated to result in peak hour ingress and egress congestion on adjacent roads and at nearby intersections. The Project is designed so that pedestrian and bicycle trips accessing the site are designed in a direct and safe manner that encourages walking and bicycling trips. Additionally, vehicle access management and driveways are designed in a way that does not inhibit bicycle and pedestrian mobility or safety."

The access management philosophy of the Rowen Development follows their design guidelines, shown in Appendix A, and emphasizes the complete street nature of the development and incorporates at the beginning traffic calming principles. These guidelines and principles will enable safe movement of all modes of travel throughout Rowen. The development guidelines also incorporate the plan for SR 316 to be fully access controlled through Gwinnett County and toward the City of Athens. All designs of driveways and parking facilities will follow the Gwinnett County Universal Development Ordinance, and the Rowen Design Guidelines.

7.4 Regional Policies and Adopted Plans

"The Project is likely to promote improved regional mobility because it is located in a center or corridor where the Regional Commission, Local Government, or other government entity has an approved land use plan whereby the Project aligns with the plan's vision; or the Project has included in the proposed Site Plan components which will assist in the implementation of a transportation project currently in the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), a local TSPLOST or other adopted local or regional plan. The Project is designed to not preclude the proposed alignment or timeline of programmed transportation projects that were programmed before the Project was filed with the permitting Local Government."

The Rowen Development is in line with the Gwinnett Future Land Use plan, incorporating the "Innovation District" on the long-range 2040 Unified Plan for Gwinnett County. Rowen is actively coordinating with GDOT on programmed improvements along SR 316 (Pls 0013898 through 0013902) to ensure the under-work projects take Rowen into account. The Rowen Development actively supports these GDOT projects to allow for a fully-limited access facility along SR 316.

All the identified Programmed or Planned improvements shown in Table 2 and the trails and paths adjacent to or within the Rowen footprints are being accommodated by the Rowen Development to ensure ease of design and eventual construction.

7.5 Local Standards Supporting Regional Policies

"The Project is located within a local jurisdiction, or other jurisdictional agencies, with adopted codes that support regionally adopted policies, or the development codes and standards do not prohibit or impede the Project from meeting the GRTA DRI review criteria."

The Rowen Development is located within, and is actively sponsored by, Gwinnett County. Gwinnett County has codes and policies from the codified Unified Development Ordinance to Gwinnett County Department of Transportation policies of engagement that assist in meeting the goals and objectives of GRTA.

7.6 Transportation and Traffic Analysis

"The Project is reasonably anticipated to comply with planned or programmed improvements, maintain performance measures for preserving regional mobility and air quality, provide safe and efficient operations, and minimizes congestion when the proposed development or phase of development is complete. The quality of the proposed and existing infrastructure in the transportation network operates in a safe manner and adequately serves new trips generated by the Project in the Build-Out Year. The Project identifies impacts on existing or programmed infrastructure, and proposes mitigation that is feasible and within the control of the Applicant or appropriate agencies to implement."

As demonstrated in Sections 5.0 and 6.0, all study intersections except one either meet or are mitigated to meet the LOS threshold requirements of GRTA in the build year. The sole exception is the eastbound approach of Harbins Road and Tanners Road (intersection #6) that operates at a Level of Service E. The number of vehicles being served by that movement is very small (maximum 5 in the peak hour) and the improvements necessary to achieve a LOS D are considered impractical.

7.7 Relationship to Existing Development and Infrastructure

"The Project is not located in any area where the existing level of development and availability of infrastructure is such that the Project is reasonably anticipated to result in unplanned and poorly

served development which would not otherwise occur until well-planned growth and development and adequate public facilities are available."

The Rowen Development is undergoing intensive design and review to ensure seamless connectivity with the surrounding land uses. The area is greenfield and all necessary infrastructure connections to supply the project are incorporated into the planning process. The project has strong local support and no issues with water, sewer, power, communications, or other utility connections are anticipated. The Rowen Foundation understands the need for a strong infrastructure in place to attract the development that is anticipated by this TIS and has already contracted a design firm as of June 2021 to begin the process of designing the roadway, water, and sewer infrastructure.