

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: July 21, 2021 ARC REVIEW CODE: R2107211

TO: Chairwoman Nicole Love Hendrickson, Gwinnett County

ATTN TO: Catherine Long, Long Range Planning Manager FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARC RE:

Development of Regional Impact Review

Digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a preliminary regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI's relationship to regional plans, goals and policies - and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This preliminary report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the local government.

Name of Proposal: King David Retirement Community (DRI #3296)

Submitting Local Government: Gwinnett County Review Type: DRI

Date Opened: July 21, 2021 **Deadline for Comments**: Aug. 5, 2021 **Date to Close**: Aug. 10, 2021

<u>Description</u>: A Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review of a proposal to build a retirement community and daycare facility on the site of the existing King David Community Center in unincorporated Gwinnett County. The project proposes 700 units of senior housing and 10,000 square feet of daycare. The 3.34acre site is located at 5054-5064 Singleton Road, across from the end of Tech Drive and west of Indian Trail Lilburn Road NW. The nearest state routes are Beaver Ruin Road (SR 378), approximately 2 miles northeast, and Interstate 85 at Exit 101, approximately 1.3 miles northwest. The site is served by Gwinnett County Transit routes #20 and #30. The local trigger action is a Special Use Permit application. This project is seeking expedited review because of limited trip generation of fewer than 1,000 new daily trips. Expected buildout is 2025.

PRELIMINARY COMMENTS: According to the Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), a component of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this project is in the Developed/Established Suburbs area of the region. General policy recommendations for this area are listed at the end of these comments.

This DRI appears to manifest certain aspects of regional policy, including many of those mentioned later in this narrative. The DRI plan contemplates the conversion of an existing non-profit senior adult day health/program facility and child daycare facility into a major senior residential complex with a range of integrated services for both residents and day program participants, including meals, medical care, and activities.

The project can support alternative transportation modes as the location is served by Gwinnett County Transit routes #20 and #30 very nearby on Singleton Road, as well as continued private shuttle service for residents and day program participants. Shuttle activity is expected to decrease sharply from current levels because of the introduction of the residential units onsite. Many if not most current day program participants will presumably relocate to live at the facility in the future.

As mentioned above, there is the potential, primarily for the facility's employees, to access the site on foot from bus stops nearby. To accommodate this movement, care should be taken to ensure that the development, as constructed, promotes an interconnected, functional, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all streets, paths, entrances, and parking areas – as well as its frontage on Singleton Road to connect to the bus stops as seamlessly as possible. To the maximum extent possible, new driveways and intersection corners where pedestrians will cross should be constructed with minimal curb radii to reduce speeds of turning vehicles and decrease crossing distances for pedestrians. The development team is also encouraged to ensure that end-of-trip facilities (bicycle racks or similar storage facilities, showers, lockers, etc.) are provided for workers onsite.

Additional comments on from ARC's Transportation Access & Mobility Group are attached.

The project can further support The Atlanta Region's Plan in general by incorporating other aspects of regional policy, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design, e.g., pervious pavers, rain gardens, vegetated swales, etc., throughout the site in general, in parking areas, on site driveways, and as part of any improvements to site frontages. Additional comments on water resources from ARC's Natural Resources Group are attached.

The intensity of this proposed project generally aligns with The Atlanta Region's Plan's recommended parameters regarding density and building height in Developed/Established Suburbs. In terms of land use, this project is in an area of mixed land uses, including single family residential, multifamily residential and commercial. County leadership and staff, along with the applicant team, must collaborate to ensure sensitivity to nearby local governments, neighborhoods and natural resources to the greatest extent possible.

Further to the above, Developed/Established Suburbs are generally areas of residential development that occurred after 1970 to around 1995 and are projected to remain suburbs through 2040. General policy recommendations include:

- New development should connect to the existing road network and adjacent developments and use of cul-de-sacs or other means resulting in disconnected subdivisions should be discouraged
- Maximize the usefulness of existing recreational facilities in addition to providing new recreational opportunities
- Eliminate vacant or under-utilized parking areas through mechanisms such as out-parceling or conversion to community open space
- Use rain gardens, vegetated swales or other enhanced water filtration design to enhance the quality of stormwater run-off

• Identify other opportunities to foster a sense of community by developing town centers, village centers or other places of centralized location

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA ENVIRONMENTAL FINANCE AUTHORITY
CITY OF LILBURN

ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS & MOBILITY
ARC AGING & HEALTH RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
GEORGIA SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION COMMISSION
CITY OF NORCROSS

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
SRTA/GRTA
GWINNETT COUNTY
GWINNETT COUNTY TRANSIT

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Andrew Smith at (470) 378-1645 or asmith@atlantaregional.org. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews.



DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Commission for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to generate impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is located, for example in adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included in this packet and offer your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to ARC on or before the specified return deadline.

o ARC on or before the specified return deadline.
3296) See the Preliminary Report.
Comments must be emailed to: Andrew Smith Atlanta Regional Commission
asmith@atlantaregional.org Ph. (470) 378-1645
Return Date: August 5, 2021

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

ARC REVIEW CODE: R2107211

DATE: July 21, 2021

	ARC Group Managers	
FROM	M: Andrew Smith, 470-378-1645	
	Review	ing staff by Jurisdiction:
Comr	nunity Development: Smith, Andrew	Transportation Access and Mobility: Little, Aries
Natur	ral Resources: Santo, Jim	Research and Analytics: Skinner, Jim
<u>Aging</u>	g and Health Resources: Perumbeti, Katie	·
Revie Descr facility 700 ur from t	y on the site of the existing King David Communits of senior housing and 10,000 square feet of dehe end of Tech Drive and west of Indian Trail Liximately 2 miles northeast, and Interstate 85 a	RI) review of a proposal to build a retirement community and daycare unity Center in unincorporated Gwinnett County. The project proposes aycare. The 3.34-acre site is located at 5054-5064 Singleton Road, across lburn Road NW. The nearest state routes are Beaver Ruin Road (SR 378), at Exit 101, approximately 1.3 miles northwest. The site is served by
		l trigger action is a Special Use Permit application. This project is seeking
_		fewer than 1,000 new daily trips. Expected buildout is 2025.
	<u>litting Local Government:</u> Gwinnett County <u>Opened: July 21, 2021</u>	
	line for Comments: August 5, 2021	
	to Close: August 10, 2021	
Date	to Glose. August 10, 2021	
		Response:
1)	☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following	ng regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)	☐ While neither specifically consistent nor in guide listed in the comment section.	aconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
3)	☐ While neither specifically consistent nor in guide listed in the comment section.	aconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
4)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the f	following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
5)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal does NOT relate to any development	opment guide for which this division is responsible.
6)	$\hfill \square$ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant fo	r the reasons listed in the comment section. COMMENTS:
		COMMITM (15)





Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home Tier Map **Apply View Submissions** <u>Login</u>

DRI #3296

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Gwinnett County

Individual completing form: Ashley Nichols Telephone: 678-518-6215

E-mail: ashley.nichols@gwinnettcounty.com

*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: King David Retirement Community

Location (Street Address, 5054 and 5064 Singleton Road, Norcross, GA 30093 GPS Coordinates, or Legal

Land Lot Description):			
Brief Description of Project:		Retirement Community with children's daund parking.	aycare. There are also 2 floors of
Development Type:			
(not selected)		OHotels	OWastewater Treatment Facilities
Office		OMixed Use	OPetroleum Storage Facilities
Commercial		OAirports	OWater Supply Intakes/Reservoirs
OWholesale & Distribution		OAttractions & Recreational Facilities	OIntermodal Terminals
OHospitals and Health Care	Facilities	Post-Secondary Schools	OTruck Stops
Housing		OWaste Handling Facilities	OAny other development types
Olndustrial		Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants	
If other development type, de	scribe:		
Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.):		3	
Developer:	King Dav	id Community Center of Atlanta, Inc	
Mailing Address:	5054 Sing	gleton Road	
Address 2:			
	City:Norc	ross State: GA Zip:30093	
Telephone:	770-931-	8591	
Email:	hollandar	c@aol.com	
Is property owner different from developer/applicant?	O(not se	elected) Yes No	
If yes, property owner:			
Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?	O(not s	elected) Yes No	
If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?			
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	O(not se	elected) Yes No	
If yes, provide the following	Project N	ame: KD Towers	

information:	Project ID: 2413
The initial action being requested of the local government for this project:	Sewer
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	◯(not selected)◯Yes ⊚ No
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?	
	This project/phase: 2025 Overall project: 2025
Back to Top	

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact





Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home

Tier Map

Apply

View Submissions

<u>Login</u>

DRI #3296

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Gwinnett County

Individual completing form: Catherine Long

Telephone: 678-518-6106

Email: catherine.long@gwinnettcounty.com

Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: King David Retirement Community

DRI ID Number: 3296

Developer/Applicant: King David Community Center of Atlanta, Inc

Telephone: 770-931-8591

Email(s): kdccgeneral@kingdavidcenter.com

Additional Information Requested

Has the RDC identified any additional information

required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no,

(not selected) Yes No

proceed to Economic Impacts.) If yes, has that additional

information been provided (not selected) Yes No to your RDC and, if

applicable, GRTA?

If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.

Economic Development

Estimated Value at Build-Out:

\$200,000,000

Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax,

King David Community Center is a 501(c)(3) nont-taxable corp.

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand

sales tax) likely to be

generated by the proposed development:

(not selected) Yes No created by the proposed

project?

Will this development (not selected) Yes No displace any existing uses?

If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc):

Water Supply

Name of water supply provider for this site:

Gwinnett County Department of Water Resources

What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.177 MGD	
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No	
If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity: potential capacity issues downstream of proposed development, potential upsizing of downstream sewer needed to accommodate sewer flows generated by development, sewer capacity certification is required to determine extent of infrastructure improvements needed, coordinate with DWR on potential impacts generated by proposed deceleration lane on existing 24-in water transmission main during all stages of construction. provide cross sections of improvements over the 24-in transmission lane for review and approval		
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	○(not selected) ○Yes ◎No	
If yes, how much additional	line (in miles) will be required?	
	Wastewater Disposal	
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Gwinnett County Wastewater	
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.187 MGD	
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	○(not selected) Yes No	
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand existing wastewater treatment capacity:	
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	○(not selected)○Yes◎No	
If yes, how much additional li	ne (in miles) will be required?	
	Land Transportation	
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	Net increase added to adjacent street projected to be 724 ADT.	
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	○(not selected) Yes No	
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No	
If yes, please describe below intersection	Addition of a east bound decel lane accessing the site entry at the Singleton Rd/Tech Drive	
Solid Waste Disposal		
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)? Is sufficient landfill capacity	672 tons	
available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No	
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand existing landfill capacity:	
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	○(not selected) Yes No	
If yes, please explain:		

Stormwater Management

What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the 73.4% proposed development has been constructed?		
Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management:Stormwater Mgmt will be provided onsite via underground detention to meet channel protection and detention requirements and a new propriety structural device to be installed on the outlet of the underground system that will promote infiltration to meet runoff reduction. The proposed project has received USACE and GA EPD buffer reductions and unnamed tributary piping to meet state and federal standards.		
	Environmental Quality	
Is the development located w	vithin, or likely to affect any of the following:	
Water supply watersheds?	(not selected) Yes No	
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	(not selected) Yes No	
3. Wetlands?	(not selected) Yes No	
4. Protected mountains?	(not selected) Yes No	
5. Protected river corridors?	(not selected) Yes No	
6. Floodplains?	(not selected) Yes No	
7. Historic resources?	(not selected) Yes No	
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	(not selected) Yes No	
If you answered yes to any q	uestion above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:	
Back to Top		

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact

KING DAVID RETIREMENT COMMUNITY DRI

Gwinnett County Natural Resources Group Comments July 19, 2021

While ARC and the Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District have no regulatory or review authority over this project, the Natural Resources Group has identified County and State regulations that could apply to this property. Other regulations may also apply that we have not identified.

Watershed Protection and

The proposed project is located in the Yellow River Watershed, which is not a water supply watershed in the Atlanta Region and no Part 5 Environmental Minimum Planning Criteria for water supply watersheds apply.

Stream Buffers

Neither the USGS coverage for the project area nor the submitted site plan shows any perennial streams on or near the project property. Any unmapped streams on the property may be subject to the requirements of the Gwinnett County Stream Buffer Ordinance. Any waters of the state on the property will be subject to the State 25-foot Erosion and Sediment Control Buffer.

Stormwater / Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality.

During the planning phase, the stormwater management system (system) should meet the requirements of the local jurisdiction's post-construction (or post-development) stormwater management ordinance. The system should be designed to prevent increased flood damage, streambank channel erosion, habitat degradation and water quality degradation, and enhance and promote the public health, safety and general welfare. The system design should also be in accordance with the applicable sections of the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) such as design standards, calculations, formulas, and methods. Where possible, the project should use stormwater better site design practices included in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual, Volume 2, Section 2.3.

During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements.



regional impact + local relevance

Development of Regional Impact

Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

DRI INFORMATION

DRI Number #3296

DRI Title King David Retirement Community

County Gwinnett County

City (if applicable) Norcross

Address / Location 5054 and 5064 Singleton Road

Proposed Development Type:

The develop proposes to build a retirement community consisting of 700 units of senior housing and a 10,000 sq. ft. day-care facility on the existing King David

Community Center.

Build Out: 2025

Review Process X EXPEDITED

NON-EXPEDITED

REVIEW INFORMATION

Prepared by ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division

Staff Lead Aries Little

Copied Marquitrice Mangham

Date July 20, 2021

TRAFFIC STUDY

Prepared by Holland Architects

Date Click here to enter a date.

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS

-	ncorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting acent jurisdictions?
YES (provide the reg	tional plan referenced and the page number of the traffic study where relevanted)
⊠ NO (provide comme	nts below)
· -	no does not include projects from the RTP's fiscally constrained project list. ojects within the project area: GW-427, GW-415, and GW-385.
REGIONAL NETWORKS	
02. Will the development s	ite be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares
including walking, bicy places in metropolitar application of special to maintain travel effice Regional Thoroughfard access, the network reaction. Any accombined with the de	are is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, voling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important a Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order ciency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that less serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and exceives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro excess points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, evelopment's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of a possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.
□ NO	
XES (identify the roo	ndways and existing/proposed access points)
	two access points located on Singleton Rd which is not a regional ever, to the east of the project site, Indian Trail Rd bisects Singleton Rd and is nal thoroughfare.

03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

\boxtimes	NO
	YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

\boxtimes	NOT APPLICABLE (neare	st station more than one mile away)	
	RAIL SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)		
	Operator / Rail Line		
	Nearest Station	Click here to enter name of operator and rail line	
	Distance*	☐ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)	
		0.10 to 0.50 mile	
		0.50 to 1.00 mile	
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity	
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete	
		Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	
		Click here to provide comments.	

Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
	☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	☐ Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
	 Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Transit Connectivity	Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
	Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
	No services available to rail station
	Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.
* Following the most di development site	rect feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the

05. If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
CST planned within TIP period
CST planned within first portion of long range period
CST planned near end of plan horizon

Click here to provide comments.

06. If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

	NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
	NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
\boxtimes	NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
	YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
	CST planned within TIP period
	CST planned within first portion of long range period
	CST planned near end of plan horizon

Click here to provide comments.

07. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the connection a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)						
SERVICE WITHIN	SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)					
Operator(s)	Gwinnett County Transit					
Bus Route(s)	20 & 30					
Distance*	igotimes Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)					
	0.50 to 1.00 mile					
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity					
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete					
	 Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed) 					
	Click here to provide comments.					
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity					
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity					
	Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets					
	 Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed) 					

development site

			provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within development site is located?		
	Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a comprehensive operations plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to serving the site during the evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the nature of the development is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and any routes within a one mile radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make these connections a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.				
		NO YES			
	Gwi	nnett County Transit ope	rates within the jurisdiction.		
		ne development site is wi accessibility conditions.	thin one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information		
Access between major developments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting pand jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally signific or trail is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the facilities is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the roll funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.					
	\square	NOT APPLICABLE (negre	st nath or trail more than one mile away)		
NOT APPLICABLE (nearest path or trail more than one mile away) YES (provide additional information below)					
		Name of facility	Click here to provide name of facility.		
		Distance	Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)		
			0.15 to 0.50 mile		
			0.50 to 1.00 mile		
		Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity		
			Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete		
			Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)		
		Bicycling Access*	Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity		

Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity

Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets	
Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not con the type of development proposed	nsistent with
* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point of development site	on the
OTHER TRANSPORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	
10. Does the site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible local road or drive connections with adjacent parcels?	aisle
The ability for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the arterial or collector roadway networks can save time and reduce congestion. Such opposhould be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever	rtunities
YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)	
YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelo	p)
NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they rede	velop)
OTHER (Please explain)	•
11. Does the site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations with development site safely and conveniently?	nin the
The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently red reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Develop plans should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key destinations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for acreage sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.	ment site
YES (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever bicyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)	practical and
PARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not comprehensive and/or direct)	
NO (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)	
NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walk bicycling trips)	ing and
OTHER (Please explain)	

make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop) ent site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels) precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop) adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future) the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to and bicycling trips) ctively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, trians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding or and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is nomic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move asantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be zing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, other facilities.
ent site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels) precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop) adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future) the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to g and bicycling trips) Ctively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, trians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding of and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is nomic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move asantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be going the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, other facilities.
adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future) the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to g and bicycling trips) ctively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, trians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is nomic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move asantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be ating the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, other facilities.
adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future) the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to g and bicycling trips) ctively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, trians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is nomic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move asantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be zing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, other facilities.
the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to g and bicycling trips) ctively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, trians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is nomic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move asantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be azing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, other facilities.
ctively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, trians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is nomic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move asantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be zing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, other facilities.
trians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is nomic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move asantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be zing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, other facilities.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
rning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)
e or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primar ing routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately)
ruck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavil cyclists and/or motorists)
the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/c imes, so the potential for conflict is negligible)

	YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)
	NO (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
15.	. Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?
	NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)
	YES (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
16.	. ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):

Developments of Regional Impact (DRI) Methodology Meeting Packet Template for the <u>Limited Trip Generation Memo</u>

DRI Name & Number: King David Retirement Community DRI #3296

Pre-Review / Methodology Meeting Date: July 12, 2021

Project Rendering: The official Site Plan will be submitted as a separate file alongside the Methodology

Meeting Packet.

Project Orientation (Section 2.2.1):

Permitting Local Government: Gwinnett County

Additional Local Government(s) with development approval authority: N/A

DRI Trigger: Redevelopment

DRI Trigger Application / Permit #: 3296

Qualifying DRI Threshold Exceeded: # Residential Housing Units

Zoning:

Existing: OI: Office-Institutional

Proposed: No change

<u>Project Information</u>: The site includes 700 dwelling units of congregate care and approximately 10,000 square feet of child day care services.

Project Location:

GPS Coordinates: 33.9130925,-84.1634434

<u>Location Description</u>: 5054 and 5064 Singleton Road, Norcross, GA 30093. The site will be developed on approximately 3 acres south of Singleton Road and west of Indian Trail Lilburn Road. Currently, the site is occupied by the King David Community Center, an abandoned adjacent lot, and associated uses which will be demolished as part of the re-development.

<u>Unified Growth Policy Map land use area designation</u>: Established Suburbs. Online unified growth policy map layers currently unavailable.

Neighboring Jurisdiction(s): None

Project Orientation Map (Section 2.2.1.2):



<u>Project Driveways & Access Points:</u> There is an existing access for the site at the signalized intersection of Singleton Road and Tech Drive that will continue to provide access to the proposed re-development. An additional right in / right out access is planned west of the existing full signalized access.

Project Build Out Year & Phase(s): 2023 - 1 Phase

Net Average Daily Trips (ADT) & Requested Review Schedule (Section 4.2.2): 724 ADT; Expedited Review - Limited Trip Generation Memo

Government Stakeholders (Section 1.2.2) Gwinnett County, GDOT District #1, Gateway 85 CID

<u>Applicant Stakeholders (Section 1.2.2)</u>: Anatoliy Iskhakov, Property Owner; Chanan Moshe, Structural Engineer; Stephen Holland, Architect; Chris Maddox, Traffic Engineer

<u>Applicant Email & Mailing Address</u>: Catherine Long, Gwinnett County: One Justice Square, 446 West

Crogan Street, Suite 300 Lawrenceville, Georgia 30046, P&DZoning@gwinnettcounty.com

Stephen Holland, Holland Architects, PC: 1560 Cave Rd NW, Atlanta, GA 30327 hollandarc@aol.com

Planning Context:

Programmed Projects (Section 2.2.2.1, Section 2.2.2.3): N/A

Project Name	From / To Points:	Sponsor	GDOT PI#	ARC ID	Design		/CST
				(TIP)	FY	UTL FY	FY
						•	•

Programmed Project Attached Design Documents: None

<u>Transportation Project Interaction with DRI</u> (Section 2.2.2.5): *None*

Planned Projects (Section 2.2.2.2): N/A

Project Name	From / To Points:	Potentia I	Project ID #	Project Timeline	Planning Document
		Sponsor			

Land Use and Zoning (Section 2.2.1.1, Section 2.2.2.2):

Existing Zoning: OI Office-Institutional

Future Land Use Map Zoning: Land use to remain the same.

Land Use Vision & Goals: Development to fit within existing land use and goals of the area.

<u>Relation to Existing Land Use Plans:</u> Same land use to remain. <u>Chattahoochee River / Metropolitan River Protection Act: N/A</u>

Alternative Mode Access (Section 2.2.3)

Existing Alternative Transportation Map (Section 2.2.3.1):



Bicycle and Pedestrian Context (Section 2.2.3.3):

<u>Description of Existing Infrastructure</u>: Existing sidewalk along entire frontage of proposed development. Traffic signal at development entrance provides signalized pedestrian crossings to sidewalk on other side of the street. No existing bicycle facilities.

Sidewalk & Streetscape Ordinance Standards: N/A

<u>Potential Pedestrian & Bicycle Destinations</u>: Shopping centers and restaurants across the street from the development.

<u>Transit Accommodations</u> (Section 2.2.3.4):

Existing Transit Routes: Gwinnett County Transit (GCT) Bus Routes 20 & 30

Existing High Capacity Transit Stations: None.

<u>Existing Transit Service Details</u>: Monday through Saturday Operation. Headways 10-50 minutes depending on day and time of operation.

https://www.gwinnettcounty.com/static/departments/transportation/routes/2021/WebSystems

MapLocalFeb2021.pdf

Proposed Pedestrian Route to Access Transit: GCT bus shelters approximately 500' from

signalized development driveway, with existing sidewalk from the driveway to the bus shelters.

Transit Stop Ridership: Data not available.

Transit Stop Amenity Standards: Covered and sided shelters with bench and trash can.

Trip Generation & Adjustments

<u>Trip Generation Inputs (Section 2.2.4.1):</u>

ITE Trip Generation Manual Used: 10th Edition

ITE Land Use Code(s): 253 - Congregate Care Facility; 565 - Day Care

ITE Independent Variable Inputs for each Land Use Code: 253 - # Units; 565 - sq. ft

Day & Time of Day of ITE Surveys: Weekday, standard peak periods

ITE Trip Generation Formula Used:

253-Congregate Care (x= # units): ADT = 1.63(x); AM Peak = 0.05(x); PM Peak = 0.15(x)

253-Congregate Care (x = 700 units): ADT = 1141 trips; AM Peak = 35 trips; PM Peak = 105 trips

565-Day Care (x= 1K sq. ft): ADT = 17.84(x); AM Peak = 4.92(x); PM Peak = 4.48(x)565-Day Care (x= 10 K sq. ft): ADT = 178 trips; AM Peak = 49 trips; PM Peak = 48 trips

Total new daily trips = 1141 trips + 178 trips = 1319 trips

<u>Trip Generation Calculation Alternative Approaches</u>: The lowest rate for congregate care facility trip generation was utilized since car ownership is not expected by residents. The day care trip generation rate used was one standard deviation lower than the average due to the assumed shared trips between the day care and the rest of the new facility. Although additional services are proposed as part of the site plan, they have been accounted for as ancillary services, which are described as part of ITE land use 253; Congregate Care Facility.

Trip Generation Reductions:

Redeveloped Square Footage:

565-Day Care (5,000 sq. ft): ADT = 238 trips; AM Peak = 55 trips; PM Peak = 56 trips

495-Adult Rec Center/Day Care (7,000 sq. ft): ADT = 202 trips; AM Peak = 12 trips; PM Peak = 16 trips

Total redeveloped daily trips = 238 trips + 202 trips = 440 trips

<u>Alternative Mode Reduction</u> (Section 2.2.4.2):

Contributing Factors:

<u>Summary of Existing and Proposed Bicycle / Pedestrian / Transit:</u> Multiple adjacent transit routes and existing sidewalk expected to be utilized by residents, but none accounted for in reductions.

Parking Requirements & Proposed Amount: 400 spaces proposed

Alternative Parking Provided: N/A

Affordable Housing: All 700 units of new development will be affordable housing.

Transportation Demand Management: N/A

Supplemental Commuter Data: N/A

<u>Proposed Reduction Percentage</u>: Reducing new trips by existing development trips only.

<u>Proposed Reduction Justification Explanation:</u> Same land use type will be included in new development that is in current existing development.

Internal Capture / Mixed Use Reduction (Section 2.2.4.3): None

Pass-by Trips Reduction (Section 2.2.4.4):

<u>Proposed Pass-by Trips Table</u>: None

<u>Trip Generation Summary Table</u> (Section 2.2.4.5):

	Trips
Gross Trips	1319
Alt. Mode *	- 440
Mixed Use	- 0
Pass-by	- 0
Net Trips	879

^{*}Alt. Mode reduction is the redeveloped square footage for existing trips currently accessing the site.

Proposed Truck Route Map: N/A

Draft Schedule

<u>Draft Transportation Study Submittal Date</u> (Optional): TBD

Anticipated GRTA Review Schedule (Section 4.2) (Optional): TBD

DCA DRI 'Initial Form' & 'Additional Form' Submittal Date(s): None

Key Permitting Local Government Review Board Date(s): TBD

EXHIBIT 'D' RECEIVED BY PLANNING DESCRIPTION STRUCTURES Zone A Zone B Zone C Zone D Misc Area Totals 41,457 21,826 AND DEVELOPMENT Parking Lower Level - w/o Superstructure Parking Upper Level - w/ Superstructure 41,109 41,109 CURB BELOW Parking Upper Level - w/o Superstructure 21,826 9,305 18,821 12,554 13,665 04-01-2021 1,955 1,089 1,237 551 11,170 12,961 11,142 10,461 EXISTING CURB LINE UPPER LEVEL PARKING DECK ENTRY Floor 2 45,734 CIC2021-00015 RIGHT TURN ONLY SITE EXIT 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 Floor 3 Floor 4 Floor 5 Floor 6 46.619 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 46,619 Floor 6 Floor 7 Floor 8 Floor 9 Floor 10 Floor 11 Floor 12 Floor 13 Floor 14 Floor 15 SHADING CANOPY 46.619 PRIOR IO' ROW 11,238 10,388 11,238 10,388 11,213 46,619 DUMPSTER BELOW AT GRADE (RESIDENTIAL) 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 46,619 46,619 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 11,238 10,388 13,780 11,213 46,619 EDGE OF 46,619 46,619 46,619 CURB BELOW NEW 50' TAPER TO DECEL LANE Parking = w/ S 2 FL PARKING 2 FL TENANT SVCS 14 FL RESIDENTIAL Parking = w/o Superstructure H4+H6 Green Roof H10 Public Area H7:H9 43.652 22,430 32,871 24.933 24.677 104.911 168 RES UNITS 179,760 SQ FT H/C MECH DECK Bldg Totals H25:H29 or H3:H24 179,762 178,303 217,853 181,659 135,662 893,239 PLAYGROUND W/ FULL 8'H MIN SECURITY BARRIE AT PEPIMETER EXP JT, TYP CORNICE, TYP FOW PRIOR TO IO' W DEDICATION ZONE B 2 FL PARKING 2 FL TENANT SVCS 14 FL RESIDENTIAL 168 RES UNITS 178,303 SQ FT H/C PROPOSED ROW FOR DECEL LANF 2 FL PARKING 2 FL TENANT SVCS 14 FL RESIDENTIAL 168 RES UNITS 217,853 SQ FT H/C SINGLETON ROAD LOADING DOCK FOUNTAIN PROJECT FACILITIES PROGRAM SUMMARY: 700 TOT. I BEDROOM RESIDENTIAL UNITS EA. • 650 SQ FT TOT SITE AREA • 3.37 ACRES TOT BLUG AREA • 767.021 I/JC • 126.218 PARKING • 699.229 SQ FT BUILDING HEIGHT 16 FLOORS ABOVE GRADE • 164.88 FT 2 PARKING LEVELS BELOW GRADE • 18.0 FT AVERAGE HGT • PERIMETER • 166.22 FT 70 CARS TOT. ABOVE GRADE NEW 200' DECEL LANE ON GRADE - 70 SPACES BELOW GRADE - LPPER LEVEL 175 SPACES - LOWER LEVEL 175 SPACES TOT. 420 SPACES ZONE C (E) 2 FL PARKING 2 FL TENANT SVCS 14 FL RESIDENTIAL PARKING RATIO: 420 SPACES / 700 RES UNITS = 0.6 SPACES/UNIT ZONE D (F) I FL AUDITORIUM W/ 2 STORY H. CLG. EXISTING CURB LINE ZONE A : GRND FL - CLINIC 2ND FL - PHYSICAL THERAPY SUITE 3RD - 16TH FL - RESIDENTIAL UNITS W/ ACTIVITY AREAS ZONE B: GRND FL - ADULT DAYCARE CENTER 2ND FL - CHILDREN'S DAYCARE CENTER 3RD - 16TH FL - RESIDENTIAL UNITS W/ ACTIVITY AREAS EXIST, SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION ZONE C : GRND FL - 480 SEAT DINING & KITCHEN FACILITIES 2ND FL - ADMINISTRATION, EMPLOYEE, & LAUNDRY 3RD - 16TH FL - RESIDENTIAL UNITS W/ ACTIVITY AREAS 196 RES UNITS 181.659 SQ FT H/C TECH DRIVE AUDITORIUM W/ BALCONY BELOW TOWER ZONE D | GRND FL - ALDITORIUM & RECEPTION AREAS 2ND FL - BALCONY/ALDITORIUM SERVICES & ADMIN 3RD - 16TH FL - RESIDENTIAL UNITS W/ ACTIVITY AREAS EFFECTIVE LANDSCAPE STRIP CALC. ● FRONTAGE: (522 LF TOT, FRONTAGE) - (2 ENTRIES EA. 32 LF) ⇒ 458 NET LF TOTAL GREENSPACE PROPOSED ALONG SINGLETON IN FRONT YARD SETBACK - 7.810 SQ FT THEATER LOADING DOCK 7,810 SQ FT / 458 NET LF = AVG GREENSPACE DEPTH OF 17,1 FT 17.1 FT - 6 FT GREEN SHOULDER IN ROW \Rightarrow II.1 FT OF EFFECTIVE, LANDSCAPE STRIP DEPTH. TREE COUNT PROPOSED IN LANDSCAPE STRIP $522\,\mathrm{LF}$ FRONTAGE / (| TREE / 40 LF IN IO FT LANDSCAPE STRIP) = 13 TREES MIN. - 15 PROPOSED

SHEET MA1.00

NOTE: TRAFFIC STRIPING SHOWN IS APPROXIMATE - SEE AERIAL PHOTO • 2/MPI.O FOR ACCURACY

03/30/2021

CONSULTANTS

TOWERS

DAVID

KING LIF

S S

HOLLAND ARCHITEC

1 MASTER PLAN (W/ ROOF PLAN)

INT, PARKING LOT TREES: 1 TREE / 7 PARKING SPACES AS SHOWN