

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

Dragh R. Stoke

DATE: August 4, 2014 ARC Review Code: R1407141

**TO**: Mayor Vince Williams

ATTN TO: Shayla Harris, Senior City Planner
FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director
RE: Development of Regional Impact Review

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). Below is the ARC finding. The Atlanta Regional Commission reviewed the DRI with regard to conflicts to regional plans, goals, and policies and impacts it might have on the activities, plans, goals, and policies of other local jurisdictions and state, federal, and other agencies. The finding does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the local government.

Name of Proposal: Shannon Mall Redevelopment Submitting Local Government: City of Union City

Review Type: Development of Regional Impact Date Opened: July 14, 2014 Date Closed: August 4, 2014

<u>Description</u>: This proposed development is located in the City of Union City at the no closed Union Station Mall (formerly Shannon Mall) site, north of the interchange of I-85 and Jonesboro Road (S.R. 138). It is proposed to include 1,000,000 square feet of industrial space, 72,000 square feet of office space and 20,000 square feet of retail or restaurant space with a total of 615 parking spaces.

### **Comments:** Regional Context and Comments:

According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM) and the Regional Development Guide (RDG), the proposed Shannon Mall Redevelopment is within a Major Retail District and is also within the Developing Suburbs area of the region. Additionally, the proposed redevelopment is located in the Union City Livable Centers Initiative (LCI) study area. As such, the developer should work to make this development consistent with the vision and recommendations within the LCI study.

The RDG states that Major Retail Districts are concentrations of retail and commercial uses outside of Regional Centers and Community Activity Centers. People travel here from various parts of the region for shopping, entertainment and other social opportunities. As opposed to the mix of uses found in Regional Centers or Community Activity Centers, most Major Retail Districts consist primarily of only retail or commercial space. They are mostly surrounded by and serve residential areas. They were often developed in a suburban, auto-oriented way, and as a result, they are challenged by limited multi-modal options, which can lead to problems with congestion.

These places face high amounts of peak and off-peak congestion, have a limited employment market, and have little or no residential development or other mix of uses. In the short term, plans and policies for these places should focus on developing access management plans, retrofitting existing retail locations, and targeted infill development. As these places age, local and regional plans should encourage eventual reinvestment, redevelopment, and infill development. Future Major Retail Districts should include a residential component and public streets.

The RDG states that Developing Suburbs are areas in the region where suburban development has occurred and the conventional development pattern is present but not set. These areas are characterized by limited commercial and residential development. These areas represent the extent of the urban service area, and the region's first attempts at suburban smart growth can be found in these areas. The region should strive to develop these areas in a more sustainable way than the existing development model. To this end, there is

a need for additional preservation of critical environmental locations, as well as agricultural and forest uses adjacent to rural areas.

Limited existing infrastructure in these areas will constrain the amount of additional growth that is possible. Some transportation improvements may be needed within these developing suburbs, but care should be taken not to spur unwanted growth.

The proposed development is in an area of the region that is rapidly changing and experiencing a tremendous amount of industrial development growth. The City and the developer should work to ensure that land use conflicts and transportation conflicts associated with industrial development are identified and mitigated. This includes providing appropriate buffering or positioning of buildings to minimize the visual impact to the surrounding community, providing appropriate transportation improvements to handle the additional truck traffic and providing clear truck route signage where appropriate.

ARC staff strongly encourages the City of Union City and the developer to work together to find a compromise solution regarding the City's desires for the development site and the developer's current plans. The City requested a follow-up meeting to discuss this project and potential amendments to the current site plan, but as of the end of this review, that meeting had not occurred. ARC staff will work with the City to schedule this meeting.

### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
ARC DATA AND ANALYTICS
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
FILITON COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION ACCESS AND MOBILITY ARC AGING AND HEALTH SERVICES GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF FAIRRIEN

ARC NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please contact Jon Tuley at (404) 463-3307 or ituley@atlantaregional.com. This finding will be published to the ARC website.

The ARC review website is located at: <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/land-use/planreviews">http://www.atlantaregional.com/land-use/planreviews</a>.

### SHANNON MALL REDEVELOPMENT DRI

City of Union City, Fulton County Natural Resources Division Review Comments July 10, 2014

### **Stream and Watershed Protection**

The proposed project is in the Flint River Water Supply Watershed, a water supply source for Fayette and Clayton Counties. The watershed is greater than 100 square miles above the intake and there is no reservoir directly on the Flint within this watershed area. Therefore, the only criteria applicable in such watersheds under the Georgia Planning Act's Part 5 minimum water supply watershed criteria apply to the handling and storage of hazardous materials and hazardous waste. No other water supply watershed criteria apply.

A blue-line tributary to Morning Creek crosses the detention pond parcel located to the east of the main parcel and between Oakley Road and I-85, as shown on the USGS coverage for the project area. Any work in this portion of the property will be subject to the requirements of the Union City Stream Buffer Ordinance. Any unmapped streams that may be on the property may also be subject to the requirements of the City stream buffer ordinance if they meet ordinance criteria. Any state waters that may be on the property are subject to the State 25-foot erosion and sedimentation buffer requirements.

### **Storm Water / Water Quality**

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. The amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development has been estimated by ARC. These are based on some simplifying assumptions for typical pollutant loading factors (lbs/ac/yr) from typical land uses in the Atlanta Region. The loading factors are based on regional storm water monitoring data from the Atlanta Region with impervious areas based on estimated averages for land uses in the Atlanta Region. If actual impervious percentages are higher or lower than the estimate, the pollutant loads will differ accordingly. The project is being built over existing impervious surfaces, which will affect the actual increases in loading amounts. Based on the proposed use and the impervious coverage of the proposed project indicated in the submitted plans, heavy industrial was selected as the use for the entire property. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis:

### **Estimated Pounds of Pollutants Per Year:**

Land Use	Land Area (ac)	Total Phosphorus	Total Nitrogen	BOD	TSS	Zinc	Lead
Heavy Industrial	78.46	113.77	1509.57	10042.88	62375.70	130.24	16.48
TOTAL	78.46	113.77	1509.57	10042.88	62375.70	130.24	16.48

Total % impervious

80%

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (<a href="www.georgiastormwater.com">www.georgiastormwater.com</a>) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

### **Jonathan Tuley**

**From:** Shayla Harris <sharris@unioncityga.org>

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 22, 2014 9:33 AM **To:** Jonathan Tuley; Laura Beall

**Subject:** FW: RP Union Station 85 GRTA DRI Traffic Impact Study - Public Services Comments

7.16.14

Hello Jon and Laura,

Our Public Services Director wanted me to share this as comments from Union City. He has reviewed the provided DRI information and Traffic Study and has determined the below items. If there would need to be a formal submittal of some sort please advise.

Thank you,

**Shayla Harris** Senior City Planner

City of Union City 5047 Union Street Union City, GA 30291 770-515-7818 (Direct) 770-964-2288 (Main Office) 770-842-5604 (Cellular) 770-969-8795 (Fax)



Visit Our Website Like Us On Facebook

**From:** Cedric Clark [mailto:cclark@unioncityga.org]

**Sent:** Wednesday, July 16, 2014 5:04 PM

**To:** Shayla Harris

Cc: Kim Smith; Sonja Fillingame; Karen Cumby; Lonnie Ferguson

Subject: RP Union Station 85 GRTA DRI Traffic Impact Study - Public Services Comments 7.16.14

Shayla good day,

Below are our questions, comments and concerns regarding the subject traffic study.

### **Executive Summary**

- 1. Concern: the redevelopment will include approximately 69.1% sq ft of warehouse, 1.2% sq ft of restaurants, and 4.6% sq ft of office space. The later percentages seem very low in relation to the percentage of warehouse distribution.
- 2. Comment: Shannon Way, Londonderry, and Lancaster Lane are not designed to the appropriate standards to handle the significant increase in the amount of truck traffic as proposed by the redevelopment. Based on the

- study, approximately 38% of the new warehouse distribution center generated trips are expected to be trucks (Table 4.1 Trip Generation)
- 3. Question: Is there still a proposal to direct trucks to use Oakley Road off of I-85 then to Mall Boulevard and have them access the back of the site? I did not see this mentioned in the traffic study. We prefer this route over the above route but improvements will also have to be made to Oakley and Mall Boulevard as well. Specific improvements include: increased pavement design as well as proper turning radii for trucks at all intersections.

### **Future Traffic Conditions**

Table 5-1 Future with Project Levels of Service

1. Comment: #4 Londonderry Way at Jonesboro Road, the NB left movement for this location is projected to fail in future conditions. However, this is also a preexisting condition and will be addressed with medians which should be included as part of the GDOT Interchange Reconstruction project.

Let me know if you have any questions.

### Thanks,

Cedric D. Clark, Director Public Services 6524 Landrum Lane (6524 Ware Street if using Google Maps) Union City, GA 30291

Email: cclark@unioncityga.org

Click here to go to Public Services Webpage

Office: <u>770-306-6855</u> Cell: <u>770-823-9825</u> Fax: <u>(770) 306-3469</u>



Department of Planning and Community Services Fulton County Government Service Center At Fulton Industrial Boulevard 5440 Fulton Industrial Boulevard Atlanta, GA 30336

July 28, 2014

Jon Tuley, AICP Principal Planner Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30303-2538

RE: Shannon Mall Redevelopment (DRI #2431)

Dear Jon,

I am writing to you in response to your review of the Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review for Shannon Mall Redevelopment (DRI #2431) which proposes 1,000,000 square feet of industrial space, 72,000 square feet of office space and 20,000 square feet of retail or restaurant space with a total of 615 parking spaces. We have reviewed the proposal and do not support the proposed 1,000,000 square feet of industrial space given the already overly congested surrounding road system. The addition of more trucks would only add to the existing congestion which in turn would likely be detrimental to the existing retail establishments in the area. The proposed 72,000 square feet of office space would have a difficult time finding tenants, again due to the overly congested traffic system in the area. A depressed office demand would then result in the 72,000 square feet of what is likely flex office space transitioning to industrial. The City of Union City should consider a city center and mixed-use development.

Sincerely,

Randy Beck, Director

Department of Planning and Community Services

p:\Planners\PlanAnalysis\Letters & Memos\Letter - DRI Shannon Mall



### REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



# DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Development Center for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before the specified return deadline.

Preliminary Findings of the RDC: Shannon Mall Redevelopment See the Preliminary Report.

Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed):

The Georgia Department of Transportation has three projects in this area: a bicycle/pedestrian facility enhancement project at CS 5079/Shannon Pkwy from SR 138 to CS 5082/Mall Blvd (PI # 0000643); signal upgrades on I-85, SR 14 and SR 154/SR 166 (PI # 0013203); and an interchange reconstruction project at I-85 at SR 138/Jonesboro Road (PI # 0007842). Peter Emmanuel is the GDOT Project Manager for the interchange project. Please feel free to contact him at <a href="mailto:pemmanuel@dot.ga.gov">pemmanuel@dot.ga.gov</a> or (404) 631-1158 for further coordination.

Local Government:	Diagra vat	this f	10
			1
Individual Completing Form: Julia Billings			

Telephone: (404) 631-1774

Department: GDOT, Office of Planning

Signature: ph Billi

Date: 7/28/14

Please return this form to:

Jon Tuley, Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street NE Atlanta, GA 30303 Ph. (404) 463-3307 Fax (404) 463-3254 jtuley@atlantaregional.com

Return Date: July 29, 2014

### **Jonathan Tuley**

From: Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2014 1:06 PM

**To:** Jonathan Tuley

**Cc:** Shelley.Lamar@atlanta-airport.com

Subject: RE: DRI Review Notification - Shannon Mall Redevelopment - DRI #2431

Jon,

The proposed industrial and office space redevelopment of the old Shannon Mall in Union City is located approximately 6 miles south west of Hartsfield - Jackson Atlanta International Airport (ATL), and is located outside of any of their FAA surfaces, and compatible land use areas, and does not appear to impact the airport.

However, if the proposed project's vertical construction, or equipment exceeds 200ft above ground level, an FAA Form 7460-1 must be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration. That may be done online at <a href="https://oeaaa.faa.gov">https://oeaaa.faa.gov</a>. The FAA must be in receipt of the notification, no later than 60 days prior to construction. The FAA will evaluate the potential impact of the project on protected airspace associated with the airports and advise the proponent if any action is necessary.

I have copied Ms. Shelley Lamar with the Hartsfield - Jackson Atlanta International Airport on this email.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed development.

Alan Hood | Airport Safety Data Program Manager Georgia Department of Transportation - Aviation Programs 600 West Peachtree Street, N.W. | 2nd Floor | Atlanta, Georgia 30308 T: 404-631-1343 | F: 404-631-1935 | M: 404-660-3394 | E: achood@dot.ga.gov

View our website at <a href="http://www.dot.ga.gov/aviation">http://www.dot.ga.gov/aviation</a>

**From:** Jonathan Tuley [mailto:JTuley@atlantaregional.com]

Sent: Monday, July 14, 2014 5:38 PM

**To:** jud.turner@gaepd.org; Fowler, Matthew; VanDyke, Cindy; Ware, Alan; Comer, Carol; Hood, Alan C.; Lobdell, Mike; lbeall@grta.org; DRI; 'wstinson@itsmarta.com'; 'Crocker, John'; 'Floyd, Greg'; 'Jon West'; Shayla Harris; Ellington,

 $Morgan;\ troy@fairburn.com;\ tkirk@fairburn.com$ 

Cc: Community Development; Jim Santo; Marshall Willis; Jim Skinner; Sammie Carson; Wei Wang; Carolyn Rader

Subject: DRI Review Notification - Shannon Mall Redevelopment - DRI #2431

### **Development of Regional Impact Request for Comments**

This e-mail serves as notice that the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) staff has begun the Development of Regional Impact (DRI) review for **Shannon Mall Redevelopment (DRI #2431).** This proposed development is located in the City of Union City at the no closed Union Station Mall (formerly Shannon Mall) site, north of the interchange of I-85 and Jonesboro Road (S.R. 138). It is proposed to include 1,000,000 square feet of industrial space, 72,000 square feet of office space and 20,000 square feet of retail or restaurant space with a total of 615 parking spaces.

We request that you or a member of your staff review the attached preliminary report and provide comments to ARC by **Tuesday**, **July 29**, **2014**.

Review opened on: July 14, 2014 Comments Due: July 29, 2014

Review will close on: August 4, 2014

For more information regarding the DRI processes, information needed for the review or other DRI's reviewed by ARC, please see the <u>DRI website</u>.

Please let me know if you have any questions about the review.

Jon Tuley, AICP
Principal Planner
Atlanta Regional Commission
regional impact + local relevance
40 Courtland Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-2538
P | 404.463.3307
F | 404.463.3254
jtuley@atlantaregional.com
atlantaregional.com

#### Connect with ARC

Like us on <u>Facebook</u> »
Follow us on <u>Twitter</u> »
ARC Land Matters <u>Blog</u> »
Get connected on <u>LinkedIn</u> »

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any attachments are intended solely for the use of the named recipient or recipients. Any dissemination of this e-mail by anyone other than an intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you are not a named recipient, you are prohibited from any further viewing of the e-mail or any attachments or from making any use of the e-mail or attachments. If you believe you have received this e-mail in error, notify the sender immediately and permanently delete the e-mail and any attachments, and all copies.

Summer highway construction season is ramping up! Georgia DOT advises motorists travelling through Metro Atlanta, especially on weekends, to plan ahead, slow down in work zones, and do not drive distracted. Visit us at <a href="http://www.dot.ga.gov">http://www.dot.ga.gov</a> or follow us on <a href="http://www.facebook.com/GeorgiaDOT">http://www.facebook.com/GeorgiaDOT</a> and <a href="http://twitter.com/gadeptoftrans">http://twitter.com/gadeptoftrans</a>.



July 29, 2014

Jon Tuley, AICP Principal Planner Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Avenue Atlanta, GA 30303

Re: MARTA Response to DRI #2431 Union Station Mall Redevelopment

Hello Jon,

The site is located within the Union City Town Center Study Area that was defined in a 2003 Livable Centers Initiative (LCI) plan. This area is approximately 750+/- acres in size and is bounded by Flat Shoals Road to the north, Watson Street to the west, Jonesboro Road to the south, and Oakley Road the east. Union Station mall was considered the catalytic site for the entire LCI area. The LCI plan for this site envisioned a dynamic mixed used community based heavily upon TOD design principles.

MARTA is in the process of applying for a FTA Ladders of Opportunity Grant. This grant will help to establish and operate a circulator service within the Union City Town Center Study Area. This circulator service is needed to address transportation gaps in the LCI area. Although the Union City Mall redevelopment in its current proposed fashion was not factored into the justification for the grant, MARTA is still supportive of the circulator service.

The proposed development will have MARTA access. The 189 (Old National Hwy/ Union Station) route still serves the out parcels around the Union Station Mall. There are no plans to change the service pattern of this route at this time.

Sincerely,

Gregory T. Floyd, AICP Senior Land Use Planner 404-848-5508 gfloyd@itsmarta.com

# Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Login

### DRI #2431

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Initial DRI Information				
This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide basic project information that will allow the RDC to determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.				
	Local Government Informa	tion		
Submitting Local Government:	Union City			
Individual completing form:	Shayla Harris			
Telephone:	770-515-7818			
E-mail:	sharris@unioncityga.org			
herein. If a project is to be locate	presentative completing this form is responsible for the different than one jurisdiction and, in total, the properties portion of the project is to be located is responsible.	eject meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the		
	Proposed Project Informat	ion		
Name of Proposed Project:	Shannon Mall Redevelopment			
Location (Street Address, GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description):	gal			
Brief Description of Project:  Owner recently purchased the mall and will demo the existing structure for redevelopment. The Owner proposes to develop a large distribution center on the site, as well as office buildings and restaurants.				
Davidan mant Time				
Development Type:  (not selected)	Hotels	Wastewater Treatment Facilities		
Office	Mixed Use	Petroleum Storage Facilities		
Commercial Airports		Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs		
Wholesale & Distributio	n Attractions & Recreational Facilities	<ul><li>Intermodal Terminals</li></ul>		
Hospitals and Health Ca     Facilities	Post-Secondary Schools	Truck Stops		

Housing	Waste Handling Facilities Any oth	ner development types		
Industrial	Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants			
If other development type, describe:				
Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.):				
Developer:	RP Union Station 85, LLC			
Mailing Address:	445 Bishop Street			
Address 2:	Suite 200			
	City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30318			
Telephone:	678-367-4210			
Email:	briancardoza@rookerco.com			
Is property owner different from developer/applicant?				
If yes, property owner:				
Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?	(not selected) — les — No			
If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?				
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	(not selected) a res a No			
If yes, provide the following				
information:	Project ID:			
The initial action being requested of the local government for this project:	Rezoning			
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?				
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?				
Estimated Project Completion Dates:	This project/phase: 12/2015 Overall project: 12/2018			
Back to Top				

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

 $\label{lem:copyright} \textbf{ @ 2010 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.}$ 

3 of 3

## Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Login

### DRI #2431

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information				
	This form is to be completed by the city or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the proposed DRI. Refer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.			
Local Government Information				
Submitting Local Government:	Union City			
Individual completing form:	Shayla Harris			
Telephone:	770-515-7818			
Email:	sharris@unioncityga.org			
	Project Information			
Name of Proposed Project:	Shannon Mall Redevelopment			
DRI ID Number:	2431			
Developer/Applicant:	RP Union Station 85, LLC			
Telephone:	678-367-4210			
Email(s):   briancardoza@rookerco.com				
	Additional Information Requested			
Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)	(not selected) Yes No			
If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?	◎ (not selected) ◎ Yes ◎ No			
If no, the official review process can not start until this additional information is provided.				
Economic Development				
Estimated Value at Build-Out:	\$63 Million			

)RI	Addition	al Inforr	nation	Forn
<i>'</i> 1\'1	Auuiuoii	ai illion	паисл	1 (7) 1

Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:	\$1.1 Million		
Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?	(not selected)  Yes  No		
Will this development displace any existing uses?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ◎ No		
If yes, please describe (including	g number of units, square feet, etc):		
	Water Supply		
	Water Supply		
Name of water supply provider for this site:	City of Atlanta		
What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.025 MGD		
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	○ (not selected) ◎ Yes ○ No		
If no, describe any plans to expa	and the existing water supply capacity:		
Is a water line extension required to serve this project? (not selected) Yes No			
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?			
	Wastewater Disposal		
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Fulton County		
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.025 MGD		
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No		
If no, describe any plans to expa	and existing wastewater treatment capacity:		
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ◎ No		
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required?			
Land Transportation			
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per	Approximately 5,100 daily trips. 486 in/96 out in AM peak hours;139/ 549 out in PM peak hour.		

ìR	I Ad	Iditiona	Informa	ation	Form
"	ıau	шили		1111711	1 (7) 111

measure of volume is available, please provide.)	
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	(not selected)  Yes No
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, please describe below:	
	Solid Waste Disposal
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	1,000 tons annually
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	(not selected) Yes No
If no, describe any plans to expa	and existing landfill capacity:
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ◎ No
If yes, please explain:	
If yes, please explain:	Stormwater Management
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?	Stormwater Management  70%
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?  Describe any measures propose	_
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?  Describe any measures propose	70% ed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?  Describe any measures propose	70% ed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?  Describe any measures propose impacts on stormwater manager	70%  ed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's ment:The impervious area will be reduced by 20%.
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?  Describe any measures propose impacts on stormwater manager	70%  ed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's ment:The impervious area will be reduced by 20%.  Environmental Quality
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?  Describe any measures propose impacts on stormwater manager	and (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the project's ment: The impervious area will be reduced by 20%.  Environmental Quality  in, or likely to affect any of the following:

4. Protected mountains?	(not selected) Yes No	
5. Protected river corridors?	(not selected) Yes No	
6. Floodplains?	(not selected) Yes No	
7. Historic resources?	(not selected) Yes No	
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ◎ No	
If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:		
Back to Top		

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

 $\label{lem:copyright} \textbf{ @ 2010 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.}$ 

