

REGIONAL REVIEW FINDING

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: August 13, 2020 ARC REVIEW CODE: R2007271

TO: Mayor Keisha Lance Bottoms

ATTN TO: Monique Forte, Urban Planner III

FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director

RE: Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Review

Digital signature Original on file

Dragh R. Stoke

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to its relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This final report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the host local government.

Name of Proposal: Bolton Mixed Use (DRI #3097)
Submitting Local Government: City of Atlanta

Review Type: Development of Regional Impact **Date Opened**: July 27, 2020 **Date Closed**: August 13, 2020

<u>Description</u>: Description: A Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review of a proposal to build a mixed-use project on a 9.7-acre site at 1593-1595 Chattahoochee Avenue NW in the City of Atlanta. The project proposes 660 multifamily units, 262,496 square feet of office, 36,240 sf of retail, a 35,000 sf of supermarket, 10,000 sf of restaurants and a 135-room hotel. The site is not directly served by any state routes. The local trigger is a proposed rezoning from I-1 to MRC-2. The expected buildout year is 2024.

<u>Comments:</u> According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI is in the Maturing Neighborhoods area of the region. ARC's Regional Development Guide (RDG) details recommended policies for areas on the UGPM. RDG information and recommendations for Maturing Neighborhoods are listed at the bottom of these comments.

This DRI appears to manifest many aspects of regional policy. It converts a mix of existing commercial and light industrial sites on an urban arterial route to an infill, mixed-use development with significant housing and employment components as well as pedestrian-oriented amenities and uses at street level. It offers more housing opportunity within the City and brings a grocery store to an area that is near Census tracts that have been identified by the USDA where a significant share of residents are more than a mile from the nearest supermarket ("food desert").

The project can also support alternative transportation modes given its proximity to two MARTA bus lines. (Note: As of this writing, the location is not currently being served by MARTA under service reductions for

COVID-19). The southbound bus stop is currently located to the northeast of the site. Coordination with MARTA is encouraged to locate and provide a shelter at an appropriate location to better serve the DRI.

Many of these characteristics will collectively offer the potential for site residents to work and shop on site, and for workers and visitors to park once or arrive via alternative transportation modes and conduct multiple trips on foot. Along those lines, care should be taken to ensure that the development promotes a functional, safe, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all streets, paths and parking areas. The development team is also encouraged to ensure that end-of-trip facilities (bicycle racks, etc.) are provided for residents, workers and visitors at key locations throughout the site.

During the Pre–Review meeting on April 22, 2020, there was discussion about whether two driveways (Southwest Entry Drives A & B) on the Chattahoochee Avenue side were necessary because they are close together and introduce unnecessary pedestrian conflicts. The submitted site plan still shows these two driveways, but further analysis should consider whether the access can be accomplished with one. There was also discussion about the motor court shown on Marietta Boulevard, which can be useful for ridehailing services, but has the potential to intrude on the pedestrian space. The driveway should be designed in such a way that prevents drivers from exiting Marietta Boulevard at high speed and maintains ample ADA–accessible passage for pedestrians. Additional comments from ARC's Transportation Access & Mobility Group and GDOT's aviation division are attached.

The project could further support The Atlanta Region's Plan in general if it incorporated other aspects of regional policy, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design (e.g., rain gardens, vegetated swales, etc.) in parking areas and site driveways, and as part of any improvements to site frontages. There appear to be many opportunities for incorporating this infrastructure in the projects public plazas and internal street.

The intensity of this proposed project generally aligns with the RDG's recommended range of densities and building heights in Maturing Neighborhoods. The land use mix appears to be generally consistent with the RDG, specifically in terms of promoting mixed–use in areas close to existing or planned transit. The RDG also recommends ensuring that new and infill development is compatible with existing neighborhoods. City leadership and staff, along with the development team, should therefore collaborate to ensure sensitivity to nearby neighborhoods, land uses, structures and natural resources. It is not compatible with the City's Future Land Use for this location, which remains industrial. This is a larger issue for the City to consider as increased development pressure erodes the amount of industrial land available for job creation and retention.

Further to the above, Maturing Neighborhoods were primarily developed prior to 1970 and are typically adjacent to the Region Core and Regional Employment Corridors. These three areas, combined, represent a significant percentage of the region's jobs and population. General policy recommendations for Maturing Neighborhoods include:

• Improve safety and quality of transit options by providing alternatives for end-of-trip facilities (such as bicycle racks) and sidewalks and/or shelters adjacent to bus stops

- Identify and remedy incidents of "food deserts" within neighborhoods, particularly in traditionally underserved neighborhoods and schools
- · Promote mixed use where locally appropriate, specifically in areas served by existing or planned transit
- Develop policies and establish design standards to ensure new and infill development is compatible with existing neighborhoods

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MARTA

ARC Transportation Access & Mobility
ARC Aging & Health Resources
Georgia Department of Transportation
Fulton County

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GRTA/SRTA

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Greg Giuffrida at (470) 378-1531 or ggiuffrida@atlantaregional.org. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews.



Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home Tier Map Apply View Submissions Login

DRI #3097

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Atlanta

Individual completing form: Monique Forte

Telephone: 4045072982

E-mail: mbforte@atlantaga.gov

*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Bolton Mixed Use

Location (Street Address, 1593 and 1595 Chattahoochee Avenue GPS Coordinates, or Legal

Land Lot Description):

Brief Description of Project: This is a mixed-use development that will include 432,972 square feet of non-

residential use and 660 multi-family units.

Development Type:

(not selected) OHotels Wastewater Treatment Facilities Office Mixed Use Petroleum Storage Facilities Commercial Airports OWater Supply Intakes/Reservoirs OAttractions & Recreational Facilities OIntermodal Terminals Wholesale & Distribution CHospitals and Health Care Facilities CPost-Secondary Schools Truck Stops Housing OWaste Handling Facilities OAny other development types Industrial Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants

If other development type, describe:

Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.): 432,972 square feet of nonresidential development and 660 multi-family units

Developer: AB Capital LLC

Mailing Address: 3001 Lookout Place NE

Address 2:

City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30305

Telephone: 404-492-7202

Email: dbell@abcapital.com
Is property owner different
from developer/applicant? (not selected) Yes No

If yes, property owner: Mikart PropCo, LLC

Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?

nment's (not selected) Yes No

If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?

Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of __(not selected)_Yes_No a previous DRI?

If yes, provide the following Project Name:

1 of 2 4/14/2020, 12:06 PM

information: Project ID:

Rezoning
The initial action being Variance
requested of the local Sewer
government for this project: Water
Permit
Other

Is this project a phase or part
of a larger overall project?
If yes, what percent of the
overall project does this
project/phase represent?

Estimated Project This project/phase: One Phase
Completion Dates: Overall project: 2023

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact

2 of 2



Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home

Tier Map

Apply

View Submissions

<u>Login</u>

DRI #3097

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Atlanta

Individual completing form: Monique Forte

Telephone: 4045072982

Email: mbforte@atlantaga.gov

Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Bolton Mixed Use

DRI ID Number: 3097

Developer/Applicant: AB Capital LLC Telephone: 404-492-7202

Email(s): dbell@abcapital.com

Additional Information Requested

Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no,

(not selected) Yes No

proceed to Economic

Impacts.)

If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable,

(not selected) Yes No

If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.

Economic Development

Estimated Value at Build-\$250 million

Estimated annual local tax

revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:

\$3,200,000

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed

(not selected) Yes No

project?

Will this development

displace any existing uses? (not selected) Yes No

If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc): Approximately 135,413 square feet of existing office and manufacturing/distribution space is being displaced. That reflects the existing Mikart Pharmaceutical buildings on the

Water Supply

Name of water supply provider for this site:

City of Atlanta

What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of

0.36 MGD

Gallons Per Day (MGD)?

1 of 3 7/23/2020, 10:23 AM

Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No
If no, describe any plans to e	expand the existing water supply capacity:
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, how much additional	line (in miles) will be required?
	Wastewater Disposal
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	City of Atlanta
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)? Is sufficient wastewater	0.30 MGD
treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No
If no, describe any plans to e	expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, how much additional I	line (in miles) will be required?
	Land Transportation
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	440 new trips - see traffic study for more details
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project? Are transportation	ℂ(not selected) ⊮Yes No
improvements needed to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, please describe below	v:See traffic study provided.
	Solid Waste Disposal
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate	
annually (in tons)? Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No
	expand existing landfill capacity:
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	ℂ(not selected) Yes ∘ No
If yes, please explain:	
	Stormwater Management
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?	30%
project's impacts on stormwa	bosed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the ater management:Stormwater will be managed in above ground and underground detention by and stormwater requirements of the City, State and Federal government.
	Environmental Quality
Is the development located v	within, or likely to affect any of the following:

2 of 3 7/23/2020, 10:23 AM

2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No 5. Protected river corridors? (not selected) Yes No 6. Floodplains? (not selected) Yes No 7. Historic resources? (not selected) Yes No 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected: Back to Top	Water supply watersheds?	(not selected) Yes No
4. Protected mountains? (not selected) Yes No 5. Protected river corridors? (not selected) Yes No 6. Floodplains? (not selected) Yes No 7. Historic resources? (not selected) Yes No 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:		(not selected) Yes No
5. Protected river corridors? (not selected) Yes No 6. Floodplains? (not selected) Yes No 7. Historic resources? (not selected) Yes No 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:	3. Wetlands?	(not selected) Yes No
6. Floodplains? (not selected) Yes No 7. Historic resources? (not selected) Yes No 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? (not selected) Yes No If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:	4. Protected mountains?	(not selected) Yes No
7. Historic resources? (not selected) Yes No 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? (not selected) Yes No If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:	5. Protected river corridors?	(not selected) Yes No
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? (not selected) Yes No If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:	6. Floodplains?	(not selected) Yes No
sensitive resources? Cnot selected) Yes No If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:	7. Historic resources?	(not selected) Yes No
		ℂ(not selected) Yes⊚No
Back to Top	If you answered yes to any o	uestion above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:
	Back to Top	

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact

3 of 3

Greg Giuffrida

From: Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2020 2:43 PM

To: Greg Giuffrida

Cc: Comer, Carol; Brian, Steve; Edmisten, Colette; Robinson, Joseph; Kleine, Tracie; Beggerly, Timothy

Subject: RE: ARC DRI Review Notification - Bolton Mixed Use DRI #3097 **Attachments:** ARC Preliminary Report - Bolton Mixed Use DRI 3097.pdf

Greg,

The proposed mixed-use project is on a 9.7-acre site at 1593-1595 Chattahoochee Avenue NW in the City of Atlanta. The project proposes 660 multifamily units, 262,496 square feet of office, 36,240 sf of retail, a 35,000 sf of supermarket, 10,000 sf of restaurants and a 135-room hotel. It is 4.6 miles northeast of the Fulton County Airport – Brown Field (FTY), and is located outside any FAA approach or departure surfaces, and airport compatible land use areas, and does not appear to impact the airport.

However, the proposed development is in proximity to a navigation facility and may impact the assurance of navigation signal reception, so an FAA Form 7460-1 must be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration according to the FAA's Notice Criteria Tool found here

(https://oeaaa.faa.gov/oeaaa/external/gisTools/gisAction.jsp?action=showNoNoticeRequiredToolForm). Those submissions for the buildings and any associated cranes may be done online at https://oeaaa.faa.gov. The FAA must be in receipt of the notifications, no later than 120 days prior to construction. The FAA will evaluate the potential impacts of the project on protected airspace associated with the airports and advise the proponent if any action is necessary.

I have copied Tim Beggerly with Fulton County Airport – Brown Field (FTY) on this email.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed development.

Alan Hood

Airport Safety Data Program Manager



Aviation Programs 600 West Peachtree Street NW 6th Floor Atlanta, GA, 30308 404.660.3394 cell 404.532.0082 office

From: Greg Giuffrida <GGiuffrida@atlantaregional.org>

Sent: Monday, July 27, 2020 11:26 AM

To: Andrew Spiliotis <aspiliotis@srta.ga.gov>; Annie Gillespie <agillespie@srta.ga.gov>; Boone, Eric <eboone@dot.ga.gov>; 'ccomer@dot.ga.gov'; 'chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us'; 'cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov'; 'davinwilliams@dot.ga.gov'; Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V. <mcanizares@dot.ga.gov>; DeNard, Paul <pdepdenard@dot.ga.gov>; Finch, Ashley M <AFinch@dot.ga.gov>; Fowler, Matthew <mfowler@dot.ga.gov>; Greg Floyd - MARTA (gfloyd@itsmarta.com) <gfloyd@itsmarta.com>; Hatch, Justin A <juhatch@dot.ga.gov>; Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>; Johnson, Lankston <lajohnson@dot.ga.gov>; Jon West <jon.west@dca.ga.gov>; Zahul, Kathy



regional impact + local relevance

Development of Regional Impact

Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

DRI INFORMATION

DRI Number #3097

DRI Title Bolton Mixed Used Development

County Fulton County

City (if applicable) City of Atlanta

Address / Location 1593 & 1595 Chattahoochee Avenue near Marietta Ave

Proposed Development Type: Approximately 8 acres site consisting of 660 multifamily units, 262,496 square feet (sf) offices, 36,240 sf retail, 35,000 sf market, 10,000 sf restaurant use, 135 rooms hotel

Review Process EXPEDITED

NON-EXPEDITED

REVIEW INFORMATION

Prepared by ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division

Staff Lead Marquitrice Mangham

Copied Click here to enter text.

Date July 13, 2020

TRAFFIC STUDY

Prepared By: NV5 Engineers

Date June 25, 2020

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS

	Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?
	YES (provide the regional plan referenced and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)
	NO (provide comments below)
	No programmed projects are planned in the RTP or TIP in the proposed study network.
REGION	IAL NETWORKS
02.	Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares?
	A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.
	NO
	YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points) The development proposes 2 access points on Chattahoochee Avenue and 1 on Marietta Blvd. Neither roadway is designated as regional thoroughfare.

03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

X	NO
	YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)
	Site access will be provided by Marietta Blvd and Chattahoochee Ave

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

\boxtimes	NOT APPLICABLE (neare	st station more than one mile away)
	RAIL SERVICE WITHIN O	NE MILE (provide additional information below)
	Operator / Rail Line	
	Nearest Station	Click here to enter name of operator and rail line
	Distance*	☐ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
		0.10 to 0.50 mile
		0.50 to 1.00 mile
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
		Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

		Click here to provide comments.
	Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
		☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
		☐ Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
		Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Transit Connectivity	Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
		Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
		No services available to rail station
		Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
		Click here to provide comments.
	* Following the most di development site	irect feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the
	f there is currently no rail t ervice planned in the fisca	transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail
5	<u> </u>	•
	prefer not to drive, expanded help reduce traffic congests plans are being considered consideration to how the state of the locations. Proactive negotial encouraged to determine for potential future service agency and local government accessibility is provided be should be considered fundations.	velopments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or deconomic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can stion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion d in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station trations with the development team and local government(s) are whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected e. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit ment(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access etween the development and the future rail line. These improvements damental components of the overall transit expansion project, with concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.
	NOT APPLICABLE (rail s	service already exists)
	NOT APPLICABLE (acce	essing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development
	NO (no plans exist to p	provide rail service in the general vicinity)
	X YES (provide additiona	l information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
	CST planned within	n TIP period
	CST planned within	n first portion of long range period
	CST planned pear	and of plan harizon

Click here to provide comments.

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the connection a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

	·	rest bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away)
\boxtimes	SERVICE WITHIN ONE I	MILE (provide additional information below)
	Operator(s)	MARTA
	Bus Route(s)	60
	Distance*	☑ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
		0.10 to 0.50 mile
		0.50 to 1.00 mile
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
		Not applicable (No Sidewalks or accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
		Click here to provide comments.
	Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
		☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity
		Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
		 Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

07.	transit isdiction	•	-			-		s se	rvice	opera	ite any	wher	e within	1
				_						_				Ī

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a comprehensive operations plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to serving the site during the evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the nature of the development is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and any routes within a one mile radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make these connections a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

MAI	RTA. GRTA Express
	YES
	NO

08. If the development site is within one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path or trail is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and those facilities is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

NOT APPLICABLE (near	est path or trail more than one mile away)
YES (provide additional	l information below)
Name of facility	Northside Trail and Indian Trail
Distance	☑ Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)
	0.15 to 0.50 mile
	☐ 0.50 to 1.00 mile
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Bicycling Access*	☐ Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity
	☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets

the type of development proposed
* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site
OTHER TRANSPORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS
09. Does the site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible local road or drive aisle connections with adjacent parcels?
The ability for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent arterial or collector roadway networks can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.
YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
OTHER (Please explain)
be accessed from local roads. 10. Does the site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the development site safely and conveniently?
The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site plans should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key destinations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large acreage sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.
YES (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and bicyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)
PARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not comprehensive and/or direct)
NO (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and bicycling trips)
OTHER (Please explain)
The site plan depicts pedestrian facilities adjacent and internal to the site.

Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with

C	Does the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking connections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future?
	The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.
	YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
	YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
	NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)
	NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
	NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
[NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips)
7	The site plan depicts proposed sidewalks internal and adjacent to the proposed site.
	from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network?
	The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways,
	sidewalks, paths and other facilities.
[YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)
[YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space
]	YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical) PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary

RECOMMENDATIONS

13.	Do the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible from a constructability standpoint?
	UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary)
	YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)
	NO (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
14.	Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?
	NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)
	YES (see comments below)
15.	ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):
	None.

BOLTON MIXED USE DRI City of Atlanta Natural Resources Group Review Comments June 30, 2020

While ARC and the Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District have no regulatory or review authority over this project, the Natural Resources Group has identified County and State regulations that could apply to this property. Other regulations may also apply that we have not identified.

Watershed Protection

The project property is entirely within the Peachtree Creek watershed, which is part of the Chattahoochee River watershed and enters the river downstream of the Region's water intakes.

Stream Buffers

The USGS coverage for the project area shows no streams on or near the property. No streams or other waters of the State are shown on the submitted site plan and no evidence of streams or other waters is visible in available aerial photo coverage. Any unmapped streams identified on the property may be subject to the City of Atlanta's stream buffer ordinance. Any unmapped State waters identified on the property will be subject to the State 25-foot Sediment and Erosion Control buffer.

Stormwater/Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality.

During the planning phase, the stormwater management system (system) should meet the requirements of the local jurisdiction's post-construction (or post-development) stormwater management ordinance. The system should be designed to prevent increased flood damage, streambank channel erosion, habitat degradation and water quality degradation, and enhance and promote the public health, safety and general welfare. The system design should also be in accordance with the applicable sections of the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) such as design standards, calculations, formulas, and methods. Where possible, the project should use stormwater better site design practices included in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual, Volume 2, Section 2.3.

During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements.

