

DATE: April 23, 2018

ARC REVIEW CODE: R1804041

TO: Mayor Keisha Lance Bottoms, City of Atlanta
ATTN TO: Monique Forte, Urban Planner III, Office of Mobility Planning
FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARC
RE: Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Review



Digital signature
Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to its relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This final report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the host local government.

Name of Proposal: 760 Ralph McGill (DRI 2801)

Submitting Local Government: City of Atlanta

Review Type: DRI **Date Opened:** April 4, 2018 **Date Closed:** April 23, 2018

Description: This DRI is on approximately 11 acres in the City of Atlanta, north of Ralph McGill Boulevard, west of the BeltLine Eastside Trail, south of North Avenue, and east of Historic Fourth Ward Park. The project consists of 1,100,00 SF of office space, 200,000 SF of retail space, a 75-room hotel, and 1,100 residential units. Site access is proposed via Ashley Avenue; Angier Avenue; an extension of Rankin Street; an extension of North Angier Avenue that will connect from North Avenue through the site to Ralph McGill Boulevard; and the BeltLine Eastside Trail (bicycle/pedestrian). The local trigger for this DRI review is a rezoning application, and the estimated build-out year is 2023.

Comments: According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI is in the Maturing Neighborhoods area of the region. ARC's Regional Development Guide (RDG) details recommended policies for areas on the UGPM. RDG information and recommendations for Maturing Neighborhoods are listed at the bottom of these comments.

This DRI appears to implement aspects of regional policy. It converts a light industrial site to an infill, mixed-use development with significant housing and employment components, in an area experiencing high growth. It also features pedestrian-oriented amenities and uses at street level, a reconnected street grid, and bike/ped access to the BeltLine Eastside Trail to the east and Historic Fourth ward Park to the west. This adjacency to bike/ped-oriented facilities can also connect users with transit service in the wider area, primarily MARTA bus service. Additionally, the development team is proposing private shuttle service for office tenants and residents with direct service to MARTA rail.

Many of these characteristics will collectively offer the potential for site residents to work and shop on site, and for workers and visitors to park once or arrive via alternative transportation modes and conduct multiple trips on foot. Along those lines, care should be taken to ensure that the development promotes a functional, safe, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all streets, paths and parking areas. The development team is also encouraged to ensure that end-of-trip facilities (bicycle racks, etc.) are provided for residents, workers and visitors at key locations throughout the site. In general, the project provides significant pedestrian facilities.

The project could further support The Atlanta Region's Plan in general if it incorporated other aspects of regional policy, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design (e.g., rain gardens, vegetated swales, etc.) in parking areas and site driveways, and as part of any improvements to site frontages.

The intensity of this proposed project generally aligns with the RDG's recommended range of densities and building heights in Maturing Neighborhoods. The proposed land uses appear to be generally consistent with the RDG, specifically in terms of promoting mixed-use development in areas close to existing or planned transit. The RDG also recommends ensuring that new and infill development is compatible with existing neighborhoods. City leadership and staff, along with the development team, should therefore collaborate to ensure sensitivity to nearby neighborhoods, land uses, structures and natural resources.

Additional ARC staff comments related to transportation and water resources, along with external comments received during the review, are included in this report.

Further to the above, Maturing Neighborhoods were primarily developed prior to 1970 and are typically adjacent to the Region Core and Regional Employment Corridors. These three areas, combined, represent a significant percentage of the region's jobs and population. General policy recommendations for Maturing Neighborhoods include:

- Improve safety and quality of transit options by providing alternatives for end-of-trip facilities (such as bicycle racks) and sidewalks and/or shelters adjacent to bus stops
- Identify and remedy incidents of "food deserts" within neighborhoods, particularly in traditionally underserved neighborhoods and schools
- Promote mixed use where locally appropriate, specifically in areas served by existing or planned transit
- Develop policies and establish design standards to ensure new and infill development is compatible with existing neighborhoods

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS & MOBILITY	ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS	ARC AGING & INDEPENDENCE SERVICES	GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES	GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
METROPOLITAN ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY	ATLANTA BELTLINE, INC.	

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Andrew Smith at (470) 378-1645 or asmith@atlantaregional.org. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at <http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews>.

Andrew Smith

From: Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 10:46 AM
To: Andrew Smith
Cc: Brian, Steve; Comer, Carol; Edmisten, Colette; Kleine, Tracie; maevans@dekalbcountyga.gov
Subject: RE: ARC DRI Review Notification: 760 Ralph McGill (DRI 2801)
Attachments: ARC Preliminary Report - 760 Ralph McGill - DRI 2801.pdf

Andrew,

The proposed DRI is on approximately 11 acres in the City of Atlanta, north of Ralph McGill Boulevard, west of the BeltLine Eastside Trail, south of North Avenue, and east of Historic Fourth Ward Park. The project consists of 1,100,00 SF of office space, 200,000 SF of retail space, a 75-room hotel, and 1,100 residential units. It is located approximately 8 miles south west of DeKalb-Peachtree Airport (PDK), and is located outside any of their FAA approach or departure surfaces, and compatible land use areas, and does not appear to impact the airport.

However the proposed structure is in proximity to a navigation facility and may impact the assurance of navigation signal reception, so an FAA Form 7460-1 must be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration. That may be done online at <https://oeaaa.faa.gov>. The FAA must be in receipt of the notification, no later than 120 days prior to construction. The FAA will evaluate the potential impact of the project on protected airspace associated with the airports and advise the proponent if any action is necessary.

I have copied Mario Evans with DeKalb-Peachtree Airport (PDK) on this email.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed development.

Alan Hood | Airport Safety Data Program Manager
Georgia Department of Transportation - Aviation Programs
600 West Peachtree Street, N.W. | 2nd Floor | Atlanta, Georgia 30308
M: 404-660-3394 | F: 404-631-1935 | E: achood@dot.ga.gov

View our website at <http://www.dot.ga.gov/IS/AirportAid>

From: Andrew Smith [mailto:ASmith@atlantaregional.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 4, 2018 12:25 PM
To: VanDyke, Cindy <cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov>; Fowler, Matthew <mfowler@dot.ga.gov>; Matthews, Timothy W <TMatthews@dot.ga.gov>; Garth Lynch <glynch@HNTB.com>; Wayne Mote (wmote@HNTB.com) <wmote@HNTB.com>; Peevy, Phillip M. <PPeevy@dot.ga.gov>; Robinson, Charles A. <chrobinson@dot.ga.gov>; Weiss, Megan J <MWeiss@dot.ga.gov>; Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V. <mcanizares@dot.ga.gov>; Comer, Carol <ccomer@dot.ga.gov>; Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>; Zahul, Kathy <kzahul@dot.ga.gov>; DeNard, Paul <pdenard@dot.ga.gov>; Regis, Edlin <eregis@dot.ga.gov>; Woods, Chris N. <cwoods@dot.ga.gov>; Johnson, Lankston <lajohnson@dot.ga.gov>; Boone, Eric <eboone@dot.ga.gov>; Annie Gillespie <agillespie@srta.ga.gov>; Emily Estes <eestes@srta.ga.gov>; Parker Martin <PMartin@srta.ga.gov>; 'DRI@grta.org' <DRI@grta.org>; 'Jon West' <jon.west@dca.ga.gov>; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us; Greg Floyd (gfloyd@itsmarta.com) <gfloyd@itsmarta.com>; SGreen@atlbeltline.org; COWens@atlbeltline.org; SPatton@atlbeltline.org; Sidifall, Janide <jsidifall@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Forte, Monique B. <MBForte@AtlantaGa.Gov>; dpcd-jdowdy@atlantaga.gov; Morgan, Jason <JMorgan@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Washington, James <JWashington@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Kedir, Nursef <nkedir@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Charletta Wilson Jacks (cjacks@atlantaga.gov) <cjacks@atlantaga.gov>; Jessica Lavandier (jlavandier@atlantaga.gov) <jlavandier@atlantaga.gov>; colteanu@atlantaga.gov; Jim Irwin <Jim@newcity-

properties.com>; Maitland Thompson <Maitland@newcity-properties.com>; Ross, Rob <Rob.Ross@kimley-horn.com>; Johnson, Elizabeth <elizabeth.johnson@kimley-horn.com>; josh.reynolds@kimley-horn.com
Cc: Community Development <CommunityDevelopment@atlantaregional.org>; Mike Alexander <MAlexander@atlantaregional.org>; David Haynes <DHaynes@atlantaregional.org>; Marquitrice Mangham <MMangham@atlantaregional.org>; Byron Rushing <BRushing@atlantaregional.org>; Ryan Ellis <REllis@atlantaregional.org>; Katie Perumbeti <KPerumbeti@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Santo <JSanto@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Skinner <JSkinner@atlantaregional.org>
Subject: ARC DRI Review Notification: 760 Ralph McGill (DRI 2801)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Development of Regional Impact (DRI) – Request for Comments

This e-mail serves as notice that the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has begun a Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review for **760 Ralph McGill (DRI 2801)**.

This DRI is on approximately 11 acres in the City of Atlanta, north of Ralph McGill Boulevard, west of the BeltLine Eastside Trail, south of North Avenue, and east of Historic Fourth Ward Park. The project consists of 1,100,00 SF of office space, 200,000 SF of retail space, a 75-room hotel, and 1,100 residential units. Site access is proposed via Ashley Avenue; Angier Avenue; an extension of Rankin Street; an extension of North Angier Avenue that will connect from North Avenue through the site to Ralph McGill Boulevard; and the BeltLine Eastside Trail (bicycle/pedestrian). The local trigger for this DRI review is a rezoning application, and the estimated build-out year is 2023.

As a representative of a nearby local government or other potentially affected party, we request that you or your staff review the attached ARC Preliminary Report and provide any comments to ARC on or before **April 19, 2018**.

You may also view the Preliminary Report and other project information by visiting the [ARC Plan Reviews webpage](#) beginning tomorrow, April 5, and entering “760 Ralph McGill” in the search field at the bottom of the page.

Comments may be directed to me via email to asmith@atlantaregional.org or via U.S. mail to the address noted in my signature below.

For more information regarding the DRI process, please visit the [ARC DRI webpage](#).

Regards,

Andrew Smith

Principal Planner, Community Development

Atlanta Regional Commission

P | 470.378.1645

asmith@atlantaregional.org

atlantaregional.org

International Tower

229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100

Atlanta, Georgia 30303

There's road work ahead. And roadway work zones are hazardous for workers and the public. In fact, most victims in work zone crashes are drivers or passengers. Work zone safety is everybody's responsibility - pay attention – slow down – watch for workers - expect the unexpected. And whenever you drive, always **Drive Alert Arrive Alive** - buckle up; stay off the phone and no texting. Visit www.dot.ga.gov.

Andrew Smith

From: Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V. <mcanizares@dot.ga.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 20, 2018 7:31 AM
To: Andrew Smith
Cc: Robinson, Charles A.; Peevy, Phillip M.; DeNard, Paul
Subject: RE: ARC DRI Review Notification: 760 Ralph McGill (DRI 2801)

Good Morning, Andrew,

The GDOT Office of Planning has reviewed the 760 Ralph McGill (DRI 2801) Preliminary report, and there is one (1) GDOT project in addition to those already mentioned in the preliminary report:

- GDOT Project Identification No. (PI No.) 0013214 – Signals upgrade at I-75, SR 8, SR 10 and SR 42 – Lump Sum project – The GDOT Project Manager for this project is Lindsay Atnip and can be reached at 678-802-1101 or LAtnip@dot.ga.gov.

For further information that may be needed concerning this review, please contact Vivian Canizares at 404-631-1794 or mcanizares@dot.ga.gov.

Thank you,
-Vivian Canizares

From: Andrew Smith [mailto:ASmith@atlantaregional.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 12:25 PM
To: VanDyke, Cindy <cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov>; Fowler, Matthew <mfowler@dot.ga.gov>; Matthews, Timothy W <TMatthews@dot.ga.gov>; Garth Lynch <glynch@HNTB.com>; Wayne Mote (wmote@HNTB.com) <wmote@HNTB.com>; Peevy, Phillip M. <PPeevy@dot.ga.gov>; Robinson, Charles A. <chrobinson@dot.ga.gov>; Weiss, Megan J <MWeiss@dot.ga.gov>; Delgadillo Canizares, Marlene V. <mcanizares@dot.ga.gov>; Comer, Carol <ccomer@dot.ga.gov>; Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>; Zahul, Kathy <kzahul@dot.ga.gov>; DeNard, Paul <pdenard@dot.ga.gov>; Regis, Edlin <eregis@dot.ga.gov>; Woods, Chris N. <cwoods@dot.ga.gov>; Johnson, Lankston <lajohnson@dot.ga.gov>; Boone, Eric <eboone@dot.ga.gov>; Annie Gillespie <agillespie@srta.ga.gov>; Emily Estes <eestes@srta.ga.gov>; Parker Martin <PMartin@srta.ga.gov>; 'DRI@grta.org' <DRI@grta.org>; 'Jon West' <jon.west@dca.ga.gov>; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us; Greg Floyd (gfloyd@itsmarta.com) <gfloyd@itsmarta.com>; SGreen@atlbeltline.org; COWens@atlbeltline.org; SPatton@atlbeltline.org; Sidifall, Janide <jsidifall@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Forte, Monique B. <MBForte@AtlantaGa.Gov>; dpdc-jdowdy@atlantaga.gov; Morgan, Jason <JMorgan@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Washington, James <JWashington@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Kedir, Nursef <nkdir@AtlantaGa.Gov>; Charletta Wilson Jacks (cjacks@atlantaga.gov) <cjacks@atlantaga.gov>; Jessica Lavandier (jlavandier@atlantaga.gov) <jlavandier@atlantaga.gov>; colteanu@atlantaga.gov; Jim Irwin <Jim@newcity-properties.com>; Maitland Thompson <Maitland@newcity-properties.com>; Ross, Rob <Rob.Ross@kimley-horn.com>; Johnson, Elizabeth <elizabeth.johnson@kimley-horn.com>; josh.reynolds@kimley-horn.com
Cc: Community Development <CommunityDevelopment@atlantaregional.org>; Mike Alexander <MAlexander@atlantaregional.org>; David Haynes <DHaynes@atlantaregional.org>; Marquitrice Mangham <MMangham@atlantaregional.org>; Byron Rushing <BRushing@atlantaregional.org>; Ryan Ellis <REllis@atlantaregional.org>; Katie Perumbeti <KPerumbeti@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Santo <JSanto@atlantaregional.org>; Jim Skinner <JSkinner@atlantaregional.org>
Subject: ARC DRI Review Notification: 760 Ralph McGill (DRI 2801)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Development of Regional Impact (DRI) – Request for Comments

This e-mail serves as notice that the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has begun a Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review for **760 Ralph McGill (DRI 2801)**.

This DRI is on approximately 11 acres in the City of Atlanta, north of Ralph McGill Boulevard, west of the BeltLine Eastside Trail, south of North Avenue, and east of Historic Fourth Ward Park. The project consists of 1,100,00 SF of office space, 200,000 SF of retail space, a 75-room hotel, and 1,100 residential units. Site access is proposed via Ashley Avenue; Angier Avenue; an extension of Rankin Street; an extension of North Angier Avenue that will connect from North Avenue through the site to Ralph McGill Boulevard; and the BeltLine Eastside Trail (bicycle/pedestrian). The local trigger for this DRI review is a rezoning application, and the estimated build-out year is 2023.

As a representative of a nearby local government or other potentially affected party, we request that you or your staff review the attached ARC Preliminary Report and provide any comments to ARC on or before **April 19, 2018**.

You may also view the Preliminary Report and other project information by visiting the [ARC Plan Reviews webpage](#) beginning tomorrow, April 5, and entering “760 Ralph McGill” in the search field at the bottom of the page.

Comments may be directed to me via email to asmith@atlantaregional.org or via U.S. mail to the address noted in my signature below.

For more information regarding the DRI process, please visit the [ARC DRI webpage](#).

Regards,

Andrew Smith

Principal Planner, Community Development

Atlanta Regional Commission

P | 470.378.1645

asmith@atlantaregional.org

atlantaregional.org

International Tower

229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100

Atlanta, Georgia 30303

There's road work ahead. And roadway work zones are hazardous for workers and the public. In fact, most victims in work zone crashes are drivers or passengers. Work zone safety is everybody's responsibility - pay attention – slow down – watch for workers - expect the unexpected. And whenever you drive, always **Drive Alert Arrive Alive** - buckle up; stay off the phone and no texting. Visit www.dot.ga.gov.

760 RALPH MCGILL BLVD DRI
City of Atlanta
Natural Resources Review Comments
March 29, 2018

The project property is entirely within the Peachtree Creek watershed, which is part of the Chattahoochee River watershed and enters the river downstream of the Region's water intakes.

The USGS coverage for the project area shows no streams on or near the property. No streams or other waters of the State are shown on the submitted site plan and no evidence of streams or other waters is visible in available aerial photo coverage. Any unmapped streams identified on the property may be subject to the City of Atlanta's stream buffer ordinance. Any unmapped State waters identified on the property will be subject to the State 25-foot Sediment and Erosion Control buffer.

The project is proposed on a site that is currently predominantly impervious surface in an existing, heavily developed urban area and is served by the City of Atlanta stormwater system. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, if new or upgraded on-site detention is required, the design should include the relevant stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com). Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

In addition to standard measures, we suggest the following additional measures to help reduce stormwater runoff and provide for its reuse before returning it to the stream system:

- Using green spaces and tree planting beds as stormwater controls. These can be designed to provide maximum aesthetic value while also providing for water quality treatment and run-off reduction, potentially reducing the need for larger stormwater facilities and helping to minimize the negative effects of stormwater runoff on streams and water quality.
- Using pervious concrete or other pervious materials in parking areas. With the proper substrate, such materials can provide a large storage capacity, which will further help to reduce stormwater runoff.
- Including rainwater capture in the project design to provide for landscape irrigation during dry periods.

Development of Regional Impact Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

DRI INFORMATION

DRI Number #2801
DRI Title 760 Ralph McGill
County Fulton County
City (if applicable) City of Atlanta
Address / Location The site is located on the North side of Ralph McGill Blvd NE on the western boundary of the Eastside Beltline Trail

Proposed Development Type:

A 11 acre Mixed use development consisting of 1100 residential units, 1,100,000 sq ft of office, 200,000 sq ft of retail and a 75 room hotel

Review Process ☐ EXPEDITED
☒ NON-EXPEDITED

REVIEW INFORMATION

Prepared by ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division
Staff Lead Marquitrice Mangham
Copied [Click here to enter text.](#)
Date March 29, 2018

TRAFFIC STUDY

Prepared by Kimley Horn
Date March 1, 2018

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS

- 01. Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?**

☒ YES *(provide the regional plan referenced and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)*

The traffic analysis includes Appendix F of project fact sheets in the network study area and a chart of programmed projects as identified in the Atlanta Region's Plan on Page 24 of the traffic analysis.

☐ NO *(provide comments below)*

REGIONAL NETWORKS

- 02. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares?**

A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

☒ NO

☐ YES *(identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)*

The development proposes access by four existing driveways including one full movement driveway on Ralph McGill Blvd and one each at Rankin Street, North Angier Avenue and Ashley Avenue.

03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

☒ NO

☐ YES (*identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points*)

The development proposes access by four existing driveways including one full movement driveway on Ralph McGill Blvd and one each at Rankin Street, North Angier Avenue and Ashley Avenue.

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

☐ NOT APPLICABLE (*nearest station more than one mile away*)

☒ RAIL SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (*provide additional information below*)

Operator / Rail Line

Nearest Station North Avenue Rail station is 1.2 miles away from the site.

Distance* ☐ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)

☐ 0.10 to 0.50 mile

☐ 0.50 to 1.00 mile

Walking Access* ☐ Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity

- ☐ Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
- ☐ Not applicable (*accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed*)

[Click here to provide comments.](#)

Bicycling Access*

- ☐ Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
- ☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
- ☐ Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
- ☐ Not applicable (*accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed*)

Transit Connectivity

- ☐ Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
- ☐ Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
- ☐ No services available to rail station
- ☐ Not applicable (*accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed*)

[Click here to provide comments.](#)

* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

05. If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

- ☒ NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
- ☐ NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
- ☐ NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
- ☐ YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
 - ☐ CST planned within TIP period
 - ☐ CST planned within first portion of long range period
 - ☐ CST planned near end of plan horizon

[Click here to provide comments.](#)

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the connection a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

☐ NOT APPLICABLE (nearest bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away)

☒ SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)

Operator(s) MARTA

Bus Route(s) 16, 02, 99, 102 and 109

Distance* ☒ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)

☐ 0.10 to 0.50 mile

☒ 0.50 to 1.00 mile

Walking Access* ☒ Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity

☐ Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete

☐ Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

The site is directly adjacent to the Eastside Atlanta Beltline

Bicycling Access* ☒ Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity

☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity

☐ Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets

☐ Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

07. Does a transit agency which provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within the jurisdiction in which the development site is located?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a comprehensive operations plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to serving the site during the evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the nature of the development is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and any routes within a one mile radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make these connections a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

☐ NO

☒ YES

08. If the development site is within one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path or trail is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and those facilities is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

☐ NOT APPLICABLE (nearest path or trail more than one mile away)

☒ YES (provide additional information below)

Name of facility Eastside Atlanta Beltline

Distance ☒ Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)

☐ 0.15 to 0.50 mile

☐ 0.50 to 1.00 mile

Walking Access* ☒ Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity

☐ Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete

☐ Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

Bicycling Access* ☒ Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity

☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity

☐ Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets

☐ Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

OTHER TRANSPORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

09. Does the site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible local road or drive aisle connections with adjacent parcels?

The ability for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent arterial or collector roadway networks can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.

- ☒ YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
- ☐ YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
- ☐ NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
- ☐ OTHER (Please explain)

Proposed driveways connecting to local roads provide access to adjacent developments.

10. Does the site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the development site safely and conveniently?

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site plans should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key destinations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large acreage sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.

- ☒ YES (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and bicyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)
- ☐ PARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not comprehensive and/or direct)
- ☐ NO (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
- ☐ NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and bicycling trips)
- ☐ OTHER (Please explain)

The project proposes pedestrian facilities throughout the development. No bicycle facilities are proposed internally.

11. Does the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking connections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future?

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.

- ☒ YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
- ☐ YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
- ☐ NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)
- ☐ NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
- ☐ NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
- ☐ NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips)

The development provides direct connectivity to the Beltline Trail and adjacent uses through existing and proposed pedestrian and bicycling facilities.

12. Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network?

The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, sidewalks, paths and other facilities.

- ☐ YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)
- ☐ PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately)
- ☐ NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily by pedestrians, bicyclists and/or motorists)
- ☒ NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/or very low truck volumes, so the potential for conflict is negligible)

RECOMMENDATIONS

13. Do the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible from a constructability standpoint?

- ☐ UNKNOWN *(additional study is necessary)*
- ☒ YES *(based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)*
- ☐ NO *(see comments below)*

[Click here to enter text.](#)

14. Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?

- ☒ NO *(based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)*
- ☐ YES *(see comments below)*

[Click here to enter text.](#)

15. ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):

None



Developments of Regional Impact

[DRI Home](#)
[Tier Map](#)
[Apply](#)
[View Submissions](#)
[Login](#)

DRI #2801

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the [Rules for the DRI Process](#) and the [DRI Tiers and Thresholds](#) for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Atlanta

Individual completing form: Monique Forte

Telephone: 404-546-0196

E-mail: mbforte@atlantaga.gov

*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: 760 Ralph McGill

Location (Street Address, GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description): Located north of Ralph McGill Boulevard and west of the BeltLine Eastside Trail; 721 N Angier Ave (f

Brief Description of Project: This property is a mixed-use project consisting of residential, office, hotel, and retail land uses and is connected to the BeltLine Eastside Trail.

Development Type:

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> (not selected) | <input type="radio"/> Hotels | <input type="radio"/> Wastewater Treatment Facilities |
| <input type="radio"/> Office | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Mixed Use | <input type="radio"/> Petroleum Storage Facilities |
| <input type="radio"/> Commercial | <input type="radio"/> Airports | <input type="radio"/> Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs |
| <input type="radio"/> Wholesale & Distribution | <input type="radio"/> Attractions & Recreational Facilities | <input type="radio"/> Intermodal Terminals |
| <input type="radio"/> Hospitals and Health Care Facilities | <input type="radio"/> Post-Secondary Schools | <input type="radio"/> Truck Stops |
| <input type="radio"/> Housing | <input type="radio"/> Waste Handling Facilities | <input type="radio"/> Any other development types |
| <input type="radio"/> Industrial | <input type="radio"/> Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants | |

If other development type, describe:

Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.): 1,100 residential units, 1,100,000 SF office, 200,000 SF retail, 75-room hotel

Developer: Ralph McGill Angier, LLC

Mailing Address: 699 Ponce de Leon Ave NE

Address 2: Suite 403

City: Atlanta State: GA Zip: 30308

Telephone: 404-662-7378

Email: maitland@newcity-properties.com

Is property owner different from developer/applicant? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, property owner:

Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?

Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, provide the following information: Project Name:
Project ID:

The initial action being requested of the local government for this project: ☒ Rezoning
☐ Variance
☐ Sewer
☐ Water
☐ Permit
☐ Other

Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?

Estimated Project Completion Dates: This project/phase: 2023
Overall project: 2023

[Back to Top](#)

[GRTA DRI Page](#) | [ARC DRI Page](#) | [RC Links](#) | [DCA DRI Page](#)

[DRI Site Map](#) | [Contact](#)



Developments of Regional Impact

[DRI Home](#)[Tier Map](#)[Apply](#)[View Submissions](#)[Login](#)

DRI #2801

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the [Rules for the DRI Process](#) and the [DRI Tiers and Thresholds](#) for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Atlanta
Individual completing form: Monique Forte
Telephone: 404-546-0196
Email: mbforte@atlantaga.gov

Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: 760 Ralph McGill
DRI ID Number: 2801
Developer/Applicant: Ralph McGill Angier, LLC
Telephone: 404-662-7378
Email(s): maitland@newcity-properties.com

Additional Information Requested

Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)
☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?
☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.

Economic Development

Estimated Value at Build-Out: \$600 - \$900 Million

Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development: \$7 - \$11 Million

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?
☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

Will this development displace any existing uses?
☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc): The site previously contained an existing Georgia Power Facility which will be demolished.

Water Supply

Name of water supply City of Atlanta

provider for this site:

What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?

0.58

Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity:

Is a water line extension required to serve this project? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?

Wastewater Disposal

Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site: City of Atlanta

What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?

0.48

Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:

Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?

Land Transportation

How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)

Approximately 15,898 daily trips, 1,207 AM peak hour trips, 1,485 PM peak hour trips

Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please describe below: Please refer to Transportation Analysis performed by Kimley-Horn.

Solid Waste Disposal

How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?

13,000 tons

Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project? ☐ (not selected) ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, describe any plans to expand existing landfill capacity:

Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development? ☐ (not selected) ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, please explain:

Stormwater Management

What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the

80%

proposed development has been constructed?

Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management: Underground detention facilities, bioretention ponds, permeable pavers

Environmental Quality

Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:

- 1. Water supply watersheds? (not selected) Yes No
- 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? (not selected) Yes No
- 3. Wetlands? (not selected) Yes No
- 4. Protected mountains? (not selected) Yes No
- 5. Protected river corridors? (not selected) Yes No
- 6. Floodplains? (not selected) Yes No
- 7. Historic resources? (not selected) Yes No
- 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? (not selected) Yes No

If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:

[Back to Top](#)

