Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: November 21, 2016 ARC REVIEW CODE: R1611211

**TO:** Mayor Donna Pittman, City of Doraville

ATTN TO: Enrique Bascunana, Community Development Director

**FROM:** Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARC RE: Development of Regional Impact Review

Digital signature Original on file

Drugh R. Hok

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a preliminary regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to its relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. This preliminary report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the local government.

Name of Proposal: Nexus Town Center (DRI #2635)

**Review Type:** DRI **Submitting Local Government:** City of Doraville

<u>Date Opened</u>: Nov. 21, 2016 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Dec. 6, 2016 <u>Date to Close</u>: Dec. 12, 2016

#### Description:

This DRI is located in the City of Doraville, bounded by North DeKalb Drive on the south, Buford Highway on the west, and Longmire Way Extension on the north – just north of the I–285/Buford Highway interchange. The project is a mixed-use redevelopment of a vacant, formerly commercial property. It is proposed to consist of 350 apartments, 175 senior living units, a 125-room hotel, 60,000 SF of retail space, 50,000 SF of office space, and a 12,000 SF school (daycare/pre–K). The site is proposed to utilize existing access on Buford Highway and North DeKalb Drive. Longmire Way Extension is a private road on the property with cross-access easements from adjacent property owners. The projected build-out for this DRI is 2019. The DRI trigger for this project is a permit application filed with the City of Doraville.

<u>PRELIMINARY COMMENTS:</u> According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), a component of the Atlanta Region's Plan, the proposed development is located within a Regional Employment Corridor. The ARC Regional Development Guide (RDG), a related Atlanta Region's Plan component, details recommended policies for areas and places on the UGPM.

Recommended policies for Regional Employment Corridors include:

- Continue to invest in the LCI program to assist local governments in center planning and infrastructure.
- Prioritize preservation of existing transit; increase frequency and availability of transit options.
- Encourage compact infill development, redevelopment and adaptive reuse.
- Create a range of housing options to accommodate all sectors of the workforce.
- Encourage active ground floor, pedestrian-scale design and pedestrian amenities in new development and redevelopment of existing sites.

This DRI appears to manifest many of the above policies for this area of the region – in particular, converting an underutilized site to a mixed-use development with a significant housing component, connected to the existing road network, and close to rail transit (approximately one-half mile to the Doraville MARTA station). These characteristics offer the potential for site residents to work and shop on site, and for workers and visitors to park once or arrive via alternative transportation modes and conduct multiple trips on foot. This framework can eliminate dependency on cars for internal circulation and encourage workers and visitors to use alternative transportation modes to access the development.

-CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE-

Along these lines, care should be taken to ensure that the development promotes a functional, safe, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all streets, paths and parking areas, as well as all connections from the project to neighboring uses. The development team is also encouraged to ensure that end-of-trip facilities (bicycle racks, etc.) are provided for residents, workers and visitors at key locations throughout the site.

The intensity of this proposed project generally aligns with the RDG's recommended range of densities and building heights in Regional Employment Corridors.

Additional preliminary comments are included in this report.

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

ARC Transportation Access & Mobility ARC Aging & Health Resources Georgia Department of Transportation

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Andrew Smith at (404) 463-5581 or <a href="mailto:asmith@atlantaregional.com">asmith@atlantaregional.com</a>. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/land-use/planreviews">http://www.atlantaregional.com/land-use/planreviews</a>.



# REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



# DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Commission for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to generate impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is located, for example in adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included in this packet and offer your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to ARC on or before the specified return deadline.

proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included in this packet and offer your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to ARC on or before the specified return deadline. Preliminary Findings of the RDC: Nexus Town Center See the Preliminary Report. Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed): Individual Completing Form: Local Government: Please return this form to: Andrew Smith, Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street NE Department: Atlanta, GA 30303 Ph. (404) 463-5581 Fax (404) 463-3254 asmith@atlantaregional.com Telephone: ( Return Date: December 6, 2016 Signature: Date:

# ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: November 21, 2016 ARC REVIEW CODE: R1611211

**TO:** ARC Division Managers

**FROM:** Andrew Smith, Ext. 3-5581

# Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

<u>Community Development:</u> Smith, Andrew <u>Transportation Access and Mobility:</u> Mangham, Marquitrice

Natural Resources: Santo, Jim Research and Analytics: Skinner, Jim

Aging and Health Resources: N/A

Name of Proposal: Nexus Town Center

**Review Type:** Development of Regional Impact

<u>Description:</u> This DRI is located in the City of Doraville, bounded by North DeKalb Drive on the south, Buford Highway on the west, and Longmire Way Extension on the north – just north of the I-285/Buford Highway interchange. The project is a mixed-use redevelopment of a vacant, formerly commercial property. It is proposed to consist of 350 apartments, 175 senior living units, a 125-room hotel, 60,000 SF of retail space, 50,000 SF of office space, and a 12,000 SF school (daycare/pre-K). The site is proposed to utilize existing access on Buford Highway and North DeKalb Drive. Longmire Way Extension is a private road on the property with cross-access easements from adjacent property owners. The projected build-out for this DRI is 2019. The DRI trigger for this project is a permit application filed with the City of Doraville.

**Submitting Local Government:** City of Doraville

Date Opened: November 21, 2016

Deadline for Comments: December 6, 2016

Date to Close: December 12, 2016

Response:		
1)	$\hfill \square$ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.	
2)	$\Box$ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.	
3)	$\Box$ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.	
4)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.	
5)	$\Box$ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.	
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.	
	COMMENTS:	





# **Developments of Regional Impact**

**DRI Home** 

Tier Map

**Apply** 

View Submissions

**Login** 

#### **DRI #2635**

#### **DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information**

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.

#### **Local Government Information**

Submitting Local . Doraville

Government:

Individual completing form: Enrique Bascunana

Telephone: 770-283-4775

E-mail: enrique.bascunana@doravillega.us

\*Note: The local government representative completing this form is responsible for the accuracy of the information contained herein. If a project is to be located in more than one jurisdiction and, in total, the project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the local government in which the largest portion of the project is to be located is responsible for initiating the DRI review process.

#### **Proposed Project Information**

Name of Proposed Project: Nexus Town Center

Location (Street Address, 5597 Buford Highway, Doraville, GA

GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description):

9/20/2016 1:33 PM 1 of 3

Brief Description of Project:	Redevelopment of a vacant commercial property development comprised of residential, office, reta	
Development Type:		
(not selected)	OHotels	Wastewater Treatment Facilities
Office	Mixed Use	Petroleum Storage Facilities
Commercial	Airports	Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs
Wholesale & Distribution	Attractions & Recreational Facilities	Intermodal Terminals
Hospitals and Health Care	e Facilities Post-Secondary Schools	Truck Stops
Housing	○ Waste Handling Facilities	Any other development types
Industrial	Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants	
If other development type, de	escribe:	
	350 apartments, 175 senior living units, 125 key h 12,000sf s	notel, 60,000sf retail, 50,000sf office,
Developer:	Macauley Investments	
Mailing Address:	2970 Peachtree Road, Suite 150	
Address 2:		
	City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30305	
Telephone:	770-561-3563	
•	p.farr@macallangroup.com	
Is property owner different from developer/applicant?	(not selected) Yes No	
If yes, property owner:	Southern Gas Partners, LLC	
Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?	(not selected) Yes No	
If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?		
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	(not selected) Yes No	
If yes, provide the following information:	Project ID:	
The initial action being requested of the local government for this project:		

2 of 3 9/20/2016 1:33 PM

Other Zoning approved for this development. Permit submittal pending, triggering DRI..

Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?

If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?

Estimated Project This project/phase: 2019
Completion Dates: Overall project: 2019

Back to Top

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page | Site Map | Statements | Contact

© 2015 Georgia Department of Community Affairs

3 of 3 9/20/2016 1:33 PM



#### **Developments of Regional Impact**

**DRI Home** 

Tier Map

Apply

View Submissions

Login

#### **DRI #2635**

#### **DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information**

This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more

#### **Local Government Information**

Submitting Local Government: Doraville

Individual completing form: Enrique Bascunana

Telephone: 770-283-4775

Email: enrique.bascunana@doravillega.us

#### **Project Information**

Name of Proposed Project: Nexus Town Center

DRI ID Number: 2635

Developer/Applicant: Macauley Investments

Telephone: 770-561-3563

Email(s): p.farr@macallangroup.com

#### **Additional Information Requested**

Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional

(not selected) Yes No

review process? (If no, proceed to Economic

If ves, has that additional

information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, (not selected) Yes No GRTA?

If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.

#### **Economic Development**

Estimated Value at Build-Out:

140,000,000

Estimated annual local tax generated by the proposed million. development:

revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be Existing annual property taxes are \$65,000. Property taxes have been abated for 25 years for the development. Future projected property tax revenue in year 26 is approximately \$4

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed

(not selected) Yes No

project?

Will this development displace any existing uses? (not selected) Yes No

If yes, please describe (including number of units, square feet, etc): The development will displace a vacant/abandoned commercial building (approximately 117,450 sf) formerly occupied by Kmart. The development does not displace any

#### **Water Supply**

Name of water supply provider for this site:

DeKalb County

What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of

0.19 MGD

11/18/2016 3:37 PM 1 of 3

Gallons Per Day (MGD)?		
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	(not selected) • Yes No	
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand the existing water supply capacity:	
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No	
	ine (in miles) will be required? however, a loop through the site is being planned to be dedicated to the County as a	
	Wastewater Disposal	
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	DeKalb County	
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.16 MGD	
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No	
sanitary sewer trunk line dow The County is currently clean Action Plan (SAP) has been a development to retain a full d SAP plan includes installing a releases during "non-peak" tin	xpand existing wastewater treatment capacity: It is not fully clear whether the existing instream of the development's discharge point has adequate capacity during peak events. ing, resurveying and recalibrating their model to verify capacity. In the interim, a Sewer prepared and submitted to DeKalb County for their approval. The County has asked the ay's sewer flow onsite and discharge during off-peak hours as a mitigation measure. The a storage vault that temporarily holds the sanitary sewer during the "peak" times and mes. The SAP plan includes installing a storage vault that temporarily holds the sanitary is and releases during "non-peak" times.	
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No	
If yes, how much additional li	ne (in miles) will be required?	
	Land Transportation	
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	Approximately 6,375 net daily trips, 498 net AM peak trips and 601 net PM peak trips.	
Has a traffic study been performed to determine		
whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No	
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No	
If yes, please describe below	:Please see Traffic Study	
	Solid Waste Disposal	
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?		
annually (in tons)? Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No	
	xpand existing landfill capacity:	
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	(not selected) Yes No	
If yes, please explain:A small	amount of asbestos will be demolished in the glue of the tile of the existing Kmart building.	
	Stormwater Management	
What percentage of the site		
is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?	90%	

2 of 3

Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's impacts on stormwater management. The site will be redeveloped with a detention pond, and water quality and channel protection.			
Environmental Quality			
Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:			
Water supply watersheds?	(not selected) Yes No		
Significant groundwater recharge areas?	(not selected) Yes No		
3. Wetlands?	(not selected) Yes No		
4. Protected mountains?	(not selected) Yes No		
5. Protected river corridors?	(not selected) Yes No		
6. Floodplains?	(not selected) Yes No		
7. Historic resources?	(not selected) Yes No		
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	(not selected) Yes No		
If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:  The development will encroach into streambank buffers and is seeking variances from the City of Doraville and Georgia  Environmental Protection Division (GAEPD) to reduce setback requirements while still providing appropriate buffer mitigation and streambank restoration measures.			
Back to Top			

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page | Site Map | Statements | Contact

© 2015 Georgia Department of Community Affairs

3 of 3

# **NEXUS TOWN CENTER DRI**

# City of Doraville Natural Resources Division Review Comments November 16, 2016

#### **Watershed Protection and Stream Buffers**

The proposed project is located in the North Fork of Peachtree Creek watershed, which is part of the Peachtree Creek watershed that drains into the Chattahoochee River downstream of the water supply intakes in the Atlanta Region. The USGS coverage for the project area shows no blue line streams on or near the property, but the submitted site plan shows a stream along the eastern boundary of the property, with a small tributary in the southeast corner of the property. Both streams show both the State 25-foot and the City's 50- and 75-foot buffers. The submitted plans show intrusions into the City buffers on both streams. Both areas, which include parking and access roads, appear to be over or near existing impervious areas on the already developed site. Work in these areas may be subject to the requirements of the Doraville Stream Buffer Ordinance, depending on the ordinance language and the scope of any new land disturbance and impervious surfaces. All waters of the state on the property are also subject to the State 25-foot erosion and sedimentation buffer requirements.

# Stormwater / Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. The amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development are dependent on the type and intensity of the use and the impervious coverage, which will affect the design of stormwater controls for the project.

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (<a href="www.georgiastormwater.com">www.georgiastormwater.com</a>) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

We also suggest the following additional measures to help reduce stormwater reduction and provide for its reuse:

- Use green spaces and tree planting beds as stormwater controls. These can be designed to
  provide maximum aesthetic value while also providing for water quality treatment and run-off
  reduction, potentially reducing the need for larger stormwater facilities and helping to minimize
  the negative effects of stormwater runoff on streams and water quality.
- Use pervious concrete or other pervious materials in the parking/storage areas. With the proper substrate, such materials can provide a large storage capacity, which will further help to reduce stormwater runoff and can help filter pollutants before reaching streams.
- Include rainwater capture in the project design to provide for landscape irrigation during dry periods.



regional impact + local relevance

# **Development of Regional Impact**

# Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

# **DRI INFORMATION**

DRI Number 2635

**DRI Title** Nexus Town Center

County DeKalb County

City (if applicable) Doraville

Address / Location I-285 & Buford Highway

Review Process EXPEDITED

NON-EXPEDITED

#### **REVIEW INFORMATION**

Prepared by ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division

Staff Lead Marquitrice Mangham

**Copied** David Haynes

Date November 17, 2016

# **TRAFFIC STUDY**

Prepared by Kimley & Horn

Date November 10, 2016

# **REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS**

01.	Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?
	YES (provide date of RTP project list used below and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)
	The proposed project is to redevelop a site located at the corner of Buford Highway and North Dekalb Drive in the City of Doraville. The site was the location of a Kmart which is poised for demolition. The new development proposes a mix of commercial (hotel, retail and office) and residential (senior housing and apartments). See page 9 of the traffic analysis for a proposed breakdown of the units.
	The final pages of Appendix E: Fact Sheets highlights four long range transportation projects as identified in the current Atlanta Region's Regional Transportation Plan and are dated 2016. The projects are identified as:
	DK 429 Park Avenue Extension from SR 13 (Buford Highway) to SR 141 (Peachtree Boulevard) for .3 miles- Long Range- Capacity Project network year 2030
	AR ML 200 Revive I285 North Managed Lanes and Collector Distributor Lane Improvements from I75 N to I85 N $$
	DK 402 Revive 285 North Collector/Distributor Lanes from US 23 (Buford Highway) to I 85 N1.1 Miles Long Range- Interchange Capacity Project Network Year 2030
	AR 410A Revive I285- North Corridor High Capacity Rail Service Right of Way Acquisition for Perimeter Center to Doraville. Long Range Transit Facilities Capacity. Project network year 2040
	☐ NO (provide comments below)

#### **REGIONAL NETWORKS**

### 02. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares?

A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.
□ NO
XES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)
Access to the site will be directly served by an existing right in right out driveway on US 13 Buford Highway which is a Regional Thoroughfare. The site will also have two (2) access points on North Dekalb Drive and three (3) Longmire Way.

# 03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.
□ NO
$oxed{ imes}$ YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)
Access to the site will be directly served by an existing right in right out driveway on US 13 Buford Highway which is a Regional Thoroughfare.

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

	NOT APPLICABLE (nearest station more than one mile away)		
$\boxtimes$	RAIL SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)		
	Operator / Rail Line	MARTA	
	Nearest Station	Doraville station is located approximately .8 miles from the subject site.	
	Distance*	☐ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)	
		☐ 0.10 to 0.50 mile	
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity	
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete	
		Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	
		Pedestrian facilities are existing along Buford Highway and along portions of North Dekalb Drive adjacent to the site. No sidewalks exist along Longmire. The transportation analysis states that the site will be equipped with sidewalks along North DeKalb Drive and And a multi use path along Buford Highway and Longmire Drive frontage.	
	Bicycling Access*	☐ Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity	
		Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity	
		Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets	
		Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	
		No bicycles facilities currently existing along the right of way however the traffic analysis mentions programmed facilities as apart Project DK 407.	
	Transit Connectivity	Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station	
		Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station	
		No services available to rail station	
		Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	

MARTA bus service currently provides service to rail service.

05. If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
CST planned within TIP period
CST planned within first portion of long range period
CST planned near end of plan horizon

Click here to provide comments.

06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the connnection a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

NOT APPLICABLE (neares	st bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away)	
SERVICE WITHIN ONE M	SERVICE WITHIN ONE MILE (provide additional information below)	
Operator(s)	MARTA, GRTA Express	
Bus Route(s)	Routes 25, 39, 104, 124 (MARTA),	
Distance*	☑ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)	
	☐ 0.10 to 0.50 mile	
	☐ 0.50 to 1.00 mile	
Walking Access*	☐ Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity	
	☐ Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete	
	☐ Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	
	Sidewalks currently exist along Buford Highway adjacent to the site. The transportation analysis states that the site wil be equipped with a sidewalks along North DeKalb Drive and And a multi use path along Buford Highway and Longmire Drive frontage.	
Bicycling Access*	☐ Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity	
	☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity	
	Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets	
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	
	Click here to provide comments.	

<sup>\*</sup> Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

07. Does a transit agency which provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within the jurisdiction in which the development site is located?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a comprehensive operations plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to serving the site during the evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the nature of the development is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and any routes within a one mile radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make these connections a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

NO
YES

See #6

08. If the development site is within one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path or trail is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and those facilities is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

NOT APPLICABLE (nearest path or trail more than one mile away)		
YES (provide additional information below)		
Name of facility	Click here to provide name of facility.	
Distance	☐ Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)	
	☐ 0.15 to 0.50 mile	
	☐ 0.50 to 1.00 mile	
Walking Access*	☐ Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity	
	☐ Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete	
	☐ Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	
	Click here to provide comments.	
Bicycling Access*	☐ Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity	
	☐ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity	
	☐ Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets	
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)	
	The transportation analysis states that the site will be equipped with a sidewalks along North DeKalb Drive and a multi-use path along Buford Highway and Longmire Drive frontage.	

# OTHER TRANSPORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

09.	Does the site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible roadway connections with adjacent parcels?
	The ability for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent roadway network can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.
	YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
	☐ NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
	NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel roadway connections)
	The subject parcel is bordered by public right of way on three sides. A commercial development is located along the northeast side of the site adjacent to the right of way (Longmire Extension) and interparcel connectivity is existing. Residential uses are located on the southeast boundary line. The existing development does show an opportunity for inter parcel connectivity to the residential subdivision.
10.	Does the site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the development site safely and conveniently?
	The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site plans should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key destinations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large acreage sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.
	YES (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and bicyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)
	PARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not comprehensive and/or direct)
	☐ NO (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and bicycling trips)
	Click here to provide comments.

	Does the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking connections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future?
	The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.
	YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
[	YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
[	NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)
[	NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
[	NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
[	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips)
s t	Pedestrian facilities currently exist along Buford Highway and North DeKalb Drive adjacent to the subject site. These facilities extend to neighboring parcels providing connectivity for pedestrian travelers. No bicycle facilities currently exist however the analysis does mention the incorporation of a multi-use trail along the project.
f	Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network?
	The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, sidewalks, paths and other facilities.
[	YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)
[	PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately)
	NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily by pedestrians, bicyclists and/or motorists)
[	NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/or very low truck volumes, so the potential for conflict is negligible)
	The project proposes six (6) driveways, two from a private drive, however the site plan does not depict imited access for the various modes. Commercial uses are saturated in the front of the parcel along

Buford Highway with residential uses in the rear of the site. Sidewalks are provided internal to the site however the site plan does not depict designated travel lanes for other modes internal to the site.

# **RECOMMENDATIONS**

the applicable local government(s):

13.	Do the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible from a constructability standpoint?
	UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary)
	YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)
	☐ NO (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
14.	Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?
	NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)
	YES (see comments below)
	Click here to enter text.
15.	ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or

The goal is always to provide safe facilities and access for all travelers. ARC encourages developers whenever possible, to consider minimizing the intermingling of pedestrian and bicycle traffic from truck

and freight traffic by designating facilities to serve the separate modes.

Page 11 of 11

