

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: March 13, 2020 **ARC REVIEW CODE**: R2003131

TO: Mayor Rochelle Robinson

ATTN TO: Patrice Williams, Community Development Director

FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director

RE: Development of Regional Impact Review

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a preliminary regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to its relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This preliminary report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the local government.

Name of Proposal: IDI Douglasville (DRI #3072)*

Review Type: DRI **Submitting Local Government**: City of Douglasville

<u>Date Opened</u>: March 13, 2020 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: March 30, 2020 <u>Date to Close</u>: April 3, 2020

*Note this project was submitted on the attached DCA forms as "Riverside West" but the name was changed because it was similar to another project.

<u>Description</u>: A Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review of a proposal to build two distribution warehouses totaling 1,063,000 SF in the City of Douglasville. The site is located on the south side of Riverside Parkway near Roberts Road. Access will be provided to one building with an improvement of the existing Roberts Road and to the other building with two new driveways on Riverside Parkway. The local trigger is a rezoning. Expected buildout is 2023.

<u>PRELIMINARY COMMENTS:</u> According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI is in a Developing Rural area. ARC's Regional Development Guide (RDG) details recommended policies for areas and places on the UGPM. General RDG information and recommendations for Developing Rural areas are listed at the bottom of these comments. In addition, we have listed recommendations for Regional Industrial & Logistics Areas, which is becoming the predominate use in this area.

This DRI manifests certain aspects of regional policy. It offers the potential for efficiencies and connectivity in intraregional, interregional and interstate freight movement given its accessibility to Fairburn Road/Campbellton Road (SR 166) and Thornton Road/Camp Creek Parkway (SR 6), and I-20 and Fulton Industrial Boulevard (SR 70) beyond that. Although this location is not currently considered an Industrial &

Logistics Area in The Atlanta Region's Plan, the growing number of distribution/warehouse facilities along Riverside Parkway and the nearby Fulton Industrial corridor requires considering these logistical issues.

The project can further support The Atlanta Region's Plan in general by incorporating other aspects of regional policy, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design best practices throughout the site in general, in parking areas, on site driveways, in stormwater detention facilities, and as part of any improvements to site frontages. In addition, ARC encourages the applicant team to ensure that the development promotes a functional, safe, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all proposed driveways, paths and parking areas on the site.

The DRI's site design should provide sufficient truck parking to prevent trucks from queuing or waiting on any adjacent or nearby roads. Trucks parking in and along public roadways – typically while waiting for an available dock at a nearby facility – is an identified issue in many areas of the region that negatively impacts roadway operations, safety and congestion. Signage and other measures to ensure drivers use the appropriate freight routes should be emphasized.

There are currently bicycle lanes on Riverside Parkway at the location of the DRI. Striping and signage should clearly indicate points of conflict and give warning to all users well in advance of conflicts. For more guidance, see ARC's Bike-Pedestrian plan and supplemental design materials at https://atlantaregional.org/plans-reports/bike-pedestrian-plan-walk-bike-thrive/. Additional comments from ARC's Transportation Access and Mobility Division are attached to this report.

This general area is extremely important from an environmental standpoint, because of its location near the Chattahoochee River, Sweetwater Creek, and Sweetwater Creek State Park. Because it falls within 2000 feet of the Chattahoochee River, the site may be subject to a separate review under the Metropolitan River Protection Act. For more information regarding MRPA and to search for reviews, please visit the ARC's website at https://atlantaregional.org/natural-resources/water/metropolitan-river-protection-act/. Additional comments from ARC's Natural Resources Group will be submitted with the final report.

Another critical regional project in the future to be considered in the design of this DRI is the long-term vision to continue expanding a multi-use trail along the Chattahoochee River. A preliminary alignment for the trail was developed with public input in 2019. The current alignment, which was in draft form at the time of this writing, calls for aligning the trail along Riverside Parkway east of the site, then along the southern boundary of the DRI property near the river. Additional details on this effort can be found at https://chattahoocheeriverlands.com.

Careful consideration and coordination by Douglas County Planning & Development, Douglas County Department of Transportation, the development team, and regional partners on the Chattahoochee Riverlands vision will be necessary to ensure that the viability of this regionally significant project is not impeded by this DRI proposal.

The underlying area for this location falls under the Developing Rural category of the Unified Growth Policy Map. Recommendations include:

- Maintain rural road characteristics and protect scenic corridors
- Implement conservation design and development as appropriate in new residential neighborhoods
- Develop opportunities for heritage, recreation, and agriculturally-based tourism initiatives
- Identify areas to preserve as future large parks or conservation areas and create partnerships and dedicated funding sources for land conservation activities
- Identify opportunities for the development of rural broadband technology

These recommendations don't fully address the relevant issues with this DRI, so the following RDG recommendations are offered from the Regional Industrial & Logistics section, defined as areas that will see increased job growth in the form of industrial and logistics space. Strategies are needed to avoid residential and industrial conflicts while still allowing both uses in proximity to each other, without limiting the operations of industrial land users. Recommendations include:

- Protect Industrial and Logistics Areas by not allowing conflicting land uses in the vicinity
- Identify key areas to preserve for freight and industrial uses
- Continue to promote Industrial and Logistics Areas as a major resource in recruiting future economic development prospects to the region
- Ensure the continued efficiency of cargo and freight transport with easy connectivity to trucking and shipping routes through the region

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVERKEEPERS
DOUGLAS COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS & MOBILITY
ARC AGING & HEALTH RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
GEORGIA CONSERVANCY
FULTON COUNTY

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
CHATTAHOOCHEE-FLINT RDC
TRUST FOR PUBLIC LAND

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Greg Giuffrida at (470) 378–1531 or ggiuffrida@atlantaregional.org. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews.



DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Commission for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to generate impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is located, for example in adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included in this packet and offer your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to ARC on or before the specified return deadline.

proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included in this packet and offer your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to ARC on or before the specified return deadline.				
Preliminary Findings of the RDC: IDI Douglasville (DRI #3072) See the Preliminary Report.				
Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed):				
Individual Completing Form:				
murviduai Compicuing i orini.				
Local Government:	Please return this form to:			
	Greg Giuffrida Atlanta Regional Commission			
Department:	International Tower 229 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 100			
Telephone: ()	Atlanta, Georgia 30303			
	Ph. (470) 378-1531 ggiuffrida@atlantaregional.org			
Signature:	Return Date: March 30, 2020			
	Return Date. March 30, 2020			
Date:				

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

ARC REVIEW CODE: R2003131

DATE: March 13, 2020

TO: ARC Group Managers FROM: Greg Giuffrida, 470-378-1531					
TROW	FROM: Greg Giuffrida, 470-378-1531 Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:				
Natura	unity Development: Smith, Andrew Il Resources: Santo, Jim and Health Resources: Perumbeti, Katie	<u>Transportation Access and Mobility:</u> Mangham, Marquitrice <u>Research and Analytics:</u> Skinner, Jim			
Review Descri 1,063,0 will be drivew Submi Date C	Name of Proposal: IDI Douglasville (DRI #3072) Review Type: Development of Regional Impact Description: A Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review of a proposal to build two distribution warehouses totaling 1,063,000 SF in the City of Douglasville. The site is located on the south side of Riverside Parkway near Roberts Road. Access will be provided to one building with an improvement of the existing Roberts Road and to the other building with two new driveways on Riverside Parkway. The local trigger is a rezoning. Expected buildout is 2023. Submitting Local Government: City of Douglasville Date Opened: March 13, 2020 Deadline for Comments: March 30, 2020 Date to Close: April 3, 2020				
		Response:			
1) 2)	•	regional development guide listed in the comment section. onsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development			
3)		onsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development			
4)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the foll	lowing regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
5)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop:	ment guide for which this division is responsible.			
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for th	ne reasons listed in the comment section. COMMENTS:			



Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home Tier Map **Apply View Submissions** <u>Login</u>

DRI #3072

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Douglasville

Individual completing form: Patrice R. Williams

Telephone: 678.449.3028

E-mail: williamsp@douglasvillega.gov

*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Riverside West

Location (Street Address, 168, 169, 170, 171 Riverside Parkway GPS Coordinates, or Legal

Land Lot Description):

Brief Description of Project: The project proposes two warehouses.

Development Type:

(not selected) OHotels Wastewater Treatment Facilities Office OMixed Use Petroleum Storage Facilities Commercial Airports OWater Supply Intakes/Reservoirs OAttractions & Recreational Facilities OIntermodal Terminals Wholesale & Distribution Hospitals and Health Care Facilities Post-Secondary Schools Truck Stops Housing OWaste Handling Facilities OAny other development types Industrial Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants

If other development type, describe:

Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.): 605.800 sq ft and 453,600 sq ft

Developer: IDI Logistics, LLC

Mailing Address: 1100 Peachtree St. NE Suite 1000

Address 2:

City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30309

Telephone: 404-479-4000 Email: nick.faber@idilogistics.com

Is property owner different from developer/applicant? (not selected) Yes No

If yes, property owner: Tributary (Atlanta) ASLI VII, L.L.L.P.

Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?

(not selected) Yes No

If no, in what additional

jurisdictions is the project

located?

Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of (not selected) Yes No

a previous DRI?

If yes, provide the following Project Name:

1/31/2020, 3:19 PM 1 of 2

```
information: Project ID:

Rezoning
The initial action being requested of the local government for this project: Water
government for this project: Water
Permit
Other

Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?

Estimated Project This project/phase: 2022
Completion Dates: Overall project: 2022
```

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact

2 of 2





Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home

Tier Map

<u>Apply</u>

View Submissions

Login

DRI #3072

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government:

Douglasville

Individual completing form: Patrice R. Williams

Telephone: 678.449.3028

Email: williamsp@douglasvillega.gov

Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Riverside West

DRI ID Number: 3072

Developer/Applicant: IDI Logistics, LLC

Telephone: 404-479-4000

Email(s): nick.faber@idilogistics.com

Additional Information Requested

1 of 4 2/3/2020, 4:37 PM

Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.) If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable,	○(not selected) Yes No			
GRTA?				
If no, the official review proce	ess can not start until this additional information is provided.			
	Economic Development			
Estimated Value at Build- Out:	\$60 million			
Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:	\$500 to \$750k			
Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?	○(not selected) Yes No			
Will this development displace any existing uses?	(not selected) Yes No			
If yes, please describe (inclu	ding number of units, square feet, etc):			
	Water Supply			
Name of water supply provider for this site:	Douglas County WSA			
What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	100,0 GDP			
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No			
If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity:				
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	○(not selected) Yes No			
If yes, how much additional	If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?			
Wastewater Disposal				

2 of 4 2/3/2020, 4:37 PM

Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Douglas County WSA				
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	100,000 GDP				
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	ି(not selected)®YesିNo				
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand existing wastewater treatment capacity:				
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	ℂ(not selected)ິYes ᢆNo				
If yes, how much additional li	ne (in miles) will be required?	-			
	Land Transportation				
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please				
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	ℂ(not selected)⊚YesℂNo				
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No				
If yes, please describe below	:Decel Lane Traffic Impact Study				
Solid Waste Disposal					
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate 100 tons annually (in tons)?					
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No				
If no, describe any plans to expand existing landfill capacity:					

3 of 4 2/3/2020, 4:37 PM

be generated by the development?	○(not selected)○Yes◎No	
If yes, please explain:		
	Stormwater Management	
	osed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the	
project's impacts on stormwa	Environmental Quality	
Is the development located w	vithin, or likely to affect any of the following:	
Water supply watersheds?	(not selected) Yes No	
	•	
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	(not selected) Yes No	
	(not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No	
recharge areas?		
recharge areas? 3. Wetlands?	(not selected) Yes No	
recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains?	(not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No	
recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains? 5. Protected river corridors?	(not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No	
recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains? 5. Protected river corridors? 6. Floodplains?	(not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No	
recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains? 5. Protected river corridors? 6. Floodplains? 7. Historic resources? 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	(not selected) Yes No	

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact

4 of 4 2/3/2020, 4:37 PM



regional impact + local relevance

Development of Regional Impact

Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

DRI INFORMATION

DRI Number #3072

DRI Title IDI Riverside West Douglasville

County Douglas County

City (if applicable)

Address / Location Riverside parkway near Roberts Road

Proposed Development Type: Industrial development – two buildings consisting of 1,063,000 square feet

of warehouse/distribution center

Review Process EXPEDITED

NON-EXPEDITED

REVIEW INFORMATION

Prepared by ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division

Staff Lead Marquitrice Mangham

Copied Click here to enter text.

Date March 6, 2020

TRAFFIC STUDY

Prepared By: NV 5 Engineers And Consultants

Date March 3, 2020

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS

01. Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?
YES (provide the regional plan referenced and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)
NO (provide comments below)
The traffic analysis did not referenced programmed projects consideration in the study network. A Bridge replacement project is programmed in the TIP at Riverside Parkway and Sweetwater Creek.
REGIONAL NETWORKS
02. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfare
A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.
No No
YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)
The site plan depicts two driveways proposed on Roberts Road and two on Riverside Parkway, neither designated as regional thoroughfare

03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

X	NO
	YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)
	The site plan depicts two driveways proposed on Roberts Road and two on Riverside Parkway
	neither designated as regional truck routes thoroughfare

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

\boxtimes	NOT APPLICABLE (nearest station more than one mile away)				
	RAIL SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)				
	Operator / Rail Line				
	Nearest Station	Click here to enter name of operator and rail line			
	Distance*	☐ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)			
		0.10 to 0.50 mile			
		0.50 to 1.00 mile			
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity			
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete			

Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with
the type of development proposed)
Click here to provide comments.
Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
No services available to rail station
 Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Click here to provide comments.
rect feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the
ransit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail ly constrained RTP?
elopments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or deconomic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can cion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give ite can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station sations with the development team and local government(s) are whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected in the difference of the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transition ent(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access tween the development and the future rail line. These improvements amental components of the overall transit expansion project, with concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.
ervice already exists)
ssing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development
rovide rail service in the general vicinity)
information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
TIP period
first portion of long range period

Clic	k here to provide comm	ents.
оре		vithin one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately ators open to the general public), provide information on walking and tions.
jo bi lo	annot or prefer not to dri obs, and can help reduce icycling between the dev ocal government(s) is enc	velopments and transit services provide options for people who ive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or elopment site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable ouraged to make the connection a funding priority for future astructure improvements.
	NOT APPLICABLE (near	rest bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away)
	SERVICE WITHIN ONE	MILE (provide additional information below)
	Operator(s) Dougla	as Connect
	Bus Route(s)	Douglas Connect Route 40
	Distance*	☑ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
		☐ 0.10 to 0.50 mile
		☐ 0.50 to 1.00 mile
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
		Not applicable (No Sidewalks or accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
		Click here to provide comments.
	Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
		Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity
		Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
		Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	* Following the most development site	direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the

07.	Does a transit agency which provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere withi	in
	the jurisdiction in which the development site is located?	

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a comprehensive operations plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to serving the site during the evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the nature of the development is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and any routes within a one mile radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make these connections a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

	NO		
\boxtimes	YES		

Douglas Connect, GRTA Express Bus Service

08. If the development site is within one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path or trail is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and those facilities is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

X	NOT APPLICABLE (neare	st path or trail more than one mile away)	
	YES (provide additional information below)		
	Name of facility	Chattahoochee Greenway Trail is proposed nearby	
	Distance	☐ Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)	
		☐ 0.15 to 0.50 mile	
		0.50 to 1.00 mile	
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity	
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete	
		Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	
	Bicycling Access*	Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity	
		Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity	

	Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed
*	Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site
OTHER TRANS	SPORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS
09. Does th	ne site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible local road or drive aisle tions with adjacent parcels?
arteri	bility for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent al or collector roadway networks can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities d be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.
YE	S (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
YE	S (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
	O (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
	THER (Please explain)
local ro	to adjacent parcels can be accessed by Roberts Road, a local Or Riverside Parkway which is a ad. The site plan also shows a stub out for future inter-parcel connectivity. The site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the pment site safely and conveniently?
relian plans destir	ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces ance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site a should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key mations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large age sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.
	S (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and cyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)
	ARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not mprehensive and/or direct)
	O (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
_	OT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and cycling trips)
o1	THER (Please explain)
	lities are proposed for pedestrian use internal to the site. The truck traffic combined with traffic makes hinders safe bike ped use on site.

connections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future? The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible. YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development) YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop) NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels) NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop) NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future) NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips) The site plan does not depict external pedestrian facilities along the property boundary, however it does not preclude it. 12. Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network? The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, sidewalks, paths and other facilities. YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical) PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately) NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily by pedestrians, bicyclists and/or motorists) NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/or very low truck volumes, so the potential for conflict is negligible)

11. Does the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking

Multiple drive access points are proposed for the development however the proposed site plan does not segregate truck traffic by vehicle traffic through separate driveways, allowing them to mingle.

RECOMMENDATIONS

13.	Do the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible from a constructability standpoint?
	UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary)
	YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)
	☐ NO (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
14.	Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?
	NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)
	YES (see comments below)
15.	ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):
	Development is flourishing along Riverside Parkway with residential housing on the west end and industrial development on the east end. Douglas County Connect also designated a bus route to specifically serve the industrial uses along Riverside Parkway, however sidewalk facilities are incomplete adjacent to the roadway. It is recommended that sidewalks be completed along Riverside Parkway adjacent to the site.
	During AM Peak hours, vehicular traffic along Riverside backs up for miles due to heavy freight traffic heading (east)towards Thornton Road trying to make left turns into Industrial sites, exacerbating the congestion issue.
	It is recommended that the western most entrance on Riverside be designated for vehicular traffic only and adequate turn lane length is provide for multiple trucks attempting to turn left.

