

REGIONAL REVIEW FINDING

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: June 1, 2018

ARC REVIEW CODE: R1805171

TO: Mayor Keisha Lance Bottoms
ATTN TO: Monique Forte, Urban Planner III, Office of Mobility Planning
FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARC
RE: Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Review



Digital signature
Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to its relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This final report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the host local government.

Name of Proposal: 17th and Spring (DRI 2800)

Submitting Local Government: City of Atlanta

Review Type: DRI

Date Opened: May 17, 2018

Date Closed: June 1, 2018

Description: This DRI is in the City of Atlanta, bounded by Spring Street, 17th Street, West Peachtree Street and 16th Street, excluding two existing buildings at 1302 and 1316 West Peachtree Street (Artmore Hotel and Bright Horizons). The mixed-use project consists of 604,960 SF of office space, 346 residential units, a 255-room hotel, and 99,453 SF of commercial space (grocery store, food hall and retail). Access is proposed via three site driveways – one each on 17th St., Spring St. and 16th St. – and an east-west private street bisecting the site and aligning with Arts Center Way. The local trigger for this DRI review is a Special Administrative Permit (SAP) application filed with the City of Atlanta. The estimated buildout year is 2021.

Comments: According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI is located in the Region Core and a Regional Center. ARC's Regional Development Guide (RDG) details recommended policies for areas on the UGPM. General information and policy recommendations for the Region Core and Regional Centers are listed at the bottom of these comments.

This DRI appears to manifest aspects of regional policy. Specifically, the development plan contemplates the conversion of an underutilized north Midtown site – largely used for surface parking at present – to an infill, mixed-use development with significant housing and employment components and pedestrian-focused retail/restaurant uses and streetscaping/amenities at ground level. The project can support alternative transportation modes given its close proximity to the Arts Center MARTA rail station, stops for MARTA bus routes 37 and 110, and stops for GRTA Xpress and Cobb County Transit service. In addition, the DRI plan proposes better connecting the area with mid-block (between 16th and 17th Streets) crossings of both Spring and West Peachtree Streets, aligning with a new east-west private street/pedestrian amenity area running through the center of the DRI. The mid-block crossing of West Peachtree Street is proposed as a new signalized intersection aligning with Arts Center Way, pending continued coordination with GDOT, the City of Atlanta and Midtown Alliance.

Many of these characteristics will collectively offer the potential for site residents to work and shop on site, and for workers and visitors to park once or arrive via alternative transportation modes and conduct multiple trips on foot. Along those lines, care should be taken to ensure that the development promotes a functional, safe, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all streets, paths and parking areas. The development team is also encouraged to ensure that end-of-trip facilities (bicycle racks, etc.) are provided for residents, workers and visitors at key locations throughout the site. The project can further support The Atlanta Region's Plan in general by incorporating other aspects of regional policy, including

green infrastructure and/or low-impact design, e.g., rain gardens, vegetated swales, etc., in parking areas and site driveways, and as part of any improvements to site frontages.

The intensity of this proposed project generally aligns with the RDG's recommended range of densities and building heights in the Region Core.

This proposed development is located in the Midtown Livable Centers Initiative (LCI) study area. ARC's assessment is that this DRI plan supports the goals of the LCI program and is generally consistent with the existing Midtown LCI plan. The development team should therefore collaborate with the City and Midtown Alliance to ensure that the project, as constructed, remains consistent with the LCI plan. Likewise, the City and Midtown Alliance should ultimately incorporate any relevant, key attributes and impacts of this DRI into future updates to the Midtown LCI plan.

Additional ARC staff comments related to water resources and transportation, along with external comments received during the review, are included in this report. Of note are comments from the GDOT Aviation Programs Division. While the project does not appear to impact any airport directly, it is in proximity to a navigation facility and may impact the assurance of navigation signal reception, so a Form 7460-1 must be submitted to the FAA no later than 120 days prior to construction.

Further to the above, the Region Core (Downtown, Midtown, Buckhead), together with Regional Employment Corridors, form the densest part of the Atlanta region. Connected with transit, this area of the region is typically the most walkable, and redevelopment is the main driver of its growth. The Region Core and Regional Employment Corridors together contain 26 percent of the 10-county region's jobs and 8 percent of its population on approximately 2.25 percent of the region's land area. General policy recommendations for the Region Core include:

- Continue to invest in the Livable Centers Initiative (LCI) program to assist local governments in center planning and infrastructure.
- Prioritize preservation of existing transit while increasing frequency and availability of transit options.
- Encourage compact infill development, redevelopment and adaptive reuse.
- Create a range of housing options to accommodate all sectors of the workforce.
- Encourage active, ground floor, pedestrian-scale design, and pedestrian amenities, in new development and the redevelopment of existing sites.

Further to the above, Regional Centers are metro Atlanta's centers for employment, shopping and entertainment. These centers should be connected to the regional transportation network with existing or planned high-capacity transit service. In most cases, these centers have a jobs-housing imbalance, so housing options should be expanded within their boundaries, especially around existing or planned transit. General policy recommendations for Regional Centers include:

- Prioritize preservation, expansion and access to existing and planned transit systems and improve the quality and aesthetics of existing facilities.
- Incorporate appropriate end-of-trip facilities, such as bicycle racks and showers/locker rooms, within new and existing development.
- Enhance mobility and accessibility for all by creating Complete Streets that accommodate all modes of transportation.
- Encourage active ground floor, pedestrian-scale design and pedestrian amenities in new development and redevelopment of existing sites.
- Work toward improving the jobs-housing imbalance in Regional Centers and promote housing options to accommodate multiple household sizes and price points in close proximity to jobs.
- Use alternative designs and materials to minimize impervious surfaces to the greatest possible extent.

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
METROPOLITAN ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY

ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS & MOBILITY
ARC AGING & INDEPENDENCE SERVICES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF ATLANTA

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
MIDTOWN ALLIANCE

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Andrew Smith at (470) 378-1645 or asmith@atlantaregional.org. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at <http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews>.

Andrew Smith

From: Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 18, 2018 1:14 PM
To: Andrew Smith
Cc: Brian, Steve; Comer, Carol; Edmisten, Colette; Kleine, Tracie; douglas.barrett@fultoncountyga.gov; Pinnix, Jason; maevans@dekalbcountyga.gov
Subject: RE: ARC DRI Review Notification: 17th and Spring (DRI 2800)
Attachments: ARC Preliminary Report - 17th and Spring - DRI 2800.pdf

Andrew,

The proposed development consisting of 604,960 SF of office space, 346 residential units, a 255-room hotel, and 99,453 SF of commercial space (grocery store, food hall and retail) is located in the City of Atlanta, bounded by Spring Street, 17th Street, West Peachtree Street and 16th Street. It is located more than 7 miles east of Fulton County Airport – Brown Field (FTY) and south west of DeKalb Peachtree Airport (PDK) and is located outside any of their FAA approach or departure surfaces, and compatible land use areas, and does not appear to impact either airport.

However the proposed structure is in proximity to a navigation facility and may impact the assurance of navigation signal reception, so an FAA Form 7460-1 must be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration. That may be done online at <https://oeaaa.faa.gov>. The FAA must be in receipt of the notification, no later than 120 days prior to construction. The FAA will evaluate the potential impact of the project on protected airspace associated with the airports and advise the proponent if any action is necessary.

I have copied Doug Barrett with Fulton County Airport – Brown Field (FTY) and Mario Evans with DeKalb-Peachtree Airport on this email.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed development.

Alan Hood | Airport Safety Data Program Manager
Georgia Department of Transportation - Aviation Programs
600 West Peachtree Street, N.W. | 2nd Floor | Atlanta, Georgia 30308
M: 404-660-3394 | F: 404-631-1935 | E: achood@dot.ga.gov

View our website at <http://www.dot.ga.gov/IS/AirportAid>

From: Andrew Smith [mailto:ASmith@atlantaregional.org]
Sent: Thursday, May 17, 2018 5:49 PM
To: VanDyke, Cindy <cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov>; Fowler, Matthew <mfowler@dot.ga.gov>; Matthews, Timothy W <TMatthews@dot.ga.gov>; Garth Lynch <glynych@HNTB.com>; Wayne Mote (wmote@HNTB.com) <wmote@HNTB.com>; Peevy, Phillip M. <PPeevy@dot.ga.gov>; Robinson, Charles A. <chrobinson@dot.ga.gov>; Weiss, Megan J <MWeiss@dot.ga.gov>; Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V. <mcanizares@dot.ga.gov>; Comer, Carol <ccomer@dot.ga.gov>; Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>; Zahul, Kathy <kzahul@dot.ga.gov>; DeNard, Paul <pdenard@dot.ga.gov>; Regis, Edlin <eregis@dot.ga.gov>; Woods, Chris N. <cwoods@dot.ga.gov>; Johnson, Lankston <lajohnson@dot.ga.gov>; Boone, Eric <eboone@dot.ga.gov>; Annie Gillespie <agillespie@srta.ga.gov>; Emily Estes <eestes@srta.ga.gov>; Parker Martin <PMartin@srta.ga.gov>; 'DRI@grta.org' <DRI@grta.org>; 'Jon West' <jon.west@dca.ga.gov>; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us; Greg Floyd (gfloyd@itsmarta.com) <gfloyd@itsmarta.com>; Sidifall, Janide <jsidifall@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Forte, Monique B. <MBForte@AtlantaGa.Gov>; dpcd-jdowdy@atlantaga.gov; Morgan, Jason <JMorgan@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Washington, James <JWashington@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Kedir, Nursef <nkedir@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Charletta Wilson Jacks (cjacks@atlantaga.gov) <cjacks@atlantaga.gov>; Jessica Lavandier

(jlavandier@atlantaga.gov) <jlavandier@atlantaga.gov>; colteanu@atlantaga.gov; kevin@midtownATL.com; dan@midtownATL.com; Forrest@midtownatl.com; ginny@midtownatl.com; Karl@midtownatl.com; john.hillman@am.jll.com; david.shonk@am.jll.com; heath.hans@am.jll.com; Montanye, Emmy <Emmy.Montanye@kimley-horn.com>; 'John.Walker@kimley-horn.com' <John.Walker@kimley-horn.com>; Johnson, Elizabeth <elizabeth.johnson@kimley-horn.com>; Forder, Harrison <Harrison.Forder@kimley-horn.com>; mattwilson@coopercarry.com; douglaswebster@coopercarry.com

Cc: Community Development <CommunityDevelopment@atlantaregional.org>; Mike Alexander <MAlexander@atlantaregional.org>; David Haynes <DHaynes@atlantaregional.org>; Marquitrice Mangham <MMangham@atlantaregional.org>; Andrew Spiliotis <ASpiliotis@atlantaregional.org>; Ryan Ellis <REllis@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Santo <JSanto@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Skinner <JSkinner@atlantaregional.org>; Katie Perumbeti <KPerumbeti@atlantaregional.org>

Subject: ARC DRI Review Notification: 17th and Spring (DRI 2800)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Development of Regional Impact (DRI) – Request for Comments

This e-mail serves as notice that the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has begun a Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review for **17th and Spring (DRI 2800)**.

This DRI is in the City of Atlanta, bounded by Spring Street, 17th Street, West Peachtree Street and 16th Street, excluding two existing buildings at 1302 and 1316 West Peachtree Street (Artmore Hotel and Bright Horizons). The mixed-use project consists of 604,960 SF of office space, 346 residential units, a 255-room hotel, and 99,453 SF of commercial space (grocery store, food hall and retail). Access is proposed via three site driveways – one each on 17th St., Spring St. and 16th St. – and an east-west private street bisecting the site and aligning with Arts Center Way. The local trigger for this DRI review is a Special Administrative Permit (SAP) application filed with the City of Atlanta. The estimated buildout year is 2021.

As a representative of a nearby local government or other potentially affected party, we request that you or your staff review the attached ARC Preliminary Report and provide any comments on the DRI to ARC by **5:00 PM on June 1, 2018**.

You may also view the Preliminary Report and other project information by visiting the [ARC Plan Reviews webpage](#) beginning tomorrow, May 18, and entering “17th and Spring” in the search field at the bottom of the page.

Comments may be directed to me via email to asmith@atlantaregional.org or via U.S. mail to the address noted in my signature below.

For more information regarding the DRI process, please visit the [ARC DRI webpage](#).

Regards,

Andrew Smith

Principal Planner, Community Development

Atlanta Regional Commission

P | 470.378.1645

asmith@atlantaregional.org

atlantaregional.org

International Tower

229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100

Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Andrew Smith

From: McLoyd, Johnathan G <JoMcLoyd@dot.ga.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 18, 2018 1:44 PM
To: Andrew Smith
Cc: Robinson, Charles A.; Peevy, Phillip M.; DeNard, Paul
Subject: RE: ARC DRI Review Notification: 17th and Spring (DRI 2800)

Good Afternoon Andrew,

The GDOT Office of Planning has reviewed the 17th and Spring (DRI 2800) Preliminary report, and show one (1) GDOT project in addition to those already mentioned in the report:

- o GDOT Project Identification No. (PI No.) 0015595 – SR 9 FROM SR 9 TO CS 361/WINDSOR PKWY – CST AUTHORIZED 4/26/2018 – The GDOT Project Manager for this project is Stanley Mack and can be reached at 404-635-2466 or smack@dot.ga.gov

For further information that may be needed concerning this review, please contact Johnathan G. McLoyd at 404-631-1774 or jomcloyd@dot.ga.gov.

Best,

Johnathan G. McLoyd

Transportation Planner Associate
Office of Planning
600 West Peachtree Street, N.W.
Office of Planning-5th Floor
Atlanta, GA 30308
404.631.1774 (office) E: jomcloyd@dot.ga.gov

From: Andrew Smith [<mailto:ASmith@atlantaregional.org>]
Sent: Thursday, May 17, 2018 5:49 PM
To: VanDyke, Cindy <cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov>; Fowler, Matthew <mfowler@dot.ga.gov>; Matthews, Timothy W <TMatthews@dot.ga.gov>; Garth Lynch <glynch@HNTB.com>; Wayne Mote (wmote@HNTB.com) <wmote@HNTB.com>; Peevy, Phillip M. <PPEevy@dot.ga.gov>; Robinson, Charles A. <chrobinson@dot.ga.gov>; Weiss, Megan J <MWeiss@dot.ga.gov>; Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V. <mcanizares@dot.ga.gov>; Comer, Carol <ccomer@dot.ga.gov>; Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>; Zahul, Kathy <kzahul@dot.ga.gov>; DeNard, Paul <pdenard@dot.ga.gov>; Regis, Edlin <eregis@dot.ga.gov>; Woods, Chris N. <cwoods@dot.ga.gov>; Johnson, Lankston <lajohnson@dot.ga.gov>; Boone, Eric <eboone@dot.ga.gov>; Annie Gillespie <agillespie@srta.ga.gov>; Emily Estes <eestes@srta.ga.gov>; Parker Martin <PMartin@srta.ga.gov>; 'DRI@grta.org' <DRI@grta.org>; 'Jon West' <jon.west@dca.ga.gov>; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us; Greg Floyd (gfloyd@itsmarta.com) <gfloyd@itsmarta.com>; Sidifall, Janide <jsidifall@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Forte, Monique B. <MBForte@AtlantaGa.Gov>; dpcd-jdowdy@atlantaga.gov; Morgan, Jason <JMorgan@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Washington, James <JWashington@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Kedir, Nursef <nkedir@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Charletta Wilson Jacks (cjacks@atlantaga.gov) <cjacks@atlantaga.gov>; Jessica Lavandier (jlavandier@atlantaga.gov) <jlavandier@atlantaga.gov>; colteanu@atlantaga.gov; kevin@midtownATL.com; dan@midtownATL.com; Forrest@midtownatl.com; ginny@midtownatl.com; Karl@midtownatl.com; john.hillman@am.jll.com; david.shonk@am.jll.com; heath.hans@am.jll.com; Montanye, Emmy <Emmy.Montanye@kimley-horn.com>; 'John.Walker@kimley-horn.com' <John.Walker@kimley-horn.com>; Johnson,

Elizabeth <elizabeth.johnson@kimley-horn.com>; Forder, Harrison <Harrison.Forder@kimley-horn.com>; mattwilson@coopercarry.com; douglaswebster@coopercarry.com

Cc: Community Development <CommunityDevelopment@atlantaregional.org>; Mike Alexander <MAlexander@atlantaregional.org>; David Haynes <DHaynes@atlantaregional.org>; Marquitrice Mangham <MMangham@atlantaregional.org>; Andrew Spiliotis <ASpiliotis@atlantaregional.org>; Ryan Ellis <REllis@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Santo <JSanto@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Skinner <JSkinner@atlantaregional.org>; Katie Perumbeti <KPerumbeti@atlantaregional.org>

Subject: ARC DRI Review Notification: 17th and Spring (DRI 2800)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Development of Regional Impact (DRI) – Request for Comments

This e-mail serves as notice that the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has begun a Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review for **17th and Spring (DRI 2800)**.

This DRI is in the City of Atlanta, bounded by Spring Street, 17th Street, West Peachtree Street and 16th Street, excluding two existing buildings at 1302 and 1316 West Peachtree Street (Artmore Hotel and Bright Horizons). The mixed-use project consists of 604,960 SF of office space, 346 residential units, a 255-room hotel, and 99,453 SF of commercial space (grocery store, food hall and retail). Access is proposed via three site driveways – one each on 17th St., Spring St. and 16th St. – and an east-west private street bisecting the site and aligning with Arts Center Way. The local trigger for this DRI review is a Special Administrative Permit (SAP) application filed with the City of Atlanta. The estimated buildout year is 2021.

As a representative of a nearby local government or other potentially affected party, we request that you or your staff review the attached ARC Preliminary Report and provide any comments on the DRI to ARC by **5:00 PM on June 1, 2018**.

You may also view the Preliminary Report and other project information by visiting the [ARC Plan Reviews webpage](#) beginning tomorrow, May 18, and entering “17th and Spring” in the search field at the bottom of the page.

Comments may be directed to me via email to asmith@atlantaregional.org or via U.S. mail to the address noted in my signature below.

For more information regarding the DRI process, please visit the [ARC DRI webpage](#).

Regards,

Andrew Smith

Principal Planner, Community Development

Atlanta Regional Commission

P | 470.378.1645

asmith@atlantaregional.org

atlantaregional.org

International Tower

229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100

Atlanta, Georgia 30303

There's road work ahead. And roadway work zones are hazardous for workers and the public. In fact, most victims in work zone crashes are drivers or passengers. Work zone safety is everybody's responsibility - pay attention – slow down – watch for workers - expect the unexpected. And whenever you drive, always **Drive Alert Arrive Alive** - buckle up; stay off the phone and no texting. Visit www.dot.ga.gov.

17TH AND SPRING DRI
City of Atlanta
Natural Resources Review Comments
May 10, 2018

The project property is entirely within the Peachtree Creek watershed, which is part of the Chattahoochee River watershed and enters the river downstream of the Region's water intakes.

The USGS coverage for the project area shows no streams on or near the property. No streams or other waters of the State are shown on the submitted site plan and no evidence of streams or other waters is visible in available aerial photo coverage. Any unmapped streams identified on the property may be subject to the City of Atlanta's stream buffer ordinance. Any unmapped State waters identified on the property will be subject to the State 25-foot Sediment and Erosion Control buffer.

The project is proposed on a site that is currently predominantly impervious surface in an existing, heavily developed urban area and is served by the City of Atlanta stormwater system. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, if new or upgraded on-site detention is required, the design should include the relevant stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com). Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

In addition to standard measures, we suggest the following additional measures to help reduce stormwater runoff and provide for its reuse before returning it to the stream system:

- Using green spaces and tree planting beds as stormwater controls. These can be designed to provide maximum aesthetic value while also providing for water quality treatment and run-off reduction, potentially reducing the need for larger stormwater facilities and helping to minimize the negative effects of stormwater runoff on streams and water quality.
- Using pervious concrete or other pervious materials in parking areas. With the proper substrate, such materials can provide a large storage capacity, which will further help to reduce stormwater runoff.
- Including rainwater capture in the project design to provide for landscape irrigation during dry periods.

Development of Regional Impact Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

DRI INFORMATION

DRI Number #2800
DRI Title 1350 West Marietta Street
County Fulton County
City (if applicable) City of Atlanta
Address / Location The site is located North of 16th Street, south of 17th Street, east of Spring Street and West of Peachtree street.

Proposed Development Type:

A 4 acre Mixed use development consisting of 422,437 sq ft of residential, 604,960 sq ft of office, 68,106 sq ft of retail, A 255 room hotel and a 31.427 sf food hall.

Review Process ☒ EXPEDITED
☐ NON-EXPEDITED

REVIEW INFORMATION

Prepared by ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division
Staff Lead Marquitrice Mangham
Copied [Click here to enter text.](#)
Date May 14, 2018

TRAFFIC STUDY

Prepared by Kimley Horn
Date May 9, 2018

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS

- 01. Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?**

☒ YES *(provide the regional plan referenced and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)*

The traffic analysis includes Appendix F of project fact sheets in the network study area and a chart of programmed projects as identified in the Atlanta Region's Plan on Page 29 of the traffic analysis.

☐ NO *(provide comments below)*

REGIONAL NETWORKS

- 02. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares?**

A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

☒ NO

☐ YES *(identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)*

The development proposes one full movement access point on 16th Street, one right in/right out on 17th Street and one left in/left out on Spring Street. These streets are not identified as regional thoroughfares.

03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

☒ NO

☐ YES (*identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points*)

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

☐ NOT APPLICABLE (*nearest station more than one mile away*)

☒ RAIL SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (*provide additional information below*)

Operator / Rail Line

Nearest Station Arts Center Marta Station

Distance* ☒ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)

☐ 0.10 to 0.50 mile

☐ 0.50 to 1.00 mile

Walking Access* ☒ Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity

☐ Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete

☐ Not applicable (*accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed*)

[Click here to provide comments.](#)

Bicycling Access*

- ☐ Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
- ☒ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
- ☐ Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
- ☐ Not applicable (*accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed*)

Transit Connectivity

- ☒ Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
- ☐ Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
- ☐ No services available to rail station
- ☐ Not applicable (*accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed*)

MARTA bus routes 26, 50 and 58 connect to rail station

** Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site*

05. If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

- ☒ NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
- ☐ NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
- ☐ NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
- ☐ YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
 - ☐ CST planned within TIP period
 - ☐ CST planned within first portion of long range period
 - ☐ CST planned near end of plan horizon

[Click here to provide comments.](#)

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the connection a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

☐ NOT APPLICABLE (nearest bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away)

☐ SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)

Operator(s) MARTA, Cobb Linc, GRTA

Bus Route(s) 110, 37, 40 and 483

Distance* ☒ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)

☐ 0.10 to 0.50 mile

☒ 0.50 to 1.00 mile

Walking Access* ☒ Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity

☐ Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete

☐ Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

[Click here to provide comments.](#)

Bicycling Access* ☐ Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity

☒ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity

☐ Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets

☐ Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

07. Does a transit agency which provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within the jurisdiction in which the development site is located?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a comprehensive operations plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to serving the site during the evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the nature of the development is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and any routes within a one mile radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make these connections a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

☐ NO

☒ YES

08. If the development site is within one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path or trail is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and those facilities is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

☒ NOT APPLICABLE (nearest path or trail more than one mile away)

☐ YES (provide additional information below)

Name of facility

[Click here to provide name of facility.](#)

Distance

☐ Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)

☐ 0.15 to 0.50 mile

☐ 0.50 to 1.00 mile

Walking Access*

☐ Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity

☐ Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete

☐ Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

Bicycling Access*

☐ Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity

☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity

☐ Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets

☐ Not applicable (*accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed*)

There is a programmed City of Atlanta Bike Ped Project near the development site however, no existing Multiuse trails are found within a mile.

* *Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site*

OTHER TRANSPORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

09. Does the site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible local road or drive aisle connections with adjacent parcels?

The ability for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent arterial or collector roadway networks can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.

- ☒ YES (*connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development*)
- ☐ YES (*stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop*)
- ☐ NO (*the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop*)
- ☐ OTHER (*Please explain*)

The development is bounded by local roadways on all sides therefore access to adjacent parcels are provided by local roadways.

10. Does the site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the development site safely and conveniently?

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site plans should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key destinations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large acreage sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.

- ☒ YES (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and bicyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)
- ☐ PARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not comprehensive and/or direct)
- ☐ NO (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
- ☐ NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and bicycling trips)
- ☐ OTHER (Please explain)

The development proposes pedestrian and bicycle facilities internal to the site. A bike lane project is programmed along West Peachtree.

11. Does the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking connections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future?

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.

- ☐ YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
- ☒ YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
- ☐ NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)
- ☐ NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
- ☐ NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
- ☐ NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips)

- 12. Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network?**

The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, sidewalks, paths and other facilities.

- ☐ YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)
- ☐ PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately)
- ☐ NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily by pedestrians, bicyclists and/or motorists)
- ☒ NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/or very low truck volumes, so the potential for conflict is negligible)

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 13. Do the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible from a constructability standpoint?**

- ☐ UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary)
- ☒ YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)
- ☐ NO (see comments below)

Click here to enter text.

- 14. Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?**

- ☒ NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)
- ☐ YES (see comments below)

Click here to enter text.

15. ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):

None



Developments of Regional Impact

[DRI Home](#)
[Tier Map](#)
[Apply](#)
[View Submissions](#)
[Login](#)

DRI #2800

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the [Rules for the DRI Process](#) and the [DRI Tiers and Thresholds](#) for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Atlanta

Individual completing form: Monique Forte

Telephone: 404-546-0196

E-mail: mbforte@atlantaga.gov

*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: 17th and Spring

Location (Street Address, GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description): 1295 Spring Street

Brief Description of Project: Approximately 4 acres of mixed-use development with office, hotel, residential and retail land uses.

Development Type:

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> (not selected) | <input type="radio"/> Hotels | <input type="radio"/> Wastewater Treatment Facilities |
| <input type="radio"/> Office | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Mixed Use | <input type="radio"/> Petroleum Storage Facilities |
| <input type="radio"/> Commercial | <input type="radio"/> Airports | <input type="radio"/> Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs |
| <input type="radio"/> Wholesale & Distribution | <input type="radio"/> Attractions & Recreational Facilities | <input type="radio"/> Intermodal Terminals |
| <input type="radio"/> Hospitals and Health Care Facilities | <input type="radio"/> Post-Secondary Schools | <input type="radio"/> Truck Stops |
| <input type="radio"/> Housing | <input type="radio"/> Waste Handling Facilities | <input type="radio"/> Any other development types |
| <input type="radio"/> Industrial | <input type="radio"/> Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants | |

If other development type, describe:

Project Size (# of units, floor area, 604,960 SF office, 225-room hotel, 346 residential units, 24,470 SF retail, 31,247 SF etc.): food hall, and

Developer: JLL

Mailing Address: 3344 Peachtree Road NE, Suite 1100

Address 2:

City: Atlanta State: GA Zip: 30326

Telephone: 404-955-6446

Email: john.hillman@am.jll.com

Is property owner different from developer/applicant? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, property owner: MetLife

Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?

Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, provide the following information: Project Name:
Project ID:

The initial action being requested of the local government for this project: ☐ Rezoning
☐ Variance
☐ Sewer
☐ Water
☒ Permit
☐ Other

Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?

Estimated Project Completion This project/phase: 2021
Dates: Overall project: 2021

[Back to Top](#)

[GRTA DRI Page](#) | [ARC DRI Page](#) | [RC Links](#) | [DCA DRI Page](#)

[DRI Site Map](#) | [Contact](#)



Developments of Regional Impact

[DRI Home](#)[Tier Map](#)[Apply](#)[View Submissions](#)[Login](#)

DRI #2800

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the [Rules for the DRI Process](#) and the [DRI Tiers and Thresholds](#) for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Atlanta
Individual completing form: Monique Forte
Telephone: 404-546-0196
Email: mbforte@atlantaga.gov

Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: 17th and Spring
DRI ID Number: 2800
Developer/Applicant: JLL
Telephone: 404-955-6446
Email(s): john.hillman@am.jll.com

Additional Information Requested

Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)
☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?
☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.

Economic Development

Estimated Value at Build-Out: \$360-\$380 million

Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development: \$1.7-\$2 million

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?
☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

Will this development displace any existing uses?
☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc): surface parking and mostly vacant five-story office building

Water Supply

Name of water supply City of Atlanta

provider for this site:

What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?

0.27 MGD

Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity:

Is a water line extension required to serve this project? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?

Wastewater Disposal

Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site: City of Atlanta

What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?

0.22 MGD

Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:

Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?

Land Transportation

How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)

Approximately: 9,727 net daily trips, 667 trips AM peak, 698 trips PM peak

Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please describe below: Please refer to the Traffic Study performed by Kimley-Horn Associates.

Solid Waste Disposal

How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?

5,302 tons

Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, describe any plans to expand existing landfill capacity:

Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, please explain:

Stormwater Management

What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the

approximately 85%

proposed development has
been constructed?

Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management: Stormwater detention will be provided.

Environmental Quality

Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:

1. Water supply watersheds? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No
3. Wetlands? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No
4. Protected mountains? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No
5. Protected river corridors? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No
6. Floodplains? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No
7. Historic resources? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No

If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:


[Back to Top](#)

[GRTA DRI Page](#) | [ARC DRI Page](#) | [RC Links](#) | [DCA DRI Page](#)

[DRI Site Map](#) | [Contact](#)



VICINITY PLAN



SITE DATA:

| | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| DRI NUMBER: | 2800 |
| OVERALL SITE AREA: | 5.14 ACRES |
| EXISTING ZONING: | SPI 16 SA 1 |
| ADDRESS: | 1295 SPRING STREET NW |
| NET SITE AREA: | 167,283 SF |
| ZONING JURISDICTION: | CITY OF ATLANTA |
| EXISTING ZONING: | SPI 16 SA 1 |
| MAXIMUM BUILDING COVERAGE: | 85% (NET) 142,191 SF |
| PROVIDED: | 67% 111,918 SF |
| OFFICE: | 604,980 SF |
| HOTEL: | 208,482 SF (255 KEYS) |
| RESIDENTIAL: | 422,437 SF (346 UNITS) |
| GROCER: | 43,736 SF |
| FOOD HALL: | 31,247 SF |
| RETAIL: | 24,470 SF |
| FAR: | 1,335,312 / 223,930 = 5.97 |
| OPEN SPACE PROVIDED: | 20 x 223,930 SF = 44,786 SF REQ'D < 79,466 SF PROVIDED |
| BUILDING, DECK & WALL HEIGHTS: | |
| CURRENT BUILDING HEIGHTS: | |
| OFFICE BUILDING: | 358' TALL (AVERAGE HEIGHT ALONG 17TH STREET) |
| RESIDENTIAL BUILDING: | 301' TALL (AVERAGE HEIGHT ALONG SPRING STREET) |
| HOTEL BUILDING: | 258' TALL (AVERAGE HEIGHT ALONG WEST PEACHTREE) |
| PARKING REQUIREMENTS: | |
| MINIMUM PARKING REQUIRED: | SPI-16-SA-1 (WITHIN TRANSIT AREA) NO MINIMUM PARKING REQUIRED |
| PARKING PROVIDED: | 1,782 SPACES |

| | |
|------------------|--|
| APPLICANT | JONES LANGE LASALLE 3344 PEACHTREE ROAD NE SUITE 1100 ATLANTA, GA 30326 CONTACT: JOHN HILLMAN, PE PHONE: 404-955-6446 |
| TRAFFIC ENGINEER | KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. 817 WEST PEACHTREE STREET, NW SUITE 601 ATLANTA, GA 30308 CONTACT: ELIZABETH JOHNSON, PE PHONE: 404-419-8700 |
| CIVIL ENGINEER | KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. 817 WEST PEACHTREE STREET, NW SUITE 601 ATLANTA, GA 30308 CONTACT: EMY MONTANYE, PE PHONE: 404-419-8700 |
| ARCHITECT | COOPER CARRY 191 PEACHTREE STREET NE SUITE 2400 ATLANTA, GA 30303 CONTACT: MATT WILSON 404-237-2000 |