

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: December 17, 2018 ARC REVIEW CODE: P1812171

TO: Mayor Steve Edwards, City of Sugar Hill

ATTN TO: Kaipo Awana, Planning Director

FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARC

Digital signature Original on file

+) raylo R. Hoke

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2018 City of Sugar Hill Comprehensive Plan Update

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

<u>Description</u>: A review of the 2018 update to the City of Sugar Hill comp plan.

Submitting Local Government: City of Sugar Hill

<u>Action Under Consideration:</u> Approval <u>Date Opened:</u> December 17, 2018 <u>Deadline for Comments:</u> January 7, 2019

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Upon approval by Georgia DCA

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
GWINNETT COUNTY
FORSYTH COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS & MOBILITY ARC AGING & HEALTH RESOURCES GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF BUFORD HALL COUNTY

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
CITY OF SUWANEE
GEORGIA MOUNTAINS RDC

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Robert Herrig at rherrig@atlantaregional.org or 470-378-1636. If ARC staff does not receive comments from you on or before January 7, 2019, we will assume that your agency has no comments and will close the review. Comments via e-mail are strongly encouraged. The ARC review website is located at http://www.atlantaregional.org/land-use/planreviews.

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Sugar Hill	Date Received:	December 17, 2018	
Local Contac	Kaipo Awana, Planning Director, City of Sugar Hill			
Phone:	770-945-6716	E-Mail:	kawana@cityofsugarhill.com	
Fax:	770-945-0281	Website:	http:\\www.cityofsugarhill.com	
Street	5039 West Broad Street	City State, Zip:	Sugar Hill, Georgia 30518	
	Department of Cor	nmunity Affairs R	leview Required	
Review Title:	2018 City of Sugar Hill Com	2018 City of Sugar Hill Comprehensive Plan Update		
Description:	A review of the 2018 update to the City of Sugar Hill comp plan.			
	http://www.atlantaregiona	Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.org/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Sugar Hill.		
The submitte	ed documents are available for r	eview at ARC and	I the local government.	
Reviewing R	egional Development Center:			
229 Peachtre Internationa	onal Commission ee Street NE, Suite 100, I Tower, Atlanta, GA 30303 63-3100 Fax 404-463-3254			
Contact	Robert Herrig, Planner			
Person:				

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE:	December 17, 2018	ARC Review Code: P1812171		
TO:	ARC Managers			
	Robert Herrig, Planner 470-378-1636			
	<u> </u>	wing staff by Jurisdiction:		
	unity Development: Herrig, Robert	Transportation Access & Mobility: Mangham, Marquitrice		
	al Resources: Santo, Jim	Research & Analytics: Skinner, Jim		
Aging	& Health Resources: Perumbeti, Katie			
Name	of Proposal: 2018 City of Sugar Hill Compr	ehensive Plan Undate		
	v Type: Local Comprehensive Plan	energive riam opulate		
	ption: A review of the 2018 update to the	City of Sugar Hill comp plan.		
<u>Submi</u>	tting Local Government: City of Sugar Hill			
	Dened: December 17, 2018			
	ne for Comments: January 7, 2019			
<u>Earlies</u>	t the Regional Review can be Completed:	Upon approval by Georgia DCA		
		Response:		
1)	☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
2)	☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development			
	guide listed in the comment section.			
3)	☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
4)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the fo	llowing regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
5)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal does NOT relate to any deve	lopment guide for which this division is responsible.		
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
		COMMENTS:		
-				
-				
-				
-				