

REGIONAL REVIEW FINDING

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: October 22, 2018 ARC REVIEW CODE: R1810021

TO: Mayor Jim Gilvin, City of Alpharetta ATTN TO: Brian Borden, Zoning Administrator

FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARC RE: Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Review

Digital signature
Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to its relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This final report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the host local government.

Name of Proposal: Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

Submitting Local Government: City of Alpharetta

Review Type: Development of Regional Impact Date Opened: October 2, 2018 Date Closed: October 22, 2018

<u>Description</u>: This DRI is in the City of Alpharetta on the south side of McGinnis Ferry Road, east of GA 400 and west of Windward Concourse. The development plan proposes 600,000 SF of office space in three buildings on approximately 26 acres. Site access is proposed via three full access driveways on Alderman Drive, two right-in/right-out driveways on Windward Concourse, and one existing full access driveway on McGinnis Ferry Road – to be converted to right-in/right-out operation with the construction of the planned GA 400/McGinnis Ferry Road interchange. The local trigger for this DRI review is a land disturbance permit application. The estimated buildout year is 2020.

<u>Comments:</u> According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI is located in a Regional Center and a Regional Employment Corridor. ARC's Regional Development Guide (RDG) details recommended policies for areas on the UGPM. RDG general information and recommendations for Regional Centers and Regional Employment Corridors, are listed at the bottom of these comments.

This DRI appears to manifest certain aspects of regional policy. The plan contemplates a 600,000-sq. ft. office development, supporting regional economic development efforts. The development also features access to multiple area roadways, preventing a cul-de-sac/pod scenario in terms of site access. Care should be taken to ensure that the development promotes an interconnected, functional, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all streets, paths and parking areas.

The site plan proposes pedestrian pathways connecting all office buildings and parking decks, as well as a new sidewalk on the project's Windward Concourse frontage. This new sidewalk will support pedestrian circulation in the area in general. Specifically it will allow MARTA Bus Route 143 riders to walk north from the stop (on Windward Concourse just north of Alderman Drive), enter at Driveway 4 or 5, and navigate through Parking Deck B or C to reach the office buildings. However, ARC also recommends that the development team provide a sidewalk along the project's Alderman Drive frontage, such that bus users can walk west from the stop to access the DRI site via Driveways 2 and 3. This is likely a shorter and less circuitous route to the project's office buildings than entering on foot at Driveways 4 or 5. In terms of bicycling, striping and/or signage for bike use is already present on Windward Concourse, Alderman Drive and Windward Pkwy., and the project is within a relatively short biking distance from nearby residential and commercial areas. The development team is therefore encouraged to ensure that end-of-trip facilities (bicycle racks, showers, etc.) are provided for workers at key locations on site. This framework can offer the potential for workers to commute by bike, and it can offer those workers access to certain daytime needs

(meals, goods, etc.) without adding car trips to the road network. The project can further support The Atlanta Region's Plan in general by incorporating other aspects of regional policy, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design, e.g., rain gardens, vegetated swales, etc., in parking areas and site driveways, and as part of any improvements to site frontages.

The intensity of this proposed project generally aligns with the RDG's recommended range of densities and building heights for Regional Centers and Regional Employment Corridors. The DRI's land use is also generally consistent with its surroundings given the site's location in an existing office park. City leadership and staff, along with the development team, should collaborate to ensure maximum sensitivity to nearby neighborhoods, land uses, structures and natural resources – including those in areas outside the City of Alpharetta (e.g., Forsyth County to the north across McGinnis Ferry Road, and the City of Milton to the west across GA 400).

Along those lines, significant coordination will also be required regarding the planned new full diamond interchange at GA 400 and McGinnis Ferry Road (GDOT project PI No. 0007526), immediately adjacent to the DRI on the west; the project also contemplates widening McGinnis Ferry Rd. on the east side of GA 400 to a six-lane, median-divided roadway with auxiliary lanes in the vicinity of the DRI site. The DRI and the GDOT project are on similar buildout timelines (2020 for the DRI and 2022 for the GDOT project). Importantly, according to comments received from GDOT District 1 and Forsyth County during this review (both attached to this report), the layout of the DRI is in "direct conflict" with that of the programmed interchange. Therefore it will be critical that the development team, GDOT, the City of Alpharetta and Forsyth County maintain close, ongoing coordination regarding the interchange's design, right-of-way and construction as they relate to this DRI's design and construction, in order to resolve this conflict.

Additional ARC staff comments related to transportation and water resources, along with external comments received from contacted parties during the review period, are attached to this report.

Further to the above, Regional Centers are metro Atlanta's centers for employment, shopping and entertainment. These centers should be connected to the regional transportation network with existing or planned high-capacity transit service. In most cases, these centers have a jobs-housing imbalance, so housing options should be expanded within their boundaries, especially around existing or planned transit. Some Regional Centers could also be considered "Edge Cities," developed in a suburban, auto-oriented way. They have limited multi-modal transportation options and are challenged by increasing congestion. Local plans and policies should support efforts to transform these areas into highly accessible mixed-use urban hubs. General policy recommendations for Regional Centers include:

- Prioritize preservation, expansion and access to existing and planned transit systems and improve the quality and aesthetics of existing facilities.
- Incorporate appropriate end-of-trip facilities, such as bicycle racks and showers/locker rooms, within new and existing development.
- Enhance mobility and accessibility for all by creating Complete Streets that accommodate all modes of transportation.
- Encourage active ground floor, pedestrian-scale design and pedestrian amenities in new development and redevelopment of existing sites.
- Work toward improving the jobs-housing imbalance in Regional Centers and promote housing options to accommodate multiple household sizes and price points in close proximity to jobs.
- Use alternative designs and materials to minimize impervious surfaces to the greatest possible extent.

Further to the above, Regional Employment Corridors, along with the Region Core (Downtown, Midtown and Buckhead), form the densest part of the Atlanta region. Connected with transit, this area of the region is typically the most walkable, and redevelopment is the main driver of its growth. The Region Core and Regional Employment Corridors together contain 26 percent of the 10-county region's jobs and eight percent of region's population on approximately 2.25 percent of the region's land area. Regional policy recommendations for Regional Employment Corridors include:

- Continue to invest in the LCI program to assist local governments in center planning and infrastructure.
- Prioritize preservation of existing transit, increase frequency and availability of transit options.
- Encourage compact infill development, redevelopment and adaptive reuse.
- Create a range of housing options to accommodate all sectors of the workforce.
- Encourage active ground floor, pedestrian scale design, and pedestrian amenities in new development and the redevelopment of existing sites

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
METROPOLITAN ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY
CITY OF MILTON

ARC Transportation Access & Mobility
ARC Aging & Health Resources
Georgia Department of Transportation
North Fulton CID
Georgia Mountains Regional Commission

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
CITY OF JOHNS CREEK
FORSYTH COUNTY

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Andrew Smith at (470) 378-1645 or asmith@atlantaregional.org. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews.

From: Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 4:05 PM

To: Andrew Smith

Cc: Brian, Steve; Comer, Carol; Edmisten, Colette

Subject: RE: ARC DRI Review Notification - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809) **Attachments:** ARC Preliminary Report - Equinox Corporate Campus - DRI 2809.pdf

Andrew,

The proposed project consisting of 600,000 SF of office space in three buildings on approximately 26 acres, is in the City of Alpharetta on the south side of McGinnis Ferry Road, east of GA 400 and west of Windward Concourse. It is located more than 10 miles from any civil airport, and is located outside of any FAA approach or departure surfaces, and airport compatible land use areas, and does not appear to impact any airport.

However, if any construction equipment reaches 200' or more above ground, an FAA Form 7460-1 must be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration. That may be done online at https://oeaaa.faa.gov. The FAA must be in receipt of the notification, no later than 120 days prior to construction. The FAA will evaluate the potential impact of the project on protected airspace associated with the airports and advise the proponent if any action is necessary.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed development.

Alan Hood

Airport Safety Data Program Manager



Aviation Programs
600 West Peachtree Street NW
2nd Floor
Atlanta, GA, 30308
404.660.3394 cell

From: Andrew Smith < ASmith@atlantaregional.org>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 3:52 PM

To: VanDyke, Cindy <cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov>; Fowler, Matthew <mfowler@dot.ga.gov>; Matthews, Timothy W <TMatthews@dot.ga.gov>; Garth Lynch <glynch@HNTB.com>; Wayne Mote (wmote@HNTB.com) <wmote@HNTB.com>; Peevy, Phillip M. <PPeevy@dot.ga.gov>; Robinson, Charles A. <chrobinson@dot.ga.gov>; Weiss, Megan J <MWeiss@dot.ga.gov>; Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V. <mcanizares@dot.ga.gov>; McLoyd, Johnathan G <JoMcLoyd@dot.ga.gov>; Comer, Carol <ccomer@dot.ga.gov>; Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>; Zahul, Kathy <kzahul@dot.ga.gov>; Hatch, Justin A <juhatch@dot.ga.gov>; DeNard, Paul <pdenard@dot.ga.gov>; Regis, Edlin <eregis@dot.ga.gov>; Woods, Chris N. <cwoods@dot.ga.gov>; Johnson, Lankston <lajohnson@dot.ga.gov>; Boone, Eric <eboone@dot.ga.gov>; Annie Gillespie <agillespie@srta.ga.gov>; Emily Estes <eestes@srta.ga.gov>; Renaud Marshall <rmarshall@srta.ga.gov>; Parker Martin <PMartin@srta.ga.gov>; 'DRI@grta.org' <DRI@grta.org'; 'Jon West' <jon.west@dca.ga.gov>; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us; Greg Floyd (gfloyd@itsmarta.com) <gfloyd@itsmarta.com>; kwinzeler@northfultoncid.com; Sharon.Ebert@johnscreekga.gov; chris.haggard@johnscreekga.gov; Michele Mcintosh-Ross <Michele.Mcintosh-Ross@cityofmiltonga.us>; carter.lucas@cityofmiltonga.us; 'ahazell@gmrc.ga.gov'

From: McLoyd, Johnathan G < JoMcLoyd@dot.ga.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, October 16, 2018 9:15 AM

To: Andrew Smith

Cc: Peevy, Phillip M.; Robinson, Charles A.; DeNard, Paul

Subject: RE: ARC DRI Review Notification - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

Good Morning Andrew,

GDOT Planning has reviewed the Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809) Preliminary report and show no additional GDOT projects, other than those already mentioned in the report.

For further information that may be needed concerning this review, please contact Johnathan G. McLoyd at jomcloyd@dot.ga.gov or 404-631-1774.

Johnathan G. McLoyd

Transportation Planner Associate



Office of Planning
One Georgia Center
600 West Peachtree Street, 5th Floor
Atlanta, GA, 30308
404.631.1774 office

From: Andrew Smith <ASmith@atlantaregional.org>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 3:52 PM

To: VanDyke, Cindy <cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov>; Fowler, Matthew <mfowler@dot.ga.gov>; Matthews, Timothy W <TMatthews@dot.ga.gov>; Garth Lynch <glynch@HNTB.com>; Wayne Mote (wmote@HNTB.com) <wmote@HNTB.com>; Peevy, Phillip M. <PPeevy@dot.ga.gov>; Robinson, Charles A. <chrobinson@dot.ga.gov>; Weiss, Megan J < Melgadillo Canizares, Marlene V. mcanizares@dot.ga.gov; McLoyd, Johnathan G <JoMcLoyd@dot.ga.gov>; Comer, Carol <ccomer@dot.ga.gov>; Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>; Zahul, Kathy <kzahul@dot.ga.gov>; Hatch, Justin A <juhatch@dot.ga.gov>; DeNard, Paul <pdenard@dot.ga.gov>; Regis, Edlin <eregis@dot.ga.gov>; Woods, Chris N. <cwoods@dot.ga.gov>; Johnson, Lankston <lajohnson@dot.ga.gov>; Boone, Eric <eboone@dot.ga.gov>; Annie Gillespie <agillespie@srta.ga.gov>; Emily Estes <eestes@srta.ga.gov>; Renaud Marshall rmarshall@srta.ga.gov>; Parker Martin <PMartin@srta.ga.gov>; 'DRI@grta.org' <DRI@grta.org>; 'Jon West' <jon.west@dca.ga.gov>; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us; Greg Floyd (gfloyd@itsmarta.com) <gfloyd@itsmarta.com>; kwinzeler@northfultoncid.com; Sharon.Ebert@johnscreekga.gov; chris.haggard@johnscreekga.gov; Michele Mcintosh-Ross < Michele. Mcintosh-Ross@cityofmiltonga.us>; carter.lucas@cityofmiltonga.us; 'ahazell@gmrc.ga.gov' <ahazell@gmrc.ga.gov>; twbrown@forsythco.com; vdbernstein@forsythco.com; NAColonna@forsythco.com; SOFarrell@forsythco.com; tlallen@forsythco.com; Giles, Shane <shgiles@dot.ga.gov>; Crowe, Richard <rcrowe@dot.ga.gov>; Peevy, Jonathan <jpeevy@dot.ga.gov>; Hunter, William E. <wihunter@dot.ga.gov>; Decker, Sue Anne <sdecker@dot.ga.gov>; Cook, Kathi <Kcook@alpharetta.ga.us>; Borden, Brian
bborden@alpharetta.ga.us>;

From: Decker, Sue Anne <sdecker@dot.ga.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 16, 2018 4:43 PM

To: Andrew Smith

Cc: Giles, Shane; Peevy, Jonathan; Nesbitt, Kimberly; Stovall-Dixon, Krystal E.; Hatch, Justin A

Subject: RE: ARC DRI Review Notification - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

The site is in direct conflict with the SR 400 @ McGinnis Ferry Rd project. The trips in the report need to be reduced since half of the site will be impacts by the SR 400 ramp NB ramp.

Additionally, the SR 400 ramps need to be part of the evalutaion

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Best Regards,

SueAnne Decker, P.E.

District Traffic Engineer-Northeast Region



District One Gainesville 1475 Jesse Jewell Pkwy NE Suite 100 Gainesville, GA 30501 770-533-8490



From: Andrew Smith [mailto:ASmith@atlantaregional.org]

Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 3:52 PM

To: VanDyke, Cindy; Fowler, Matthew; Matthews, Timothy W; Garth Lynch; Wayne Mote (wmote@HNTB.com); Peevy, Phillip M.; Robinson, Charles A.; Weiss, Megan J; Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V.; McLoyd, Johnathan G; Comer, Carol; Hood, Alan C.; Zahul, Kathy; Hatch, Justin A; DeNard, Paul; Regis, Edlin; Woods, Chris N.; Johnson, Lankston; Boone, Eric: Appia Gilleggio: Emily Estoc: Popaud Marshall: Parker Mattin: 'DPI@grta.org': 'Jon Wost':

Eric; Annie Gillespie; Emily Estes; Renaud Marshall; Parker Martin; 'DRI@grta.org'; 'Jon West'; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.qa.us; Greq Floyd (gfloyd@itsmarta.com); kwinzeler@northfultoncid.com;

Sharon.Ebert@johnscreekqa.gov; chris.haqqard@johnscreekqa.gov; Michele Mcintosh-Ross;

carter.lucas@cityofmiltonga.us; 'ahazell@gmrc.ga.gov'; twbrown@forsythco.com; vdbernstein@forsythco.com;

NAColonna@forsythco.com; SOFarrell@forsythco.com; tlallen@forsythco.com; Giles, Shane; Crowe, Richard; Peevy, Jonathan; Hunter, William E.; Decker, Sue Anne; Cook, Kathi; Borden, Brian; 'egraves@alpharetta.ga.us'; Woodman,

Michael; tbarranco@popeandland.com; Luke Ferguson; Clay Lewis; Abdul Amer; Abby Rettig

Cc: Community Development; Mike Alexander; David Haynes; Marquitrice Mangham; Andrew Spiliotis; Jim Santo; Jim

Skinner; Katie Perumbeti

Subject: ARC DRI Review Notification - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From: Bernstein-Goldman, Vanessa < VDBernstein@forsythco.com>

Sent: Friday, October 5, 2018 1:29 PM

To: Andrew Smith

Subject: FW: ARC DRI Review Notification - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809) - McGinnis Ferry Road

vicinity

Attachments: RE: DRI Pre-Review Meeting - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809); CO-GP-11x17-Site Plan

Impact.pdf

Andrew,

Please see below for our comments—these are coming from our Engineering staff given the interchange project. Thanks.

Best regards,

Vanessa Bernstein-Goldman, AICP | Deputy Director Forsyth County Department of Planning & Community Development 110 E. Main Street, Suite 100 | Cumming, Georgia 30040 (678) 513-5866 forsythco.com | Your Community. Your Future.

From: Coleman, Garrin M.

Sent: Friday, October 5, 2018 1:27 PM

To: Bernstein-Goldman, Vanessa < VDBernstein@forsythco.com >; Brown, Tom W. < TWBrown@forsythco.com >

Cc: Cunard, John V. <JVCunard@forsythco.com>

Subject: FW: ARC DRI Review Notification - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809) - McGinnis Ferry Road vicinity

Tom, Vanessa:

Good afternoon. Please see the thread below and email attached related to the DRI notification that you forwarded yesterday. The site appears to be impacted by the proposed ramp for the interchange at McGinnis Ferry. Please include the attachments with any distribution of comments back to ARC.

Thank you,

Garrin M. Coleman, P.E. | Assistant County Manager Forsyth County Administration 110 E. Main Street, Suite 210 | Cumming, Georgia 30040 (770) 781-2101 | (770) 781-2199 fax forsythco.com | Your Community. Your Future.

From: Ben Buchan < bbuchan@maai.net > Sent: Friday, October 5, 2018 11:47 AM

To: Coleman, Garrin M. < GMColeman@forsythco.com; Jason McCook < jmccook@maai.net; Njoku, Iheanachor < INjoku@dot.ga.gov

Cc: Cunard, John V. < <u>JVCunard@forsythco.com</u>>; Allen, Tim L. < <u>TLAllen@forsythco.com</u>>

Subject: RE: ARC DRI Review Notification - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809) - McGinnis Ferry Road vicinity

Garrin,

Jason provided comments to GDOT back in June stating that this DRI layout was in conflict with the proposed interchange project. For reference, I've attached a copy of those comments. I'm also attaching a copy of our interchange layout superimposed over the DRI layout to show the extent of the conflict, which is drastic.

By copy of this e-mail, I'm requesting the GDOT project manager, Achor Njoku, to pass along these comments to the appropriate person(s) at GDOT for responding to ARC. I'd also recommend that the County respond directly as well. I'm not sure of the exact DRI process, but It appears that not everyone involved is aware of the extent of these conflicts. Acquisition of this parcel needs to proceed as quickly as possible.

Let me know if you need anything additional.

Ben Buchan, P.E.



MORELAND ALTOBELLI ASSOCIATES, LLC

2450 Commerce Avenue Suite 100 Duluth, GA 30096-8910

770.402.2884 770.263.0166 fax bbuchan@maai.net

From: Coleman, Garrin M. [mailto:GMColeman@forsythco.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 4:46 PM

To: Jason McCook; Ben Buchan **Cc:** Cunard, John V.; Allen, Tim L.

Subject: FW: ARC DRI Review Notification - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809) - McGinnis Ferry Road vicinity

Jason, Ben:

Good afternoon. I just received this notice of DRI from planning and development. Not sure that it impacts our current designs in any way; however, they do mention the auxiliary lanes for the interchange which I believe we have requested to be removed through the VE process.

Thanks, Garrin

From: Brown, Tom W.

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 4:37 PM

To: Bernstein-Goldman, Vanessa < VDBernstein@forsythco.com; Coleman, Garrin M. < GMColeman@forsythco.com> Subject: FW: ARC DRI Review Notification - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

Vanessa,

Please review and submit comments if appropriate. Incorporate any comments from Garrin.

Garrin

I've seen a few agenda items about working with Alpharetta on road expansions and greenway connections. I'm sharing this info in the unlikely event this application is an opportunity to discuss such topics. Let Vanessa know if you plan to provide comments (or feel free to submit comments directly).

Tom Brown, AICP | Director

Forsyth County Department of Planning & Community Development 110 E. Main Street, Suite 100 | Cumming, Georgia 30040 (770) 886-2761

forsythco.com | Your Community. Your Future.

This email message is delivered from a Forsyth County government email account and therefore may be subject to disclosure under the State Open Records Act O.C.G.A 50-18-70, et. seq. Any response to this email may also be subject in whole or part to production under the State Open Records Act. That fact notwithstanding, and because this email may otherwise contain confidential or privileged information, if you are not the intended recipient you should not read, disseminate, distribute or copy this email or attachments. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email and attachments from your system.

From: Andrew Smith <ASmith@atlantaregional.org> Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 3:52 PM To: 'cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov' <<u>cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov</u>>; Fowler, Matthew <<u>mfowler@dot.ga.gov</u>>; Matthews, Timothy W <TMatthews@dot.ga.gov>; Garth Lynch <glynch@HNTB.com>; Wayne Mote (wmote@HNTB.com) <wmote@HNTB.com>; PPeevy@dot.ga.gov; Robinson, Charles A. <chrobinson@dot.ga.gov>; Weiss, Megan J <MWeiss@dot.ga.gov>; Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V. <mcanizares@dot.ga.gov>; McLoyd, Johnathan G <JoMcLoyd@dot.ga.gov>; 'ccomer@dot.ga.gov' <ccomer@dot.ga.gov>; Hood, Alan C. (achood@dot.ga.gov) <achood@dot.ga.gov>; Kathy Zahul (kzahul@dot.ga.gov) <kzahul@dot.ga.gov>; Hatch, Justin A <juhatch@dot.ga.gov>; DeNard, Paul <pdenard@dot.ga.gov>; Regis, Edlin <eregis@dot.ga.gov>; Woods, Chris N. <cwoods@dot.ga.gov>; Johnson, Lankston
Johnson, Lajohnson@dot.ga.gov
Johnson, Lajohnson@dot.ga.gov

Johnson, Lajohnson@dot.ga.gov

Johnson, Lajohnson@dot.ga.gov

Johnson, Lajohnson, La <agillespie@srta.ga.gov>; Emily Estes <eestes@srta.ga.gov>; Renaud Marshall <rmarshall@srta.ga.gov>; Parker Martin <PMartin@srta.ga.gov>; 'DRI@grta.org' <DRI@grta.org>; 'Jon West' <jon.west@dca.ga.gov>; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us; Greg Floyd (gfloyd@itsmarta.com) <gfloyd@itsmarta.com>; kwinzeler@northfultoncid.com; Sharon.Ebert@johnscreekga.gov; chris.haggard@johnscreekga.gov; Michele Mcintosh-Ross < Michele. Mcintosh-Ross@cityofmiltonga.us >; carter.lucas@cityofmiltonga.us; 'ahazell@gmrc.ga.gov' <a hazell@gmrc.ga.gov>; Brown, Tom W. <TWBrown@forsythco.com>; Bernstein-Goldman, Vanessa <VDBernstein@forsythco.com>; Colonna, Nick A. <NAColonna@forsythco.com>; Farrell, Sharon O. <SOFarrell@forsythco.com>; Allen, Tim L. <TLAllen@forsythco.com>; Giles, Shane <shgiles@dot.ga.gov>; Crowe, Richard <rcrowe@dot.ga.gov>; Peevy, Jonathan <jpeevy@dot.ga.gov>; Hunter, William E. <wihunter@dot.ga.gov>; Decker, Sue Anne <sdecker@dot.ga.gov>; Cook, Kathi <Kcook@alpharetta.ga.us>; Borden, Brian
bborden@alpharetta.ga.us>; egraves@alpharetta.ga.us' <egraves@alpharetta.ga.us>; Woodman, Michael <mwoodman@alpharetta.ga.us'; tbarranco@popeandland.com; Luke Ferguson < lferguson@hainesgipson.com>; Clay Lewis <clay.lewis@hainesgipson.com>; Abdul Amer <aamer@areng.com>; Abby Rettig <arettig@areng.com> Cc: Community Development < Community Development@atlantaregional.org >; Mike Alexander <MAlexander@atlantaregional.org>; David Haynes <DHaynes@atlantaregional.org>; Marquitrice Mangham <MMangham@atlantaregional.org>; Andrew Spiliotis <ASpiliotis@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Santo

Subject: ARC DRI Review Notification - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

<JSanto@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Skinner <JSkinner@atlantaregional.org>; Katie Perumbeti

Development of Regional Impact (DRI) – Request for Comments

<KPerumbeti@atlantaregional.org>

This e-mail serves as notice that the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has begun a Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review for **Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)**.

This DRI is in the City of Alpharetta on the south side of McGinnis Ferry Road, east of GA 400 and west of Windward Concourse. The development plan proposes 600,000 SF of office space in three buildings on approximately 26 acres. Site access is proposed via three full access driveways on Alderman Drive, two right-in/right-out driveways on Windward Concourse, and one existing full access driveway on McGinnis Ferry Road - to be converted to right-in/right-out operation with the construction of the planned GA 400/McGinnis Ferry Road interchange. The local trigger for this DRI review is a land disturbance permit application. The estimated buildout year is 2020.

As a representative of a nearby local government or other potentially affected party, we request that you or your staff review the attached ARC Preliminary Report and provide any comments on the DRI to ARC, on or before **Wednesday**, **October 17, 2018**.

You may also view the Preliminary Report and other project information by visiting the <u>ARC Plan Reviews webpage</u> beginning tomorrow, October 3, and entering "Equinox Corporate Campus" in the search field at the bottom of the page.

Comments may be directed to me via email to <u>asmith@atlantaregional.org</u> (preferred) or via U.S. mail to the address noted in my signature below.

For more information regarding the DRI process, please visit the ARC DRI webpage.

Regards,

Andrew Smith

Principal Planner, Community Development
Atlanta Regional Commission
P | 470.378.1645
asmith@atlantaregional.org
atlantaregional.org
International Tower
229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

--- Moreland Altobelli Associates (MA), now an Atlas Technical Consultants (Atlas) company, is a full-service engineering firm that provides program management, transportation, land acquisition, environmental, construction inspection and design support services. Listed as #17 on ENR's top 100 list of Construction Management Firms, Atlas is one of the largest project delivery firms in the US with 30 offices in 11 states and more than 1,000 employees. www.maai.net

Attachment 1 to 10/5/2018 Forsyth County comments on DRI 2809

From: Jason McCook <jmccook@maai.net>
Sent: Monday, June 18, 2018 4:30 PM

To: Njoku, Iheanachor

Cc: Stovall-Dixon, Krystal E.; Nesbitt, Kimberly; Kirby, Brandon; Ben Buchan; tlallen@forsythco.com

Subject: RE: DRI Pre-Review Meeting - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

Achor,

A Public meeting was held on Sept. 10, 2015 and right of ways plans for this project are approved. As such, GDOT should respond to the ARC that the DRI for the proposed development is in conflict with the interchange project. Right of Way Acquisition on this particular parcel is pending due to the appraisal being completed. Once the appraisal (532) is approved and released from GDOT to Forsyth Co. the acquisition on this parcel will begin (expected in the next 30 -45 days).

Thanks,

Jason McCook

Program Manager



MORELAND ALTOBELLI ASSOCIATES, LLC

327 Dahlonega Street Suite 1401

Cumming, GA 30040

770.781.5507 jmccook@maai.net

From: Njoku, Iheanachor [mailto:INjoku@dot.ga.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 18, 2018 12:11 PM

To: Jason McCook

Cc: Stovall-Dixon, Krystal E.; Nesbitt, Kimberly; Kirby, Brandon; Ben Buchan; tlallen@forsythco.com

Subject: FW: DRI Pre-Review Meeting - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

Importance: High

Good Morning Jason,

Per the attached and email below, please advise if the County has initiated a "protective acquisition" process for the affected parcels of the project relating to the proposed DRI, to avoid its impact to the project.

Thanks.

'Achor Njoku ms c. eng.

Program Manger
Office of Program Delivery
Georgia Department of Transportation
600 West Peachtree Street, 25th floor
Atlanta, Ga 30308
Phone (404) 631-1550
Mobile (404) 640 1748
Fax (404) 631-1588
E-mail INjoku@dot.ga.gov

From: John Martel [mailto:JMartel@HNTB.com]

Sent: Friday, June 08, 2018 2:57 PM

To: Njoku, Iheanachor < INjoku@dot.ga.gov >

Cc: Jason McCook < <u>imccook@maai.net</u>>; Michael Nader < <u>mnader@HNTB.com</u>>; Fowler, Matthew

<mfowler@dot.ga.gov>

Subject: FW: DRI Pre-Review Meeting - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Achor,

I am writing you to make sure that you are aware of a DRI (Equinox Corporation Campus DRI 2809) that will impact your project, if approved, as shown. I have been coordinating with Jason McCook, who is the County's PM for the McGinnis Ferry Road project and he is also aware of this proposed development.

Currently, the GDOT SR 400 EL project stops just shy of your project and does not impact this parcel. The proposed (DRI 2809) is conflicting with the future southbound SR 400 off ramp to the McGinnis Ferry GP Interchange (this ramp and interchange project is not in our program). I have attached a copy of the Pre-Review Meeting Sign-In sheet for your information and to provide you key contacts.

Please let me know if we can be of further assistance. Thanks

John G. Martel, PE

Associate Vice President Office Direct (404) 946-5775 Cell (404) 290-4742 Fax (404) 841-2820

HNTB CORPORATION

CELEBRATING 50 YEARS IN ATLANTA 191 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 3300 | Atlanta, GA 30303 | www.hntb.com

■ 100+ YEARS OF INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS



From: Fowler, Matthew [mailto:mfowler@dot.ga.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, June 5, 2018 9:26 AM

To: Michael Nader <mnader@HNTB.com>; Sova, Anton <Anton.Sova@aecom.com>; McKeen, Kevin M.

<<u>Kevin.McKeen@aecom.com</u>>; Wood, Jeff <<u>jeff.wood@aecom.com</u>>

Cc: John Martel <JMartel@HNTB.com>; Garth Lynch <glynch@HNTB.com>; Phil Copeland <pcopeland@HNTB.com>

Subject: RE: DRI Pre-Review Meeting - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

Guys,

I checked with Charles Robinson in Planning. GDOT Planning reviews all DRIs and offers comments to ARC on impacts to potential projects, in addition to the review that HNTB is completing for each DRI near an MMIP project. Planning's review alerts ARC as to any projects near the DRI and provides the contact info for the GDOT PM to ARC. However, please feel free to go ahead and pass along any information you may find to the GDOT PM as well. Thanks.

From: Michael Nader [mailto:mnader@HNTB.com]

Sent: Monday, June 4, 2018 12:06 PM

To: Sova, Anton <<u>Anton.Sova@aecom.com</u>>; McKeen, Kevin M. <<u>Kevin.McKeen@aecom.com</u>>; Wood, Jeff <jeff.wood@aecom.com>

Cc: John Martel < <u>JMartel@HNTB.com</u>>; Garth Lynch < <u>glynch@HNTB.com</u>>; Fowler, Matthew < <u>mfowler@dot.ga.gov</u>>;

Phil Copeland copeland@HNTB.com>

Subject: RE: DRI Pre-Review Meeting - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thanks Anton..

John M: see below.. it appears our project will stop shy of the ramp up onto the new McGinnis Ferry GP interchange (this interchange project is not in our program)..

But, we need to alert the GDOT PM who is responsible for this project to give him/her a heads-up. Please work with Matthew Fowler (copied here) to reach out to the GDOT PM on this project. I have attached the sign-in sheet from the last DRI meeting on this Equinox development, and it has some contacts.. but please go through Matthew Fowler first.

Regards,

-m



Michael Nader, P.E.

Associate Vice President

Main (404) 946-5700 Ext: 16312 | Direct (470) 351-6312 | Mobile (303) 324-8140 | Fax (404) 841-2820

HNTB CORPORATION

CELEBRATING 50 YEARS IN ATLANTA
191 Peachtree Street, Suite 3300, Atlanta, GA 30303 | www.hntb.com

■ 100+ YEARS OF INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS




From: Sova, Anton [mailto:Anton.Sova@aecom.com]

Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2018 11:08 AM

To: Michael Nader <mnader@HNTB.com>; McKeen, Kevin M. <Kevin.McKeen@aecom.com>; Wood, Jeff

<jeff.wood@aecom.com>

Subject: RE: DRI Pre-Review Meeting - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)



GDOT and the developer traffic report does not account for the GP interchange project at McGinnis Ferry Road. Our project will stop shy and not have additional right of way impacts.

Anton Sova, PE

Lead Engineer, Transportation O: 678-808-8822 C: 770-905-6396 anton.sova@aecom.com

anton.sova@aecom.com

AECOM

1360 Peachtree Street Suite 500 Atlanta, Georgia 30309, USA T +1-404-965-9600 aecom.com

Built to deliver a better world

LinkedIn Twitter Facebook Instagram



From: Michael Nader [mailto:mnader@HNTB.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 4:33 PM **To:** McKeen, Kevin M.; Sova, Anton; Wood, Jeff

Subject: FW: DRI Pre-Review Meeting - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

Regards,

-m



Michael Nader, P.E.

Associate Vice President Main (404) 946-5700 Ext: 16312 | Direct (470) 351-6312 | Mobile (303) 324-8140 | Fax (404) 841-2820

HNTB CORPORATION

CELEBRATING 50 YEARS IN ATLANTA
191 Peachtree Street, Suite 3300, Atlanta, GA 30303 | www.hntb.com

100+ YEARS OF INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS



From: Garth Lynch

Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 4:17 PM **To:** Michael Nader < mnader@HNTB.com>

Subject: FW: DRI Pre-Review Meeting - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

fyi

From: Decker, Sue Anne [mailto:sdecker@dot.ga.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 3:33 PM

To: Abby Rettig <arettig@areng.com>; Andrew Smith <ASmith@atlantaregional.org>; VanDyke, Cindy <cvvandyke@dot.ga.gov>; Fowler, Matthew <mfowler@dot.ga.gov>; Matthews, Timothy W <TMatthews@dot.ga.gov>; Garth Lynch <glynch@HNTB.com>; Wayne Mote <wmote@HNTB.com>; Peevy, Phillip M. <PPeevy@dot.ga.gov>; Robinson, Charles A. <chrobinson@dot.ga.gov>; Weiss, Megan J <MWeiss@dot.ga.gov>; Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V. <mcanizares@dot.ga.gov>; Comer, Carol <ccomer@dot.ga.gov>; Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>; Zahul, Kathy <kzahul@dot.ga.gov>; DeNard, Paul <pde>pdenard@dot.ga.gov>; Regis, Edlin <eregis@dot.ga.gov>; Woods, Chris N. <cwoods@dot.ga.gov>; Johnson, Lankston <lajohnson@dot.ga.gov>; Boone, Eric <eboone@dot.ga.gov>; Annie Gillespie <agillespie@srta.ga.gov>; Emily Estes <eestes@srta.ga.gov>; Parker Martin <PMartin@srta.ga.gov>; 'DRI@grta.org' <DRI@grta.org>; 'Jon West' <jon.west@dca.ga.gov>; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us; Greg Floyd (gfloyd@itsmarta.com) <gfloyd@itsmarta.com>; kwinzeler@northfultoncid.com; Sharon.Ebert@johnscreekga.gov; Jennifer.Glenn@johnscreekga.gov; chris.haggard@johnscreekga.gov; Kathy Field (Kathleen.Field@cityofmiltonga.us) <Kathleen.Field@cityofmiltonga.us>; Michele Mcintosh-Ross <Michele.Mcintosh-Ross@cityofmiltonga.us>; carter.lucas@cityofmiltonga.us; 'ahazell@gmrc.ga.gov' <ahazell@gmrc.ga.gov>; twbrown@forsythco.com; vdbernstein@forsythco.com; NAColonna@forsythco.com; SOFarrell@forsythco.com; tlallen@forsythco.com; Giles, Shane <shgiles@dot.ga.gov>; Crowe, Richard <rcrowe@dot.ga.gov>; Peevy, Jonathan <jpeevy@dot.ga.gov>; Cook, Kathi <Kcook@alpharetta.ga.us>; 'egraves@alpharetta.ga.us' <egraves@alpharetta.ga.us>; Borden, Brian

<bborden@alpharetta.ga.us>; Woodman, Michael <mwoodman@alpharetta.ga.us>; tbarranco@popeandland.com; Luke Ferguson linesgipson.com; Clay Lewis com; Abdul Amer <aamer@areng.com>

Cc: Community Development < CommunityDevelopment@atlantaregional.org>; Mike Alexander < MAlexander@atlantaregional.org>; David Haynes < DHaynes@atlantaregional.org>; Marquitrice Mangham < MMangham@atlantaregional.org>; Andrew Spiliotis < ASpiliotis@atlantaregional.org>; Ryan Ellis

<<u>REllis@atlantaregional.org</u>>; Jim Santo <<u>JSanto@atlantaregional.org</u>>; Jim Skinner <<u>JSkinner@atlantaregional.org</u>>; DeNard, Paul <<u>pdenard@dot.ga.gov</u>>; Nesbitt, Kimberly <<u>knesbitt@dot.ga.gov</u>>; Stovall-Dixon, Krystal E. <<u>kstovall-dixon@dot.ga.gov</u>>; Njoku, Iheanachor <<u>INjoku@dot.ga.gov</u>>

Subject: RE: DRI Pre-Review Meeting - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

Abby,

D1 requests that the interchange at SR 400 and McFarland be added to the TIA. According to the trip distribution 28% of the traffic will flow through this interchange.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Thanks,

Sue Anne H. Decker, P.E.

District Traffic Engineer – District One, Gainesville Direct:770-533-8490 NEW NUMBER



From: Abby Rettig [mailto:arettig@areng.com]

Sent: Monday, May 21, 2018 10:11 AM

To: Andrew Smith; VanDyke, Cindy; Fowler, Matthew; Matthews, Timothy W; Garth Lynch; Wayne Mote (wmote@HNTB.com); Peevy, Phillip M.; Robinson, Charles A.; Weiss, Megan J; Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V.; Comer, Carol; Hood, Alan C.; Zahul, Kathy; DeNard, Paul; Regis, Edlin; Woods, Chris N.; Johnson, Lankston; Boone, Eric; Annie Gillespie; Emily Estes; Parker Martin; 'DRI@grta.org'; 'Jon West'; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us; Greg Floyd (gfloyd@itsmarta.com); kwinzeler@northfultoncid.com; Sharon.Ebert@johnscreekga.gov; Jennifer.Glenn@johnscreekga.gov; chris.haggard@johnscreekga.gov; Kathy Field (Kathleen.Field@cityofmiltonga.us); Michele Mcintosh-Ross; carter.lucas@cityofmiltonga.us; 'ahazell@gmrc.ga.gov'; twbrown@forsythco.com; vdbernstein@forsythco.com; NAColonna@forsythco.com; SOFarrell@forsythco.com; tlallen@forsythco.com; Giles, Shane; Crowe, Richard; Peevy, Jonathan; Hunter, William E.; Decker, Sue Anne; Cook, Kathi; 'egraves@alpharetta.ga.us'; Borden, Brian; Woodman, Michael; tbarranco@popeandland.com; Luke Ferguson; Clay Lewis; Abdul Amer Cc: Community Development; Mike Alexander; David Haynes; Marquitrice Mangham; Andrew Spiliotis; Ryan Ellis; Jim Santo; Jim Skinner

Subject: RE: DRI Pre-Review Meeting - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

All,

Please find the final version of the methodology packet for the above DRI. As discussed in the meeting, a few changes have been made to the distribution of traffic around the site, and the study intersection locations have changed with this as well. Please reach out to me with any questions/concerns.

Thank you,

Abby Rettig

Project Manager **A&R Engineering, Inc.**2160 Kingston Court, Suite O

Marietta, GA 30067

T: (770) 690-9255

From: Andrew Smith [mailto:ASmith@atlantaregional.org]

Sent: Friday, May 18, 2018 9:28 AM

 $\textbf{To: } 'cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov' < \underline{cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov} >; Fowler, Matthew < \underline{mfowler@dot.ga.gov} >; Matthews, Timothy Watthews, Timot$

<<u>TMatthews@dot.ga.gov</u>>; Garth Lynch <<u>glynch@HNTB.com</u>>; Wayne Mote (<u>wmote@HNTB.com</u>)

<wmote@HNTB.com>; PPeevy@dot.ga.gov; Robinson, Charles A. <chrobinson@dot.ga.gov>; Weiss, Megan J

< <u>MWeiss@dot.ga.gov</u>>; Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V. < <u>mcanizares@dot.ga.gov</u>>; 'ccomer@dot.ga.gov'

<<u>ccomer@dot.ga.gov</u>>; Hood, Alan C. (<u>achood@dot.ga.gov</u>) <<u>achood@dot.ga.gov</u>>; Kathy Zahul (<u>kzahul@dot.ga.gov</u>)

<kzahul@dot.ga.gov>; DeNard, Paul <pde>pdenard@dot.ga.gov>; Regis, Edlin <eregis@dot.ga.gov>; Woods, Chris N.

 $<\!\!\underline{\mathsf{cwoods@dot.ga.gov}}\!\!>\!; \mathsf{Johnson}, \mathsf{Lankston}<\!\!\underline{\mathsf{lajohnson@dot.ga.gov}}\!\!>\!; \mathsf{Boone}, \mathsf{Eric}<\!\!\underline{\mathsf{eboone@dot.ga.gov}}\!\!>\!; \mathsf{Annie}\,\mathsf{Gillespie}$

<a href="mai

<DRI@grta.org>; 'Jon West' <jon.west@dca.ga.gov>; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us; Greg Floyd (gfloyd@itsmarta.com)

<gfloyd@itsmarta.com>; kwinzeler@northfultoncid.com; Sharon.Ebert@johnscreekga.gov;

<u>Jennifer.Glenn@johnscreekga.gov; chris.haggard@johnscreekga.gov; Kathy Field (Kathleen.Field@cityofmiltonga.us)</u>

<Kathleen.Field@cityofmiltonga.us>; Michele Mcintosh-Ross <Michele.Mcintosh-Ross@cityofmiltonga.us>;

carter.lucas@cityofmiltonga.us; 'ahazell@gmrc.ga.gov' <ahazell@gmrc.ga.gov>; twbrown@forsythco.com;

vdbernstein@forsythco.com; NAColonna@forsythco.com; SOFarrell@forsythco.com; tlallen@forsythco.com; Giles,

Shane <shgiles@dot.ga.gov>; Crowe, Richard <rcrowe@dot.ga.gov>; Peevy, Jonathan <jpeevy@dot.ga.gov>; Hunter,

William E. <wihunter@dot.ga.gov>; Decker, Sue Anne <sdecker@dot.ga.gov>; Cook, Kathi <Kcook@alpharetta.ga.us>;

'egraves@alpharetta.ga.us' <egraves@alpharetta.ga.us>; Borden, Brian <bborden@alpharetta.ga.us>; Woodman,

Michael <mwoodman@alpharetta.ga.us>; tbarranco@popeandland.com; Luke Ferguson

< ! Clay Lewis < ! Abdul Amer < aamer@areng.com ; Abby

Rettig <arettig@areng.com>

Cc: Community Development < Community Development@atlantaregional.org>; Mike Alexander

<MAlexander@atlantaregional.org>; David Haynes <DHaynes@atlantaregional.org>; Marquitrice Mangham

<MMangham@atlantaregional.org>; Andrew Spiliotis <ASpiliotis@atlantaregional.org>; Ryan Ellis

<REllis@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Santo <JSanto@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Skinner <JSkinner@atlantaregional.org>

Subject: RE: DRI Pre-Review Meeting - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

All: For your records, please see the attached sign-in sheet from yesterday's pre-review/methodology meeting for this DRI.

Best,

Andrew Smith

Principal Planner, Community Development Atlanta Regional Commission P | 470.378.1645

```
From: Andrew Smith
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 12:01 PM
To: 'cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov' <<u>cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov</u>>; Fowler, Matthew <<u>mfowler@dot.ga.gov</u>>; Matthews, Timothy W
<TMatthews@dot.ga.gov>; Garth Lynch <glynch@HNTB.com>; Wayne Mote (wmote@HNTB.com)
<wmote@HNTB.com>; PPeevy@dot.ga.gov; Robinson, Charles A. <chrobinson@dot.ga.gov>; Weiss, Megan J
<MWeiss@dot.ga.gov>; Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V. <mcanizares@dot.ga.gov>; 'ccomer@dot.ga.gov'
<ccomer@dot.ga.gov>; Hood, Alan C. (achood@dot.ga.gov) <achood@dot.ga.gov>; Kathy Zahul (kzahul@dot.ga.gov)
<kzahul@dot.ga.gov>; DeNard, Paul <pde>pdenard@dot.ga.gov>; Regis, Edlin <eregis@dot.ga.gov>; Woods, Chris N.
<cwoods@dot.ga.gov>; Johnson, Lankston <lajohnson@dot.ga.gov>; Boone, Eric <eboone@dot.ga.gov>; Annie Gillespie
<agillespie@srta.ga.gov>; Emily Estes <eestes@srta.ga.gov>; Parker Martin <PMartin@srta.ga.gov>; 'DRI@grta.org'
<DRI@grta.org>; 'Jon West' <jon.west@dca.ga.gov>; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us; Greg Floyd (gfloyd@itsmarta.com)
<gfloyd@itsmarta.com>; kwinzeler@northfultoncid.com; Sharon.Ebert@johnscreekga.gov;
Jennifer.Glenn@johnscreekga.gov; chris.haggard@johnscreekga.gov; Kathy Field (Kathleen.Field@cityofmiltonga.us)
<Kathleen.Field@cityofmiltonga.us>; Michele Mcintosh-Ross <Michele.Mcintosh-Ross@cityofmiltonga.us>;
carter.lucas@cityofmiltonga.us; 'ahazell@gmrc.ga.gov' <ahazell@gmrc.ga.gov>; twbrown@forsythco.com;
vdbernstein@forsythco.com; NAColonna@forsythco.com; SOFarrell@forsythco.com; tlallen@forsythco.com; Giles,
Shane <shgiles@dot.ga.gov>; Crowe, Richard <rcrowe@dot.ga.gov>; Peevy, Jonathan <jpeevy@dot.ga.gov>; Hunter,
William E. <winter@dot.ga.gov>; Decker, Sue Anne <sdecker@dot.ga.gov>; Cook, Kathi <<a href="mailto:Kcook@alpharetta.ga.us">Kcook@alpharetta.ga.us</a>;
'egraves@alpharetta.ga.us' <egraves@alpharetta.ga.us>; Borden, Brian <bborden@alpharetta.ga.us>; Woodman,
Michael <mwoodman@alpharetta.ga.us>; tbarranco@popeandland.com; Luke Ferguson
<lferguson@hainesgipson.com>; Clay Lewis <clay.lewis@hainesgipson.com>; Abdul Amer <aamer@areng.com>; Abby
Rettig <arettig@areng.com>
Cc: Community Development < Community Development@atlantaregional.org >; Mike Alexander
< MAlexander@atlantaregional.org>; David Haynes < DHaynes@atlantaregional.org>; Marquitrice Mangham
< MMangham@atlantaregional.org>; Andrew Spiliotis < ASpiliotis@atlantaregional.org>; Ryan Ellis
<REllis@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Santo <JSanto@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Skinner <JSkinner@atlantaregional.org>
```

Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Pre-Review/Methodology Meeting Notification

Subject: DRI Pre-Review Meeting - Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)

Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) staff has reviewed information related to <u>Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809)</u> and finds that the proposed development project may qualify as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI) per Georgia Department of Community Affairs (DCA) rules.

An ARC pre-review/GRTA methodology meeting will be held next <u>Thursday</u>, <u>May 17</u> at <u>3:30 PM</u> at <u>ARC's offices</u> to confirm that DRI review is warranted and to discuss the project, information needed to initiate the review, and the review timeline. Please consider attending the meeting to learn more about the initial proposal.

If you are the host local government, or the applicant/developer or its representative, you must attend this meeting. Prior to the meeting, please send ARC relevant project information, including a digital copy of the site/concept plan, if you have not already done so. Bring hard copies of same to the meeting.

Equinox Corporate Campus (DRI 2809): This proposed development is in the City of Alpharetta on the south side of McGinnis Ferry Road, east of GA 400 and west of Windward Concourse. The development plan proposes 600,000 SF of office space in three buildings on approximately 26 acres. The local trigger for this DRI review is a permit application.

We are hopeful that any concerns your agency or organization may have about the project can be identified at this meeting. All interested parties are welcome to attend.

For directions to ARC's offices and parking information, visit http://atlantaregional.org/directions-to-arc and see the attached map. ARC is in the Peachtree Center International Tower at the NW corner of Andrew Young International Blvd. and Peachtree Center Ave. If driving, nearby decks are the International Garage at the SW corner of that intersection and the Peachtree Center Ave. Garage at the SE corner of that intersection.

For information on the ARC DRI review process, visit http://atlantaregional.org/developments-of-regional-impact/.

For information on the **Georgia Regional Transportation Authority (GRTA) DRI review process**, including **requirements for the pre-review/methodology meeting**, visit the http://www.srta.ga.gov/programs-projects/dev-of-regional-impact/.

Regards,

Andrew Smith

Principal Planner, Community Development Atlanta Regional Commission P | 470.378.1645 asmith@atlantaregional.org atlantaregional.org International Tower 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303


There's road work ahead. And roadway work zones are hazardous for workers and the public. In fact, most victims in work zone crashes are drivers or passengers. Work zone safety is everybody's responsibility - pay attention – slow down – watch for workers - expect the unexpected. And whenever you drive, always **Drive Alert Arrive Alive** - buckle up; stay off the phone and no texting. Visit www.dot.ga.gov.

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient and receive this communication, please delete this message and any attachments. Thank you.

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient and receive this communication, please delete this message and any attachments. Thank you.

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are NOT the intended recipient and receive this communication, please delete this message and any attachments. Thank you.

Attachment 2 to 10/5/2018 Forsyth County comments on DRI 2809



EQUINOX CORPORATE CAMPUS – DRI 2809 City of Alpharetta ARC Natural Resources Group Review Comments

September 27, 2018

Water Supply Watershed and Stream Buffer Protection

The proposed project property is located within the Big Creek Water Supply Watershed, which is a small (less than 100 square mile) watershed and is a public water supply source for the City of Roswell. The proposed project is within seven miles of the City of Roswell intake.

Under the Georgia Planning Act of 1989, all development in a public water supply watershed is subject to the DNR Part 5 Water Supply Watershed Minimum Criteria (Chapter 391-3-16-.01, Criteria for Water Supply Watersheds) unless alternative criteria are developed and adopted by the jurisdiction according to the requirements of the Part 5 criteria and are then approved by Georgia EPD and DCA. The minimum criteria in a small water supply watershed include: a limit on impervious surfaces of either 25 percent of the watershed area or the existing amount, whichever is greater; buffer requirements on perennial streams, (defined in the Criteria as streams that have normal stream flow consisting of base flow or both base flow and direct runoff during any period of the year) that include a 100-foot undisturbed buffer and 150-foot impervious setback on streams that are within 7 miles upstream of the closest intake; and requirements for hazardous materials and hazardous waste. However, the Criteria allow for alternate criteria to be developed by local governments within a watershed. Alternate criteria have been developed for the Big Creek water supply watershed.

The Big Creek Watershed Study was completed in December 2000 with participation by all jurisdictions in the basin. It includes alternative protection measures to the DNR Part 5 Water Supply Watershed Criteria, including structural and non-structural control measures. It is our understanding that the City of Alpharetta has adopted protection requirements consistent with those proposed in the Study and that DCA has accepted those requirements in lieu of the Part 5 minimum criteria. This project will need to conform to Alpharetta's water supply watershed requirements

In addition to the water supply watershed requirements, the City of Alpharetta has a stream buffer ordinance that requires a 50-foot undisturbed buffer and an additional 25-foot impervious surface setback on most streams in the City. The USGS coverage for the project area shows no perennial streams on or near the project property. However, the submitted site plan shows a stream running from east to west across the western portion of the property and the headwaters of a second stream in the northwest corner of the property. The required City buffers, as well as the 25-foot State Erosion and Sedimentation buffers, are shown on both streams. A road crossing and other grading is shown in the stream buffers on the site plan. This work, along with any other work in the buffers, may require a variance from both the City and State buffers. A wetlands area shown on the site plan to the northeast of the stream running across the property shows no buffers and shows partial grading within its existing limits. The 25-foot State buffer should be shown if applicable and a variance may be required for this work. Any unmapped streams on the property may be subject to City of Alpharetta stream buffer requirements and any unmapped state waters on the property will be subject to the 25-foot State Erosion and Sedimentation Act buffers.

Equinox Corporate Campus DRI NRG Comments September 27, 2018 Page Two

Storm Water/Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, as with all development, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. The amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development are dependent on the type and intensity of the use and the impervious coverage, which will affect the design of stormwater controls for the project.

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

We also suggest the following additional measures to help reduce stormwater reduction and provide for its reuse:

- Using green spaces and tree planting beds as stormwater controls. These can be designed
 to provide maximum aesthetic value while also providing for water quality treatment and
 run-off reduction, potentially reducing the need for larger stormwater facilities and
 helping to minimize the negative effects of stormwater runoff on streams and water
 quality.
- Using pervious concrete or other pervious materials in parking areas. With the proper substrate, such materials can provide a large storage capacity, which will further help to reduce stormwater runoff.
- Including rainwater capture in the project design to provide for landscape irrigation during dry periods.



regional impact + local relevance

Development of Regional Impact

Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

DRI INFORMATION

DRI Number #2809

DRI Title Equinox Corporate Campus

County Fulton County

City (if applicable)

Address / Location Southwest corner of intersection of McGinnis Ferry Road and Windward Concourse

Proposed Development Type:

26.14 acres of office use

Review Process EXPEDITED

NON-EXPEDITED

REVIEW INFORMATION

Prepared by ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division

Staff Lead Marquitrice Mangham

Copied Click here to enter text.

Date September 28, 2018

TRAFFIC STUDY

Prepared by A&R Engineering

Date September 25, 2018

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS

01. Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?
YES (provide the regional plan referenced and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)
NO (provide comments below)
The traffic analysis includes a list of programmed projects on page 14. The analysis does not include project FN 170, a programmed project on Windward Parkway, in the network study area.
REGIONAL NETWORKS
02. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares?
A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.
No No
YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)
Site access is provided by six access points on Alderman Drive, Windward Parkway and McGinnis Ferry Road.

03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

\boxtimes	NO
	YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)
	Click here to provide comments.

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

X	NOT APPLICABLE (neare	st station more than one mile away)
	RAIL SERVICE WITHIN O	NE MILE (provide additional information below)
	Operator / Rail Line	
	Nearest Station	Click here to enter name of operator and rail line
	Distance*	☐ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
		0.10 to 0.50 mile
		0.50 to 1.00 mile
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
		Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

	Click here to provide comments.
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
	☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
Transit Connectivity	Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
	Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
	No services available to rail station
	Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.

^{*} Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

05. If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

	NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
	NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
\boxtimes	NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
	YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
	CST planned within TIP period
	CST planned within first portion of long range period
	CST planned near end of plan horizon
Click	k here to provide comments.

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the connection a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

	NOT APPLICABLE (neare	st bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away)
SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)		ILE (provide additional information below)
	Operator(s)	MARTA
	Bus Route(s)	143
	Distance*	☐ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
		0.50 to 1.00 mile
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
		Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
		Click here to provide comments.
	Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
		Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity
		Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
		Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

		th provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within the development site is located?
c c s n t	or prefer not to drive, exp can help reduce traffic con comprehensive operation cerving the site during the nature of the developmen to the site is not feasible of ensure good walking and any routes within a one m	velopments and transit services provide options for people who cannot and economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and negestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a so plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to be evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the last is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service for cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and halle radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make any priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.
] NO	
\boxtimes	YES	
M	ARTA	
on V	Access between major de who cannot or prefer not	velopments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people
f	duce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path y, but walking or bicycling between the development site and those are applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.	
	NOT APPLICABLE (nea	rest path or trail more than one mile away)
	YES (provide additiona	al information below)
	Name of facility	Big Creek Greenway Trail
	Distance	Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)
		☐ 0.15 to 0.50 mile
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
		Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Bicycling Access*	Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity

Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets

	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed
	 Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site
<u>OTHER</u>	TRANSPORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS
	Does the site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible local road or drive aisle connections with adjacent parcels?
	The ability for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent arterial or collector roadway networks can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.
10.	YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development) YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop) NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop) OTHER (Please explain) Adjacent land uses are accessible by local roadways. Does the site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the development site safely and conveniently?
	The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site plans should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key destinations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large acreage sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.
	YES (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and bicyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)
	PARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not comprehensive and/or direct)
	NO (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and bicycling trips)
[OTHER (Please explain)
	The development proposes sidewalks internal to the site connecting pedestrians to uses within the development. Existing sidewalks are along Windward Concourse and McGinnis Ferry.

	connections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future?			
	The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.			
ĺ	YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)			
	YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)			
	NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)			
	NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)			
	NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)			
	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips)			
1	The development proposes sidewalks internal to the site connecting pedestrians to uses within the development. No bicycle facilities are proposed.			
12.				
12.	Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding			
12.	Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network? The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways,			
12.	Opes the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network? The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, sidewalks, paths and other facilities. YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space			
12.	Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network? The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, sidewalks, paths and other facilities. YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical) PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary			
12.	Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network? The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, sidewalks, paths and other facilities. YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical) PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately) NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily			

13.	Do the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible from a constructability standpoint?
	UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary)
	YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)
	□ NO (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
14.	Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?
	NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)
	☐ YES (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
15.	ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):
	None





Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home Tier Map **View Submissions** <u>Login</u> **Apply**

DRI #2809

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Alpharetta Individual completing form: Eric Graves

Telephone: 678-297-6220

E-mail: egraves@alpharetta.ga.us

*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Equinox Corporate Campus

Location (Street Address, GPS 1275 McGinnis Ferry Road, Alpharetta, Ga; LL 976, 1040, & 1041, 2nd District, 1st Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Sec.

Description):


Brief Description of Project: Construction of three (3) separate multi-story buildings, three (3) multi-story parking

deck structures, as well as supporting infrastructure

Development Type:		
(not selected)	Hotels	Wastewater Treatment Facilities
Office	Mixed Use	Petroleum Storage Facilities
Commercial	Airports	Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs
Wholesale & Distribution	Attractions & Recreational Facilities	Intermodal Terminals
Hospitals and Health Care Facili	ities Post-Secondary Schools	Truck Stops
Housing	Waste Handling Facilities	Any other development types
Industrial	Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants	
If other development type, describe:		
Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.):	3 multi-story units (600,000-sf total), Site De	ensity=22,953 sf/ac, Site Area=26.14 ac
Developer:	Pope & Land Enterprises, Inc	
Mailing Address:	3330 Cumberland Blvd SE	
Address 2:	Suite 300	
	City:Atlanta State: Ga Zip:30339	
Telephone:	770-980-0808	
Email:	tbarranco@popeandland.com	
Is property owner different from developer/applicant?	(not selected) Yes No	
16		
If yes, property owner:	Equifax, Inc.	

(not selected) Yes No

located within your local



GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact





Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home

Tier Map

<u>Apply</u>

View Submissions

<u>Login</u>

DRI #2809

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Alpharetta

Individual completing form: Eric Graves

Telephone: 678-297-6220

Email: egraves@alpharetta.ga.us

Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Equinox Corporate Campus

DRI ID Number: 2809

Developer/Applicant: Pope & Land Enterprises, Inc

Telephone: 770-980-0808

Email(s): tbarranco@popeandland.com

Additional Information Requested

Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional

regional (not selected) Yes No

review process? (If no, proceed to Economic

Impacts.)

If yes, has that additional information been provided

C and, if (not selected) Yes No

to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?

If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.

Economic Development

Estimated Value at Build-Out:

\$210,000,000

Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed

\$293,000

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed

displace any existing uses?

(not selected) Yes No

project?

Will this development

(not selected) Yes No

If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc): State and local property taxes calculated based on 2017 millage rate for Alpharetta/Fulton County and 40% assessment.

Water Supply

Name of water supply

Fulton County

```
DRI Additional Information Form
provider for this site:
What is the estimated water
supply demand to be
generated by the project, measured in Millions of
                             0.063
Gallons Per Day (MGD)?
Is sufficient water supply
                             (not selected) Yes No
capacity available to serve
the proposed project?
If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity:
Is a water line extension
required to serve this
                              (not selected) Yes No
project?
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?
                                             Wastewater Disposal
Name of wastewater
treatment provider for this
                             Fulton County
What is the estimated
sewage flow to be
generated by the project,
measured in Millions of
                             0.063
Gallons Per Day (MGD)?
Is sufficient wastewater
treatment capacity available
                             (not selected) Yes No
to serve this proposed
project?
If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:
Is a sewer line extension
required to serve this
                              (not selected) Yes No
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?
                                              Land Transportation
How much traffic volume is
```

expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour AM: 578 / PM: 612 / 24-HR: 5,912 vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.) Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be (not selected) Yes No needed to serve this project? Are transportation improvements needed to (not selected) Yes No serve this project?

If yes, please describe below:Please refer to the traffic study for recommended improvements

Solid Waste Disposal

How much solid waste is the project expected to 1,170 generate annually (in tons)?

Is sufficient landfill capacity

available to serve this proposed project? (not selected) Yes No

If no, describe any plans to expand existing landfill capacity:

Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development? (not selected) Yes No

If yes, please explain:

Stormwater Management

What percentage of the site 35% is projected to be impervious surface once the

proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management:In order to meet regulatory stormwater requirements, the site will also utilize five (5) stormwater ponds with embedded bio-retention areas, as well as a dry detention pond, large stormwater pond, and six (6) infiltration trenches. With exception to a proposed road crossing, the existing stream buffers and wetlands are slated to remain. **Environmental Quality** Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following: 1. Water supply (not selected) Yes No watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater (not selected) Yes No recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? (not selected) Yes No 4. Protected mountains? (not selected) Yes No 5. Protected river corridors? (not selected) Yes No 6. Floodplains? (not selected) Yes No 7. Historic resources? (not selected) Yes No 8. Other environmentally (not selected) Yes No sensitive resources? If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected: Approximately 0.03-acres of existing jurisdictional wetlands is slated to be infilled. However, an ACOE Permit is not require as this does not meet the 0.08-acre threshold to require a Section 404 Permit. Back to Top

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page

DRI Site Map | Contact

