

# **REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: May 21, 2021

# ARC REVIEW CODE: R2105211

TO:	Mayor R. Eric Clarkson
ATTN TO:	Rebecca Keefer, Interim Planning & Development Director
FROM:	Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director
RE:	Development of Regional Impact Review

ngh R. Hok

Digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a preliminary regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to its relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This preliminary report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the local government.

Name of Proposal: Chamblee Park DRI #3292

<u>Review Type</u>: DRI <u>Date Opened</u>: May 21 2021 <u>Submitting Local Government</u>: City of Chamblee <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: June, 5, 2021 <u>Date to Close</u>: June 10, 2021

**Description:** A Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review of a proposed mixed-use project in the City of Chamblee on a 30.5-acre site north of the intersection of North Shallowford Road/Parsons Drive and Peachtree Boulevard (SR 141) and west of the interchange with Interstate 285. The location is not currently served by MARTA buses and is a 1.5-mile walk from the Doraville rail station. It proposes 37 detached single-family homes, 404 townhomes/condo units, 375 apartment units, and 20,000 SF of retail. The local trigger is a rezoning from MU-BC (Mixed Use-Business Center) to PUD (Planned Unit Development). Expected buildout is 2024.

**PRELIMINARY COMMENTS:** According to ARC's Unified Growth Policy Map, this DRI falls in a Regional Employment Corridor location of the Region, which is defined as areas connected by transit and containing the highest density of jobs. Along with the Region Core (Downtown, Midtown, Buckhead), these areas contain 26% of the 10-county region's jobs and 8% of region's population today on approximately 2.25% of the land area.

This project fulfills some regional priorities. It's a mixed-use project that introduces a variety of housing options and some neighborhood-serving retail into a location that is near high-capacity transportation infrastructure in the form of Interstate 285 and Peachtree Industrial Boulevard. It potentially establishes a new street connection to improve the urban form and connectivity in this location (see additional notes on this connection below). It increases the number of housing options in Chamblee.

A critical part of making this project an integrated part of the community with more transportation options will be making the northern street connection to Perimeter Park Drive to allow trips to be distributed on both ends. Otherwise the project will effectively be a dead-end with all trips funneled to one intersection that is already challenged with delays. The accompanying traffic study was conducted with the expectation of the future northern access. If for whatever reason this connection is not possible, a new traffic study should be required to understand the impacts with a single access point.

The sidewalks must be continued from the southern property line to the intersection of Peachtree Industrial Boulevard. It's hard to see the point of bothering with the expense and effort of building a fully accessible and inviting pedestrian experience within the project when it ends abruptly for anyone attempting to enter or leave the project on foot. Considering that the GRTA-required traffic study for the DRI recommends no other improvements to the transportation network to address vehicular delays, this seems like a reasonable expectation for the applicant to improve neighborhood connectivity when adding a significant amount of density to a formerly low-density location. The One Chamblee plan notes that the City contains 93 miles of streets with 56 miles of sidewalks. Addressing this gap requires pursuing every opportunity that redevelopment provides.

The poor state of the Peachtree Industrial Boulevard intersection for all users generally is an issue for Georgia Department of Transportation, DeKalb County, and the City of Chamblee to address in the near future to follow their stated policies of improving pedestrian safety and accessibility. This intersection is currently served by MARTA route #25, but the nearest crosswalk for Peachtree Industrial Boulevard is to northeast at Motors Industrial Way.

The 2019 One Chamblee Comprehensive Plan identifies the potential for a mixed pedestrian/bike trail through the length of the DRI. The current site plan doesn't appear to show room for this trail either along the western edge of the DRI site or on the internal street. It's possible that a safe and comfortable bicycle option through the DRI could be possible with a variety of wayfinding and traffic calming measures, or a side path running along the eastern side of the internal street where there are fewer conflicts with motorists. The City should immediately discuss these options before the site plan is finalized to allow flexibility for the City's long-term connectivity goals. More design considerations and recommendations can be found at https://atlantaregional.org/plans-reports/bike-pedestrian-plan-walk-bike-thrive/.

This project appears to fit within the general recommendations for density in Regional Employment Corridors, which recommend at least 10 units per acre up to more than 80 units per acre. Multi-story heights are allowed and recommended. This location falls into the "Mixed Use" future land use and the "Perimeter Village" character area of the One Chamblee plan. It has the following recommendations for this location:

- Continue coordination efforts with City of Brookhaven and City of Dunwoody on land use and transportation issues along their shared borders.
- Implement compatible physical design standards along the Interstate 285 corridor.
- Continue to protect Nancy Creek through low-impact development measures.
- Create gateway signage and implement streetscape improvements at key intersections.

- Redevelop sites with underutilized and incompatible land uses according to the Small Area Plan on pages 172-179.
- Redevelop existing single-family lots along Parsons Drive.
- Use the results of the Top End 285 study to prepare the area for new transit opportunities.

The project can further support The Atlanta Region's Plan in general by incorporating other aspects of regional policy, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design, e.g., pervious pavers, rain gardens, vegetated swales, etc., in parking areas and site driveways, and as part of any improvements to site frontages. Additional comments from ARC's Natural Resources Group are attached. They note that the project is within the Nancy Creek watershed, which means there is the future potential of it being included a large water supply watershed for the Chattahoochee River. It also notes that variances may be required for the portion of the stream shown on the site plan extending into the proposed parking deck.

Further to the above, here are the general policy recommendations from The Atlanta Region's Plan for the Region Core and Employment Corridor areas:

- Continue to invest in the LCI program to assist local governments in center planning and infrastructure.
- Prioritize preservation of existing transit, increase frequency and availability of transit options.
- Encourage compact infill development, redevelopment and adaptive reuse.
- Create a range of housing options to accommodate all sectors of the workforce.
- Encourage active ground floor, pedestrian scale design, and pedestrian amenities in new development and the redevelopment of existing sites.

# THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES MARTA CITY OF DORAVILLE ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS & MOBILITY ARC AGING & HEALTH RESOURCES GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DEKALB COUNTY CITY OF DUNWOODY ARC NATURAL RESOURCES GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GRTA/SRTA CITY OF BROOKHAVEN

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Greg Giuffrida at (470) 378-1531 or <u>ggiuffrida@atlantaregional.org</u>. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at <u>http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews</u>.



Individual Completing Form:

# DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Commission for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to generate impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is located, for example in adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included in this packet and offer your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to ARC on or before the specified return deadline.

Preliminary Findings of the RDC: Chamblee Park DRI #3292 See the Preliminary Report.

Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed):

Local Government:	Comments must be emailed to: Greg Giuffrida
Department:	Atlanta Regional Commission <u>ggiuffrida@atlantaregional.org</u> Ph. (470) 378-1531
Telephone: ( )	Return Date: June 5, 2021
Signature:	
Date:	

# ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

**DATE:** May 21 2021

# ARC REVIEW CODE: R2105211

**TO:** ARC Group Managers **FROM:** Greg Giuffrida, 470-378-1531

Keview	ing staff by Jurisdiction:	
Community Development: Giuffrida, Greg	Transportation Access and Mobility: Little, Aries	
Natural Resources: Santo, Jim	Research and Analytics: Skinner, Jim	
Aging and Health Resources: Perumbeti, Katie		

CC 1

• 1• •

Name of Proposal: Chamblee Park DRI #3292

**<u>Review Type:</u>** Development of Regional Impact

**Description:** A Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review of a proposed mixed-use project in the City of Chamblee on a 30.5-acre site north of the intersection of North Shallowford Road/Parsons Drive and Peachtree Boulevard (SR 141) and west of the interchange with Interstate 285. The location is not currently served by MARTA buses and is a 1.5-mile walk from the Doraville rail station. It proposes 37 detached single-family homes, 404 townhomes/condo units, 375 apartment units, and 20,000 SF of retail. The local trigger is a rezoning from MU-BC (Mixed Use-Business Center) to PUD (Planned Unit Development). Expected buildout is 2024.

**Submitting Local Government:** City of Chamblee

Date Opened: May 21, 2021

Deadline for Comments: June 5, 2021

Date to Close: June 10, 2021

## Response:

1)  $\Box$  Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.

- 2) □ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.

- 6) □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.

# **COMMENTS:**



requested of the local government for this project:		
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	◯(not selected)ິYes®No	
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?		
	This project/phase: 2024 Overall project: 2024	
Back to Top		

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact



# **Developments of Regional Impact**

DI	<u>RI Home</u>	<u>Tier Map</u>	<u>Apply</u>	View Submissions	<u>Login</u>	
DRI #3292						
	DEVI	ELOPMENT OF Additional DF				
This form is to be compl the proposed DRI. Refer information.	eted by the city r to both the Ru	or county governmer iles for the DRI Proc	It to provide inf ess and the DI	ormation needed by the RDC for it RI Tiers and Thresholds for more	ts review of	
	I	Local Governm	ent Inform	ation		
Submitting L	ocal Chamble	e				
Governin	liont.					
Individual completing f						
	ione: 770-639- mail: rkeefer@					
E	maii: rkeerer@	chambleega.gov				
		Project In	formation			
Name of Proposed Pro	ject: Chamble	e Park				
DRI ID Num						
Developer/Appli						
	ione: 404-474-					
Ema	iil(s): crudd@to	olibrothers.com				
	A	dditional Inform	ation Req	uested		
Has the RDC identified	lany					
additional informa required in order to proc						
with the official regi	ional (not sel	ected)⊖Yes⊚No				
review process? (I proceed to Econ						
Impa						
If yes, has that addit	ional					
information been provide your RDC and, if applica GF	ed to able, <sup>©</sup> (not sel RTA?	ected)ິYesິNo				
If no, the official review p	process can no	t start until this additio	nal informatior	is provided.		
		Economic D	evelopme	nt		
Estimated Value at Build	1-	200110111012	evelopine			
Out:	\$280M					
Estimated annual local t revenues (i.e., property						
sales tax) likely to be generated by the propos development:	\$2.5M					
Is the regional work forc sufficient to fill the dema	nd					
created by the proposed project?		ected)®YesONo				
Will this development displace any existing use	es? O(not sel	ected)මYesONo				
		er of units, square fee	et, etc): approx	imately 45 single-family homes		
		Water	Supply			
Name of water supply	DeKalb					
provider for this site: What is the estimated w		-				
supply demand to be						
generated by the project measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)						
Gallons Per Day (MGD)						
le sufficient water cumh						
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to ser the proposed project?		ected)මYesONo				

If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity:

Is a water line extension required to serve this (not selected) Yes No project? If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?

### Wastewater Disposal

Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site: What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?

Is sufficient watewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?

If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity: There will be a sewer action plan prepared and submitted to County for approval

Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project? If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?

### Land Transportation

How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.) Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project? Are transportation improvements needeto serve this project?

If yes, please describe below:

### Solid Waste Disposal

How much solid waste is the project expected to generate 1,500 annually (in tons)? Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this (not selected) Yes No proposed project?

If no, describe any plans to expand existing landfill capacity:

Will any hazardous waste be generated by the (not selected) Yes No development?

If yes, please explain:

#### **Stormwater Management**

What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the ~90% proposed development has been constructed?

Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management:Detention will be provided as a means of stormwater management and the development will meet or exceed the City of Chamblee stormwater requirements

### **Environmental Quality**

Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:

1. Water supply watersheds?

⊂(not selected) Yes®No

 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?
 (not selected)\_Yes No

 3. Wetlands?
 (not selected)\_Yes No

 4. Protected mountains?
 (not selected)\_Yes No

 5. Protected river corridors?
 (not selected)\_Yes No

 6. Floodplains?
 (not selected)\_Yes No

 7. Historic resources?
 (not selected)\_Yes No

 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?
 (not selected)\_Yes No

 9. Thy our answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:
 Furthermore, impacts will be permitted through applicable local, federal, and state agencies. Furthermore, impacts to the permitted aquatic resources will be appropriately mitigated to off-set adverse impacts resulting in no net loss.

 Back to Top

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact

# CHAMBLEE PARK DRI City of Chamblee Natural Resources Group Review Comments May 19, 2021

While ARC and the Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District have no regulatory or review authority over this project, the Natural Resources Group has identified County and State regulations that could apply to this property. Other regulations may also apply that we have not identified.

# Watershed Protection

The proposed project is in the Nancy Creek watershed, which is part of Chattahoochee Corridor watershed, but it is not within the 2000-foot Chattahoochee River Corridor and is not subject to the requirements of the Metropolitan River Protection Act or the Chattahoochee Corridor Plan. Nancy Creek drains into Peachtree Creek, which in turn flows into the Chattahoochee downstream of the existing public water supply intakes on the Chattahoochee. However, proposed intakes in South Fulton and Coweta County would include this portion of the Chattahoochee River watershed as a large water supply watershed (over 100 square miles), as defined under the Part 5 Criteria of the 1989 Georgia Planning Act. However, for large water supply watersheds without a water supply reservoir, the only applicable Part 5 requirements are restrictions on hazardous waste handling, storage and disposal within seven miles upstream of a public water supply intake.

# **Stream Buffers**

The USGS coverage for the project area shows no streams on or near the property. However, the submitted site plan shows a stream just west of the project property, with a short stretch within one corner of the property. The City of Chamblee 50-foot undisturbed buffer and 75-foot impervious setback, as well as the State 25-foot Erosion and Sediment Control Buffer are shown and identified. No intrusions are proposed where the stream cuts across a corner of the property. However, the stream is also shown ending at the property line near Peachtree Industrial Boulevard. A proposed parking lot is shown in that area. If the stream extends into the property may be subject to the City of Chamblee's stream buffer ordinance, and any unmapped State waters identified on the property will be subject to the State 25-foot Sediment and Erosion Control buffer.

# **Stormwater/Water Quality**

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality.

During the planning phase, the stormwater management system (system) should meet the requirements of the local jurisdiction's post-construction (or post-development) stormwater management ordinance. The system should be designed to prevent increased flood damage, streambank channel erosion, habitat degradation and water quality degradation, and enhance and promote the public health, safety and general welfare. The system design should also be in accordance with the applicable sections of the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) such as design standards, calculations, formulas, and methods. Where possible, the project should use stormwater better site design practices included in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual, Volume 2, Section 2.3.

During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements.



regional impact + local relevance

# **Development of Regional Impact** Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

# **DRI INFORMATION**

DRI Number	#3292		
DRI Title	Chamblee Park		
County	DeKalb County		
City (if applicable)	City of Chamblee		
Address / Location	Primarily located along Parsons Drive and Deacon Lane, north of Peachtree Boulevard/SR 141, and west of the I-285.		
Proposed Developmer	nt Type: Redevelopment of a 30.5- acre site that proposes 37 single-family homes, 404 townhomes/condos, 375 apartment units, and 20,000 SF of retail. Build Out : 2024		
Review Process	EXPEDITED NON-EXPEDITED		
REVIEW INFORMATION			
Prepared by	ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division		
Staff Lead	Aries Little		

Copied Click here to enter text.

Date May 20, 2021

# **TRAFFIC STUDY**

Prepared by	Kimley-Horn
Date	May 17, 2021

# **REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS**

- 01. Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?
  - YES (provide the regional plan referenced and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)

The traffic analysis includes a list of programmed projects on Table 11 on page 22.

NO (provide comments below)

# **REGIONAL NETWORKS**

02. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares?

A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

### NO NO

# YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)

The site currently proposes two access points at Parsons Drive and Perimeter Park Drive. Parsons Dr. is perpendicular to Peachtree Blvd./SR 141 which is a regional thoroughfare. The intersection of Parsons Drive and Peachtree Blvd./SR 141 is approximately 0.25 miles from the I-285 interchange.

## 03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

□ NO

YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)

I-285 is a regional truck route and can be accessed within or less than a mile.

# 04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

NOT APPLICABLE (nearest station more than one mile away)

RAIL SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)

Operator / Rail Line

Nearest Station	Doraville MARTA Station
Distance*	Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
	0.10 to 0.50 mile
	0.50 to 1.00 mile
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent wit the type of development proposed)

Click here to provide comments.

h

Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Transit Connectivity	Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
	Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
	No services available to rail station
	Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.

\* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

**05.** If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

- NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
  - NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
  - NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
  - YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
    - CST planned within TIP period
    - CST planned within first portion of long range period
    - CST planned near end of plan horizon

Click here to provide comments.

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

ca joi bio loo	nnot or prefer not to driv bs, and can help reduce co cycling between the devel	lopments and transit services provide options for people who e, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and ongestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or lopment site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable uraged to make the connection a funding priority for future structure improvements.	
	NOT APPLICABLE (neare	st bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away)	
$\square$			
	Operator(s)	MARTA	
	Bus Route(s)	Route 25 and Route 132	
	Distance*	Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)	
		0.10 to 0.50 mile	
		0.50 to 1.00 mile	
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity	
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete	
		Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	
		Click here to provide comments.	
	Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity	
		Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity	
		Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets	
		Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	

- \* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site
- Based on the proposed site location, MARTA's Route 132 stops located near the intersection of Perimeter Park Dr and N Peachtree Rd should be considered in the analysis.

# 07. Does a transit agency which provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within the jurisdiction in which the development site is located?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a comprehensive operations plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to serving the site during the evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the nature of the development is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and any routes within a one mile radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make these connections a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

\_ NO

🛛 YES

# MARTA

08. If the development site is within one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path or trail is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and those facilities is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

NOT APPLICABLE (nearest path or trail more than one mile away)

	YES (provide	additional	information	below)
--	--------------	------------	-------------	--------

Name of facility	Click here to provide name of facility.
Distance	Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)
	0.15 to 0.50 mile
	0.50 to 1.00 mile
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity

Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed

\* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

# **OTHER TRANSPORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS**

09. Does the site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible local road or drive aisle connections with adjacent parcels?

The ability for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent arterial or collector roadway networks can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.

- YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
- YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
- NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
- OTHER ( Please explain)

# **10.** Does the site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the development site safely and conveniently?

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site plans should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key destinations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large acreage sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.

- YES (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and bicyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)
  - PARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not comprehensive and/or direct)
  - **NO** (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
  - NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and bicycling trips)
  - OTHER ( Please explain)

There are no existing or proposed bicycle facilities.

**11.** Does the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking connections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future?

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.

- YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
- YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
  - NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)
  - NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
- NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
- NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips)

The site plan and analysis indicate that pedestrian facilities will be constructed along Parsons Dr which leads to Peachtree Blvd.

12. Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network?

The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, sidewalks, paths and other facilities.

- YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)
- PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately)
- NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily by pedestrians, bicyclists and/or motorists)
- NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/or very low truck volumes, so the potential for conflict is negligible)

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

13. Do the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible from a constructability standpoint?



- UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary)
- X YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)

NO (see comments below)

Click here to enter text.

- 14. Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?
  - $\bowtie$  NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)

YES (see comments below)

Click here to enter text.

15. ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):

None

