

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 229 Peachtree Street NE | Suite 100 | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 fax: 404.463.3205 • atlantaregional.org

DATE: August 22, 2017 ARC REVIEW CODE: R1708221

TO: Mayor Kasim Reed, City of Atlanta

ATTN TO: Monique Forte, Urban Planner III, Office of Mobility Planning

FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARC RE: Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Review

Digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a preliminary regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to its relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This preliminary report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the local government.

Name of Proposal: Ponce City Market – Phase 2 (DRI 2709)

Review Type: DRI Submitting Local Government: City of Atlanta

<u>Date Opened</u>: Aug. 22, 2017 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Sept. 6, 2017 <u>Date to Close</u>: Sept. 11, 2017**

**If no significant issues are identified during the comment period, the review will close on Sept. 6, 2017, per the LCI Expedited Review process outlined in ARC's DRI Rules.

<u>Description</u>: This DRI is located on portions of the existing Ponce City Market development (reviewed in 2012–13 as DRI 2318 and since completed), which is on approximately 20 acres in the City of Atlanta, bounded by Ponce de Leon Avenue (US 29/78/278, SR 8) on the north, Glen Iris Drive on the west, North Avenue on the south and the Atlanta BeltLine Eastside Trail on the east. The proposed mixed-use development consists of 450 new residential units, a new 500-room hotel, 68,000 SF of new office space and 71,000 SF of new retail space on three parcels within the Ponce City Market site. The DRI review trigger for this development is a zoning amendment and special land use permit. Full build-out is projected for 2022.

<u>PRELIMINARY COMMENTS:</u> According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI is located in the Maturing Neighborhoods area of the region. Maturing Neighborhoods were primarily developed prior to 1970 and are typically adjacent to the Region Core and Regional Employment Corridors. These three areas combined represent a significant percentage of the region's jobs and population. ARC's Regional Development Guide (RDG) details recommended policies for areas on the UGPM. RDG policy recommendations for Maturing Neighborhoods are listed at the bottom of these comments.

This DRI manifests many aspects of regional policy in that it appears to generally align with the existing Ponce-Moreland LCI plan and it enhances an existing mixed-use node with additional density and uses by activating underutilized areas of the site. It also helps the overall development further support transit ridership given its direct access to MARTA bus service and its private shuttle service for site employees and residents, both of which connect to MARTA rail. This DRI's additional development on-site will also continue to activate the BeltLine Eastside Trail and support future BeltLine transit, given its adjacency and direct bike/ped access to the BeltLine. Many of these characteristics collectively offer the potential for site residents to work and/or shop on site, and for visitors to park once or arrive via alternative transportation modes and conduct multiple trips on foot.

Along those lines, ARC encourages the applicant team and the City to ensure that the development promotes a functional, safe, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all streets, paths and parking areas on the site. The development team is also encouraged to ensure that end-of-trip facilities (e.g., bicycle racks, etc.) are provided for residents, workers and visitors at key locations throughout the site. The project could further support The Atlanta Region's Plan in general if it incorporated other aspects of regional policy, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design (e.g., rain gardens, vegetated swales, etc.) in parking areas and site driveways, and as part of any improvements to site frontages.

The proposed number of stories for the hotel and residential buildings (Parcels B and F on the site plan, respectively) is greater than what the RDG recommends for the Maturing Neighborhoods area of the UGPM. It should be noted that this site is 0.2 miles from the Region Core area, which recommends buildings of that height and greater, based on local context. Based on preliminary discussions, the applicant team is also planning the hotel tower on Parcel B with an emphasis on preserving the view from Ponce de Leon Avenue of the historic Sears building that makes up the core of the site. This viewshed preservation effort may essentially concentrate density – and therefore add height – toward the interior of the site, away from the intersection of Ponce de Leon Avenue and Glen Iris Drive. In general, the project's mixed–use character and intensity are similar to other new developments in this area, especially those clustered around the BeltLine and Historic Fourth Ward Park. However, some nearby areas are predominated by low–rise and single family residential uses. City of Atlanta leadership and staff, along with the applicant team, should therefore collaborate to balance the goal of new development with the need for sensitivity to nearby neighborhoods, land uses and structures.

Additional ARC staff comments related to natural resources and transportation, are attached.

Further to the above, regional policy recommendations for Maturing Neighborhoods include:

- Improve safety and quality of transit options by providing alternatives for end-of-trip facilities (such as bicycle racks) and sidewalks and/or shelters adjacent to bus stops
- Identify and remedy incidents of "food deserts" within neighborhoods, particularly in traditionally underserved neighborhoods and schools
- Promote mixed use where locally appropriate, specifically in areas served by existing or planned transit
- Develop policies and establish design standards to ensure new and infill development is compatible with existing neighborhoods

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ARC Transportation Access & Mobility
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
METROPOLITAN ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
ATLANTA BELTLINE, INC.

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Andrew Smith at (470) 378-1645 or asmith@atlantaregional.org. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at http://atlantaregional.org/plan-reviews.

| DEVELOPMENT OF REGIO | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| REQUEST FOR COM | |
| Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient scale or importance that it which the project is located, for example in adjoining cities or neighboring couproposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the offer your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be return | is likely to generate impacts beyond the jurisdiction in nties. We would like to consider your comments on this information about the project included in this packet and |
| Preliminary Findings of the RDC: <u>Ponce City Market - Phase 2</u> See the | Preliminary Report. |
| Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed): | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Individual Completing Form: | |
| Local Government: | |
| Local Government. | Please return this form to: Andrew Smith |
| Department: | Atlanta Regional Commission |
| | International Tower 229 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 100 |
| Telephone: () | Atlanta, Georgia 30303 |
| | Ph. (470) 378-1645 asmith@atlantaregional.org |
| Signature: | Return Date: September 6, 2017 |
| Date: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: August 22, 2017 **ARC REVIEW CODE**: R1708221

TO: ARC Group Managers

FROM: Andrew Smith, 470-378-1645

Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

<u>Community Development:</u> Smith, Andrew <u>Transportation Access and Mobility:</u> Mangham, Marquitrice

<u>Natural Resources:</u> Santo, Jim <u>Research and Analytics:</u> Skinner, Jim

<u>Name of Proposal:</u> Ponce City Market - Phase 2 <u>Review Type:</u> Development of Regional Impact

Description: This DRI is located on portions of the existing Ponce City Market development (reviewed in 2012-13 as DRI 2318 and since completed), which is on approximately 20 acres in the City of Atlanta, bounded by Ponce de Leon Avenue (US 29/78/278, SR 8) on the north, Glen Iris Drive on the west, North Avenue on the south and the Atlanta BeltLine Eastside Trail on the east. The proposed mixed-use development consists of 450 new residential units, a new 500-room hotel, 68,000 SF of new office space and 71,000 SF of new retail space on three parcels within the Ponce City Market site. The DRI review trigger for this development is a zoning amendment and special land use permit. Full build-out is projected for 2022.

Submitting Local Government: City of Atlanta

Date Opened: August 22, 2017

Deadline for Comments: September 6, 2017

Date to Close: September 11, 2017**

**If no significant issues are identified during the comment period, the review will close on September 6, 2017 per the LCI Expedited Review process outlined in ARC's DRI Rules.

| | Response: |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) | \square Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. |
| 2) | $\ \square \ \ While \ neither \ specifically \ consistent \ nor \ inconsistent, \ the \ proposal \ relates \ to \ the \ following \ regional \ development$ |
| | guide listed in the comment section. |
| 3) | $\ \square \ \ While \ neither \ specifically \ consistent \ nor \ inconsistent, \ the \ proposal \ relates \ to \ the \ following \ regional \ development$ |
| | guide listed in the comment section. |
| 4) | \square The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. |
| 5) | \square The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible. |
| 6) | □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. |
| | COMMENTS: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

PONCE CITY MARKET PHASE 2 DRI City of Atlanta ARC Natural Resources Group Comments

August 11, 2017

The project is located in the Peachtree Creek watershed, which is tributary to the Corridor portion of the Chattahoochee River. The USGS coverage for the project area shows no streams on the project property. Any unmapped streams may be subject to the City stream buffer ordinance. Any waters of the State on the project property are subject to the requirements of the State Sedimentation and Erosion Control buffer.

The project is proposed on a site that is already almost entirely impervious in a dense urban area. Further, the proposed project appears to reduce the impervious surface on the site and stormwater will be handled by the City stormwater system.



regional impact + local relevance

Development of Regional Impact

Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

DRI INFORMATION

DRI Number #2709

DRI Title Ponce City Market Phase #2

County Fulton County

City (if applicable) Atlanta

Address / Location Between North Avenue and Ponce De Leon Avenue, East of Glen Iris Drive West of the Atlanta

Beltline Trail

NON-EXPEDITED

REVIEW INFORMATION

Prepared by ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division

Staff Lead Marquitrice Mangham

Copied David Haynes

Date August 15, 2017

TRAFFIC STUDY

Prepared by Kimley Horn

Date August 1, 2017

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS

| | I the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally instrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting study area with adjacent jurisdictions? |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | YES (provide date of RTP project list used below and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified) |
| | Click here to provide comments. |
| | NO (provide comments below) |
| | The 15.9 acre mixed used development proposes 68,000 square feet of Office Space, 450 residential units, a 500-room hotel and 71,000 square feet of retail and restaurant. On page 31 of the Traffic Analysis, the report identifies programmed projects from the ARC's Transportation Improvement Program and references other plans used to identify programmed projects in the project study area. While the report references a source as the "Regional Transportation Improvement Program," it does not identify the current Atlanta Region's Plan as a source. Current |
| <u>ONAI</u> | projects from the TIP are included in the report. L NETWORKS |
| 2. Wi | projects from the TIP are included in the report. |

The site plan and traffic analysis identify proposed driveways on Ponce De Leon Avenue (SR 78).

03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

| П | NO |
|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points) |
| | The site plan and traffic analysis identify proposed driveways on Ponce De Leon Avenue (SR 78), a |
| | designated Regional Truck Route. |

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

| \leq | NOT APPLICABLE (nearest station more than one mile away) | | |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | RAIL SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below) | | |
| | Operator / Rail Line | Marta Rail | |
| | Nearest Station | North Avenue Station | |
| | Distance* | ☐ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less) | |
| | | 0.10 to 0.50 mile | |
| | | 0.50 to 1.00 mile | |
| | Walking Access* | Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity | |
| | | Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete | |

| | Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Click here to provide comments. |
| Bicycling Access* | Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity |
| | ☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity |
| | ☐ Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets |
| | Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed) |
| Transit Connectivity | Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station |
| | Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station |
| | No services available to rail station |
| | Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed) |
| | The nearest MARTA rail station is over one mile from the proposed development site. The transportation analysis states that private shuttle service will be provided to the site from the site to the MARTA rail station at North Avenue station for on site residents, employees and office tenants. |

^{*} Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

05. If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

| | NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists) |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed) |
| | NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity) |
| \boxtimes | YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below) |
| | CST planned within TIP period |
| | CST planned within first portion of long range period |
| | ST planned near end of plan horizon |

Atlanta Street Car expansion along the Atlanta Beltline from Montgomery Ferry to Glenwood Avenue (AR 490B).

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the connection a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

| | NOT APPLICABLE (nearest bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away) | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below) | | |
| | Operator(s) | Marta Bus Service | |
| | Bus Route(s) | #2 along Ponce De Leon | |
| | Distance* | ☑ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less) | |
| | | ☐ 0.10 to 0.50 mile | |
| | | 0.50 to 1.00 mile | |
| | Walking Access* | Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity | |
| | | Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete | |
| | | Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed) | |
| Click here to provide comment | | Click here to provide comments. | |
| | Bicycling Access* | Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity | |
| | | □ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity | |
| | | Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets | |
| | | Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed) | |
| | | Sidewalks exist along roadways adjacent to the site. No bicycle facilities currently exist along the right of way. The Atlanta Beltline Trail is a multi use path that provide bicycle and pedestrian facilities for access to Ponce City Market site from the Beltline. | |

^{*} Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

| | | | provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within development site is located? |
|-----|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | or cal col sei na to en | prefer not to drive, expar n help reduce traffic cong mprehensive operations p rving the site during the e ture of the development of the site is not feasible or sure good walking and bi y routes within a one mile | lopments and transit services provide options for people who cannot and economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and restion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should cycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make a priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements. |
| 08. | If th | NO YES See question 6 above. e development site is wincessibility conditions. | thin one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information |
| | wl an or fac | ho cannot or prefer not to nd jobs, and can help redu trail is available nearby, i cilities is a challenge, the | clopments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people of drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people oce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path but walking or bicycling between the development site and those applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a valking and bicycling infrastructure improvements. |
| ' | | NOT ADDITIONE F (negre | st path or trail more than one mile away) |
| | | YES (provide additional i | |
| , | | Name of facility | Atlanta Beltline Trail |
| | | Distance | Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less) |
| | | | 0.15 to 0.50 mile |

0.50 to 1.00 mile

| | Walking Access* | Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete |
| | | Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed) |
| | Bicycling Access* | □ Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity |
| | | ☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity |
| | | ☐ Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets |
| | | Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed) |
| | | Sidewalks exist along roadways adjacent to the site, however no adjacent bicycle lanes currently exist. The Atlanta Beltline Trail provides bicycle and pedestrian facilities for access to Ponce City Market site from the Beltline. |
| | * Following the most of development site | direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the |
| OTHER TRA | ANSPORTATION DESIG | N CONSIDERATIONS |
| | es the site plan provide facent parcels? | or the construction of publicly accessible roadway connections with |
| ro | adway network can save | bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities should be considered ed into development site plans whenever possible. |
| | YES (connections to adj | acent parcels are planned as part of the development) |
| | | e future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop) |
| | NO (the site plan preclu | ides future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop) |
| | NOT APPLICABLE (adjac | cent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future) |
| | NOT APPLICABLE (the ninterparcel roadway co | ature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to nnections) |

Click here to provide comments.

| 10. | Does the site plan enab | le pedestrians and | bicyclists to m | nove between (| destinations v | within the |
|-----|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|
| | development site safely | and conveniently | ? | | | |

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site plans should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key destinations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large acreage sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.

| | YES (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and bicyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network) |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | PARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not comprehensive and/or direct) |
| | NO (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent) |
| | NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and bicycling trips) |
| dev | site plan and traffic analysis does not indicate bicycle facilities being provided internal to elopment site. Sidewalks currently exist on all adjacent roadways. The site plan indicates internal visions for sidewalks and crosswalks allowing for pedestrian connectivity throughout the site. |
| | es the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking nections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future? |
| re op | ne ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently duces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such oportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans henever possible. |
| | YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development) |
| | YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop) |
| | NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels) |
| | NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop) |
| | NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future) |
| | NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips) |
| the | development site is bounded by public streets with existing sidewalks facilities on three side and Beltline Trail on the fourth (east side.) Adjacent parcels on the east, west and south are currently eloped with existing external bicycle and pedestrian facilities providing connectivity to adjacent |

11.

uses.

| of ar se | e ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is ten key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move ound safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be gregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, dewalks, paths and other facilities. |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical) |
| | PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately) |
| | NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily by pedestrians, bicyclists and/or motorists) |
| | NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/or very low truck volumes, so the potential for conflict is negligible) |
| CIIC | ck here to provide comments. |
| | |
| ИME | <u>NDATIONS</u> |
| Do | NDATIONS the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible in a constructability standpoint? |
| Do f | the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible |
| Do to | the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible in a constructability standpoint? |
| Do to | the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible in a constructability standpoint? UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary) YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a |
| Do to from | the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible in a constructability standpoint? UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary) YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis) |
| Do to from | the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible in a constructability standpoint? UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary) YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis) NO (see comments below) |
| Do t from | the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible in a constructability standpoint? UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary) YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis) NO (see comments below) K here to enter text. |
| Do to from | the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible in a constructability standpoint? UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary) YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis) NO (see comments below) In the traffic study appear to be feasible in a constructability standpoint? We have a validational study is necessary) NO (see comments below) The traffic study appear to be feasible in a constructability standpoint? We have a validation of the process and the traffic study appear to be feasible in a constructability standpoint? We have a validation of the process and the process are process and the process and the process are process and the process are process and |

| 15. | ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s): |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | None. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |





Developments of Regional Impact

View Submissions DRI Home Tier Map Apply Login

DRI #2709

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Atlanta

Individual completing form: Monique Forte

Telephone: 404-546-0196

E-mail: mbforte@atlantaga.gov

*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

Proposed Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Ponce City Market - Phase 2

Location (Street Address, GPS 675 Ponce de Leon Avenue, NE, Atlanta, GA 30308

Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot

If yes, property owner: Is the proposed project entirely located within your local

government's jurisdiction?

Brief Description of Project: The project site consists of three parcels (Parcels B, D and F) of new/additional development located on the existing 20-acre Ponce City Market site and includes a

| | combination of residential apartments, retail bounded by SR8/Ponce de Leon Ave. to the North Ave. to the south, and Glen Iris Dr. to | e north, the Atlanta BeltLine to the east, |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Development Type: | | |
| (not selected) | Hotels | Wastewater Treatment Facilities |
| Office | Mixed Use | Petroleum Storage Facilities |
| Commercial | Airports | Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs |
| Wholesale & Distribution | Attractions & Recreational Facilities | Intermodal Terminals |
| Hospitals and Health Care Facilit | ies Post-Secondary Schools | Truck Stops |
| Housing | Waste Handling Facilities | Any other development types |
| Industrial | Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants | |
| If other development type, describe | : | |
| Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.): | 450 apartment units, 68,000 SF office, 71,0 | 00 SF retail, 500-room hotel |
| Developer: | Jamestown, L.P. | |
| Mailing Address: | 675 Ponce de Leon Avenue, NE | |
| Address 2: | 7th Floor | |
| | City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30308 | |
| Telephone: | 404-835-8230 | |
| Email: | Frances.Bohn@JamestownLP.com | |
| Is property owner different from developer/applicant? | (not selected) Yes No | |

(not selected) Yes No

```
If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?
           Is the current proposal a
                                       (not selected) Yes No
    continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?
        If yes, provide the following Project Name: Ponce City Market
                        information: Project ID: 2318
                                        Rezoning
 The initial action being requested
                                        Variance
    of the local government for this
                                        Sewer
                             project: Water
                                        Permit
                                       Other Zoning Amendment
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project? (not selected) Yes No
 If yes, what percent of the overall
    project does this project/phase 25% expansion of existing master plan represent?
    Estimated Project Completion This project/phase: 2022
Dates: Overall project: 2022
Back to Top
```

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page | Site Map | Statements | Contact



Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home

Tier Map

Apply

View Submissions

<u>Login</u>

DRI #2709

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

Local Government Information

Submitting Local Government: Atlanta

Individual completing form: Monique Forte

Telephone: 404-546-0196

Email: mbforte@atlantaga.gov

Project Information

Name of Proposed Project: Ponce City Market - Phase 2

DRI ID Number: 2709

Developer/Applicant: Jamestown, L.P.

Telephone: 404-835-8230

 ${\bf Email}(s): \ \, {\bf Frances.Bohn@JamestownLP.com}$

Additional Information Requested

Has the RDC identified any additional information

required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no.

(not selected) Yes No

proceed to Economic Impacts.)

If yes, has that additional information been provided

to your RDC and, if (not selected) Yes No

applicable, GRTA?

If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.

Economic Development

Estimated Value at Build-Out:

\$193,200,000

Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be

\$11,900,000

generated by the proposed development:

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed

(not selected) Yes No

project?

Will this development displace any existing uses?

(not selected) Yes No

If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc):

Water Supply

Name of water supply provider for this site:

City of Atlanta

```
What is the estimated water 0.21 MGD supply demand to be
generated by the project,
measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?
Is sufficient water supply
capacity available to serve
                             (not selected) Yes No
the proposed project?
If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supply capacity:
Is a water line extension
required to serve this
                             (not selected) Yes No
project?
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?
                                             Wastewater Disposal
Name of wastewater
treatment provider for this
                             City of Atlanta
site:
What is the estimated
sewage flow to be
generated by the project,
                             0.18 MGD
measured in Millions of
Gallons Per Day (MGD)?
Is sufficient wastewater
treatment capacity available
                             (not selected) Yes No
to serve this proposed
project?
If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:
Is a sewer line extension
required to serve this
                             (not selected) Yes No
project?
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?
                                             Land Transportation
How much traffic volume is
expected to be generated by the proposed
development, in peak hour
                             13,351 gross daily trips (7,707 net daily); 489 net AM trips; 603 net PM trips
vehicle trips per day? (If
only an alternative measure
of volume is available,
please provide.)
Has a traffic study been
performed to determine
whether or not
transportation or access
                             (not selected) Yes No
improvements will be
needed to serve this
project?
Are transportation
improvements needed to
                             (not selected) Yes No
serve this project?
If yes, please describe below:
                                             Solid Waste Disposal
How much solid waste is the
                             1,566.85 tons
project expected to generate annually (in tons)?
Is sufficient landfill capacity
available to serve this
                             (not selected) Yes No
proposed project?
If no, describe any plans to expand existing landfill capacity:
Will any hazardous waste
be generated by the
                             (not selected) Yes No
development?
If yes, please explain:
                                          Stormwater Management
What percentage of the site 93%
```

What percentage of the site 93% is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?

| Describe any measures prop project's impacts on stormwa conjunction with structural wa in storm water flow over the p | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Environmental Quality | | | |
| Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following: | | | | |
| Water supply watersheds? | (not selected) Yes No | | | |
| 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? | (not selected) Yes No | | | |
| 3. Wetlands? | (not selected) Yes No | | | |
| 4. Protected mountains? | (not selected) Yes No | | | |
| 5. Protected river corridors? | (not selected) Yes No | | | |
| 6. Floodplains? | (not selected) Yes No | | | |
| 7. Historic resources? | (not selected) Yes No | | | |
| 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? | (not selected) Yes No | | | |
| If you answered yes to any q Main building is historic and | question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected: being preserved. | | | |
| Back to Top | | | | |

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page | Site Map | Statements | Contact

