

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: November 28, 2017 ARC REVIEW CODE: P1711281

TO: Mayor Albert Thurman, City of Powder Springs

ATTN TO: Tina Garver, Community Development Director

FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARC

Digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2017 City of Powder Springs CIE Annual Update

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: A review of the 2017 Annual Update to the City of Powder Springs Capital

Improvements Element (CIE).

Submitting Local Government: City of Powder Springs

Action Under Consideration: Approval Date Opened: November 28, 2017

Deadline for Comments: December 19, 2017

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Upon approval by Georgia DCA

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
DOUGLAS COUNTY
PAULDING COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS & MOBILITY
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
NORTHWEST GEORGIA REGIONAL COMMISSION
CITY OF HIRAM

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
COBB COUNTY
CITY OF AUSTELL

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Andrew Smith at <u>asmith@atlantaregional.org</u> or 470-378-1645. If ARC staff does not receive comments from you on or before **December 19, 2017**, we will assume that your agency has no comments and will close the review. Comments via e-mail are strongly encouraged. **The ARC review website is located at** http://www.atlantaregional.org/land-use/planreviews

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Powder Springs	Date Received:	November 28, 2017	
Local Contact:	Tina Garver, Community Development Director, City of Powder Springs			
Phone:	770-943-8001 ext. 361	E-Mail:	tgarver@cityofpowdersprings.org	
Fax:	770-222-6935	Website:	http:\\www.cityofpowdersprings.org	
Street	4488 Pineview Drive	City State, Zip:	Powder Springs, Georgia 30127	
	Department of Commu		Review Required	
Review Title:	2017 City of Powder Springs CIE Annual Update			
Description:	A review of the 2017 Annual Update to the City of Powder Springs Capital Improvements Element (CIE).			
	Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.org/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Powder Springs.			
The submitte	d documents are available	e for review	at ARC and the local government.	
Reviewing Re	egional Development Cent	ter:		
Atlanta Regiona 229 Peachtree S				
Phone 404-463	-3100 Fax 404-463-3254			

Contact

Person: E-Mail Andrew Smith, Senior Planner

asmith@atlantaregional.org

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: November 28, 2017 ARC REVIEW CODE: P1711281

TO: ARC Managers

FROM: Andrew Smith, Senior Planner 470-378-1645

Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

<u>Community Development:</u> Duncan, Allison <u>Transportation Access & Mobility:</u> Fields, Diana

Natural Resources: Santo, Jim Research & Analytics: Skinner, Jim

Name of Proposal: 2017 City of Powder Springs CIE Annual Update

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

<u>Description:</u> A review of the 2017 Annual Update to the City of Powder Springs Capital Improvements Element

(CIE).

Submitting Local Government: City of Powder Springs

Date Opened: November 28, 2017

Deadline for Comments: December 19, 2017

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Upon approval by Georgia DCA

Response:			
1)	□ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
2)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
3)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
4)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
5)	☐ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.		
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.		
COMMENTS:			