

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: July 14, 2014 **ARC REVIEW CODE**: R1407141

TO: Mayor Vince Williams

ATTN TO: Shayla Harris, Senior City Planner
FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director
RE: Development of Regional Impact Review

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed a preliminary regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). ARC reviewed the DRI with regard to conflicts to regional plans, goals, and policies and impacts it might have on the activities, plans, goals, and policies of other local jurisdictions as well as state, federal, and other agencies. The preliminary report does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the local government.

Dragh R. Hoke

Name of Proposal: Shannon Mall Redevelopment

Review Type: DRI **Submitting Local Government**: City of Union City

<u>Date Opened</u>: July ,14 2014 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: July 29, 2014 <u>Date to Close</u>: August 4, 2014

<u>Description</u>: This proposed development is located in the City of Union City at the no closed Union Station Mall (formerly Shannon Mall) site, north of the interchange of I-85 and Jonesboro Road (S.R. 138). It is proposed to include 1,000,000 square feet of industrial space, 72,000 square feet of office space and 20,000 square feet of retail or restaurant space with a total of 615 parking spaces.

PRELIMINARY COMMENTS: Regional Context and Comments:

According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM) and the Regional Development Guide (RDG), the proposed Shannon Mall Redevelopment is within a Major Retail District and is also within the Developing Suburbs area of the region. Additionally, the proposed redevelopment is located in the Union City Livable Centers Initiative (LCI) study area. As such, the developer should work to make this development consistent with the vision and recommendations within the LCI study.

The RDG states that Major Retail Districts are concentrations of retail and commercial uses outside of Regional Centers and Community Activity Centers. People travel here from various parts of the region for shopping, entertainment and other social opportunities. As opposed to the mix of uses found in Regional Centers or Community Activity Centers, most Major Retail Districts consist primarily of only retail or commercial space. They are mostly surrounded by and serve residential areas. They were often developed in a suburban, auto-oriented way, and as a result, they are challenged by limited multi-modal options, which can lead to problems with congestion.

These places face high amounts of peak and off-peak congestion, have a limited employment market, and have little or no residential development or other mix of uses. In the short term, plans and policies for these places should focus on developing access management plans, retrofitting existing retail locations, and targeted infill development. As these places age, local and regional plans should encourage eventual reinvestment, redevelopment, and infill development. Future Major Retail Districts should include a residential component and public streets.

The RDG states that Developing Suburbs are areas in the region where suburban development has occurred and the conventional development pattern is present but not set. These areas are characterized by limited commercial and residential development. These areas represent the extent of the urban service area, and the region's first attempts at suburban smart growth can be found in these areas. The region should strive

to develop these areas in a more sustainable way than the existing development model. To this end, there is a need for additional preservation of critical environmental locations, as well as agricultural and forest uses adjacent to rural areas.

Limited existing infrastructure in these areas will constrain the amount of additional growth that is possible. Some transportation improvements may be needed within these developing suburbs, but care should be taken not to spur unwanted growth.

The proposed development is in an area of the region that is rapidly changing and experiencing a tremendous amount of industrial development growth. The City and the developer should work to ensure that land use conflicts and transportation conflicts associated with industrial development are identified and mitigated. This includes providing appropriate buffering or positioning of buildings to minimize the visual impact to the surrounding community, providing appropriate transportation improvements to handle the additional truck traffic and providing clear truck route signage where appropriate.

ARC staff strongly encourages the City of Union City and the developer to work together to find a compromise solution regarding the City's desires for the development site and the developer's current plans. The City has requested a follow-up meeting to discuss this project and potential amendments to the current site plan . ARC staff will schedule this meeting during the DRI review period.

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC DATA AND ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
FULTON COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS AND MOBILITY ARC AGING AND HEALTH SERVICES GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF FAIRBURN

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please contact Jon Tuley at (404) 463-3307 or jtuley@atlantaregional.com. This finding will be published to the ARC website.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse.



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REOUEST FOR COMMENTS

The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Development Center for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to

consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before the specified return deadline. Preliminary Findings of the RDC: **Shannon Mall Redevelopment** See the Preliminary Report. Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed): Individual Completing Form: Local Government: Please return this form to: Jon Tuley, Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street NE Department: Atlanta, GA 30303 Ph. (404) 463-3307 Fax (404) 463-3254 jtuley@atlantaregional.com Telephone: (Return Date: July 29, 2014 Signature: Date:

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: July 14, 2014 ARC REVIEW CODE: R1407141

TO: ARC Community Development, Transportation Access and Mobility, Research and Analytics, and Aging and

Health Services Division Managers **FROM:** Jon Tuley, Extension: 3-3307

Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

<u>Community Development:</u> Tuley, Jon <u>Transportation Access and Mobility:</u> Willis, Marshall

Natural Resources: Santo, Jim Research and Analytics: Skinner, Jim

Aging Health Resources: Rader, Carolyn

<u>Name of Proposal:</u> Shannon Mall Redevelopment <u>Review Type:</u> Development of Regional Impact

<u>Description:</u> This proposed development is located in the City of Union City at the no closed Union Station Mall (formerly Shannon Mall) site, north of the interchange of I-85 and Jonesboro Road (S.R. 138). It is proposed to include 1,000,000 square feet of industrial space, 72,000 square feet of office space and 20,000 square feet of retail or restaurant space with a total of 615 parking spaces.

Submitting Local Government: City of Union City

Date Opened: July 14, 2014

Deadline for Comments: July 29, 2014

Date to Close: August 4, 2014

	Response:
1)	$\hfill \square$ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
3)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
4)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
5)	\Box The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. COMMENTS:

SHANNON MALL REDEVELOPMENT DRI

City of Union City, Fulton County Natural Resources Division Review Comments July 10, 2014

Stream and Watershed Protection

The proposed project is in the Flint River Water Supply Watershed, a water supply source for Fayette and Clayton Counties. The watershed is greater than 100 square miles above the intake and there is no reservoir directly on the Flint within this watershed area. Therefore, the only criteria applicable in such watersheds under the Georgia Planning Act's Part 5 minimum water supply watershed criteria apply to the handling and storage of hazardous materials and hazardous waste. No other water supply watershed criteria apply.

A blue-line tributary to Morning Creek crosses the detention pond parcel located to the east of the main parcel and between Oakley Road and I-85, as shown on the USGS coverage for the project area. Any work in this portion of the property will be subject to the requirements of the Union City Stream Buffer Ordinance. Any unmapped streams that may be on the property may also be subject to the requirements of the City stream buffer ordinance if they meet ordinance criteria. Any state waters that may be on the property are subject to the State 25-foot erosion and sedimentation buffer requirements.

Storm Water / Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. The amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development has been estimated by ARC. These are based on some simplifying assumptions for typical pollutant loading factors (lbs/ac/yr) from typical land uses in the Atlanta Region. The loading factors are based on regional storm water monitoring data from the Atlanta Region with impervious areas based on estimated averages for land uses in the Atlanta Region. If actual impervious percentages are higher or lower than the estimate, the pollutant loads will differ accordingly. The project is being built over existing impervious surfaces, which will affect the actual increases in loading amounts. Based on the proposed use and the impervious coverage of the proposed project indicated in the submitted plans, heavy industrial was selected as the use for the entire property. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis:

Estimated Pounds of Pollutants Per Year:

Land Use	Land Area (ac)	Total Phosphorus	Total Nitrogen	BOD	TSS	Zinc	Lead
Heavy Industrial	78.46	113.77	1509.57	10042.88	62375.70	130.24	16.48
TOTAL	78.46	113.77	1509.57	10042.88	62375.70	130.24	16.48

Total % impervious

80%

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Login

DRI #2431

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information				
This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.				
	Local Government Informa	tion		
Submitting Local Government:	Union City			
Individual completing form:	Shayla Harris			
Telephone:	770-515-7818			
E-mail:	sharris@unioncityga.org			
herein. If a project is to be locate	presentative completing this form is responsible for the different than one jurisdiction and, in total, the properties portion of the project is to be located is responsible.	eject meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the		
	Proposed Project Informat	ion		
Name of Proposed Project:	Name of Proposed Project: Shannon Mall Redevelopment			
Location (Street Address, GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description):	GPS Coordinates, or Legal			
Brief Description of Project:	Owner recently purchased the mall and will demo the existing structure for redevelopment. The Owner proposes to develop a large distribution center on the site, as well as office buildings and restaurants.			
Davidan mant Time				
Development Type: (not selected)	Hotels	Wastewater Treatment Facilities		
Office	Mixed Use	Petroleum Storage Facilities		
Commercial	Airports	Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs		
Wholesale & Distributio	n Attractions & Recreational Facilities	Intermodal Terminals		
Hospitals and Health Ca Facilities	Post-Secondary Schools	Truck Stops		

Housing	Waste Handling Facilities Any oth	ner development types			
Industrial	Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants				
If other development type, des	If other development type, describe:				
Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.):					
Developer:	RP Union Station 85, LLC				
Mailing Address:	445 Bishop Street				
Address 2:	Suite 200				
	City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30318				
Telephone:	678-367-4210				
Email:	briancardoza@rookerco.com				
Is property owner different from developer/applicant?					
If yes, property owner:					
Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?	(not selected) — les — No				
If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?					
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	(not selected) a res a No				
If yes, provide the following					
information:	Project ID:				
The initial action being requested of the local government for this project:	Rezoning				
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?					
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?					
Estimated Project Completion Dates:	This project/phase: 12/2015 Overall project: 12/2018				
Back to Top					

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

 $\label{lem:copyright} \textbf{ @ 2010 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.}$

3 of 3

Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Login

DRI #2431

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information					
	the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.				
Local Government Information					
Submitting Local Government:	Union City				
Individual completing form:	Shayla Harris				
Telephone:	770-515-7818				
Email:	sharris@unioncityga.org				
Project Information					
Name of Proposed Project:	Shannon Mall Redevelopment				
DRI ID Number:	2431				
Developer/Applicant:	RP Union Station 85, LLC				
Telephone:	678-367-4210				
Email(s):	briancardoza@rookerco.com				
	Additional Information Requested				
Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)	(not selected) Yes No				
If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?	◎ (not selected) ◎ Yes ◎ No				
If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.					
Economic Development					
Estimated Value at Build-Out:	\$63 Million				

)RI	Addition	al Inforr	nation	Forn
<i>'</i> 1\'1	Auuiuoii	ai illion	паисл	1 (7) 1

Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:	enues (i.e., property tax, es tax) likely to be erated by the proposed		
Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No		
Will this development displace any existing uses?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ◎ No		
If yes, please describe (including	g number of units, square feet, etc):		
	Water Supply		
	Water Supply		
Name of water supply provider for this site:	City of Atlanta		
What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.025 MGD		
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	○ (not selected) ◎ Yes ○ No		
If no, describe any plans to expa	and the existing water supply capacity:		
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ◎ No		
If yes, how much additional line	(in miles) will be required?		
	Wastewater Disposal		
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Fulton County		
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.025 MGD		
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No		
If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater treatment capacity:			
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ◎ No		
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?			
Land Transportation			
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per	Approximately 5,100 daily trips. 486 in/96 out in AM peak hours;139/ 549 out in PM peak hour.		

ìR	I Ad	Iditiona	Informa	ation	Form
"	ıau	шили		1111711	1 (7) 111

measure of volume is available, please provide.)	
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, please describe below:	
	Solid Waste Disposal
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	1,000 tons annually
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No
If no, describe any plans to expa	and existing landfill capacity:
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ◎ No
If yes, please explain:	
If yes, please explain:	Stormwater Management
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?	Stormwater Management 70%
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures propose	_
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures propose	70% ed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures propose	70% ed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures propose impacts on stormwater manager	70% ed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's ment:The impervious area will be reduced by 20%.
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures propose impacts on stormwater manager	70% ed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's ment: The impervious area will be reduced by 20%. Environmental Quality
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures propose impacts on stormwater manager	and (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's ment: The impervious area will be reduced by 20%. Environmental Quality in, or likely to affect any of the following:

4. Protected mountains?	(not selected) Yes No	
5. Protected river corridors?	(not selected) Yes No	
6. Floodplains?	(not selected) Yes No	
7. Historic resources?	(not selected) Yes No	
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ◎ No	
If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:		
Back to Top		

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

 $\label{lem:copyright} \textbf{ @ 2010 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.}$

