

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

**DATE**: April 3, 2017 **ARC REVIEW CODE**: R17040302

**TO:** Chairman Charlotte Nash

ATTN TO: Jerry Oberholtzer, Manager, Current Planning Section

FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director

**RE:** Development of Regional Impact Review - Notification Only

For Doug Hooker Digital signature Original on file

1. EMERON B

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a preliminary regional review of the following development. ARC reviewed the development with regard to conflicts to regional plans, goals, and policies and impacts it might have on the activities, plans, goals, and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal, and other agencies.

Name of Proposal: Legacy Park

**Review Type:** DRI - Notification Only **Submitting Local Government**: Gwinnett County

<u>Date Opened</u>: April 3, 2017 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: April 13, 2017 <u>Date to Close</u>: April 13, 2017

<u>Description</u>: This proposed development is located north of Duluth Highway (Georgia State Route 120) near its intersection with Meadow Church Road and Primerica Parkway. This proposed mixed-use development will consist of office, retail and residential uses.

<u>PRELIMINARY COMMENTS:</u> According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), part of The Atlanta Region's Plan, this DRI is located in the Developed Suburbs Area of the region. Developed Suburbs are areas that have developed from roughly 1995 to today and are projected to remain suburbs through 2040. ARC's Regional Development Guide (RDG) details recommended policies for areas on the UGPM. General information and recommendations for Developed Suburbs and Regional Industrial and Logistics Areas are listed at the bottom of these comments.

Further to the above, regional policy recommendations for Developed Suburbs include:

- New development should connect to the existing road network and adjacent developments and use of cul-de-sacs or other means resulting in disconnected subdivisions should be discouraged
- Maximize the usefulness of existing recreational facilities in addition to providing new recreational opportunities
- Eliminate vacant or under-utilized parking areas through mechanisms such as out-parceling or conversion to community open space
- Use rain gardens, vegetated swales or other enhanced water filtration design to enhance the quality of stormwater run-off
- Identify other opportunities to foster a sense of community by developing town centers, village centers or other places of centralized location

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH AND ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
CITY OF DULUTH

ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS
ARC AGING AND HEALTH RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Andrew Smith at (404) 463-5581 or asmith@atlantaregional.com. This finding will be published to the ARC website.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse.



# REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



## DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT **REQUEST FOR COMMENTS**

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Commission for review. A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. Although this proposed development does not cross ARC DRI review

thresholds, we would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI Notification Only review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RC on or before the specified return deadline. Preliminary Findings of the RDC: **Legacy Park** See the Preliminary Report. Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed): **Individual Completing Form:** Local Government: Please return this form to: Andrew Smith, Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street NE Department: Atlanta, GA 30303 Ph. (404) 463-5581 Fax (404) 463-3254 asmith@atlantaregional.com Telephone: ( Return Date: April 13, 2017 Signature: Date:

### ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

**DATE**: April 3, 2017 **ARC REVIEW CODE**: R17140302

TO: ARC Community Development, Natural Resources, Transportation Access and Mobility, Research and

Analytics, and Aging and Health Resources Division Chiefs

FROM: Andrew Smith, Extension: 3-5581

### Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

Community Development: Smith, Andrew Transportation Access and Mobility: Mangham, Marquitrice

Natural Resources: Santo, Jim Research and Analytics: Skinner, Jim

Aging and Health Resources: Burgess, Sue

Name of Proposal: Legacy Park

**Review Type:** Developments of Regional Impact

<u>Description:</u> This proposed development is located north of Duluth Highway (Georgia State Route 120) near its intersection with Meadow Church Road and Primerica Parkway. This proposed mixed-use development will consist of office, retail and residential uses.

**Submitting Local Government:** Gwinnett County

Date Opened: April 3, 2017

Deadline for Comments: April 13, 2017

Date to Close: April 13, 2017

Response.	
1)	$\square$ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
3)	☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
4)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
5)	$\Box$ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.
	Comments:
•	





