

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: Sept. 15, 2020 **ARC REVIEW CODE:** V2009151

TO: Mayor Rusty Paul, City of Sandy Springs

ATTN TO: Madalyn Smith, Planner I

FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARC

Digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: RC-20-04SS Fulton-Cobb Diversion Line

Review Type: Metro River MRPA Code: RC-20-04SS

<u>Description:</u> A Metropolitan River Protection Act (MRPA) review of a proposed installation of a sanitary sewer line by the Fulton County Department of Public Works on county property located at 9755 Roberts Drive in the City of Sandy Springs. The total area of the site is 0.19 acres. The total amount of disturbed area is 4,000 SF and the total impervious surface is 683 SF.

<u>Preliminary Finding:</u> ARC's preliminary finding is that the proposed development, while not consistent with the Chattahoochee River Corridor Plan in all respects, will provide a level of land and water resources protection equivalent to an application that is consistent.

Submitting Local Government: City of Sandy Springs

<u>Tax Parcel:</u> 06 036700010011 **Date Opened:** Sept. 15, 2020

Deadline for Comments: Sept. 25, 2020

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Sept. 28, 2020 (next business day after deadline for comments)

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE-CRNRA
FULTON COUNTY

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA CONSERVANCY
CITY OF ROSWELL

GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVERKEEPER
CORR COUNTY

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Greg Giuffrida at giuffrida@atlantaregional.org or (470) 378-1531. If ARC staff does not receive comments from you on or before Friday, Sept. 25, <a href="mailto:2008, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged. The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.org/land-use/planreviews.

Attached is information concerning this review.

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Sept. 15, 2020 **ARC REVIEW CODE:** V2009151

TO: ARC Community Development and Natural Resources Managers

FROM: Greg Giuffrida, 470-378-1531

Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

<u>Community Development:</u> Giuffrida, Greg <u>Natural Resources:</u> Santo, Jim

Name of Proposal: RC-20-04CC Fulton-Cobb Diversion Line

Review Type: Metro River

<u>Description:</u> A Metropolitan River Protection Act (MRPA) review of a proposed installation of a sanitary sewer line by the Fulton County Department of Public Works on county property located at 9755 Roberts Drive in the City of Sandy Springs. The total area of the site is 0.19 acres. The total amount of disturbed area is 4,000 SF and the total impervious surface is 683 SF.

Submitting Local Government: City of Sandy Springs

Date Opened: Sept. 15, 2020

Deadline for Comments: Sept. 25, 2020

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Sept. 28, 2020 (next business day after deadline for comments)

Response:

COMMENTS:

- 1) Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 2) While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 3) The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 4) The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.
- 5) Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.



September 4, 2020

Jim Santo, Principal Planner International Tower 229 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Subject: River Corridor Review; 9755 Roberts Drive

Mr. Santo,

Please review the submitted application and site plan for 9755 Roberts Drive for compliance with the Metropolitan River Protection Act.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Madalyn Smith

Julekant

Planner I

City of Sandy Springs

RC20-0004 9755 Roberts Drive

Received:

09/04/2020

APPLICATION FOR City of Sandy Springs
Community Development Department

APPLICATION FOR Community Development D METROPOLITAN RIVER PROTECTION ACT CERTIFICATE

. N	ame of Local G	overnment: City	of Sandy Spring	S	(Revuel (payer
o					a alila	oro f
O	wner(s) of Rec	ord of Property to be	Reviewed:			
	Name(s):	Fulton County P	ublic Works			
	Mailing Add	lress: 141 Pryor Stre	et SW Suite 600	l.		
	City: A	tlanta	State:_	Georgia	Zip:	30303
	Contact Pho	one Numbers (w/Area	Code):			
	Daytime	Phone:		_Fax:		
	Other N	umbers:				
A	pplicant(s) or A	Applicant's Agent(s):				
	Name(s):	Fulton County De	partment of Publ	ic Works Willia	m Hackett - Age	nt
	Mailing Add	iress: 1/11 Pryor S	treet SW Suite 6	001		
	City: Atl	anta 141 Tryor S	State:	Georgia	Zip:3	10303
		one Numbers (w/Area				
	Daytime	Phone: 404-612-7400	Fax	:	0-N	
		umbers: 770-627-				
P		otion (Attach Legal D , District, Section, Co				
	Subdivision	, Lot, Block, Street an	d Address, Dista	ance to Nearest	Intersection:	
	Size of Deve	lopment (Use as Appl	icable):			
	Acres:	Inside Corridor:		es		
		Outside Corridor:				
		Total:			WILLIAM - WILLIA	
	Lots:	Inside Corridor:	0.19 Acr	PC		1118
		Outside Corridor:	0.177101	<u> </u>		
		Total:				
	Units:	Total:	0199			
	Onns:	Inside Corridor:			1 20010	
		Outside Corridor:		×		
	041 0	Total:	L	P		response that have
	Otner Size I	Descriptor (i.e., Lengt	n and width of	Easement):		
		Inside Corridor:	=======================================			
		Outside Corridor:_		===		
		Total:				

A.			dor Development:			
		1 , 1 , 1	nt include additiona	al land in the Chat	tahoochee Corrid	dor that
		part of this applica				
			litional land and an			hh County
R	1	The second secon	sements in City of Ro erty in this applicati		Miller of the contract of the	100 July -
ъ.			viously received a ce			
	Corrid	lor review approva	ıl? No			
	If "yes	", please identify t	he use(s), the review	identification nur	nber(s), and the	date(s)
	of the	review(s):				

				10		
		어땠어 하당한 이번 등도 맛있다면서의 하시아니었으면 가는 맛이 살 먹어야 하네 하시다.	evelopment be Trea	ited?		
Α.	Septic	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	th septic tanks, the a	application must in	 clude the annro	nriate
		가게 들어가지 않는 것 같아. 아니를 하는 것 같아. 아니라 아니라 아니라 아니라 아니라 그렇게 되었다.	h department appro	7.7		рпасе
R		sewer system N	(-)	ival for the selected	i site.	
Ъ,	1 ubite	sewer system	''''			
8. Sun	nmary (of Vulnerability Ar	nalysis of Proposed I	Land or Water Use	e:	
	3€3	*				
		Total Acreage	Total Acreage	Total Acreage		rcent
Vulnera Catego		Total Acreage (or Sq. Footage)	(or Sq. Footage)	(or Sq. Footage)	Land Im	perv.
				(or Sq. Footage)	Land Im <u>Disturb.</u> St	perv. u <u>rf.</u>
			(or Sq. Footage)	(or Sq. Footage)	Land Im <u>Disturb.</u> St (Maximums Sh	perv. <u>urf.</u> own In
			(or Sq. Footage)	(or Sq. Footage)	Land Im <u>Disturb.</u> St	perv. <u>urf.</u> own In
			(or Sq. Footage)	(or Sq. Footage)	Land Im <u>Disturb.</u> St (Maximums Sh	perv. <u>urf.</u> own In
		(or Sq. Footage)	(or Sq. Footage)	(or Sq. Footage) Imperv. Surface	Land Im <u>Disturb.</u> Si (Maximums Sh Parentheses	perv. <u>urf.</u> own In
Catego		(or Sq. Footage)	(or Sq. Footage) Land Disturbance	(or Sq. Footage) Imperv. Surface	Land Im <u>Disturb.</u> So (Maximums Sh Parentheses	perv. <u>urf.</u> own In s)
Catego		(or Sq. Footage)	(or Sq. Footage) Land Disturbance	(or Sq. Footage) Imperv. Surface	Land Im	perv. urf. own In s) 75)
A B		(or Sq. Footage)	(or Sq. Footage) Land Disturbance	(or Sq. Footage) Imperv. Surface	Land Im	perv. urf. own In s) 75)
Catego		(or Sq. Footage)	(or Sq. Footage) Land Disturbance	(or Sq. Footage) Imperv. Surface	Land Im <u>Disturb.</u> So (Maximums Sh Parentheses	perv. urf. own In s) 75)
A B C		(or Sq. Footage)	(or Sq. Footage) Land Disturbance	(or Sq. Footage) Imperv. Surface	Land Im <u>Disturb.</u> So (Maximums Sh Parentheses	perv. urf. own In s) 75)
A B		(or Sq. Footage) 2785	(or Sq. Footage) Land Disturbance	(or Sq. Footage) Imperv. Surface	Land Im <u>Disturb.</u> Si (Maximums Sh Parentheses (90)(7) (80)(6) (70)1% (45) (50)(3)	perv. urf. own In s)
A B C		(or Sq. Footage)	(or Sq. Footage) Land Disturbance	(or Sq. Footage) Imperv. Surface	Land Im <u>Disturb.</u> So (Maximums Sh Parentheses	perv. urf. own In s)
A B C D		(or Sq. Footage) 2785	(or Sq. Footage) Land Disturbance	(or Sq. Footage) Imperv. Surface	Land Im <u>Disturb.</u> Si (Maximums Sh Parentheses (90)	perv. urf. own In s)
A B C D		(or Sq. Footage) 2785	(or Sq. Footage) Land Disturbance	(or Sq. Footage) Imperv. Surface	Land Im <u>Disturb.</u> Si (Maximums Sh Parentheses (90)(70)(70)(45) (50)(30)(30)	perv. urf. own In s)
A B C D E		(or Sq. Footage) 2785	(or Sq. Footage) Land Disturbance	(or Sq. Footage) Imperv. Surface	Land Im <u>Disturb.</u> Si (Maximums Sh Parentheses (90) (70) (45) (45) (50) (30) (72% (10) (10) (10)	perv. urf. own In (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (75) (76) (76)

NOTE: This proposal is for a sewer diversion line on property owned by Fulton County. A portion of the proposed line is underground, and no surface land disturbance will occur in that area. However, the property is crossed by an existing sewer easement along the river. The existing disturbance associated with that easement, combined with the disturbance required for surface work required for the proposed line, will exceed the maximum amount of land disturbance in the E category. It has been determined that the physical constraints on the property area available for review and the legal necessity of installing the line constitute a hardship under Part1.B. of the Chattahoochee Corridor Plan. The project has also been determined to be equivalent to a consistent project, as provided for in Section 12-5-445 (b)(1)(B) of the Metropolitan River Protection Act, using an average weighted runoff coefficient test. As the proposed project has met the average weighted runoff coefficient test, it has been found that, while not consistent with the Plan in all respects, it is equivalent to a fully consistent project and can be built as proposed. The test is attached.

9. Is any of this Land within the 100-Year Floodplain of the Chattahoochee River? Yes If "yes", indicate the 100-year floodplain elevation: 865.6	
NOTE: For this review, river floodplain is determined from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' "Floodplain Information - Chattahoochee River, Buford Dam to Whitesburg, Georgia", November, 1973 and its Supplement of March, 1982. NOTE: All river 100-year floodplain is assigned to the "E" Category; its allowable allocations can be combined with those of other "E" land in the review. Also, 100	0-
year floodplain cannot be reanalyzed and cannot accept transfers.	
10. Is any of this land within the 500-year floodplain of the Chattahoochee River? Yes If "yes", indicate the 500-year flood plain elevation: 866.4	
NOTE: Plan Standards include a 35-foot height limit above the pre-construction grade within the 500-year floodplain (includes the 100-year floodplain). Adherence to this standard must be noted on the submitted plans (see Part 2.B.(4) of the Chattahoochee Corridor Plan).	
11. The following is a checklist of information required to be attached as part of the application. Individual items may be combined.	
FOR ALL APPLICATIONS: X Description of land in the application and any additional land in the project (attach legal description or surveyed boundaries).	
Name, address, and phone number(s) of owner(s) of record of the land in the application. (Space provided on this form)	
X Written consent of all owners to this application. (Space provided on this form)	
Name, address, and phone number(s) of applicant or applicant's agent. (Space provided on this form)	
X Description of proposed use(s). (Space provided on this form)	
X Existing vegetation plan.	
X Proposed grading plan.	
X Certified as-builts of all existing land disturbance and impervious surfaces.	
X Approved erosion control plan.	
X Detailed table of land-disturbing activities. (Both on this form and on the plans)	

	Signature of Chief Elected Official or Official's Designee	09/04/2020	_
	(77)		
	Provisions of the Metropolitan River Protection Act.	und under the	
14.	The governing authority of City of Sandy Springs review by the Atlanta Regional Commission of the above-described in the commission of the commission o	ribed use under the	requests
	Signature(s) of Applicant(s) or Agent(s)	Date	
	David Clark, Director of Public Works Signature(s) of Applicant(s) or Agent(s)	July 16, 2020 Date	ā
	11/1/t = 11011 = 11011	E-E-40 0000	-
13.	Signature(s) of Owner(s) of Record I (we), the undersigned, authorize and request review of this a under the provisions of the Metropolitan River Protection Act		ificate
	David Clark, Director of Public Works		
12.	I (we), the undersigned, authorize and request review of this a under the provisions of the Metropolitan River Protection Act necessary)	: (use additional sho	
	Lot-by-lot and non-lot allocation tables.		
FOR	TWO-STEP SINGLE-FAMILY SUBDIVISION APPLICATI Concept plan.	ONS ONLY:	
X	Land-disturbance plan.		
FOR	SINGLE-STEP APPLICATIONS (NON-SUBDIVISION): Site plan.		
	Cashier's check or money order (for application fee).		
-	Documentation on adjustments, if any.		
	and rights-of -way; 100- and 500-year river floodplains; vulne boundaries; topography; any other information that will clari-	erability category	
_X	Plat-level plan showing (as applicable): lot boundaries; any of	her sub-areas; all ea	sements



Sandy Springs MRPA Project Number: R2T Project Number: #G055-0005

Mr. James Sanders City of Sandy Springs Planning and Zoning 1 Galambos Way Sandy Springs, GA 30328

Re: Request for Review Under MRPA 9745 Roberts Drive

Mr. Sanders:

On behalf of Fulton County Department of Public Works (County) this letter is submitted for review under the Metropolitan River Protection Act (MRPA) on the property of 9745 Roberts Drive to construct a sanitary sewer force main Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) exit pit and undisturbed utility right-of-way.

To accommodate an increase in wastewater treatment demand, Fulton County is looking to expand the Big Creek Water Reclamation Facility (BCWRF). Until project completion, water currently flowing into BCWRF will need to be redirected to Cobb County for treatment by constructing a new pump station and force main.

The force main will be constructed using HDD under the Chattahoochee River upstream of State Route 9/Atlanta Road/Roswell Road (34.005°N 84.348°W to 34.003°N 84.350°W). Figure 1 identifies the preliminary locations of the proposed construction and new disturbed on 9745 Roberts Drive. The new disturbance area meets the allowable amounts as set forth in the MRPA guidance using the Maximum Allowable Runoff Calculation Methodology.

Mitigation measures have been considered during design value engineering to move the crossing location closer to existing site disturbance near Roswell Road. This alternative was not favored by the County as it conflicts with stream buffer between Roswell Road and the property as well as the proposed Roswell Road improvement project pedestrian bridge. The pipeline construction was considered for the next property on Roberts Drive. However, this alternative was also dismissed as the Pittman property is a property of historic significance to the City of Sandy Springs and would conflict with Chattahoochee Corridor Plan (Part 1.B.3).

Construction mitigation measures were selected to minimize the disturbance and additional area by placing the HDD exit location on this property to avoid additional disturbance from laydown areas necessary for construction. Additional mitigation measures were discussed with Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) for the potential to request the disturbance and impervious area be added across the Chattahoochee River to the HDD entrance location. This alternative was dismissed by the City of Roswell legal department that would not allow the proposed action.



The final mitigation measure considered providing an undisturbed right-of-way for the HDD crossing with the exception of the disturbed HDD pit to be revegetated post construction for disturbance of 0.08 acres associated with this project on this property.

The attached Table 1 shows the summary of disturbed and impervious area calculations while Table 2 shows the maximum allowable runoff calculation for the consistent finding and the disturbance location. Figure 1 shows the disturbance associated with this project and the owners proposed project disturbance and impervious area.

Sincerely,

Melissa Keneely

Melissa Kensely

c/c Will Hackett Jr., P.E.



Table 1 – Summary of Disturbed and Impervious Area Calculation

Total Area:		
C category:		2785 SF
E category:		5504 SF
Total:		8289 SF
Land Disturbance:	Existing	Proposed
C category:	0 SF	30 SF
E category:	683 SF	3287 SF
Total	683 SF	3317 SF
Importious Curfoss	Eviatina	Dropood
Impervious Surface:	Existing	Proposed
C category:	0 SF	0 SF
E category:	683 SF	0 SF
Total	683 SF	0 SF



Table 2 – Average Weighted Runoff Coefficient Calculations

	Weighte	d Runoff Calcu	ulation for th	e Maxin	num Consistent P	roject	
Category	Natural Ar	ea	Landscape	d Area		Imper	rvious Area
C Category	835	SF	697	SF		1253	SF
E Category	3853	SF	825	SF		826	SF
Total for property:	4688	SF	1522	SF		2079	SF
Calculating the perc	entages of tl	ne total site ar	nd multiplyin	g by the	runoff coefficient	t factors:	
Area Type	Area	Total Area	% Area	(Coefficient		
Natural	4688 /	8,289 =	0.5656 x	0.30	= 0.1697		
Landscaped	1578 /	8,289 =	0.1836 x	0.35	= 0.0643		
Impervious	2253 /	8,289 =	0.2508 x	0.95	= 0.2383		
					0.4723	Weigl Coeff	num Consistent nted Runoff icient
Catagory	Avera		T		or Proposed Proj	_	nious Aros
Category		1	Landscape	1		impei	rvious Area
C Category	2,755	SF	30	SF		-	SF
E Category	1,534	SF	3,287	SF		683	SF
Total for property:	4,289	SF	3,317	SF		683	SF
Area Type	Area	Total Area	% Area	(Coefficient		
Natural	4,289 /	8,289 =	0.5174 x	0.30	= 0.1552		
Landscaped	3,317 /	8,289 =	0.4002 x	0.35	= 0.1401		
Impervious	683 /	8,289 =	0.0824 x	0.95	= 0.0742		
	,	•	•	•	0.3695	Avera Coeffi	ge Weighted Runoff icient

The proposed project coefficient of 0.3695 is less than the Maximum Consistent Average Weighted Runoff Coefficient of 0.4723. The proposed project meets the average weighted runoff coefficient test.



Table 1 – Summary of Disturbed and Impervious Area Calculation

Total Area:		
C category:		2785 SF
E category:		5504 SF
Total:		8289 SF
Land Disturbance:	Existing	Proposed
C category:	0 SF	30 SF
E category:	683 SF	3287 SF
Total	683 SF	3317 SF
Impervious Surface:	Existing	Proposed
C category:	0 SF	0 SF
E category:	683 SF	0 SF
Total	683 SF	0 SF



Table 2 – Average Weighted Runoff Coefficient Calculations

Category	Natural Ar	ea	Landscape	d Area		Imper	vious Area
C Category	835	SF	697	SF		1253	SF
E Category	3853	SF	825	SF		826	SF
Total for property:	4688	SF	1522	SF		2079	SF
Calculating the perc	entages of tl	ne total site ar	nd multiplyin	g by the	runoff coefficien	t factors:	
Area Type	Area	Total Area	% Area	(Coefficient		
Natural	4688 /	8,289 =	0.5656 x	0.30	= 0.1697		
Landscaped	1578 /	8,289 =	0.1836 x	0.35	= 0.0643		
Impervious	2253 /	8,289 =	0.2508 x	0.95	= 0.2383		
					0.4723	-	num Consistent nted Runoff icient
	Avera	age Weighted	Runoff Calcu	ulation fo	or Proposed Proj	ect	
6.1.	Alan alan			.1			. •
Category	Natural Ar		Landscape	1		Impe	vious Area
C Category	2,755	SF	30	SF		-	SF
		SF SF	•	SF SF			SF SF
C Category	2,755	SF	30	SF		-	SF
C Category E Category	2,755 1,534	SF SF	30 3,287	SF SF SF	Coefficient	683	SF SF
C Category E Category Total for property:	2,755 1,534 4,289	SF SF SF	30 3,287 3,317	SF SF SF	Coefficient = 0.1552	683	SF SF
C Category E Category Total for property: Area Type	2,755 1,534 4,289 Area	SF SF SF Total Area	30 3,287 3,317 % Area	SF SF SF		683	SF SF
C Category E Category Total for property: Area Type Natural	2,755 1,534 4,289 Area 4,289 /	SF SF SF Total Area 8,289 =	30 3,287 3,317 % Area 0.5174 x	SF SF SF 0.30	= 0.1552	683	SF SF

The proposed project coefficient of 0.3695 is less than the Maximum Consistent Average Weighted Runoff Coefficient of 0.4723. The proposed project meets the average weighted runoff coefficient test.

TRACT ONE ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND LYING AND BEING IN LAND LOT 367 AND 372 OF THE 6TH DISTRICT, $N \sim F$ FULTON COUNTY, CITY OF SANDY SPRINGS, GEORGIA, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: FULTON COUNTY N 79.06'37" BEGINNING AT #4 CAPPED REBAR FOUND AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF ROSWELL ROAD (VARIABLE RIGHT-OF-WAY) AND THE NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF ROBERTS DRIVE (50' RIGHT-OF-WAY); C/L CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER THENCE ALONG THE SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF ROBERTS DRIVE IN A NORTHEASTERLY DIRECTION 181.58 FEET TO A POINT, SAID POINT BEING THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE NORTH 22 DEGREES 02 MINUTES 14 SECONDS EAST 116.17 FEET TO A POINT; THENCE SOUTH 67 DEGREES 57 MINUTES 57 SECONDS EAST 10.00 FEET TO A POINT; THENCE NORTH 22 DEGREES 02 MINUTES 14 SECONDS EAST 237.15 FEET TO A POINT IN THE SOUTHERLY TOP OF BANK OF THE CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER; THENCE ALONG THE SAID TOP OF BANK NORTH 78 DEGREES 19 MINUTES 03 SECONDS EAST 18.41 FEET TO A THENCE NORTH 81 DEGREES 46 MINUTES 54 SECONDS EAST 5.46 FEET TO A POINT; THENCE LEAVING THE SAID SOUTHERLY TOP OF BANK SOUTH 22 DEGREES 02 MINUTES 14 SECONDS WEST 350.10 FEET TO A #4 REBAR CAPPED ON THE NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF ROBERTS DRIVE (50' RIGHT-OF-WAY); TRACT TWO THENCE ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF ROBERTS DRIVE SOUTH 83 DEGREES 43 MINUTES 28 SECONDS 0.09 AC. WEST 34.10 FEET TO A POINT, SAID POINT BEING THE POINT OF BEGINNING; 4054 ወ Existing sewer SAID TRACT OR PARCEL CONTAINS 0.19 ACRES (8122 SQUARE FEET), MORE OR LESS. easement is impervious surface. TRACT TWO ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND LYING AND BEING IN LAND LOT 367 AND 372 OF THE 6TH DISTRICT, FULTON COUNTY, CITY OF SANDY SPRINGS, GEORGIA, AND BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: N 7819'03" E 18.41 BEGINNING AT #4 CAPPED REBAR FOUND AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF ROSWELL V *81°46'54" E* TRACT TWO ROAD (VARIABLE RIGHT-OF-WAY) AND THE NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF ROBERTS DRIVE (50' RIGHT-OF-WAY); P.O.B. #4RBF WITNESS -THENCE ALONG THE SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF ROBERTS DRIVE IN A NORTHEASTERLY DIRECTION 181.58 CAPPED 48"RCP — SS FEET TO A POINT; ST_ SS _______

APPROX. DIRECTION TOP OF BANK THENCE LEAVING SAID RIGHT-OF-WAY NORTH 22 DEGREES 02 MINUTES 14 SECONDS EAST 116.17 FEET TO A POINT; THENCE SOUTH 67 DEGREES 57 MINUTES 57 SECONDS EAST 10.00 FEET TO A POINT; 48"RCP THENCE NORTH 22 DEGREES 02 MINUTES 14 SECONDS EAST 237.15 FEET TO A POINT IN THE SOUTHERLY TOP OF APPROX. DIRECTION BANK OF THE CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER, SAID POINT BEING THE POINT OF BEGINNING -EXISTING MH THENCE NORTH 22 DEGREES 02 MINUTES 14 SECONDS EAST 202.80 FEET TO A POINT ON THE CENTERLINE THE 50-FOOT STATE BUFFER Portion of easement CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER: in tract one and tract THENCE ALONG SAID CENTERLINE NORTH 79 DEGREES 06 MINUTES 37 SECONDS EAST 23.83 FEET TO A POINT; two is completely THENCE LEAVING SAID CENTERLINE SOUTH 22 DEGREES 02 MINUTES 14 SECONDS WEST 202.80 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTHERLY TOP OF BANK OF THE CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER; underground. THENCE ALONG SAID TOP OF BANK SOUTH 81 DEGREES 46 MINUTES 54 SECONDS WEST 5.46 FEET TO A POIN THENCE SOUTH 78 DEGREES 19 MINUTES 03 SECONDS WEST 18.41 FEET TO A POWN, SAID POINT BEING THE POINT OF BEGINNING; TRACT ONE L SAID TRACT OR PARCEL CONTAINS 0.09 ACRES (4054 SQUARE FEET), MORE OR LESS 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN PROPOSED FORCE MAIN 0.19 AC. CENTERLINE N 22°02'14" E 8122 申 E-FP $N \sim F$ 9755 WILLIAM ODREY 1 STORY BRICK D.B. 43394 PG. 550 PARCEL ID 06 036700010011 $N \sim F$ LLOYD & RUBY PITTMAN D.B. 14184 PG. 122 150-FOOT IMPERVIOUS SETBACK PARCEL 10 06 036700010037 10.00' (*L.L.* 372 18 ROSWELL P R/W E .L. 367 N 83°43'28" E 83°43'28" W P.O.B. ROBERTS DRIVE (50' R\W) THE PROPERTY HEREON LIES COMPLETELY WITHIN A JURISDICTION WHICH DOES NOT REVIEW ANY PLATS OR THIS TYPE OF PLAT PRIOR TO RECORDING. RECORDATION OF THIS PLAT DOES NOT IMPLY APPROVAL OF ANY LOCAL JURISDICTION, AVAILABILITY OF PERMITS, COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL REGULATIONS OR REQUIREMENTS, OR SUITABILITY FOR ANY USE OR PURPOSE OF THE LAND. FURTHERMORE, THE UNDERSIGNED LAND SURVEYOR CERTIFIES THAT THIS PLAT COMPLIAND. THE MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS FOR PROPERTY SURVEYS IN CEORGIA AS SET FORTH IN THE BULLES. MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS FOR PROPERTY SURVEYS IN GEORGIA AS SET FORTH IN THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE GEORGIA BOARD OF REGISTRATION FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS AND AS SET FORTH IN O.C.G.A. SECTION 15-6-67. R_{G} 0 POFESSION, Dear C. Olson 2806 Legend DA TE Category Areas OF C. OLS · 100-Year Floodplain Elevation Existing Land Disturbance & Impervious Surface Limit of New Above-Ground Disturbance Riverbank REVISIONS FEE SIMPLE EXHIBIT FOR: 50-Foot State Buffer 12/20/18 - C/L RIVER 150-Foot Impervious Setback WILLIAM ODREY 01/04/19-LESS & EXCEPT SURVEYOR REFERENCES: 02/13/19-REMOVE LESS FULTON-COBB DIVERSION LINE D.B. 43394 PG. 550 & EXCEPT 10/17/19-UPDATE Marietta Office CURRENT OWNER: Canton Office PERMANENT EASEMENT 1266 Powder Springs Rd 147 Reinhardt College Pkwy WILLIAM ODREY SCALE IN FEET 02/25/20 Marietta, GA 30064 Ste. 3 Canton, GA 30114 D.B. 43394, PG. 550 LSF# 789 LOCATED IN L.L.'S 367 & 372 Phone: (770) 424-7168 Phone: (770) 479-9698 www.gscsurvey.com REVISED EASEMENT 6th DISTRICT DRAWN BY: RSS TO FEE SIMPLE FIELD DATE: N/A CITY OF SANDY SPRINGS OFFICE DATE: 12/18/2018 CHECKED BY: DCO FULTON COUNTY, GA.

SCALE: 1"= 40'

Figure 1 - Area of Disturbance and Impervious Cover

FILE: P: /FREESE & NICHOLS