# **REGIONAL REVIEW FINDING**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

#### DATE: September 30, 2016

ARC REVIEW CODE: R1609141

TO: ATTN TO: FROM: RE:

Chairman Tim Lee, Cobb County Board of Commissioners John Pederson, Zoning Division Manager Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director, ARC Development of Regional Impact Review

)rayh R. Hok

Digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). Below is the ARC finding. ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to its relationship to regional plans, goals and policies – and impacts it may have on the activities, plans, goals and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal and other agencies. The finding does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the local government.

Name of Proposal: Galleria 75 Submitting Local Government: Cobb County Review Type: Development of Regional Impact Date Opened: Sept. 14, 2016 Date Closed: Sept. 30, 2016

**Description**: This DRI is located in Cobb County, east of the intersection Cobb Galleria Parkway and Riverwood Parkway. The site is north of Cumberland Boulevard, west of Interstate 75, and south of Akers Mill Road. The proposed mixed-use project will consist of seven buildings totaling approximately 450,000 sq. ft. of office space, 45,000 sq. ft. of retail space, and 600 residential units. Primary access to the development is proposed at the existing signalized intersection of Cobb Galleria Parkway and Riverwood Parkway, with secondary access via an existing right-in/right-out driveway at the southern boundary of the development. The DRI review trigger for this project is an application for a rezoning filed with Cobb County. The project is estimated to be complete in 2025. A portion of this DRI was reviewed in 1996 as part of a proposed DRI known as Kennedy Center.

<u>Comments</u>: According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM), a component of the Atlanta Region's Plan, the proposed development is located within a Regional Employment Corridor and a Regional Center. The ARC Regional Development Guide (RDG), a related Atlanta Region's Plan component, details recommended policies for areas and places on the UGPM.

Recommended policies for Regional Employment Corridors include:

- Continue to invest in the LCI program to assist local governments in center planning and infrastructure.
- Prioritize preservation of existing transit; increase frequency and availability of transit options.
- Encourage compact infill development, redevelopment and adaptive reuse.
- Create a range of housing options to accommodate all sectors of the workforce.

- Encourage active ground floor, pedestrian-scale design and pedestrian amenities in new development and redevelopment of existing sites.

Regional Centers are the region's key centers for employment, shopping and entertainment. These centers should be connected to the regional transportation network with existing or planned high-capacity transit service. In most cases, these centers have a jobs-housing imbalance, so housing options should be expanded within their boundaries, especially around existing or planned transit. Some Regional Centers could also be considered "Edge Cities," developed in a suburban, auto-oriented way. They have limited multi-modal transportation options and are challenged by increasing congestion. Local plans and policies should support efforts to transform these areas into highly accessible mixed-use urban hubs.

-CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE-

Recommended policies for Regional Centers include:

- Prioritize preservation, expansion and access to existing and planned transit systems and improve the quality and aesthetics of existing facilities.

- Incorporate appropriate end-of-trip facilities, such as bicycle racks and showers/locker rooms, within new and existing development.

- Enhance mobility and accessibility for all by creating Complete Streets that accommodate all modes of transportation.

- Encourage active ground floor, pedestrian-scale design and pedestrian amenities in new development and redevelopment of existing sites.

- Work toward improving the jobs-housing imbalance in Regional Centers and promote housing options to accommodate multiple household sizes and price points in close proximity to jobs.

- Use alternative designs and materials to minimize impervious surfaces to the greatest possible extent.

This DRI appears to manifest many of the above policies for this area of the region – in particular, converting a single-use site to a mixed-use development with a significant housing component, in an area that is predominated by commercial and office uses. These characteristics offer the potential for site residents to work and shop on site, and for workers and visitors to park once or arrive via alternative transportation modes and conduct multiple trips on foot. This framework can eliminate dependency on cars for internal circulation and encourage workers and visitors to use alternative transportation modes to access the development.

Along these lines, care should be taken to ensure that the development promotes a functional, safe, clearly marked and comfortable pedestrian experience on all streets, paths and parking areas, as well as all connections from the project to neighboring uses. These include the new multifamily residential development to the south of the DRI and any planned development to the north, between this DRI and Cobb Energy Centre. Per the site plan submitted for the DRI review, vehicle connectivity to the south, via Road A, is clear. A possible direct pedestrian path to the adjacent development, without routing pedestrians out to Cobb Galleria Parkway sidewalks, is less clear on the plan. This concept seems achievable, especially given the grading employed to bring the adjacent multifamily site up from the level of Cumberland Parkway to the level of this DRI. To the north, topography appears to be challenging, but any planned development for that site should connect to this DRI in some way – preferably for all modes of transportation but, at minimum, for pedestrians. This condition could eliminate dependency on cars for circulation, via Cobb Galleria Parkway, between that site and this DRI.

The development team is also encouraged to ensure that end-of-trip facilities (bicycle racks, etc.) are provided for residents, workers and visitors at key locations throughout the DRI site.

The proposed development is located within the Cumberland Livable Centers Initiative (LCI) study area. As a result, the development plan should be consistent with the recommendations of the LCI plan and any plan updates or supplemental studies. The original Cumberland LCI study was completed in 2001, with a major (10-year) update completed in 2011. Smaller supplemental studies have been conducted for this LCI area related to housing, signage, and transit-oriented development planning. In general, the DRI's mixed-use characteristics and reuse of an existing site, support the goals and recommendations of the LCI plan.

The intensity of this proposed project generally aligns with the RDG's recommended range of densities and building heights in Regional Employment Corridors.

Additional comments are included in this report.

-CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE-

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ARC RESEARCH & ANALYTICS GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DOBBINS AIR RESERVE BASE CITY OF SMYRNA ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS & MOBILITY ARC AGING & HEALTH RESOURCES GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF ATLANTA CUMBERLAND CID ARC NATURAL RESOURCES GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CITY OF MARIETTA NATIONAL PARK SERVICE/CRNRA

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact Andrew Smith at (404) 463–5581 or <u>asmith@atlantaregional.com</u>. This finding will be published to the ARC review website located at <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/land-use/planreviews">http://www.atlantaregional.com/land-use/planreviews</a>.

# GALLERIA PARKWAY MIXED USE DRI Cobb County Natural Resources Division Review Comments

#### September 8, 2016

#### Watershed and Stream Protection

The project is located in the Chattahoochee Corridor Watershed, but it is not within the 2000foot Chattahoochee River Corridor. The USGS coverage for the project area shows no blue line streams on or near the project property. Any waters of the state that may be on the property will be subject to the State 25-foot Erosion and Sedimentation buffer requirements.

The Chattahoochee is a large water supply watershed as defined under the Part 5 Criteria of the 1989 Georgia Planning Act. The only criteria that apply in a large (more than 100 square miles) basin without a water supply reservoir are requirements for hazardous waste handling, storage and disposal.

#### **Stormwater / Water Quality**

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, as with all development, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. The amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development are dependent on the type and intensity of the use and the impervious coverage, which will affect the design of stormwater controls for the project.

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (<u>www.georgiastormwater.com</u>) and meet the stormwater management quality and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

We also suggest the following additional measures to help reduce stormwater reduction and provide for its reuse:

- Use green spaces and tree planting beds as stormwater controls. These can be designed to provide maximum aesthetic value while also providing for water quality treatment and run-off reduction, potentially reducing the need for larger stormwater facilities and helping to minimize the negative effects of stormwater runoff on streams and water quality.
- Use pervious concrete or other pervious materials in the parking/storage areas. With the proper substrate, such materials can provide a large storage capacity, which will further help to reduce stormwater runoff and can help filter pollutants before reaching streams.
- Include rainwater capture in the project design to provide for landscape irrigation during dry periods.



regional impact + local relevance

# Development of Regional Impact Assessment of Consistency with the Regional Transportation Plan

# **DRI INFORMATION**

DRI Number	2615
DRI Title	GALLERIA 75
County	Cobb County
City (if applicable)	None / Unincorporated
Address / Location	Off Cobb Galleria Parkway, South of Akers Mill Road, North of Cumberland Parkway
<b>Review Process</b>	X EXPEDITED
	NON-EXPEDITED

# **REVIEW INFORMATION**

Prepared by	ARC Transportation Access and Mobility Division
Staff Lead	Marquitrice L Mangham
Copied	David Haynes
Date	September 7, 2016

# **TRAFFIC STUDY**

Prepared by	Croy Engineering, LLC
Date	September 1, 2016

# **REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN PROJECTS**

01. Did the traffic analysis incorporate all projects contained in the current version of the fiscally constrained RTP which are within the study area or along major transportation corridors connecting the study area with adjacent jurisdictions?

YES (provide date of RTP project list used below and the page number of the traffic study where relevant projects are identified)

#### NO (provide comments below)

The traffic study includes planned and programmed improvements from the Regional Transportation Plan, GDOT's Trans PI, Cobb County Comprehensive Transportation Plan and Blueprint Cumberland which are found on pages 8 and 9 of the traffic analysis. The date of the RTP list is not known, however there are projects In the current RTP proposed in the study area that are not listed in the traffic analysis. While not directly adjacent to the project site, development of the site may have indirect impact on these roadways. Excluded projects include: Cumberland Boulevard Widening from Akers Mill Road to Spring Street-network year 2020, 175 north managed lanes access ramp at Akers Mill Road-network year 2020.

#### **REGIONAL NETWORKS**

#### 02. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Thoroughfares?

A Regional Thoroughfare is a major transportation corridor that serves multiple ways of traveling, including walking, bicycling, driving, and riding transit. It connects people and goods to important places in metropolitan Atlanta. A Regional Thoroughfare's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Thoroughfares serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Thoroughfare, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

#### NO 🔀

#### YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)

The project site is in close proximity to Interstate 75, Interstate 285, Cumberland Blvd and Cobb Galleria Parkway. Interstates 75 and 285 and Cumberland Blvd are identified as regional thoroughfares. The two access points to the site are proposed from Cobb Galleria Parkway; a full access point at Cobb Galleria Parkway and Riverwood Parkway and a right in-right out access point further south.

#### 03. Will the development site be directly served by any roadways identified as Regional Truck Routes?

A Regional Truck Route is a freeway, state route or other roadway which serves as a critical link for the movement of goods to, from and within the Region by connecting airports, intermodal/multimodal facilities, distribution and warehousing centers and manufacturing clusters with the rest of the state and nation. These facilities often serve a key mobility and access function for other users as well, including drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians and transit users. A Regional Truck Route's operations should be managed through application of special traffic control strategies and suitable land development guidelines in order to maintain travel efficiency, reliability, and safety for all users. In light of the special function that Regional Truck Routes serve in supporting cross-regional and interjurisdictional mobility and access, the network receives priority consideration for infrastructure investment in the Metro Atlanta region. Any access points between the development and a Regional Truck Route, combined with the development's on-site circulation patterns, must be designed with the goal of preserving the highest possible level of capacity and safety for all users of the roadway.

NO NO

#### YES (identify the roadways and existing/proposed access points)

The project site is adjacent to 175, 1285 which are identified as regional truck routes however the site will be served access from Cobb Galleria Parkway.

04. If the development site is within one mile of an existing rail service, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce congestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

ipi o voimentoi	
NOT APPLICABLE (neare	est station more than one mile away)
RAIL SERVICE WITHIN O	NE MILE (provide additional information below)
Operator / Rail Line	Click here to enter name of operator and rail line
Nearest Station	Click here to enter name of station.
Distance*	Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
	0.10 to 0.50 mile
	0.50 to 1.00 mile
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
	Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	Route follows high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.
Transit Connectivity	Fixed route transit agency bus service available to rail station
	Private shuttle or circulator available to rail station
	No services available to rail station
	Not applicable (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	Click here to provide comments.
	NOT APPLICABLE (neare RAIL SERVICE WITHIN O Operator / Rail Line Nearest Station Distance* Walking Access* Bicycling Access*

\* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

05. If there is currently no rail transit service within one mile of the development site, is nearby rail service planned in the fiscally constrained RTP?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and expansion plans are being considered in the general vicinity of the development site, the agency should give consideration to how the site can be best served during the evaluation of alignments and station locations. Proactive negotiations with the development team and local government(s) are encouraged to determine whether right-of-way within the site should be identified and protected for potential future service. If direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) are encouraged to ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and the future rail line. These improvements should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with
should be considered fundamental components of the overall transit expansion project, with improvements completed concurrent with or prior to the transit service being brought online.

- NOT APPLICABLE (rail service already exists)
- NOT APPLICABLE (accessing the site by transit is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
- NO (no plans exist to provide rail service in the general vicinity)
- YES (provide additional information on the timeframe of the expansion project below)
  - CST planned within TIP period
  - CST planned within first portion of long range period
  - CST planned near end of plan horizon

The Atlanta Region's Plan includes development of a high capacity rail service along the I-285 North corridor linking the Cumberland/Galleria area with Perimeter Center and Doraville. The RTP includes funding only for ROW preservation, to be done in conjunction with the Revive 285 managed lanes project. It does not include funding for construction and operation of rail service. 06. If the development site is within one mile of fixed route bus services (including any privately operated shuttles or circulators open to the general public), provide information on walking and bicycling accessibility conditions.

ca jo bi lo	nnot or prefer not to driv bs, and can help reduce c cycling between the deve	elopments and transit services provide options for people who e, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and ongestion. If a transit service is available nearby, but walking or lopment site and the nearest station is a challenge, the applicable uraged to make the connection a funding priority for future structure improvements.
	NOT APPLICABLE (neare	st bus, shuttle or circulator stop more than one mile away)
$\square$	SERVICE WITHIN ONE M	ILE (provide additional information below)
	Operator(s)	MARTA (limited) Cobb Community Transit
	Bus Route(s)	10, 10 A, 10B, 12, 50
	Distance*	$\bigotimes$ Within or adjacent to the development site (0.10 mile or less)
		0.10 to 0.50 mile
		0.50 to 1.00 mile
	Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide sufficient connectivity
		Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
		Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
		Sidewalks and crosswalks currently exist along both sides of Cobb Galleria Parkway and along Cumberland Blvd adjacent to the site providing pedestrian access. Internal bicycle and pedestrian facilities to allow for internal pedestrian circulation on the site are also being proposed.
	Bicycling Access*	Dedicated paths, lanes or cycle tracks provide sufficient connectivity
		🔀 Low volume and/or low speed streets provide sufficient connectivity
		Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
		Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
		Click here to provide comments.

\* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

07. Does a transit agency which provides rail and/or fixed route bus service operate anywhere within the jurisdiction in which the development site is located?

Access between major developments and transit services provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If a transit agency operates within the jurisdiction and a comprehensive operations plan update is undertaken, the agency should give consideration to serving the site during the evaluation of future routes, bus stops and transfer facilities. If the nature of the development is amenable to access by transit, walking or bicycling, but direct service to the site is not feasible or cost effective, the transit agency and local government(s) should ensure good walking and bicycling access accessibility is provided between the development and any routes within a one mile radius. The applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make these connections a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

☐ NO
------

YES

See #6

08. If the development site is within one mile of an existing multi-use path or trail, provide information on accessibility conditions.

Access between major developments and walking/bicycling facilities provide options for people who cannot or prefer not to drive, expand economic opportunities by better connecting people and jobs, and can help reduce traffic congestion. If connectivity with a regionally significant path or trail is available nearby, but walking or bicycling between the development site and those facilities is a challenge, the applicable local government(s) is encouraged to make the route a funding priority for future walking and bicycling infrastructure improvements.

	NOT APPLICABLE	(nearest	path or	trail mo	re than o	ne mile away)
--	----------------	----------	---------	----------	-----------	---------------

YES (provide additional information below)

Name of facility	Chattahoochee Recreational, Unknown Trail Name
Distance	Within or adjacent to development site (0.10 mile or less)
	🔀 0.15 to 0.50 mile
	0.50 to 1.00 mile
Walking Access*	Sidewalks and crosswalks provide connectivity
	Sidewalk and crosswalk network is incomplete
	Not applicable (accessing the site by walking is not consistent with the type of development proposed)
	A multi use trail exists adjacent to the site along Cobb Galleria Parkway. Sidewalks are depicting allowing for site access from the existing trail. Traffic study report states that pedestrian access will be provided in accordance with Cobb County development requirements.
Bicycling Access*	Dedicated lanes or cycle tracks provide connectivity
	☑ Low volume and/or low speed streets provide connectivity
	Route uses high volume and/or high speed streets
	Not applicable (accessing the site by bicycling is not consistent with the type of development proposed)

\* Following the most direct feasible walking or bicycling route to the nearest point on the development site

# **OTHER TRANSPORTATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS**

09. Does the site plan provide for the construction of publicly accessible roadway connections with adjacent parcels?

The ability for drivers and bus routes to move between developments without using the adjacent roadway network can save time and reduce congestion. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.

- YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
- YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
- NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
- NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
- NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel roadway connections)

The site plan depicts connectivity to the existing land uses adjacent to th3 project site. There is an undeveloped parcel abutting the northern boundary of the project site. The site plan nor the traffic analysis indicate that provisions are being made to allow for vehicular access via inter parcel connectivity.

10. Does the site plan enable pedestrians and bicyclists to move between destinations within the development site safely and conveniently?

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move within the site safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Development site plans should incorporate well designed and direct sidewalk connections between all key destinations. To the extent practical, bicycle lanes or multiuse paths are encouraged for large acreage sites and where high volumes of bicyclists and pedestrians are possible.

- YES (sidewalks provided on all key walking routes and both sides of roads whenever practical and bicyclists should have no major issues navigating the street network)
- PARTIAL (some walking and bicycling facilities are provided, but connections are not comprehensive and/or direct)
- NO (walking and bicycling facilities within the site are limited or nonexistent)
- NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development does not lend itself to internal walking and bicycling trips)

The site plan shows external interconnectivity for pedestrians between adjacent developments currently exists and/or are proposed via sidewalks along the right of way. It also shows bicycle and pedestrian facilities are being proposed internal to the site.

11. Does the site plan provide the ability to construct publicly accessible bicycling and walking connections with adjacent parcels which may be redeveloped in the future?

The ability for walkers and bicyclists to move between developments safely and conveniently reduces reliance on vehicular trips, which has congestion reduction and health benefits. Such opportunities should be considered and proactively incorporated into development site plans whenever possible.

- YES (connections to adjacent parcels are planned as part of the development)
- YES (stub outs will make future connections possible when adjacent parcels redevelop)
- NO (the development site plan does not enable walking or bicycling to/from adjacent parcels)
- NO (the site plan precludes future connections with adjacent parcels when they redevelop)
- NOT APPLICABLE (adjacent parcels are not likely to develop or redevelop in the near future)
- NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development or adjacent parcels does not lend itself to interparcel walking and bicycling trips)

The site plan shows external interconnectivity for pedestrians between adjacent developments currently exists and/or are proposed along Cobb Galleria Parkway via sidewalks along the right of way. It also shows bicycle and pedestrian facilities are being proposed internal to the site.

12. Does the site plan effectively manage truck movements and separate them, to the extent possible, from the flow of pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists both within the site and on the surrounding road network?

The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent practical, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways, sidewalks, paths and other facilities.

- YES (truck routes to serve destinations within the site are clearly delineated, provide ample space for queuing and turning around, and are separated from other users to the extent practical)
- PARTIAL (while one or more truck routes are also used by motorists and/or interface with primary walking and bicycling routes, the site plan mitigates the potential for conflict adequately)
- NO (one or more truck routes serving the site conflict directly with routes likely to be used heavily by pedestrians, bicyclists and/or motorists)
- NOT APPLICABLE (the nature of the development will not generate a wide variety of users and/or very low truck volumes, so the potential for conflict is negligible)

The proposed development will consist of 600 apartments (Buildings B & C) 400,000 square feet of office use (Building A) and 20,000 square feet of specialty retail (Buildings D,E and G), 25,000 square feet of retail and 50,000 square feet of office (Building F). Building A sits in the rear of the development and depicts a loading dock. The loading dock appears to only be accessible by the

limited driveway which is also provides vehicular and pedestrian access. The propose use for building F which sits in front of the development is to house a 25,000 square foot anchor store however, the information provided does not give any indication on parking, loading and unloading of large freight trucks to the building. The building is bordered by the main driveway and parking. Freight circulation appears to share lanes and drives with vehicles and bicycles. No lane separation internal to the site. More information is needed regarding loading and circulation of large freight carriers to this site.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

- 13. Do the transportation network recommendations outlined in the traffic study appear to be feasible from a constructability standpoint?
  - UNKNOWN (additional study is necessary)
  - YES (based on information made available through the review process; does not represent a thorough engineering / financial analysis)
  - NO (see comments below)

Click here to enter text.

- 14. Is ARC aware of any issues with the development proposal which may result in it being opposed by one or more local governments, agencies or stakeholder groups?
  - NO (based on information shared with ARC staff prior to or during the review process; does not reflect the outcome of an extensive stakeholder engagement process)
  - YES (see comments below)

Click here to enter text.

15. ARC offers the following additional comments for consideration by the development team and/or the applicable local government(s):

In an effort to promote roadway decongestion and increase safety and accessibility, ARC recommends that consideration is given to providing for future vehicular interconnectivity between the proposed development and adjacent undeveloped sites whenever possible.

The ability for delivery and service vehicles to efficiently enter, maneuver inside and exit major developments is often key to their economic success. So is the ability of visitors and customers being able to move around safely and pleasantly within the site. To the extent feasible, truck movements should be segregated by minimizing the number of conflict points with publicly accessible internal roadways drive lanes, sidewalks, paths and other facilities.



September 15, 2016

Mr. Andrew Smith Sr. Planner, Community Development Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland St Atlanta, GA 30303

Re: Galleria 75 (DRI #2615)

Dear Mr. Smith:

This is to confirm that the Cumberland Community Improvement District (CID) has been engaged in a community visioning effort over the past 15 years to help guide infrastructure and development needs in the Cumberland community. Known as Blueprint Cumberland, it falls under the framework of the Atlanta Regional Commission's Livable Centers Initiative (LCI) program which is a conduit for federal funds to aid community development through infrastructure projects.

Blueprint Cumberland seeks to address a variety of community needs, including diverse land uses, a healthy mix of housing, robust transportation, economic opportunity, cultural amenities and much more. Under LCI guidelines, Blueprint Cumberland is due for its next update in 2017. To that end the CID Board of Directors approved advancing the next comprehensive update ("Blueprint Cumberland 3.0") at its April 28, 2016 board meeting and expects a completed document in mid to late 2017. This update, along with the preceding updates, continues a multi-year, viable community effort to shape growth in the fastest growing submarket in the region.

The proposed Development of Regional Impact, **Galleria 75/DRI #2615**, located in unincorporated Cobb County east of Cobb Galleria Parkway, north of Cumberland Parkway, and south of Akers Mill Road, is situated in the core of the Cumberland LCI and therefore ideally suited for the mix of uses and densities proposed. In fact, conceptually it is the type of investment Blueprint Cumberland has encouraged over the past 15 years and is therefore supported by the Cumberland CID.

If you have any questions about Blueprint Cumberland, please feel free to contact me at (770) 859-2333 with any further questions.

Sincerely,

Malaika Rivers Executive Director Cumberland Community Improvement District

# **Andrew Smith**

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Attachments: Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov> Thursday, September 15, 2016 2:23 PM Andrew Smith Brian, Steve; Comer, Carol RE: ARC DRI Review Notification: Galleria 75 (DRI #2615) Preliminary Report - Galleria 75 .pdf

Andrew,

The proposed mixed-use project will consist of seven buildings totaling approximately 450,000 sq. ft. of office space, 45,000 sq. ft. of retail space, and 600 residential units, is located approximately 3 miles South East of the Dobbins Air Force Base, and is located outside of any of their FAA surfaces, and compatible land use areas, and does not appear to impact the airport.

However, if the proposed project's vertical construction, or equipment exceeds 200ft above ground level, an FAA Form 7460-1 must be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration. That may be done online at <u>https://oeaaa.faa.gov</u>. The FAA must be in receipt of the notification, no later than 90 days prior to construction. The FAA will evaluate the potential impact of the project on protected airspace associated with the airports and advise the proponent if any action is necessary.

# Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed development.

Alan Hood | Airport Safety Data Program Manager Georgia Department of Transportation - Aviation Programs 600 West Peachtree Street, N.W. | 2nd Floor | Atlanta, Georgia 30308 T: 404-631-1343 | F: 404-631-1935 | M: 404-660-3394 | E: <u>achood@dot.ga.gov</u>

View our website at <a href="http://www.dot.ga.gov/IS/Aviation">http://www.dot.ga.gov/IS/Aviation</a>

# From: Andrew Smith [mailto:ASmith@atlantaregional.com]

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 6:04 PM

**To:** 'jud.turner@gaepd.org'; VanDyke, Cindy; Fowler, Matthew; Comer, Carol; Hood, Alan C.; Allen, Patrick; Boone, Eric; Humphrey, James; Woods, Chris N.; Greg Floyd (gfloyd@itsmarta.com); 'lbeall@grta.org'; 'BDennard@grta.org'; Parker Martin; 'DRI@grta.org'; 'Jon West'; Charletta Wilson Jacks (cjacks@atlantaga.gov); jlewis@AtlantaGa.Gov; Brian Binzer (bbinzer@mariettaga.gov); rroth@mariettaga.gov; ksuddreth@ci.smyrna.ga.us; rgmartin@ci.smyrna.ga.us; mrivers@cumberlandcid.org; kclark@cumberlandcid.org; chat\_superintendent@nps.gov; allyson\_read@nps.gov; ann\_couch@nps.gov; richard.crim.ctr@us.af.mil; Dana Johnson (dana.johnson@cobbcounty.org); Jason Gaines (jason.gaines@cobbcounty.org); Pederson, John; 'jane.stricklin@cobbcounty.org'; White, Ashley; Dan Dobry; Greg Teague; tadl@mindspring.com; Boone DuPree (bdupree@pacapts.com); jmoore@mijs.com; W7@mijs.com **Cc:** Community Development; Mike Alexander; David Haynes; Marquitrice Mangham; Jim Skinner; Jim Santo; Renee Ray **Subject:** ARC DRI Review Notification: Galleria 75 (DRI #2615)

#### Development of Regional Impact (DRI) – Request for Comments

This e-mail serves as notice that the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has begun a Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review for **Galleria 75 (DRI #2615)**.

This DRI is located in Cobb County, east of the intersection Cobb Galleria Parkway and Riverwood Parkway. The site is north of Cumberland Boulevard, west of Interstate 75, and south of Akers Mill Road. The proposed mixed-use project will consist of seven buildings totaling approximately 450,000 sq. ft. of office space, 45,000 sq. ft. of retail space, and 600 residential units. Primary access to the development is proposed at the existing signalized intersection of Cobb Galleria Parkway and Riverwood Parkway, with secondary access via an existing right-in/right-out driveway at the southern boundary of the development. The DRI review trigger for this project is an application for a rezoning filed with Cobb County. The project is estimated to be complete in 2025. A portion of this DRI was reviewed in 1996 as part of a proposed DRI known as Kennedy Center.

As a representative of a nearby community or potentially affected party, we request that you or your staff review the attached Preliminary Report and provide any comments on or before **September 29, 2016**.

You may also view the preliminary report and other project information by visiting the <u>ARC Plan Reviews webpage</u> and searching for "Galleria 75" in the field at the bottom of the page. The report and other information will be available online as of tomorrow, September 15.

Date opened: September 14, 2016 Deadline for comments: September 29, 2016 Close by: October 4, 2016 (If no significant issues are identified during the comment period, the review will close on September 30, 2016 per LCI Expedited Review process in ARC DRI Rules.)

For more information regarding the DRI process or other DRIs reviewed by ARC, please visit the <u>ARC DRI webpage</u>.

#### **Regards,** Andrew Smith Senior Planner, Community Development Division

#### Atlanta Regional Commission regional impact + local relevance

40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30303-2538

P | 404.463.5581 F | 404.463.3254

asmith@atlantaregional.com atlantaregional.com

It's Georgia Department of Transportation's centennial! We were founded on August 16, 1916. The Department's work over the last century has contributed to a treasured quality of life for Georgians and to the incredible economic development of the Peach State. Georgia DOT has served for 100 years with simply the best in safety, service and innovation. And we will continue to embrace change, encourage innovation, meet new challenges and break new barriers as the next hundred years unfold. For all things Centennial, visit www.dot.ga.gov/Centennial.

# **Andrew Smith**

From:Weiss, Megan J <MWeiss@dot.ga.gov>Sent:Friday, September 16, 2016 10:01 AMTo:Andrew SmithCc:Mertz, Kaycee; Fowler, MatthewSubject:RE: ARC DRI Review Notification: Galleria 75 (DRI #2615)

Andrew,

GDOT Planning has reviewed the Galleria 75 DRI Preliminary report and show no additional GDOT projects, other than those already mentioned in the report. For further information that may be needed concerning this review, please contact Megan Weiss at 404-631-1779 or <u>mweiss@dot.ga.gov</u>.

Megan Weiss, AICP Transportation Planner II Georgia Department of Transportation Office of Planning-5<sup>th</sup> Floor P:404-631-1779 E:mweiss@dot.ga.gov

From: Andrew Smith [mailto:ASmith@atlantaregional.com]

Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 6:04 PM

**To:** 'jud.turner@gaepd.org'; VanDyke, Cindy; Fowler, Matthew; Comer, Carol; Hood, Alan C.; Allen, Patrick; Boone, Eric; Humphrey, James; Woods, Chris N.; Greg Floyd (<u>gfloyd@itsmarta.com</u>); 'lbeall@grta.org'; 'BDennard@grta.org'; Parker Martin; 'DRI@grta.org'; 'Jon West'; Charletta Wilson Jacks (<u>cjacks@atlantaga.gov</u>); <u>jlewis@AtlantaGa.Gov</u>; Brian Binzer (<u>bbinzer@mariettaga.gov</u>); <u>rroth@mariettaga.gov</u>; <u>ksuddreth@ci.smyrna.ga.us</u>; <u>rgmartin@ci.smyrna.ga.us</u>; <u>mrivers@cumberlandcid.org</u>; <u>kclark@cumberlandcid.org</u>; <u>chat\_superintendent@nps.gov</u>; <u>allyson\_read@nps.gov</u>; <u>ann\_couch@nps.gov</u>; <u>richard.crim.ctr@us.af.mil</u>; Dana Johnson (<u>dana.johnson@cobbcounty.org</u>); Jason Gaines (<u>jason.gaines@cobbcounty.org</u>); Pederson, John; 'jane.stricklin@cobbcounty.org'; White, Ashley; Dan Dobry; Greg Teague; <u>tadl@mindspring.com</u>; Boone DuPree (<u>bdupree@pacapts.com</u>); <u>jmoore@mijs.com</u>; <u>W7@mijs.com</u> **Cc:** Community Development; Mike Alexander; David Haynes; Marquitrice Mangham; Jim Skinner; Jim Santo; Renee Ray **Subject:** ARC DRI Review Notification: Galleria 75 (DRI #2615)

#### Development of Regional Impact (DRI) – Request for Comments

This e-mail serves as notice that the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has begun a Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review for **Galleria 75 (DRI #2615)**.

This DRI is located in Cobb County, east of the intersection Cobb Galleria Parkway and Riverwood Parkway. The site is north of Cumberland Boulevard, west of Interstate 75, and south of Akers Mill Road. The proposed mixed-use project will consist of seven buildings totaling approximately 450,000 sq. ft. of office space, 45,000 sq. ft. of retail space, and 600 residential units. Primary access to the development is proposed at the existing signalized intersection of Cobb Galleria Parkway and Riverwood Parkway, with secondary access via an existing right-in/right-out driveway at the southern boundary of the development. The DRI review trigger for this project is an application for a rezoning filed with Cobb County. The project is estimated to be complete in 2025. A portion of this DRI was reviewed in 1996 as part of a proposed DRI known as Kennedy Center.

As a representative of a nearby community or potentially affected party, we request that you or your staff review the attached Preliminary Report and provide any comments on or before **September 29, 2016**.

You may also view the preliminary report and other project information by visiting the <u>ARC Plan Reviews webpage</u> and searching for "Galleria 75" in the field at the bottom of the page. The report and other information will be available online as of tomorrow, September 15.

Date opened: September 14, 2016

Deadline for comments: September 29, 2016

**Close by**: October 4, 2016 (If no significant issues are identified during the comment period, the review will close on September 30, 2016 per LCI Expedited Review process in ARC DRI Rules.)

For more information regarding the DRI process or other DRIs reviewed by ARC, please visit the <u>ARC DRI webpage</u>.

Regards, Andrew Smith Senior Planner, Community Development Division

Atlanta Regional Commission regional impact + local relevance

40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30303-2538

P | 404.463.5581 F | 404.463.3254

asmith@atlantaregional.com atlantaregional.com

It's Georgia Department of Transportation's centennial! We were founded on August 16, 1916. The Department's work over the last century has contributed to a treasured quality of life for Georgians and to the incredible economic development of the Peach State. Georgia DOT has served for 100 years with simply the best in safety, service and innovation. And we will continue to embrace change, encourage innovation, meet new challenges and break new barriers as the next hundred years unfold. For all things Centennial, visit <u>www.dot.ga.gov/Centennial</u>.



If yes, provide the following <sup>F</sup> information: <sub>F</sub>	<sup>2</sup> roject Name: <sup>2</sup> roject ID:	
The initial action being requested of the local government for this project:	Rezoning Variance Sewer Water Permit Other	
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	◯(not selected) ⊇Yes ■ No	
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?		
Estimated Project 7 Completion Dates: 0	This project/phase: 2025 Dverall project: 2025	
Back to Top		

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page Site Map | Statements | Contact

© 2015 Georgia Department of Community Affairs



s sufficient water supply capacity available to serve he proposed project?	◯ (not selected) <sup>®</sup> Yes ◯ No	
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand the existing water supply capacity:	
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	◯(not selected) ◯Yes <sup>®</sup> No	
	line (in miles) will be required?	
	Wastewater Disposal	
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Cobb County Water System	
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.15 MGD	
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	◯ (not selected) <sup>®</sup> Yes ◯ No	
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand existing wastewater treatment capacity:	
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	◯ (not selected) ◯ Yes ≫ No	
If yes, how much additional li	ne (in miles) will be required?	
	Land Transportation	
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	(8,623 - Daily); (1,002 - AM Peak Hr); (985 - PM Peak Hr)	
provide.) Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not		
transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	C (not selected) Yes No	
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No	
If yes, please describe below	c	
	Solid Waste Disposal	
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?		
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	◯ (not selected) <sup>©</sup> Yes ◯ No	
If no, describe any plans to e	xpand existing landfill capacity:	
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	◯ (not selected) ◯ Yes <sup>®</sup> No	
If yes, please explain:		
	Stormwater Management	
What percentage of the site	-	
is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?	90% - 95%	
Describe any measures prop project's impacts on stormwa	osed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the ter management:Stormwater quality units will be used for treatment on site. Stormwater regional master detention pond.	
	Environmental Quality	

Is the development located v	vithin, or likely to affect any of the following:	
1. Water supply watersheds?	◯ (not selected) <sup>®</sup> Yes ◯ No	
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	◯(not selected) ◯ Yes ® No	
3. Wetlands?	(not selected) Yes No	
4. Protected mountains?	(not selected) Yes No	
5. Protected river corridors?	○(not selected) ○ Yes <sup>®</sup> No	
6. Floodplains?	(not selected) Yes No	
7. Historic resources?	○(not selected) ○ Yes <sup>®</sup> No	
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	◯(not selected) ◯ Yes ® No	
Response to Question 1 Ans was constructed in complian	uestion above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected: wer (YES): The site drains to the Chattahoochee River via a regional detention facility that ce with the applicable regulations at the time of construction. This project will install water rent state and local regulations.	
Back to Top		

GRTA DRI Page | ARC DRI Page | RC Links | DCA DRI Page Site Map | Statements | Contact

© 2015 Georgia Department of Community Affairs





**GALLERIA 75** ATLANTA, GEORGIA



A PUBLICLY TRADED COMPANY (NYSE: APTS)