

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: Nov. 19, 2020 **ARC REVIEW CODE:** R2011191

TO: Mayor Keisha Lance Bottoms
ATTN TO: Monique Forte, Urban Planner III

FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director

RE: Development of Regional Impact Review

Digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a preliminary regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to its relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This preliminary report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the local government.

Name of Proposal: Chosewood Development (DRI #3206)

Review Type: DRI **Submitting Local Government**: City of Atlanta

<u>Date Opened</u>: Nov. 19, 2020 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Dec. 4, 2020 <u>Date to Close</u>: Dec. 4, 2020*

*This review is expedited under GRTA DRI rules. If no major issues are raised, the review will be closed on the deadline for comments.

<u>Description</u>: A Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review of a proposed mixed use development on the site of the former Englewood Homes housing development in the neighborhood of Chosewood Park in the City of Atlanta. The development proposes 1,180 multifamily units and 20,000 SF of commercial/retail space. The site is located south of the interim Southside Trail of the Atlanta BeltLine and is served by MARTA route #49. The local trigger is a Special Administrative Permit application. Expected buildout is 2024.

<u>PRELIMINARY COMMENTS:</u> According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI is in the Maturing Neighborhoods area. ARC's Regional Development Guide (RDG) details recommended policies for areas and places on the UGPM. General information and policy recommendations for Maturing Neighborhoods are listed at the bottom of these comments.

This DRI appears to implement some aspects of regional policy, including many of those at the bottom of this narrative. The DRI plan contemplates the conversion of an underutilized and partially vacant former multifamily apartment site to a mixed-residential project (including affordable units) with a small amount of retail and restaurant space. It is walkable to Boulevard Crossing Park, Grant Park, and the Atlanta BeltLine Southside Trail (currently an interim hiking trail). The DRI can support alternative transportation modes

given its proximity to the BeltLine and two MARTA bus routes, #49 and #9. (Note: As of this writing, the #9 route is not operating because of COVID-19 service reductions.) These features will collectively offer long-term opportunities for a greater share of alternative mode travel, but in the near-term this potential is hindered by poor pedestrian infrastructure and MARTA service reductions.

To capitalize on this long-term potential, care should be taken to ensure that the development, as constructed, promotes an interconnected, functional, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all streets, paths, entrances, and parking areas. The City will also need to be clear on whether the internal roadways are to be dedicated as public streets or to become private streets. The above recommendations are made in view of the fact that the applicant was allowed to utilize an alternative mode trip reduction of 4% in the GRTA-required DRI transportation analysis.

Concerns were raised during the Pre–Review Meeting for this project about the lack of a connection to the existing Burroughs Street in the southwestern corner of the DRI site. This street connection currently exists, but it was gated off to both drivers and pedestrians. The DRI as proposed would permanently preclude making this street connection in the future, which violates both the City's and the BeltLine's long–term goals of restoring and expanding the street grid. At the very least, a pedestrian connection to the existing neighborhood should be incorporated. The site plan does incorporate the potential for a future connection to the adjacent Englewood property at the northwestern corner of the site. This future street connection is also listed in the Atlanta Transportation Plan and the proposed BeltLine Subarea 3 Master Plan update. Another missed opportunity is the lack of an east–west pedestrian connection on Boulevard anywhere between Private Street D on the site plan and Englewood Avenue, along the northern edge of the Multi–Family A section. This has the effect of maintaining the existing "superblock."

The project will be required to comply with the inclusionary zoning provision of the BeltLine Overlay, which requires newly built developments to follow one of the following criteria:

- 10% of their units for incomes at or below 60% of Area Median Income (AMI); or
- 15% of their units for incomes at or below 80% of AMI; or
- Pay a one-time in-lieu fee, to be paid at 15% of AMI, per unit in the sub-area that the developer has chosen to opt-out of, in-lieu of setting aside affordable units.

This project is replacing an apartment complex that was previously affordable at market rates for hundreds of residents. Based on historical experience with similar redevelopment projects elsewhere in metro Atlanta, it's unlikely that the same residents will be eligible or able to return to the same community. The DRI is located next to the site of a proposed redevelopment of the former Englewood Homes site owned by Atlanta Housing (formerly Atlanta Housing Authority). The combination of these two projects carries the potential for a net increase of affordable housing in this general location with redevelopment, but the benefits will likely not be seen by current lower–income residents.

The intensity of this proposed project generally aligns with the RDG's recommended parameters for Maturing Neighborhoods. The land use mix is generally consistent with the RDG, although some RDG policy recommendations for Maturing Neighborhoods include the need to ensure that new and infill development is compatible with existing neighborhoods. The DRI's building heights transition downward as the site

approaches the western edge of the property, which makes it more compatible with the existing single-family homes. The proposed density also appears compatible with the proposed future land use in the existing BeltLine Subarea 3 Master Plan and its pending update. The plan update has not yet been formally adopted into the City's Comprehensive Development Plan.

The BeltLine Subarea 3 Master Plan is an Livable Centers Initiative (LCI) study area. ARC considers this LCI area to be in good standing, with a five-year plan update having been completed in 2013. ARC's assessment is also that this DRI is generally consistent with the principles of the LCI program and the recommendations of the LCI plan, which calls for mixed-use development, high-density residential and green/open space. The applicant team should continue to collaborate with City staff and leadership as well as neighborhood organizations to ensure that the project, as constructed, is consistent with the LCI plan. Likewise, the City and its planning partners should ultimately incorporate the key attributes and impacts of this DRI into future updates to this part of the LCI plan.

The project can further support The Atlanta Region's Plan in general by incorporating other aspects of regional policy, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design, e.g., pervious pavers, rain gardens, vegetated swales, etc., in parking areas and site driveways, and as part of any improvements to site frontages. Additional comments from ARC's Natural Resources Group and Transportation Access & Mobility Group are attached.

Further to the above, Maturing Neighborhoods were primarily developed prior to 1970. These areas are typically adjacent to the Region Core and Regional Employment Corridors. These three areas, combined, represent a significant percentage of the region's jobs and population. General policy recommendations for Maturing Neighborhoods include:

- Improve safety and quality of transit options by providing alternatives for end-of-trip facilities (such as bicycle racks) and sidewalks and/ or shelters adjacent to bus stops
- Identify and remedy incidents of "food deserts" within neighborhoods, particularly in traditionally underserved neighborhoods and schools
- Promote mixed use where locally appropriate, specifically in areas served by existing or planned transit
- Develop policies and establish design standards to ensure new and infill development is compatible with existing neighborhoods

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MARTA
FULTON COUNTY

ARC Transportation Access & Mobility ARC Aging & Health Resources Georgia Department of Transportation Atlanta BeltLine, Inc.

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GRTA/SRTA
ATLANTA HOUSING

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Greg Giuffrida at (470) 378–1531 or ggiuffrida@atlantaregional.org. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews.



DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Commission for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to generate impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is located, for example in adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included in this packet and offer your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to ARC on or before the specified return deadline.

proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the infor offer your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to	mation about the project included in this packet and
Preliminary Findings of the RDC: Chosewood Development (DRI #3206) See	re the Preliminary Report.
Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed):	
Individual Completing Forms	
Individual Completing Form:	
Local Government:	Comments must be emailed to: Greg Giuffrida Atlanta Regional Commission
Department:	Ph. (470) 378-1531 ggiuffrida@atlantaregional.org
Telephone: ()	Return Date: Dec. 4, 2020
Signature:	
Date:	

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

ARC REVIEW CODE: R2011191

DATE: Nov. 19, 2020

TO: ARC Group Managers FROM: Greg Giuffrida, 470-378-1531
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:
Community Development: Giuffrida, Greg Natural Resources: Santo, Jim Aging and Health Resources: Perumbeti, Katie Transportation Access and Mobility: Little, Aries Research and Analytics: Skinner, Jim
Name of Proposal: Chosewood Development (DRI #3206) Review Type: Development of Regional Impact Description: Description: A Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review of a proposed mixed use development on the site of the former Englewood Homes housing development in the neighborhood of Chosewood Park in the City of Atlanta. The development proposes 1,180 multifamily units and 20,000 SF of commercial/retail space. The site is located south of the interim Southside Trail of the Atlanta BeltLine and is served by MARTA route #49. The local trigger is a Special Administrative Permit application. Expected buildout is 2024. Submitting Local Government: City of Atlanta Date Opened: Nov. 19, 2020 Deadline for Comments: Dec. 4, 2020 Date to Close: Dec. 4, 2020
Response:
1) □ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. 2) □ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. 3) □ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. 4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible. 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. **COMMENTS:**



Developments of Regional Impact

<u>DRI Home</u> <u>Tier Map</u> <u>Apply</u> <u>View Submissions</u> <u>Login</u>

DRI #3206

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Atlanta

Individual completing form: Monique Forte

Telephone: 470-279-1545

E-mail: mbforte@atlantaga.gov

*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Chosewood Development

Location (Street Address, 1335 Boulevard SE GPS Coordinates, or Legal

Land Lot Description):

Brief Description of Project: Mixed-use development to include 1180 multifamily units and 20,000 sf of retail

Development Type:

(not selected) ○Hotels Wastewater Treatment Facilities Office Mixed Use Petroleum Storage Facilities Commercial Airports OWater Supply Intakes/Reservoirs OAttractions & Recreational Facilities OIntermodal Terminals Wholesale & Distribution CHospitals and Health Care Facilities CPost-Secondary Schools Truck Stops Housing OWaste Handling Facilities OAny other development types Industrial Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants

If other development type, describe:

Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.): 1,180 multifamily units and 20,000 sf of retail space

Developer: Empire Communities

Mailing Address: 5775 Glenridge Drive

Address 2: Building D, Suite 350

City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30328

Telephone: 7705415250

Email: knorton@empirecommunities.com

If yes, property owner: Grant Park Homes, LP

Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?

located within your local government's (not selected) Yes No

If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?

Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of (not selected) Yes No

information: Project ID:

a previous DRI?

If yes, provide the following Project Name:

1 of 2

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact

2 of 2



Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home

Tier Map

Apply

View Submissions

<u>Login</u>

DRI #3206

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Atlanta

Individual completing form: Monique Forte Telephone: 470-279-1545

Email: mbforte@atlantaga.gov

Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Chosewood Development

DRI ID Number: 3206

Developer/Applicant: Empire Communities

Telephone: 7705415250

Email(s): knorton@empirecommunities.com

Additional Information Requested

Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no,

(not selected) Yes No

proceed to Economic

Impacts.)

If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable,

(not selected) Yes No

If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.

Economic Development

Estimated Value at Build-

Estimated annual local tax

132,000,000.00

revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be

600,000.00

generated by the proposed development:

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed

(not selected) Yes No

project?

Will this development

displace any existing uses? (not selected) Yes No

If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc):

Water Supply

Name of water supply provider for this site:

City of Atlanta

What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?

300,000

Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?

(not selected) Yes No

11/17/2020, 5:26 PM 1 of 3

If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity:		
Is a water line extension required to serve this project? (not selected) Yes®No project?		
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?		
Wastewater Disposal		
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:		
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?		
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project? (not selected) Yes No		
If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:		
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this control (not selected) Yes No project?		
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?		
Land Transportation		
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.) AM peak hour: 603 trips, PM peak hour: 587, 24 hour trips: 9,589 trips alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)		
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project? (not selected) Yes No improvements will be needed to serve this project?		
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project? (not selected) Yes No		
If yes, please describe below:Custer Avenue at Boulevard SE: Site driveway 3 will form the eastbound approach (fourth leg) at the existing intersection of Custer Avenue and Boulevard SE. The improvements listed below are based on the assumption that the City of Atlanta Boulevard SE project will be complete, and Boulevard SE will be a 3-lane roadway prior to the proposed development's full buildout Traffic signal is to be modified to accommodate an eastbound approach (driveway approach) which should include one entering lane and two exiting lanes (left turn lane and shared through/right turn lane). Modify traffic signal to remove the northbound right turn overlap phase - Restripe westbound approach lane geometry to accommodate a westbound left turn lane and shared through/right turn lane within the existing available asphalt (30 ft). Modify traffic signal to add westbound protected, permissive phase - Modify traffic signal to add southbound protected, permissive left turn phase - Traffic signal is to be improved by accommodating pedestrian movements at all approaches - Intersection to be ADA compliant with handicap ramps - Relocate controller cabinet from the southwest corner - Establish fiberoptic interconnect to adjacent signalized intersections		
Solid Waste Disposal		
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate 7,290 annually (in tons)?		
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project? (not selected) Yes No		
If no, describe any plans to expand existing landfill capacity:		
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development? (not selected) Yes No		
If yes, please explain:		
Stormwater Management		
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the approximately 80% proposed development has been constructed?		
Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management. There will be a treatment train for stormwater management that will include water quality treatment along with either surface or subsurface stormwater detention.		

2 of 3

Fine development located within, or likely to affect any of the following: 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater certaige areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains? 6. Floodplains? 7. Historic resources? 8. Other environmentally control selected.) Yes No ansilive resources? 9. Other environmentally (not selected.) Yes No ansilive resources? 1. In second of the selected of the second of the second of the selected of the second of the selected of the second of the second

DRI Site Map | Contact

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

Md 92:S ° 11/11/1070/° 2:30

CHOSEWOOD DEVELOPMENT DRI

City of Atlanta Natural Resources Group Comments November 19, 2020

Watershed Protection and Stream Buffers

While ARC and the Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District have no regulatory or review authority over this project, the Natural Resources Group has identified City and State regulations that could apply to this property. Other regulations may also apply that we have not identified.

Water Supply Watersheds

The proposed project is located in the Yellow River Watershed, which is not a water supply watershed in the Atlanta Region and no Part 5 Environmental Minimum Planning Criteria for water supply watersheds apply.

Stream Buffers

Neither the submitted site plan nor the USGS coverage for the project area show any streams on the property. Any unmapped streams on the property may be subject to buffers required under the City of Atlanta Stream Buffer Ordinance. Any unmapped streams and waters of the state on the property are also subject to the State 25-foot Erosion and Sediment Control Buffer.

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality.

Stormwater and Water Quality

During the planning phase, the stormwater management system (system) should meet the requirements of the local jurisdiction's post-construction (or post-development) stormwater management ordinance. The system should be designed to prevent increased flood damage, streambank channel erosion, habitat degradation and water quality degradation, and enhance and promote the public health, safety and general welfare. The system design should also be in accordance with the applicable sections of the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) such as design standards, calculations, formulas, and methods. Where possible, the project should use stormwater better site design practices included in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual, Volume 2, Section 2.3.

During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements.



regional impact + local relevance

Development of Regional Impact

Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

DRI INFORMATION

DRI Number #3206

DRI Title Chosewood Development

County Fulton County

City (if applicable) Atlanta

Address / Location Southwest of the intersection of Boulevard SE at Englewood Avenue

Proposed Development Type:

The development will consist of 1,180 multifamily units and 20,000 sf of retail

space.

Build Out: 2024

Review Process X EXPEDITED

NON-EXPEDITED

REVIEW INFORMATION

Prepared by ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division

Staff Lead Aries Little

Copied Marquitrice Mangham

Date November 18, 2020

TRAFFIC STUDY

Prepared by A&R Engineering Inc.

Date November 17, 2020

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS

01. Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?
YES (provide the regional plan referenced and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)
Click here to provide comments.
NO (provide comments below)
AR-450C-Beltline Corridor Multi-Use Trail and Streetscape is a project programmed in the TIP and is located less than one mile north of Englewood Ave. This project was not listed on Table 5- Planned and Programmed Improvements.
REGIONAL NETWORKS 02. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares?
A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.
NO ☐ YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)
None of the five proposed access points were identified Regional Thoroughfare. The project site is bounded by US 23/SR42/Moreland Ave. and SR 42/McDonough Blvd, which were assessed on the traffic analysis.

03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

\boxtimes	NO
	YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)
	Although there is no direct access from a designated regional truck route to the site, the following truck routes would be easily accessible from the project site: I-85, I-20, US 23/SR42/Moreland Ave. and SR 42/McDonough Blvd.

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

\boxtimes	NOT APPLICABLE (neare	st station more than one mile away)
	RAIL SERVICE WITHIN O	NE MILE (provide additional information below)
	Operator / Rail Line	
	Nearest Station	Click here to enter name of operator and rail line
	Distance*	Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
		0.10 to 0.50 mile
		0.50 to 1.00 mile
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete

	 Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
	☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	☐ Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Transit Connectivity	Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
	Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
	No services available to rail station
	Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.

^{*} Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

05. If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

\boxtimes	NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
	NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
	YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
	CST planned within TIP period
	CST planned within first portion of long range period
	CST planned near end of plan horizon
Click	k here to provide comments.

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the connection a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

]	NOT APPLICABLE (nearest bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away)	
]	SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)	
	Operator(s)	MARTA
	Bus Route(s)	Route 49
	Distance*	☑ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
		☐ 0.10 to 0.50 mile
		☐ 0.50 to 1.00 mile
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
		Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
		There are some portions along the sidewalk that may pose concern due to utility poles.
	Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
		Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
		Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	* Following the most di	irect feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the

development site

		provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within development site is located?
or p can con serv nat to t ens any	prefer not to drive, expand thelp reduce traffic cong inprehensive operations p wing the site during the ever we of the development is the site is not feasible or of we routes within a one mile	opments and transit services provide options for people who cannot deconomic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and estion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a lan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to valuation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should cycling access accessibility is provided between the development and a radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.
	NO	
_	YES	
_		
MAR	TA	
	e development site is wit	hin one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information
wh and or t fac	o cannot or prefer not to d jobs, and can help redu trail is available nearby, b ilities is a challenge, the d	lopments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people ce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path but walking or bicycling between the development site and those applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a talking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.
_	·	t path or trail more than one mile away)
	YES (provide additional in	nformation below)
	Name of facility (CST in FY22).	Southside Beltline with expansion from Glenwood Ave to University
	Distance	Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)
		☑ 0.15 to 0.50 mile
		0.50 to 1.00 mile
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete

Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with

the type of development proposed)

	Bicycling Access*	☐ Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity
		☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
		Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
		Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed
a	* Following the most di development site	rect feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the
]	t is anticipated for City o	f Atlanta's Boulevard SE (Table 5) to incorporate bike paths.
OTHER TRA	NSPORTATION DESIGN	N CONSIDERATIONS
	s the site plan provide fo nections with adjacent pa	r the construction of publicly accessible local road or drive aisle arcels?
art	erial or collector roadway	us routes to move between developments without using the adjacent y networks can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities roactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.
	YES (connections to adja	cent parcels are planned as part of the development)
	YES (stub outs will make	future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
	NO (the site plan preclud	des future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
	OTHER (Please explain)	
site o	due to the Chosewood Pa	roads/drive aisle could potentially be restricted to the west of the project ark. The project proposes to incorporate public access to adjacent streets ween some of the parcels via walking and biking.
	s the site plan enable peo elopment site safely and	destrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the conveniently?
reli pla des	iance on vehicular trips, vans should incorporate we stinations. To the extent	bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site ell designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large the holumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.
	·	on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and major issues navigating the street network)
	PARTIAL (some walking comprehensive and/or d	and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not irect)
	NO (walking and bicyclin	ng facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
	NOT APPLICABLE (the na	ture of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and

	OTHER (Please explain) The project proposes to provide connections between the mixed uses by incorporating bike/ped
11.	Does the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking
	connections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future?
	The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.
	YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
	YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
	☐ NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)
	NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
	NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips)
12.	Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network?
	The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, sidewalks, paths and other facilities.
	YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)
	PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately)
	NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily by pedestrians, bicyclists and/or motorists)
	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/or very low truck volumes, so the potential for conflict is negligible)

RECOMMENDATIONS

13.	from a constructability standpoint?
	UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary)
	YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)
	□ NO (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
14.	Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?
	NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)
	YES (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
15.	ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):
	None

