

# **REGIONAL REVIEW FINDING**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: November 27, 2018

#### ARC REVIEW CODE: R1811081

TO: ATTN TO: FROM: RE:

Chairman Oz Nesbitt, Sr., Rockdale County Board of Commissioners Cheryl Foster, Zoning Administrator Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARC Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Review

Drayh R. Hok

Digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to its relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This final report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the host local government.

Name of Proposal:<br/>Submitting Local Government:<br/>Rockdale CountyDate Opened:<br/>November 8, 2018Date Closed:<br/>Date Closed:<br/>November 27, 2018

**Description**: This DRI is in unincorporated Rockdale County on the north side of Dogwood Drive, west of Deere Drive, and east of Dogwood Industrial Circle, Dogwood Drive Connector and North Salem Road (SR 162). The development plan proposes a total of 1,072,320 SF of warehouse/distribution space in four buildings on a 92-acre site. This includes 322,320 SF under construction, which was previously permitted by Rockdale County as "Rockdale Technology Center Buildings 100 and 300" but was not reviewed as a DRI as it did not exceed a review threshold. The present DRI review evaluated the entire 1,072,320 SF project per ARC's DRI rules at section 110-12-7-.05(1)(a)3. (Multi-phased Developments). Site access is proposed via five driveways on Dogwood Drive. The estimated buildout year is 2021. The local trigger for this DRI review was a master plan review application submitted to Rockdale County.

<u>Comments</u>: According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI is in the Developed/Established Suburbs Area of the region. Developed/Established Suburbs are areas of development that occurred from roughly 1970 to 1995 and are projected to remain suburbs through 2040. ARC's Regional Development Guide (RDG) details recommended policies for areas on the UGPM. General RDG information and recommendations for Developed/Established Suburbs are listed at the bottom of these comments.

This DRI appears to manifest certain aspects of regional policy. The plan contemplates a 1,072,320-sq. ft. warehouse/distribution facility, supporting regional economic development. Additionally, it offers the potential for efficiencies and connectivity in intraregional, interregional and interstate freight movement through its proximity to I-20 to the south and SR 20 and SR 138 to the northwest.

The project can further support The Atlanta Region's Plan in general by incorporating other aspects of regional policy, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design (e.g., rain gardens, vegetated swales, etc.) in parking areas and site driveways, and as part of any improvements to site frontages. This is of particular importance given the presence of a blue-line stream on the site (more detailed comments on water resources are attached to this report). In addition, ARC encourages the applicant team to ensure that the development promotes a functional, safe, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all streets, paths and parking areas on the site. This framework can offer the potential for safe internal site circulation for employees on foot or by another alternative mode.

The intensity of this DRI generally falls within with the ARC RDG's recommended development parameters for Developed/Established Suburbs. In terms of land use, the project is in a part of the region that is experiencing demand for warehouse/distribution development. The site is in relatively close proximity to existing warehouse/distribution uses in Newton County and to industrial uses to the northwest along Sigman Road and SR 138; the DRI's neighboring properties to the east and west are light industrial uses as well. However, many areas near the site, especially to the north and east, are unlike this DRI in that they are predominated by single family residential uses, small homesteads, and undeveloped/forested land. This includes areas and properties outside Rockdale County's jurisdiction, e.g., Newton County and the unincorporated community of Almon to the east of the project site. Therefore it will be critical for Rockdale County leadership and staff, along with the development team, to collaborate to ensure maximum sensitivity to nearby local governments, neighborhoods, natural resources and land uses.

Additional ARC staff comments related to transportation and water resources, along with external comments received from contacted parties during the review period, are attached to this report. ARC Natural Resources Division staff comments include the point that the proposed sewer line crossing of the stream noted on the north side of the site plan, may require a variance from State stream buffer requirements (such utility crossings are exempt under the County stream buffer ordinance). GDOT Aviation Division staff comments also note that the applicant will need to file an FAA Form 7460-1, at least 120 days before construction, if vertical construction or construction equipment will exceed 67 feet in height.

Further to the above, general regional policy recommendations for Developed/Established Suburbs include: - New development should connect to the existing road network and adjacent developments and use of cul-de-sacs or other means resulting in disconnected subdivisions should be discouraged

- Maximize the usefulness of existing recreational facilities in addition to providing new recreational opportunities

- Eliminate vacant or under-utilized parking areas through mechanisms such as out-parceling or conversion to community open space

- Use rain gardens, vegetated swales or other enhanced water filtration design to enhance the quality of stormwater run-off

- Identify other opportunities to foster a sense of community by developing town centers, village centers or other places of centralized location

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES GEORGIA ENVIRONMENTAL FINANCE AUTHORITY CITY OF CONYERS NEWTON COUNTY ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS & MOBILITY ARC AGING & INDEPENDENCE SERVICES GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION GEORGIA SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION COMMISSION CITY OF COVINGTON ROCKDALE COUNTY ARC NATURAL RESOURCES GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY NORTHEAST GEORGIA REGIONAL COMMISSION CITY OF OXFORD

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Andrew Smith at (470) 378–1645 or <u>asmith@atlantaregional.org</u>. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at <u>http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews</u>.

#### **Andrew Smith**

From:	Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov></achood@dot.ga.gov>
Sent:	Thursday, November 8, 2018 3:29 PM
То:	Andrew Smith
Cc:	Brian, Steve; Comer, Carol; Edmisten, Colette; Robinson, Joseph; Jking@cityofcovington.org; Walker, Lewis
Subject:	RE: ARC DRI Review Notification - Rockdale Logistics Center (DRI 2855)
Attachments:	ARC Preliminary Report - Rockdale Logistics Center - DRI 2855.pdf

Andrew,

The proposed project, consisting of a total of 1,072,320 SF of warehouse/distribution space in four buildings on a 92acre site, is in unincorporated Rockdale County on the north side of Dogwood Drive, west of Deere Drive, and east of Dogwood Industrial Circle, Dogwood Drive Connector and North Salem Road (SR162). It is located approximately 6.3 miles west of the Covington Municipal Airport (CVC), and is located outside any FAA approach or departure surfaces, and airport compatible land use areas, and does not appear to impact the airport.

However, if any vertical construction or construction equipment reaches 67' or more above ground, an FAA Form 7460-1 must be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration. That may be done online at <a href="https://oeaaa.faa.gov">https://oeaaa.faa.gov</a>. The FAA must be in receipt of the notification, no later than 120 days prior to construction. The FAA will evaluate the potential impact of the project on protected airspace associated with the airports and advise the proponent if any action is necessary.

I have copied John King with Covington Municipal Airport (CVC) on this email.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed development.

#### **Alan Hood**

Airport Safety Data Program Manager



Aviation Programs 600 West Peachtree Street NW 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Atlanta, GA, 30308 404.660.3394 cell

#### From: Andrew Smith <ASmith@atlantaregional.org> Sent: Thursday, November 8, 2018 12:57 PM

To: VanDyke, Cindy <cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov>; Fowler, Matthew <mfowler@dot.ga.gov>; Matthews, Timothy W <TMatthews@dot.ga.gov>; Garth Lynch <glynch@HNTB.com>; Wayne Mote (wmote@HNTB.com) <wmote@HNTB.com>; Peevy, Phillip M. <PPeevy@dot.ga.gov>; Robinson, Charles A. <chrobinson@dot.ga.gov>; Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V. <mcanizares@dot.ga.gov>; McLoyd, Johnathan G <JoMcLoyd@dot.ga.gov>; Comer, Carol <ccomer@dot.ga.gov>; Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>; Mertz, Kaycee <kmertz@dot.ga.gov>; Zahul, Kathy <kzahul@dot.ga.gov>; Hatch, Justin A <juhatch@dot.ga.gov>; DeNard, Paul <pdenard@dot.ga.gov>; Regis, Edlin <eregis@dot.ga.gov>; Woods, Chris N. <cwoods@dot.ga.gov>; Johnson, Lankston <lajohnson@dot.ga.gov>; Boone, Eric <eboone@dot.ga.gov>; Annie Gillespie <agillespie@srta.ga.gov>; Emily Estes <eestes@srta.ga.gov>; Renaud Marshall

#### ROCKDALE LOGISTICS CENTER DRI Rockdale County Natural Resources Group Comments November 5, 2018

#### Watershed Protection and Stream Buffers

The proposed project is located in the Yellow River Watershed, which is not a water supply watershed in the Atlanta Region and no Part 5 Environmental Minimum Planning Criteria for water supply watersheds apply.

Both the submitted site plan and the USGS coverage for the project area show a blue-line stream running south to north through the center of the project property. The site plan shows the 25-foot State erosion and sedimentation buffer, the County 50-foot stream buffer and the 75-foot impervious surface setback all along the stream's length on the property. The only intrusion into the buffers is a proposed sewer line crossing near the project's northern property line. Utility line crossings are exempt under the County's Stream Buffer Ordinance, but a variance may be required under the State buffer requirements. Any unmapped streams on the property may also be subject to the requirements of the Rockdale County Stream Buffer Ordinance and any unmapped waters of the state will be subject to the State 25-foot Erosion and Sediment Control Buffer.

#### Stormwater / Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, as with all development, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. The amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development are dependent on the type and intensity of the use and the impervious coverage, which will affect the design of stormwater controls for the project.

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (<u>www.georgiastormwater.com</u>) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

We also suggest the following additional measures, where applicable, to help reduce stormwater reduction and provide for its reuse:

- Use green spaces and tree planting beds as stormwater controls. These can be designed to provide maximum aesthetic value while also providing for water quality treatment and run-off reduction, potentially reducing the need for larger stormwater facilities and helping to minimize the negative effects of stormwater runoff on streams and water quality.
- Include rainwater capture in the project design to provide for landscape irrigation during dry periods.



regional impact + local relevance

### **Development of Regional Impact** Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

#### **DRI INFORMATION**

DRI Number	#2855		
DRI Title	Rockdale Logistics Center		
County	Rockdale County		
City (if applicable)			
Address / Location	On the north side of Dogwood Drive west of Deere Drive, east of Dogwood Industrial Circle SE		
Proposed Development	t Type: 1,072,320 square foot warehouse development consisting of four buildings		
Review Process	EXPEDITED		
	NON-EXPEDITED		
REVIEW INFORMATION			
Prepared by	ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division		
Staff Lead	Marquitrice Mangham		
Copied	Click here to enter text.		
Date	November 5, 2018		
TRAFFIC STUDY			

Prepared by	Calyx Engineering
Date	November 6, 2018

#### **REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS**

- 01. Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?
  - YES (provide the regional plan referenced and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)

The traffic analysis includes a list of programmed projects on page 5

NO (provide comments below)

#### **REGIONAL NETWORKS**

#### 02. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares?

A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

NO NO

YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)

Site access is provided by five proposed access points on Dogwood Drive, a local road.

#### 03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

NO 🛛

YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)

Site access is provided by five proposed access points on Dogwood Drive , a local road.

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

NOT APPLICABLE (nearest station more than one mile away)

Operator / Rail Line

Nearest Station	Click here to enter name of operator and rail line
Distance*	Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
	0.10 to 0.50 mile
	0.50 to 1.00 mile
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.

Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Transit Connectivity	Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
	Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
	No services available to rail station
	Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.

\* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

05. If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or
prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can
help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion
plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give
consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station
locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are
encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected
for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit
agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access
accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements
should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with
improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

- NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
- NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
- NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
- YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
  - CST planned within TIP period
  - CST planned within first portion of long range period
  - CST planned near end of plan horizon

Click here to provide comments.

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

Ac ca joi bio loo wo	ccess between major deve nnot or prefer not to drive bs, and can help reduce co cycling between the deve cal government(s) is enco alking and bicycling infras	lopments and transit services provide options for people who e, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and ongestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or lopment site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable uraged to make the connection a funding priority for future structure improvements.	
$\ge$	NOT APPLICABLE (neare	st bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away)	
SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)			
	Operator(s)	Click here to enter name of operator(s).	
	Bus Route(s)	Click here to enter bus route number(s).	
	Distance*	Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)	
		0.10 to 0.50 mile	
		0.50 to 1.00 mile	
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity	
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete	
		Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	
		Click here to provide comments.	
	Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity	
		Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity	
		Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets	
		Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	

\* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

07. Does a transit agency which provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within the jurisdiction in which the development site is located?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a comprehensive operations plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to serving the site during the evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the nature of the development is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and any routes within a one mile radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make these connections a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

\_ NO

🛛 YES

#### **GRTA Express Bus Service**

08. If the development site is within one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path or trail is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and those facilities is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

$\square$	NOT APPLICABLE	(nearest path	or trail more than	one mile awav)
-----------	----------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------

YES (provide additional information below)

Name of facility	Click here to provide name of facility.
Distance	Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)
	0.15 to 0.50 mile
	0.50 to 1.00 mile
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity

Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets

Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed

\* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

#### **OTHER TRANSPORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS**

**09.** Does the site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible local road or drive aisle connections with adjacent parcels?

The ability for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent arterial or collector roadway networks can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.

- YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
  - YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
- NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
- OTHER (*Please explain*)

Adjacent land uses are accessible by local roadways.

## **10.** Does the site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the development site safely and conveniently?

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site plans should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key destinations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large acreage sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.

YES (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and bicyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)

ARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are no	)t
omprehensive and/or direct)	

- NO (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
- NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and bicycling trips)
  - ] OTHER ( *Please explain*)

No existing bike ped facilies exist along the Dogwood Drive and none appear to be proposed internal or external to the site.

11.	Does the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking	
	connections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future?	

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.

$ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $	$\square$	YES (connections to a	adjacent parcels	are planned as i	part of the development)
-------------------------------------	-----------	-----------------------	------------------	------------------	--------------------------

	VEC (at the state will mark of the second actions provide the whom adjacent provide the develop)
1 1	YES ISTUD OUTS WIII MAKE TUTURE CONNECTIONS DOSSIDIE WHEN GAIACENT DARCEIS REQEVEIOD

- **NO** (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)
- NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
  - **NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)**

NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to
interparcel walking and bicycling trips)

The development does not propose bike or pedestrian facilities internal or external to the site.

12. Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network?

The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, sidewalks, paths and other facilities.

- YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)
- PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately)
- NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily by pedestrians, bicyclists and/or motorists)
- NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/or very low truck volumes, so the potential for conflict is negligible)

All five drive aisles may be shared by heavy truck and vehicle traffic entering and exiting the site. No separate access points are designated for separation of vehicle traffic from truck traffic.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

**13.** Do the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible from a constructability standpoint?

UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary)

YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)

NO (see comments below)

Click here to enter text.

- 14. Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?
  - NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)

YES (see comments below)

Click here to enter text.

**15.** ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):

ARC encourages developments to incorporate alternative modes of transportation access to the site. In this case, sidewalks should be included to provide a safe alternative mode of pedestrian access to the site.





#### **Developments of Regional Impact** DRI Home View Submissions **Tier Map** Apply <u>Login</u> DRI #2855 **DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information** This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information. Local Government Information Submitting Local Government: Rockdale Individual completing form: Cheryl Foster, Zoning Administrator Telephone: 77-278-7100 E-mail: cheryl.foster@rockdalecountyga.gov \*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process. **Proposed Project Information** Name of Proposed Project: Rockdale Logistics Center Location (Street Address, GPS Dogwood Drive SE, Parcel (0930010011 & 0930010013) Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description): Brief Description of Project: An Industrial building (proposed 600,000 square feet), employee parking, truck courts, and infrastructure. **Development Type:** Hotels (not selected) Wastewater Treatment Facilities Office Mixed Use Petroleum Storage Facilities Airports Commercial Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs Wholesale & Distribution Attractions & Recreational Facilities Intermodal Terminals Truck Stops Hospitals and Health Care Facilities Post-Secondary Schools Housing Waste Handling Facilities Any other development types Industrial Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants If other development type, describe: Project Size (# of units, floor area, 600,000 sq.ft. etc.): Developer: Baltisse-Ackerman Convers Land LLLP Mailing Address: 10 Glenlake Parkway, NE Address 2: City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30328 Telephone: 770-856-3211 Email: jeyre@ackermanco.net Is property owner different from (not selected) Yes No developer/applicant? If yes, property owner: Is the proposed project entirely (not selected) Yes No located within your local government's jurisdiction?

DRI Initial Information Form

If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?	
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, provide the following information:	Project Name: Project ID:
The initial action being requested of the local government for this project:	Rezoning Variance Sewer Water Permit Other Master Plan Review
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	(not selected) Yes® No
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?	
Estimated Project Completion Dates:	This project/phase: Rockdale logistics Overall project: 2020
Back to Top	

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact





Name of water supply provider for this site:

Rockdale Water Resources

#### **DRI** Additional Information Form

What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	24,330 GPD		
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No		
If no, describe any plans to e	expand the existing water supply capacity:		
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No		
If yes, how much additional	line (in miles) will be required?		
	Wastewater Disposal		
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Rockdale Water Resources		
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	20,275 GPD		
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No		
If no, describe any plans to e	expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:		
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No		
If yes, how much additional I	ine (in miles) will be required?		
	Land Transportation		
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	1,740 daily (in/out), 156 PM peak hour (cars/trucks, in/out) daily total = 343 trucks entering and 343 trucks exiting		
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No		
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No		
If yes, please describe below:Right-turn deceleration lanes at proposed driveways.			
Solid Waste Disposal			
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	310 tons		
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No		
If no, describe any plans to expand existing landfill capacity:			
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	(not selected) Yes No		
If yes, please explain:			
	Stormwater Management		
What percentage of the site	56% - 50%		
is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?	00 /0 - 00 /0		

	Environmental Quality
Is the development located w	vithin, or likely to affect any of the following:
1. Water supply watersheds?	(not selected) Yes No
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	(not selected) Yes No
3. Wetlands?	(not selected) Yes No
4. Protected mountains?	(not selected) Yes No
5. Protected river corridors?	(not selected) Yes No
<ol><li>Floodplains?</li></ol>	(not selected) Yes No
7. Historic resources?	(not selected) Yes No
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	(not selected) Yes No
If you answered yes to any q There are existing wetlands I	uestion above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected: located within the project area, and there is a stream that bisects the site, which has a

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact



# VICINITY MAP NOT TO SCALE

# **PROJECT INFORMATION**

ACREAGE:	TOTAL	±92.1 AC
	STREAM BUFFERS	±5.7 AC
LOCATION:	STREET DOG	WOOD DRIVE SE
	JURISDICTION ROC	KDALE COUNTY
	PARCEL(S) 09300	10011 0930010013
		0930010014
YIELD:	BUILDING COVER	26.7%
	IMPERVIOUS COVE	R 56.5%
	GREENSPACE	43.5%
	DENSITY: 1	1,643 SF/ACRE
BUILDINGS :	BUILDING A	600,000 S.F
	BUILDING 100	136,280 S.F
	BUILDING 200	150,000 S.F
	BUILDING 300	186,040 S.F
	TOTAL	1,072,320 S.F
PAVEMENT:	PARKING SPACES	±811
	-REQUIRED	±537
	TRUCK DOCKS	±310
	TRAILER STORAGE	
	(DEDICATED)	±230
	, , , ,	
SERVICES:	SEWER DEMAND	20,275 GPD
	WATER DEMAND	24,330 GPD

## ADDITIONAL SITE DATA

- SITE IS LOCATED 100% IN ROCKDALE COUNTY, WITH NEWTON COUNTY TO THE EAST AND CITY OF CONYERS TO THE WEST
- DOGWOOD DRIVE SE IS A GDOT ROAD (DISTRICT 7) • PRESENT ZONING CLASSIFICATION = LIGHT INDUSTRIAL (M-1)
- PROPOSED USE = WAREHOUSE/DISTRIBUTION • CURRENT AREA CHARACTER: M-1 AND M-2 ZONING TO THE
- EAST AND WEST, I-20 AND DOGWOOD DRIVE TO THE SOUTH, AND RAILROAD ROW TO THE NORTH
- PROPOSED MINIMUM LOT SIZE = 1 ACRE • ESTIMATED IMPERVIOUS SURFACE AREA = 2,265,307 S.F. =
- 52.0 AC • THERE ARE STATE WATERS LOCATED ON THE SUBJECT
- PROPERTY • THERE ARE WETLANDS LOCATED ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY

**GRAPHIC SCALE** 

0 50 100

200

(IN FEET)

1 inch = 100 ft.

## TRAFFIC ENGINEER

CALYX 1255 CANTON STREET SUITE G ROSWELL, GA 30075 CONTACT: RANDALL PARKER (770) 316-1452

## DEVELOPER

BALTISSE-ACKERMAN CONYERS LAND LLLP 10 GLENLAKE PKWY NE SOUTH TOWER, 10TH FLOOR ATLANTA, GA 30328

CONTACT: JIM EYRE (770) 856-3211

*NOW OR FORMERLY NEWELL RECYCLING OF CONYERS LLC DB 5131, PG 209 ZONED: M-1* N 00°56'55" W 235.47' N 13°58'37" E 149.97' N 80°32'22" E 84.41' NOW OR FORMERLY NEWELL RECYCLING OF CONYERS LLC DB 4456, PG 300 ZONED: M-2 *30' POWER EASEMENT* DB 271, PG 34 1-20 RIGHT OF WAY DRIVEWAY A

GDOT ROW FOR DOGWOOD DRIVE

