

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: JULY 14, 2020 **ARC REVIEW CODE:** R2007141

TO: Mayor Ollie Clemons Jr., City of Austell

ATTN TO: Darrell Weaver, Assistant Director, Community Affairs

FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARC **RE:** Development of Regional Impact Review

Digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a preliminary regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to its relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This preliminary report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the local government.

Name of Proposal: Thornton Business Park (DRI #3119)

Review Type: DRI **Submitting Local Government**: City of Austell

<u>Date Opened</u>: July 14, 2020 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: July 29, 2020 <u>Date to Close</u>: August 3, 2020

<u>Description:</u> A Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review of a proposed project to build two distribution warehouses in unincorporated Douglas County at the northwest corner of the intersection of Thornton Road (US 278/SR 6) and Veterans Memorial Highway (US 78/SR 8). The project proposes two distribution warehouses totaling 760,000 SF. Access will be provided with five new driveways on Causey Road. The local trigger is a concurrent rezoning and annexation into the City of Austell. The application is being submitted by the City of Austell, but Douglas County remains the jurisdiction until approved. Expected buildout is 2023.

<u>PRELIMINARY COMMENTS:</u> According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI is in an Established Suburbs area. ARC's Regional Development Guide (RDG) details recommended policies for areas and places on the UGPM. General RDG information and recommendations for Established Suburbs areas are listed at the bottom of these comments. In addition, we have listed recommendations for Regional Industrial & Logistics Areas, considering the proposed use.

This DRI manifests certain aspects of regional policy. It offers the potential for efficiencies and connectivity in intraregional, interregional and interstate freight movement given its accessibility to Thornton Road/Camp Creek Parkway (US 278/SR 6), Veterans Memorial Highway (US 78/US 278/SR 5), and Interstate 20. Although this location is not currently considered an Industrial & Logistics Area in The Atlanta Region's Plan, the growing number of distribution/warehouse facilities in the western Metro area requires considering the logistical issues these bring to the area.

The project can further support The Atlanta Region's Plan in general by incorporating other aspects of regional policy, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design best practices throughout the site in general, in parking areas, on site driveways, in stormwater detention facilities, and as part of any improvements to site frontages. Please also see the attached comments from ARC's Natural Resources Group. They note that the site is located within the Sweetwater Creek Water Supply Watershed, and there are restrictions on handling and storage of hazardous materials within 7 miles upstream of a water supply intake. They also note that any changes to the parking areas on Building B near the County stream buffer may require a local variance.

In addition, ARC encourages the applicant team to ensure that the development promotes a functional, safe, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all proposed driveways, paths and parking areas on the site. Pedestrian facilities should connect to the main entrances of both buildings. The site plan currently shows installing new sidewalk on Causey Road only to the driveway for Building A. The local government should consider requiring sidewalk to be extended along the length of the project up to Driveway 1, to serve employees of the DRI, existing residents, and future development accessed by Causey Road. While it's beyond the scope of this project to address, the local governments and Georgia Department of Transportation should plan in the future for continuing the sidewalk on the west side of Veterans Memorial Highway between Thornton Road and its current terminus at the retail building at 10032 Veterans Memorial Highway. Additional comments from ARC's Transportation Access & Mobility Group are included with this report.

The DRI's site design should provide sufficient truck parking to prevent trucks from queuing or waiting on any adjacent or nearby roads. Trucks parking in and along public roadways – typically while waiting for an available dock at a nearby facility – is an identified issue in many areas of the region that negatively impacts roadway operations, safety and congestion. Signage and other measures to ensure drivers use the appropriate freight routes should be emphasized.

The underlying area for this location falls under the Established Suburbs category of the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM). Established Suburbs are generally areas of residential development that occurred after 1970 to around 1995 and Developing Suburbs are areas that were constructed from around 1995 to today. These areas are projected to remain suburbs through 2040. Recommendations include:

- New development should connect to the existing road network and adjacent developments and use of cul-de-sacs or other means resulting in disconnected subdivisions should be discouraged
- Maximize the usefulness of existing recreational facilities in addition to providing new recreational opportunities
- Eliminate vacant or under-utilized parking areas through mechanisms such as out-parceling or conversion to community open space
- Use rain gardens, vegetated swales or other enhanced water filtration design to enhance the quality of stormwater run-off
- Identify other opportunities to foster a sense of community by developing town centers, village centers or other places of centralized location

These recommendations don't fully address the relevant issues with this DRI, so the following RDG recommendations are offered from the Regional Industrial & Logistics section, defined as areas that will see increased job growth in the form of industrial and logistics space. Strategies are needed to avoid residential and industrial conflicts while still allowing both uses in proximity to each other, without limiting the operations of industrial land users. Recommendations include:

- Protect Industrial and Logistics Areas by not allowing conflicting land uses in the vicinity
- Identify key areas to preserve for freight and industrial uses
- Continue to promote Industrial and Logistics Areas as a major resource in recruiting future economic development prospects to the region
- Ensure the continued efficiency of cargo and freight transport with easy connectivity to trucking and shipping routes through the region

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DOUGLAS COUNTY
CITY OF POWDER SPRINGS

ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS & MOBILITY
ARC AGING & HEALTH RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GRTA/SRTA
COBB COUNTY

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Greg Giuffrida at (470) 378-1531 or ggiuffrida@atlantaregional.org. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews.



DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Commission for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to generate impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is located, for example in adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included in this packet and offer your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to ARC on or before the specified return deadline.

proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included in this packet and offer your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to ARC on or before the specified return deadline.		
Preliminary Findings of the RDC: <u>Thornton Business Park (DRI #3119)</u> See	the Preliminary Report.	
Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed):		
Individual Completing Form:		
Local Government:	Please return this form to: Greg Giuffrida	
Department:	Atlanta Regional Commission International Tower 229 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 100	
Telephone: ()	Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Ph. (470) 378-1531 ggiuffrida@atlantaregional.org	
Signature:	Return Date: July 29, 2020	
Date:		

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

TO: ARC Group Managers	
FROM: Greg Giuffrida, 470-378-1531	
Reviewin	ng staff by Jurisdiction:
Community Development: Giuffrida, Greg	Transportation Access and Mobility: Mangham, Marquitrice
Natural Resources: Santo, Jim	Research and Analytics: Skinner, Jim
Aging and Health Resources: Perumbeti, Katie	,
Name of Proposal: Thornton Business Park (DRI #311	9)
Review Type: Development of Regional Impact	
•	review of a proposed project to build two distribution warehouses in
,	er of the intersection of Thornton Road (US 278/SR 6) and Veterans es two distribution warehouses totaling 760,000 SF. Access will be
	e local trigger is a concurrent rezoning and annexation into the City of
Austell. The application is being submitted by the O	City of Austell, but Douglas County remains the jurisdiction until
approved. Expected buildout is 2023.	
Submitting Local Government: City of Austell Date Opened: July 14, 2020	
Deadline for Comments: July 29, 2020	
Date to Close: August 3, 2020	
<u>Sate to Giose.</u> Magast 9, 2020	
	Response:
1) \qed Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following	regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	onsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
guide listed in the comment section.	
•	onsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
guide listed in the comment section.	
1) The proposal is INCONCICTENT with the following	llowing regional development guide listed in the comment section
	llowing regional development guide listed in the comment section.
5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop	oment guide for which this division is responsible.
 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff of the staf	oment guide for which this division is responsible. he reasons listed in the comment section.
 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff of the staf	oment guide for which this division is responsible.
 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff of the staf	oment guide for which this division is responsible. he reasons listed in the comment section.
 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff of the staf	oment guide for which this division is responsible. he reasons listed in the comment section.
 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff of the staf	oment guide for which this division is responsible. he reasons listed in the comment section.
 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff of the staf	oment guide for which this division is responsible. he reasons listed in the comment section.
 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop 6) □ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the staff of the staf	oment guide for which this division is responsible. he reasons listed in the comment section.



Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home Tier Map **View Submissions** <u>Login</u> **Apply**

DRI #3119

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Austell

Individual completing form: Darrell Weaver

Telephone: 770-944-4312

E-mail: darrell@austellga.gov

*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Thorton Business park

Location (Street Address, Located in between Thorton Rd., Veterans Memorial Hwy, and Causey Rd. in GPS Coordinates, or Legal Austell/Douglas County

Land Lot Description):

Brief Description of Project: Multi parcel assemblage; Building A 420,000 S.F., Building B 220,000 S.F.

Development Type: (not selected)

Office OMixed Use Petroleum Storage Facilities Commercial Airports OWater Supply Intakes/Reservoirs OAttractions & Recreational Facilities OIntermodal Terminals Wholesale & Distribution CHospitals and Health Care Facilities CPost-Secondary Schools Truck Stops Housing OWaste Handling Facilities Any other development types Industrial Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants If other development type, describe:

Wastewater Treatment Facilities

Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.): 2 units Building A 420,000 sf, Building B 220,000

OHotels

Developer: Logistics Property Co

Mailing Address: 3340 Peachtree Rd

Address 2: Suite 580

City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30326

Telephone: 404-358-4992

Email: jmitchell@logisticspropco.com

Is property owner different from developer/applicant?
(not selected) Yes No

If yes, property owner:

Is the proposed project entirely located within your

(not selected) Yes No local government's jurisdiction?

If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project Will be annexed into the city of Austell

Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of (not selected) Yes No

a previous DRI?

If yes, provide the following Project Name:

located?

5/28/2020, 2:21 PM 1 of 2

```
information: Project ID:

Rezoning
The initial action being | Variance requested of the local | Sewer government for this project: | Water | Permit | Other Annexation |

Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?

If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?

Estimated Project | This project/phase: 2022 | Completion Dates: Overall project: 2022
```

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact

2 of 2



Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home

Tier Map

Apply

View Submissions

<u>Login</u>

DRI #3119

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Austell

Individual completing form: Darrell Weaver

Telephone: 770-944-4312

Email: darrell@austellga.gov

Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Thorton Business park

DRI ID Number: 3119

Developer/Applicant: Logistics Property Co

Telephone: 404-358-4992

Email(s): jmitchell@logisticspropco.com

Additional Information Requested

Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no,

(not selected) Yes No

proceed to Economic

Impacts.)

If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable,

(not selected) Yes No

If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.

Economic Development

Estimated Value at Build-\$53 Million

Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be

\$68,900 annual property tax

generated by the proposed development:

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed

(not selected) Yes No

project? Will this development

(not selected) Yes No displace any existing uses?

If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc):

Water Supply

Name of water supply provider for this site:

City of Austell

What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of

100,000 GDP

Gallons Per Day (MGD)? Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?

(not selected) Yes No

7/2/2020, 3:53 PM 1 of 3

TO	A 11:4:	nal Infori	4:	E
JKI	Additio	nai iniori	nauon	гопп

nd the existing water supply capacity:	
not selected) Yes No	
(in miles) will be required?	
Wastewater Disposal	
ty of Austell	
0,000 GDP	
not selected) Yes No	
nd existing wastewater treatment capacity:	
not selected) Yes No	
(in miles) will be required?	
Land Transportation	
m	
not colored \> Vec \ No	
not selected) Yes No	
not selected) Yes No	
usey Rd. improvements	
Solid Waste Disposal	
not selected) • Yes No	
nd existing landfill capacity:	
not selected) Yes No	
Stormwater Management	
.15%	
d (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the management:Buffers and Ponds (3)	
management:Buffers and Ponds (3)	
management:Buffers and Ponds (3) Environmental Quality	
	wastewater Disposal y of Austell 0,000 GDP not selected) "Yes." No nd existing wastewater treatment capacity: not selected) "Yes." No Land Transportation m not selected) "Yes." No usey Rd. improvements Solid Waste Disposal not selected) "Yes." No nd existing landfill capacity: not selected) "Yes." No not selected) "Yes." No not selected) "Yes." No not selected) "Yes." No selected) "Yes." No not selected) "Yes." No not selected) "Yes." No selected) "Yes." No Stormwater Management

2 of 3

3. Wetlands?
4. Protected mountains?
(not selected) Yes No
5. Protected river corridors?
(not selected) Yes No
6. Floodplains?
(not selected) Yes No
7. Historic resources?
(not selected) Yes No
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?
(not selected) Yes No

If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:

Back to Top

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact

3 of 3

THORNTON BUSINESS PARK DRI

Douglas County Natural Resources Group Review Comments July 10, 2020

While ARC and the Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District have no regulatory or review authority over this project, the Natural Resources Group has identified County and State regulations that could apply to this property. Other regulations may also apply that we have not identified.

Watershed Protection and Stream Buffers

The property is located in the Chattahoochee River watershed, but it is not in the 2000-foot Chattahoochee River Corridor and is not subject to the requirements of the Metropolitan River Protection Act and the Chattahoochee Corridor Plan. It is located downstream of the portion of the Chattahoochee that serves as a water supply source in the Atlanta Region.

The property is also located in the Sweetwater Creek Water Supply Watershed, a large (greater than 100 square mile) watershed as defined by the State of Georgia's Part 5 Environmental Planning Criteria for water supply watersheds. As withdrawals are drawn directly from the Sweetwater Creek and not from a reservoir, the only Part 5 Water Supply Watershed criteria that apply in the Sweetwater Creek watershed are restrictions on the handling and storage of hazardous materials within 7 miles upstream of the intake. (East Point's Sparks Reservoir is located in the basin of a tributary to Sweetwater Creek and receives no direct flow from Sweetwater Creek or the rest of the Sweetwater watershed. This project is not in the Sparks Reservoir watershed.).

The USGS coverage for the project area shows no blue-line streams on the property. The only blue-line stream shown near the property is across Causey Road from the project site. This stream is shown on the project site plan, as well as an unmapped stream and associated wetland areas along the southern and southwestern portions of the project site. The County's 50-foot stream buffer and additional 25-foot impervious setback, as well as the State 25-foot State Erosion and Sedimentation Control buffer are shown on the site plan. No intrusions into the buffers or setback are shown, but parking areas on the south side of Building B are close to the setback, Any grading or design change that intrudes into the County buffers or setback may require a variance under the County Stream Buffer Ordinance. Any intrusions into the State 25-foot State Erosion and Sedimentation Control may also require variances. Any unmapped State waters identified on the property will also be subject to the State 25-foot Sediment and Erosion Control buffer.

Stormwater/Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality.

During the planning phase, the stormwater management system (system) should meet the requirements of the local jurisdiction's post-construction (or post-development) stormwater management ordinance. The system should be designed to prevent increased flood damage, streambank channel erosion, habitat degradation and water quality degradation, and enhance and promote the public health, safety and general welfare. The system design should also be in accordance with the applicable sections of the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) such as design standards, calculations, formulas, and methods. Where possible, the project should use stormwater better site design practices included in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual, Volume 2, Section 2.3.

During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements.



regional impact + local relevance

Development of Regional Impact

Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

DRI INFORMATION

DRI Number	#3119

DRI Title Thornton Business Park

County Douglas County

City (if applicable) City of Austell

Address / Location North of Thornton Road, West of Veterans Memorial Hwy, South of Causey Road

Proposed Development Type: Approximately 760,000 square foot warehouse/distribution facility

Review Process X EXPEDITED

NON-EXPEDITED

REVIEW INFORMATION

Prepared by ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division

Staff Lead Marquitrice Mangham

Copied Click here to enter text.

Date July 13, 2020

TRAFFIC STUDY

Prepared By: NV5 Engineers

Date July 10, 2020

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS

01.	Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?
	YES (provide the regional plan referenced and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)
	NO (provide comments below)
	The traffic study does not identify two projects in the study network:
	DO-16 US 78/ Bankhead Highway Road widening to Thornton Road
	DO-299 Truck Friendly Lanes along Thornton Road from Garrett Road to I-20
02.	Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares?
	A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.
	NO NO
	YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)
	US 78 Veterans Memorial Hwy and SR 6 /Thornton Road are both regional thoroughfares; however, site access will be by access points on Causey Road, a local road.

03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

\times	NO
	YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points,
	Site access will be provided by Causey Road, a local road.

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

X	NOT APPLICABLE (neare	st station more than one mile away)
	RAIL SERVICE WITHIN O	NE MILE (provide additional information below)
	Operator / Rail Line	
	Nearest Station	Click here to enter name of operator and rail line
	Distance*	☐ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
		☐ 0.10 to 0.50 mile
		0.50 to 1.00 mile
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
		Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

		Click here to provide comments.
	Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
		Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
		Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
		Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Transit Connectivity	Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
		Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
		No services available to rail station
		Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
		Click here to provide comments.
	* Following the most dir development site	ect feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the
	nere is currently no rail tr vice planned in the fiscall	ransit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail by constrained RTP?
pr he pl cc lo er fo ag sh	refer not to drive, expand elp reduce traffic congesti ans are being considered onsideration to how the si cations. Proactive negotion neouraged to determine was protential future service. gency and local government accessibility is provided betwoold be considered fundo	elopments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can ion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give ite can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station ations with the development team and local government(s) are whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected at If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit ent(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access tween the development and the future rail line. These improvements amental components of the overall transit expansion project, with concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.
	NOT APPLICABLE (rail se	ervice already exists)
	NOT APPLICABLE (acces proposed)	sing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development
	NO (no plans exist to pr	ovide rail service in the general vicinity)
	YES (provide additional	information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
	CST planned within	TIP period
	CST planned within	first portion of long range period
	CST planned pear of	nd of plan harizan

Click here to provide comments.

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the connection a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

\boxtimes	NOT APPLICABLE (neare	st bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away)
	SERVICE WITHIN ONE M	ILE (provide additional information below)
	Operator(s)	
	Bus Route(s)	Click here to enter bus route number(s).
	Distance*	☐ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
		☐ 0.10 to 0.50 mile
		0.50 to 1.00 mile
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
		Not applicable (No Sidewalks or accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
		Click here to provide comments.
	Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
		Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity
		Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
		Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	* Following the most didevelopment site	irect feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the

07.	Does a transit agency which provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere with	iir
	the jurisdiction in which the development site is located?	

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a comprehensive operations plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to serving the site during the evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the nature of the development is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and any routes within a one mile radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make these connections a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

Dou	glas Connect, GRTA Xpress
	YES
	NO

08. If the development site is within one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path or trail is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and those facilities is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

NOT APPLICABLE (nearest path or trail more than one mile away)		
YES (provide additional information below)		
Name of facility	Chattahoochee Greenway Trail is proposed in the area	
Distance	☐ Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)	
	0.15 to 0.50 mile	
	0.50 to 1.00 mile	
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity	
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete	
	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity	
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity	
	Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets	

	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed
	 Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site
OTHER TRA	ANSPORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS
	s the site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible local road or drive aisle nections with adjacent parcels?
art	e ability for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent terial or collector roadway networks can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities ould be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.
	YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
	YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
	NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
	OTHER (please explain)
	s the site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the elopment site safely and conveniently?
re plo de	ne ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces liance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site ans should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key estinations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large treage sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.
	YES (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and bicyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)
	PARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not comprehensive and/or direct)
	NO (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and bicycling trips)
	OTHER (Please explain)
Clic	k here to provide comments.

cor	nnections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future?
re	he ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently educes reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such apportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.
	YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
	YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
	NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)
	NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
\boxtimes	NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips)
oj ai se	the ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is ften key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move round safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be egregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways,
31	dewalks, paths and other facilities.
	YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)
	PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately)
	NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily by pedestrians, bicyclists and/or motorists)
	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/or very low truck volumes, so the potential for conflict is negligible
The	e development proposes five driveways with designated driveways providing access to vehicle parking and driveways for trucks to freight parking and loading areas.

11. Does the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking

RECOMMENDATIONS

13. Do the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible from a constructability standpoint?

	UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary)
	YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)
	□ NO (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
14.	Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?
	NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)
	YES (see comments below)
4 5	ADC affairs the fall suring additional comments for consideration by the development toom and for
15.	ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):
	The programmed projects should be considered when determining long-term traffic impacts of the development.

