

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph. 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: February 13, 2018 ARC REVIEW CODE: P1802132

TO: Mayor Frank Auman, City of Tucker

ATTN TO: John McHenry, Director, Community Development

FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARC

Digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2018 City of Tucker Comprehensive Plan Update

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

<u>Description:</u> A review of the 2018 Update to the City of Tucker Comprehensive Plan.

Submitting Local Government: City of Tucker

Action Under Consideration: Approval Date Opened: February 13, 2018

Deadline for Comments: March 6, 2018

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Upon approval by Georgia DCA

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS

GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

DEKALB COUNTY
CITY OF NORCROSS
CITY OF DECATUR

ARC Transportation Access & Mobility
ARC Aging & Health Resources

GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
GWINNETT COUNTY
CITY OF MOUNTAIN PARK
CITY OF CLARKSTON

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES

GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

CITY OF LILBURN
CITY OF STONE MOUNTAIN
CITY OF DORAVILLE

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Robert Herrig at rherrig@atlantaregional.org or 470-378-1636. If ARC staff does not receive comments from you on or before March 6, 2018, we will assume that your agency has no comments and will close the review. Comments via e-mail are strongly encouraged. The ARC review website is located at http://www.atlantaregional.org/land-use/planreviews.

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Tucker	Date Received:	February 13, 2018	
Local Contact:	John McHenry, Director, Community Development			
Phone:	678-597-9040	E-Mail:	jmchenry@tuckerga.gov	
Fax:	470-719-8229	Website:	http://www.tuckerga.gov	
Street	4119 Adrian Street	City State, Zip:	Tucker, Georgia 30084	
	Department of Commu	nity Affairs	Review Required	
Review Title:	2018 City of Tucker Comprehensive Plan Update			
Description:	A review of the 2018 Update to the City of Tucker Comprehensive Plan. Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.org/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Tucker.			
The submitted documents are available for review at ARC and the local government.				

Reviewing Regional Development Center:

Atlanta Regional Commission 229 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 100, International Tower, Atlanta, GA 30303

Phone 404-463-3100 \mid Fax 404-463-3254

Contact	Robert Herrig, Planner
Person:	
E-Mail	rherrig@atlantaregional.org

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE	: February 13, 2018	ARC REVIEW CODE: P1802132			
ΤО.	ADC Management				
	TO: ARC Managers				
FROM: Robert Herrig, Planner 470–378–1636					
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:					
Comn	nunity Development: Smith, Andrew	Transportation Access & Mobility: Mangham, Marquitrice			
<u>Natur</u>	al Resources: Santo, Jim	Research & Analytics: Skinner, Jim			
<u>Aging</u>	& Health Resources: Perumbeti, Katie				
Name of Proposal: 2018 City of Tucker Comprehensive Plan Update Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Description: A review of the 2018 Update to the City of Tucker Comprehensive Plan. Submitting Local Government: City of Tucker Date Opened: February 13, 2018 Deadline for Comments: March 6, 2018 Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Upon approval by Georgia DCA					
Response:					
1)	☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following	g regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
2)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inc	consistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development			
	guide listed in the comment section.				
3)	☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inc guide listed in the comment section.	consistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development			
4)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the fo	llowing regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
5)	☐ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop	pment guide for which this division is responsible.			
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for t	the reasons listed in the comment section.			
COMMENTS:					