REGIONAL REVIEW FINDING

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: June 5, 2017

ARC REVIEW CODE: R1705151

TO:Chairman Dr. Romona Jackson Jones, Douglas County Board of CommissionersATTN TO:Tracy Rye, Planning and Zoning DirectorFROM:Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARCRE:Development of Regional Impact ReviewDigital signature
Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to its relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This final report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the host local government.

Name of Proposal:DCT Factory Shoals (DRI 2670)Submitting Local Government:Douglas CountyReview Type:Development of Regional ImpactDate Opened:MathematicationMathematicationDate Closed:June 5, 2017Jate Closed:June 5, 2017

Description: This DRI is located in Douglas County on the south side of Factory Shoals Road, between Thornton Road/SR 6 and Douglas Hill Road. The project consists of 1,104,320 SF of high-cube warehouse/distribution space in one building on approximately 60 acres. Access is proposed via one full-movement site driveway on Factory Shoals Road. The DRI review trigger for this project is a Land Disturbance Permit application. The project's estimated build-out year is 2019.

<u>Comments</u>: According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI is located in the Developing Suburbs Area of the region. Developing Suburbs are areas that have developed from roughly 1995 to today and are projected to remain suburbs through 2040. Per the UGPM, this DRI site is also within a Regional Industrial and Logistics Area. These areas represent the major intermodal freight facilities and major logistics centers of the region. ARC's Regional Development Guide (RDG) details recommended policies for areas on the UGPM. General information and recommendations for Developing Suburbs and Regional Industrial and Logistics Areas are listed at the bottom of these comments.

This DRI appears to manifest some aspects of regional policy in that it is in close proximity to other warehouse/distribution facilities on Thornton Road/SR 6, offering the potential for efficiencies in freight movement. It also offers clear connectivity for freight movement via its access to Thornton Road/SR 6, I-20 to the north and Fulton Industrial Boulevard/SR 70 to the south.

The project could further support The Atlanta Region's Plan if it incorporated other aspects of the regional policy detailed at the bottom of this report, including green infrastructure and/or low-impact design (e.g., rain gardens, vegetated swales, etc.) in parking areas and site driveways, and as part of any improvements to site frontages. In addition, ARC encourages the applicant team to ensure that the development promotes a functional, safe, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all streets, paths and parking areas on the site. This framework can offer the potential for safe internal site circulation for employees on foot or by another alternative mode.

The intensity of this DRI generally aligns with the RDG's recommended range of densities and building heights in Developing Suburbs. In terms of land use, the project generally aligns with the RDG's recommendations for Industrial and Logistics Areas as well. This DRI's land use is also similar to nearby clusters of warehouse/distribution development, and the project is located in a part of the region that is experiencing demand for the development of warehouse/distribution and logistics facilities.

(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)

However, much of the area adjacent to or near this site, particularly to the west, is predominated by lowdensity residential uses and undeveloped land, as well as Sweetwater Creek State Park. While all adjacent properties are also in unincorporated Douglas County, some nearby areas are in the City of Douglasville and Cobb County. Douglas County's leadership and staff, along with the applicant team, should therefore collaborate to balance the goal of new development with the need for sensitivity to nearby land uses, natural resources and local governments, to the greatest extent possible.

External comments received during the review are attached to this report. Additional ARC staff comments, related to natural resources and transportation, are also attached. These include comments regarding the project's location and its relation to County and State stream buffers, as well as the watershed protection area for the Chattahoochee River Direct Drainage Basin found in the County's Unified Development Ordinance (Section 907(b)(9)).

Further to the above, regional policy recommendations for Developing Suburbs include:

- New development should connect to the existing road network and adjacent developments and use of cul-de-sacs or other means resulting in disconnected subdivisions should be discouraged

- Maximize the usefulness of existing recreational facilities in addition to providing new recreational opportunities

- Eliminate vacant or under-utilized parking areas through mechanisms such as out-parceling or conversion to community open space

- Use rain gardens, vegetated swales or other enhanced water filtration design to enhance the quality of stormwater run-off

- Identify other opportunities to foster a sense of community by developing town centers, village centers or other places of centralized location

Further to the above, as a strategic economic sector, the region should strive to protect Regional Industrial and Logistics Areas and ensure they are well served by the regional transportation network. These areas will see increased job growth in the form of industrial and logistics space. Strategies are needed to avoid residential and industrial conflicts while still allowing both uses in proximity to each other, without limiting the operations of industrial land users. Regional policy recommendations in the RDG for Industrial and Logistics Areas include:

- Protect Industrial and Logistics Areas by not allowing conflicting land uses in the vicinity

- Identify key areas to preserve for freight and industrial uses

- Continue to promote Industrial and Logistics Areas as a major resource in recruiting future economic development prospects to the region

- Ensure the continued efficiency of cargo and freight transport with easy connectivity to trucking and shipping routes through the region

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION COBB COUNTY ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS & MOBILITY GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY FUI TON COUNTY ARC NATURAL RESOURCES GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Andrew Smith at (404) 463-5581 or <u>asmith@atlantaregional.com</u>. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/land-use/planreviews</u>.

DCT FACTORY SHOALS DRI Douglas County Natural Resources Division Review Comments May 9, 2017

Watershed Protection and Stream Buffers

The property is located in the Chattahoochee River watershed but it is not within the 2000-foot Chattahoochee River Corridor of the Metropolitan River Protection Act. It is located downstream of the portion of the Chattahoochee that serves as a water supply source in the Atlanta Region.

The USGS coverage for the project area shows no blue line streams on or near the property. However, the project site plan shows a stream starting west of the center of the property and running south to the property boundary. The County 50-foot stream buffer and the State 25-foot erosion and sedimentation buffer are both shown on the drawing, but the majority of the stream and its buffers will be covered by the proposed building and portions of its parking and loading areas. In addition, the Douglas County Unified Development Code (Section 907(b)(9)) includes a watershed protection area for the Chattahoochee River Direct Drainage Basin, for areas not covered by the Metropolitan River Protection Act, which includes this property. In Table 9.1 under Code Section 908(b), this District requires a 100foot buffer along regulated streams and an additional 50-foot (150-foot total) setback for regulated activities, which include impervious surfaces. If the stream on this property meets the County's regulated stream definition, then the wider buffer and setback will apply. Regardless of the required buffer width, the proposed intrusion will be subject to the requirements of the Douglas County's stream buffer regulations, which may require a variance for this project. A variance will also be required for the State Erosion and Sedimentation Control Buffer. Any unmapped streams on the property may also be subject to the requirements of the County stream buffer requirements. All other streams on the property, as well as all waters of the state, are subject to the requirements of the State Erosion and Sedimentation Act, which includes a 25-foot buffer on all state waters.

Storm Water/Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, as with all development, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. The amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development are dependent on the type and intensity of the use and the impervious coverage, which will affect the design of stormwater controls for the project.

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (<u>www.georgiastormwater.com</u>) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.



regional impact + local relevance

Development of Regional Impact Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

DRI INFORMATION

DRI Number	#2670
DRI Title	DCT Factory Shoals
County	Douglas County
City (if applicable)	None / Unincorporated
Address / Location	Southside of Factory Shoals Road, West of Thornton Road, East of Douglas Hill Road
Review Process	⊠ EXPEDITED
	NON-EXPEDITED

REVIEW INFORMATION

Prepared by	ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division
Staff Lead	Marquitrice Mangham
Copied	
Date	May 11, 2017

TRAFFIC STUDY

Prepared by	Kimley Horn
Date	May 2, 2017

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS

- 01. Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?
 - YES (provide date of RTP project list used below and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)

Appendix F of the traffic study contains projects identified in the Atlanta Regions Plan.

NO (provide comments below)

Click here to provide comments.

REGIONAL NETWORKS

02. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares?

A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

🖂 NO

YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)

The site plan and traffic analysis indicates one (1) site access point located off Factory Shoals Road. Factory Shoals Road is identified as a local road.

03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

NO 🛛

YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)

The site plan and traffic analysis indicates one (1) site access point located off Factory Shoals Road. Factory Shoals Road is identified as a local road. The site is in close proximity to SR 6 (Thornton Road), a heavy freight corridor however, no access points are proposed on SR 6.

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

NOT APPLICABLE (nearest station more than one mile away)

RAIL SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)

Operator / Rail Line	Click here to enter name of operator and rail line
Nearest Station	Click here to enter name of station.
Distance*	Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
	0.10 to 0.50 mile
	0.50 to 1.00 mile
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete

	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.
Transit Connectivity	Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
	Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
	No services available to rail station
	Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.

* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

05. If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

- NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
- NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
- NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
- YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
 - CST planned within TIP period
 - CST planned within first portion of long range period
 - CST planned near end of plan horizon

Click here to provide comments.

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

- NOT APPLICABLE (nearest bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away)
- SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)

Operator(s)	Click here to enter name of operator(s).
Bus Route(s)	
Distance*	Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
	0.10 to 0.50 mile
	0.50 to 1.00 mile
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity
	Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

07. Does a transit agency which provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within the jurisdiction in which the development site is located?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a comprehensive operations plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to serving the site during the evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the nature of the development is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and any routes within a one mile radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make these connections a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

NO 🛛



Currently there is no transit service in the County, however, Douglas County is in the process of implementing fixed route transit bus service in parts of the County. Service is to start early 2018 with two fixed routes however, no service is proposed for this area.

08. If the development site is within one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path or trail is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and those facilities is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

\square	NOT APPLICABLE	(nearest	path or trail	more than	one mile away)
-----------	----------------	----------	---------------	-----------	----------------

YES (provide additional information below)

Name of facility Click here to provide name of facility.

	Distance	Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 r	nile or less)
--	----------	--	---------------

0.15 to 0.50 mile

0.50 to 1.00 mile

Walking Access* Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity

Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete

	Not applicable <i>(accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)</i>
	Click here to provide comments.
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	No multiuse trails are in the study area. The site plan depicts a proposed

pervious walking trail within the development

* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

OTHER TRANSPORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

09. Does the site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible roadway connections with adjacent parcels?

The ability for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent roadway network can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.

- YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
- YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
- NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
- NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
- NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel roadway connections)

The development proposes a single high cube warehouse distribution building with one access point. One looped driveway serves the site. The site is bordered on south, east and west by undeveloped parcels zoned for industrial uses. No future roadway connections are planned or proposed. 10. Does the site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the development site safely and conveniently?

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site plans should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key destinations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large acreage sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.

- YES (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and bicyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)
- PARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not comprehensive and/or direct)
- NO (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
- NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and bicycling trips)

The site plan and traffic analysis does not indicate bicycle and pedestrian facilities being provided throughout the development site. Sidewalks currently do not exist along Factory Shoals and are not proposed along the roadway as a part of this development. The site plan depicts sidewalks being added internal to the site along the driveway from the access point No bicycle facilities are proposed.

11. Does the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking connections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future?

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.

- YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
- YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
- NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)
- NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
- NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
- NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips)

12. Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network?

The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, sidewalks, paths and other facilities.

- YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)
- PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately)
- NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily by pedestrians, bicyclists and/or motorists)
- NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/or very low truck volumes, so the potential for conflict is negligible)

The site is not located near high or intense residential land uses and is not located near existing transit or pedestrian facilities. Pedestrian and bicycle traffic should be minimal.

RECOMMENDATIONS

13. Do the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible from a constructability standpoint?

UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary)

YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)

NO (see comments below)

Click here to enter text.

- 14. Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?
 - NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)

	YES	(see comments below)
--	-----	----------------------

Click here to enter text.

15. ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):

None.

Andrew Smith

From:Weiss, Megan J < MWeiss@dot.ga.gov>Sent:Tuesday, May 16, 2017 1:04 PMTo:Andrew SmithCc:Mertz, Kaycee; Fowler, MatthewSubject:RE: ARC DRI Review Notification: DCT Factory Shoals (DRI 2670)

Andrew.

GDOT Planning has reviewed the DCT Factory Shoals DRI Preliminary report and show no additional GDOT projects, other than those already mentioned in the report. For further information that may be needed concerning this review, please contact Megan Weiss at 404-631-1779 or mweiss@dot.ga.gov.

Thanks.

Megan Weiss, AICP Transportation Planner II Georgia Department of Transportation Office of Planning-5th Floor P:404-631-1779 E:mweiss@dot.ga.gov

From: Andrew Smith [mailto:ASmith@atlantaregional.com]

Sent: Monday, May 15, 2017 5:06 PM

To: VanDyke, Cindy <cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov>; Fowler, Matthew <mfowler@dot.ga.gov>; Zahul, Kathy <kzahul@dot.ga.gov>; Weiss, Megan J <MWeiss@dot.ga.gov>; Comer, Carol <ccomer@dot.ga.gov>; Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>; DeNard, Paul <pdenard@dot.ga.gov>; Regis, Edlin <eregis@dot.ga.gov>; Woods, Chris N. <cwoods@dot.ga.gov>; Boone, Eric <eboone@dot.ga.gov>; Humphrey, James <jhumphrey@dot.ga.gov>; Annie Gillespie <agillespie@georgiatolls.com>; Parker Martin <PMartin@GRTA.org>; 'DRI@grta.org' <DRI@grta.org>; 'Jon West' <jon.west@dca.ga.gov>; jud.turner@gaepd.org; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us; Dana Johnson (dana.johnson@cobbcounty.org) <dana.johnson@cobbcounty.org>; John.Pederson@cobbcounty.org; Gaines, Jason <Jason.Gaines@cobbcounty.org>; Diaz, Amy <Amy.Diaz@cobbcounty.org>; White, Ashley <Ashley.White@cobbcounty.org>; Northrup, Jay <Jay.Northrup@cobbcounty.org>; Lynn, Jonathan <lynnj@douglasvillega.gov>; Wright, Michelle <wrightm@douglasvillega.gov>; hooperd@douglasvillega.gov; Randy Beck (Randy.Beck@fultoncountyga.gov) <Randy.Beck@fultoncountyga.gov>; Michelle.Macauley@fultoncountyga.gov; Ellington, Morgan <Morgan.Ellington@fultoncountyga.gov>; trye@co.douglas.ga.us; rhulsey@co.douglas.ga.us; Chris Seward <cseward@dctindustrial.com>; Jay Mitchell <jmitchell@dctindustrial.com>; nfaber@dctindustrial.com; John Walker (John.Walker@kimley-horn.com) <John.Walker@kimley-horn.com>; jinwoo.seo@kimley-horn.com; elizabeth.johnson@kimley-horn.com; Dan Wintermeyer (dwintermeyer@urbanengineers.net) <dwintermeyer@urbanengineers.net>; bfondevila@urbanengineers.net Cc: Community Development <CommunityDevelopment@atlantaregional.com>; Mike Alexander <MAlexander@atlantaregional.com>; David Haynes <DHaynes@atlantaregional.com>; Marquitrice Mangham <MMangham@atlantaregional.com>; Jim Santo <JSanto@atlantaregional.com>; Jim Skinner <JSkinner@atlantaregional.com>; Allison Duncan <ADuncan@atlantaregional.com> Subject: ARC DRI Review Notification: DCT Factory Shoals (DRI 2670)

Development of Regional Impact (DRI) – Request for Comments

This e-mail serves as notice that the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has begun a Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review for **DCT Factory Shoals (DRI 2670)**.

This DRI is located in Douglas County on the east/south side of Factory Shoals Road, between Thornton Road/SR 6 and Douglas Hill Road. The project consists of 1,104,320 SF of high-cube warehouse/distribution space in one building on approximately 60 acres. Access is proposed via one full-movement site driveway on Factory Shoals Road. The DRI review trigger for this project is a Land Disturbance Permit application. The project's estimated build-out year is 2019.

As a representative of a nearby local government or potentially affected party, we request that you or your staff review the attached Preliminary Report and provide any comments to ARC on or before **May 30, 2017.**

You may also view the Preliminary Report and other project information by visiting the <u>ARC Plan Reviews webpage</u> and searching for "DCT Factory Shoals" in the field at the bottom of the page. The report and other information will be permanently available online as of tomorrow, May 16.

Date Opened: May 15, 2017 Deadline for Comments: May 30, 2017 Date to Close: June 5, 2017

For more information regarding the DRI process or other DRIs reviewed by ARC, please visit the ARC DRI webpage.

Regards, Andrew Smith Senior Planner, Community Development Division

Atlanta Regional Commission regional impact + local relevance

40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30303-2538

P | 404.463.5581 F | 404.463.3254

asmith@atlantaregional.com atlantaregional.com

×

Pedestrian deaths continue to surge in Georgia - 236 walkers died in 2016. That's a 40% increase in just two years! Georgia DOT's **SEE & BE SEEN** campaign, in partnership with PEDS, aims to make it safer to walk in Georgia. Safety is a shared responsibility. Walkers and drivers: Pay attention. Walkers: make sure you can SEE & BE SEEN. Drivers: Slow down (speed kills). Visit www.dot.ga.gov/SBS. #ArriveAliveGA

Andrew Smith

From:	Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov></achood@dot.ga.gov>
Sent:	Wednesday, May 17, 2017 11:41 AM
То:	Andrew Smith
Cc:	Brian, Steve; Comer, Carol; Edmisten, Colette; douglas.barrett@fultoncountyga.gov; Kleine, Tracie
Subject:	RE: ARC DRI Review Notification: DCT Factory Shoals (DRI 2670)
Attachments:	Preliminary Report - DCT Factory Shoals .pdf

Andrew,

The proposed project located in Douglas County between Factory Shoals Road and Douglas Hills Road on the west side of Thornton Road, 1,104,320 SF of high-cube warehouse/distribution space in one building on approximately 60 acres, is located approximately 4 miles from Fulton County Airport – Brown Field (FTY). It is located below but within the footprint of the FAA Part 77 Approach Surface, and outside of any compatible land use area, and does not appear to impact the airport unless construction or construction equipment exceeds 200' in height above ground level.

Since the proposed building falls within the footprint of the FAA Part 77 approach surface, an FAA Form 7460-1 should be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration along with an additional submission for any associated cranes to be used during construction. That may be done online at <u>https://oeaaa.faa.gov</u>. The FAA must be in receipt of the notification, no later than 120 days prior to construction. The FAA will evaluate the potential impact of the project on protected airspace associated with the airport and advise the proponent if any action is necessary.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed development.

Alan Hood | Airport Safety Data Program Manager Georgia Department of Transportation - Aviation Programs 600 West Peachtree Street, N.W. | 2nd Floor | Atlanta, Georgia 30308 M: 404-660-3394 | F: 404-631-1935 | E: <u>achood@dot.ga.gov</u>

View our website at http://www.dot.ga.gov/IS/AirportAid

From: Andrew Smith [mailto:ASmith@atlantaregional.com] Sent: Monday, May 15, 2017 5:06 PM

To: VanDyke, Cindy; Fowler, Matthew; Zahul, Kathy; Weiss, Megan J; Comer, Carol; Hood, Alan C.; DeNard, Paul; Regis, Edlin; Woods, Chris N.; Boone, Eric; Humphrey, James; Annie Gillespie; Parker Martin; 'DRI@grta.org'; 'Jon West'; jud.turner@gaepd.org; chuck.mueller@dnr.state.ga.us; Dana Johnson (dana.johnson@cobbcounty.org); John.Pederson@cobbcounty.org; Gaines, Jason; Diaz, Amy; White, Ashley; Northrup, Jay; Lynn, Jonathan; Wright, Michelle; hooperd@douglasvillega.gov; Randy Beck (Randy.Beck@fultoncountyga.gov);

Michelle.Macauley@fultoncountyga.gov; Ellington, Morgan; trye@co.douglas.ga.us; rhulsey@co.douglas.ga.us; Chris Seward; Jay Mitchell; nfaber@dctindustrial.com; John Walker (John.Walker@kimley-horn.com); jinwoo.seo@kimleyhorn.com; elizabeth.johnson@kimley-horn.com; Dan Wintermeyer (dwintermeyer@urbanengineers.net); bfondevila@urbanengineers.net

Cc: Community Development; Mike Alexander; David Haynes; Marquitrice Mangham; Jim Santo; Jim Skinner; Allison Duncan

Subject: ARC DRI Review Notification: DCT Factory Shoals (DRI 2670)

Development of Regional Impact (DRI) – Request for Comments

This e-mail serves as notice that the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has begun a Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review for **DCT Factory Shoals (DRI 2670)**.

This DRI is located in Douglas County on the east/south side of Factory Shoals Road, between Thornton Road/SR 6 and Douglas Hill Road. The project consists of 1,104,320 SF of high-cube warehouse/distribution space in one building on approximately 60 acres. Access is proposed via one full-movement site driveway on Factory Shoals Road. The DRI review trigger for this project is a Land Disturbance Permit application. The project's estimated build-out year is 2019.

As a representative of a nearby local government or potentially affected party, we request that you or your staff review the attached Preliminary Report and provide any comments to ARC on or before **May 30, 2017.**

You may also view the Preliminary Report and other project information by visiting the <u>ARC Plan Reviews webpage</u> and searching for "DCT Factory Shoals" in the field at the bottom of the page. The report and other information will be permanently available online as of tomorrow, May 16.

Date Opened: May 15, 2017 Deadline for Comments: May 30, 2017 Date to Close: June 5, 2017

For more information regarding the DRI process or other DRIs reviewed by ARC, please visit the <u>ARC DRI webpage</u>.

Regards, Andrew Smith Senior Planner, Community Development Division

Atlanta Regional Commission regional impact + local relevance

40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30303-2538

P | 404.463.5581 F | 404.463.3254

asmith@atlantaregional.com atlantaregional.com

×

Pedestrian deaths continue to surge in Georgia - 236 walkers died in 2016. That's a 40% increase in just two years! Georgia DOT's **SEE & BE SEEN** campaign, in partnership with PEDS, aims to make it safer to walk in Georgia. Safety is a shared responsibility. Walkers and drivers: Pay attention. Walkers: make sure you can SEE & BE SEEN. Drivers: Slow down (speed kills). Visit www.dot.ga.gov/SBS. #ArriveAliveGA

Andrew Smith

From:	Northrup, Jay <jay.northrup@cobbcounty.org></jay.northrup@cobbcounty.org>
Sent:	Monday, May 22, 2017 10:32 AM
То:	Andrew Smith
Cc:	Diaz, Amy; White, Ashley; Gaines, Jason
Subject:	Comment Re: DCT Factory Shoals (DRI 2670)

Good Morning Mr. Smith:

I have reviewed the information provided for the DRI review of DCT Factory Shoals (DRI 2670) for the Cobb County Community Development Agency. There is no comment from the Community Development Agency prospective but would express agreement with the analysis in the Preliminary Comments and the recommendations in the Natural Resources Division Review Comments. The greatest potential impact upon Cobb County is most likely traffic, for which we will defer comment to the Cobb County Department of Transportation if they are of the opinion it is merited.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment.

Jay Northrup, AICP Intergovernmental Coordinator Cobb County **Community Development** Agency Planning Division Post Office Box 649 Marietta, Georgia 30061-0649 T: (770) 528-2199 F: (770)528-2161 E: jay.northrup@cobbcounty.org



Andrew Smith

From:	Diaz, Amy <amy.diaz@cobbcounty.org></amy.diaz@cobbcounty.org>
Sent:	Thursday, May 25, 2017 2:52 PM
То:	Andrew Smith
Subject:	RE: Comment Re: DCT Factory Shoals (DRI 2670)

Andrew,

I've heard back from Traffic Operations now and as expected we didn't have any additional concerns. I'm not sure you still need this email (I had one email with a May 30th deadline and one with a May 23rd deadline, and you've sent out the NOD) but just to let you know.

Amy Diaz, PE, PTOE **COBB COUNTY DOT** (770) 528-1683

From: Andrew Smith [mailto:ASmith@atlantaregional.com]
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 11:11 AM
To: Northrup, Jay
Cc: Diaz, Amy; White, Ashley; Gaines, Jason
Subject: RE: Comment Re: DCT Factory Shoals (DRI 2670)

Thanks, Jay.

From: Northrup, Jay [mailto:Jay.Northrup@cobbcounty.org]
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 10:32 AM
To: Andrew Smith <ASmith@atlantaregional.com>
Cc: Diaz, Amy <Amy.Diaz@cobbcounty.org>; White, Ashley <Ashley.White@cobbcounty.org>; Gaines, Jason
<Jason.Gaines@cobbcounty.org>
Subject: Comment Re: DCT Factory Shoals (DRI 2670)

Good Morning Mr. Smith:

I have reviewed the information provided for the DRI review of DCT Factory Shoals (DRI 2670) for the Cobb County Community Development Agency. There is no comment from the Community Development Agency prospective but would express agreement with the analysis in the Preliminary Comments and the recommendations in the Natural Resources Division Review Comments. The greatest potential impact upon Cobb County is most likely traffic, for which we will defer comment to the Cobb County Department of Transportation if they are of the opinion it is merited.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment.

Jay Northrup, AICP Intergovernmental Coordinator Cobb County **Community Development** Agency Planning Division **Post Office Box** 649 Marietta, Georgia 30061-0649 T: (770) 528-2199 F: (770)528-2161 **E**: jay.northrup@cobbcounty.org





If yes, provide the following	Project Name:
information:	Project ID:
	Rezoning
The initial action being	Variance
requested of the local	Sewer
government for this project:	Water
	Permit
	Other
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?	
	This project/phase: Dec 2018
Completion Dates:	Overall project: Dec 2018
Back to Top	

You are logged in to the DRI Website as *andrew.smith*. | Change Password | Go to Applications Listing
GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page | Site Map | Statements | Contact

© 2017 Georgia Department of Community Affairs



capacity available to serve the proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No
If no, describe any plans to e	expand the existing water supply capacity:
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	◯(not selected) ◯ Yes [®] No
-	line (in miles) will be required?
	Wastewater Disposal
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Douglasville-Douglas County WSA
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.014 MGD
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	◯(not selected) [@] Yes ◯No
If no, describe any plans to e	expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	○(not selected) [®] Yes ○No
If yes, how much additional I to site	ine (in miles) will be required?Approximately 0.2 miles from Douglas Hill Road (south of site)
	Land Transportation
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	, Approximately: 1,856 net daily trips, 129 trips AM peak, 140 trips PM peak
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	◯(not selected) [®] Yes ◯No
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	(not selected) [®] Yes No
lf yes, please describe below Monday, May 8, 2017.	v:Please refer to traffic study performed by Kimley-Horn and Associates (to be turned in
	Solid Waste Disposal
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	○(not selected) [®] Yes ○No
If no, describe any plans to e	expand existing landfill capacity:
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	◯(not selected) ◯ Yes ◎ No
lf yes, please explain:	
	Stormwater Management
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?	60%-65%
	posed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the

Is the development located w	ithin, or likely to affect any of the following:	
1. Water supply watersheds?	⊂ (not selected) ⊃ Yes ■ No	
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	(not selected) Yes No	
3. Wetlands?	○(not selected) ● Yes ONo	
4. Protected mountains?	(not selected) Yes No	
5. Protected river corridors?	○(not selected) ○ Yes [®] No	
6. Floodplains?	○(not selected) ○Yes [®] No	
7. Historic resources?	○(not selected) ○Yes [●] No	
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	◯ (not selected) ◯ Yes ■ No	
	uestion above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected: an for creek impact and wetland impact.	

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page Site Map | Statements | Contact

