

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Jul 12 2012 ARC REVIEW CODE: R1207121

TO: Chairman Tom Worthan

ATTN TO: Amy Brumelow, Planning and Zoning Director

FROM: Doug Hooker, Executive Director

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has completed regional review of the following Development of Regional Impact (DRI). Below is the ARC finding. The Atlanta Regional Commission reviewed the DRI with regard to conflicts to regional plans, goals, and policies and impacts it might have on the activities, plans, goals, and policies of other local jurisdictions and state, federal, and other agencies. The finding does not address whether the DRI is or is not in the best interest of the local government.

Name of Proposal: DCT River West Distribution Center

Review Type: DRI **Submitting Local Government:** Douglas County

<u>Date Opened</u>: Jul 12 2012 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Jul 27 2012 <u>Date to Close</u>: Aug 1 2012

<u>Description:</u> DCT River West Distribution Center, located in Douglas County, is a proposed 783,750 square foot light industrial warehouse/distribution facility. The proposed project is located along the west side of Thornton Road, approximately 2,950 feet south of the intersection of Douglas Hill Road and Thornton Road.

DRI Checklist Preliminary Summary:

Regional Consistency Assessment (50%): 100%

Local Impacts Assessment (30%): 95%

Quality Development Assessment (20%): 71%

Overall Weighted Score: 92%

Dragh R. Hoke

<u>PRELIMINARY COMMENTS:</u> According to the ARC Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM) and Regional Development Guide (RDG), the proposed development is in an area designated Developing Suburbs and is also identified as an Industrial and Logistics Area. The UGPM and RDG states that Developing Suburbs are areas in the region where suburban development has occurred and the conventional development pattern is present but not set. These areas are characterized by limited commercial and residential development.

These areas represent the extent of the urban service area, and the region's first attempts at suburban smart growth can be found in these areas. The region should strive to develop these areas in a more sustainable way than the existing development model. To this end, there is a need for additional preservation of critical environmental locations, as well as agricultural and forest uses adjacent to rural areas.

Industrial and Logistics Areas represent the major intermodal freight facilities and major logistics centers of the region. As a strategic economic sector, the region should strive to protect these areas and ensure they are well served by the regional transportation network. This area will see increased job growth in the form of industrial and logistics space. Strategies are needed to avoid residential and industrial conflicts while still allowing both uses in proximity to each other, without limiting the operations of industrial land users.

The proposed development is located in an area that is rapidly changing and is becoming dominated by industrial and warehouse uses. It is important to promote compatible uses where possible, as well as identify and mitigate potential land use conflicts as the area continues to develop.

See additional comments from ARC environmental and transportation staff, as well as comments from GDOT.

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES RECEIVED NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
COBB COUNTY

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
FULTON COUNTY

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please contact Jon Tuley at (404) 463-3307 or <u>jtuley@atlantaregional.com</u>. This finding will be published to the ARC website. The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse.



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Development Center for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before the specified return deadline.

project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before the specified return deadline. Preliminary Findings of the RDC: **DCT River West Distribution Center** See the Preliminary Report. Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed): Individual Completing Form: Local Government: Please Return this form to: Jon Tuley, Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street NE Department: Atlanta, GA 30303 Ph. (404) 463-3307 Fax (404) 463-3254 ituley@atlantaregional.com Telephone: (Return Date: /ul 27 2012 Signature: Date:

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Jul 12 2012 **ARC REVIEW CODE**: R1207121

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs

FROM: Jon Tuley, Extension: 3-3307

Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

<u>Land Use:</u> Tuley, Jon <u>Transportation:</u> Soldat, Nathan

Environmental: Santo, Jim **Research:** Skinner, Jim

Aging: Rader, Carolyn

Name of Proposal: DCT River West Distribution Center

Review Type: Development of Regional Impact

<u>Description:</u> DCT River West Distribution Center, located in Douglas County, is a proposed 783,750 square foot light industrial warehouse/distribution facility. The proposed project is located along the west side of Thornton Road, approximately 2,950 feet south of the intersection of Douglas Hill Road and Thornton Road.

Submitting Local Government: Douglas County

Date Opened: Jul 12 2012

Deadline for Comments: Jul 27 2012

Date to Close: Aug 1 2012

Response:

- 1) □ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.

- 4)

 The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 5)

 The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.

,	7 1 0
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.
	COMMENTS:



RCA









ATLANTA REGIONAL COMMISSION - DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW PART 1: REGIONAL CONSISTENCY ASSESSMENT

To be completed by the ARC Staff

JURISDICTION:	DOUGLA	s co	DUN	TY			Date RCA Completed, M/D/YYYY: 7/12/2012
DRI#:	2287						RC DRI Reviewer: JT
TENTATIVE NAME OF DEVELOPMENT:	DCT Rive	er W	est l	Dist	ributior	n Center	
TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT:	Industria	ıl					Action Triggering Review: Land Disturbance Permit
I. REGIONAL PLAN		Yes	No	N/A	Score 0, 1, or 3	Explain (optional for "Yes" answers, required for "No" or "N/A" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
Is the development consis Regional Development Ma Defining Narrative?		\boxtimes			3		
Is the development consis Guiding Principles of the F		\boxtimes			3		
II. REGIONAL RESOURCE RIRS	E PLAN AND	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0, 1, or 3	Explain (optional for "Yes" answers, required for "No" or "N/A" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
If within one mile of any armap, is the development of the Guidance for Appropriate Development Practices in Resource Plan?	consistent with ate	\boxtimes			3		
III. INTERJURISDICTION	AL IMPACTS	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0, 1, or 3	Explain (optional for "Yes" answers, required for "No" or "N/A" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
Does the development avenegative effect on public fastormwater / floodplain mawater quality, etc.) in neight jurisdictions?	acilities (roads, anagement,				3		
Are neighboring jurisdictio and prepared to manage, development on public factorinwater / floodplain mater quality, etc.) in their	impacts of the illities (roads, anagement,					WAITING FOR COMMENTS	
Are other affected jurisdict school boards, aware of, a to manage, the impacts of development?	and prepared					WAITING FOR COMMENTS	
IV. TRANSPORTATION II	MPACTS	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0, 1, or 3	Explain (optional for "Yes" answers, required for "No" or "N/A" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)

Is this project consistent with any					1		
applicable regional transportation plan(s)?				3			
Does the development avoid or mitigate negative impacts on the surrounding transportation network?	\boxtimes			3			
If not, do pending projects included in the funded portion of the applicable transportation plan (STIP/TIP/LRTP) mitigate all identified project impacts?			\boxtimes				
V. LOCALLY ADOPTED PLANS	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0, 1, or 3	Explain (optional for "Yes" answers, required for "No" or "N/A" answers)	Recomme (to the Developed	ndations r for Improving the Project)
Is the development consistent with the host government's Future Development Map and any applicable sub-area plans?	\boxtimes			3			
Is the development consistent with any adjacent or potentially affected local government's Future Development Map?					WAITING ON COMMENTS		
VI. COMPREHENSIVE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY (CEDS)	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0, 1, or 3	Explain (optional for "Yes" answers, required for "No" or "N/A" answers)	Recomme (to the Developer	ndations r for Improving the Project)
Is the development consistent with the region's CEDS?				3			
	RC	A Poi	NTS:	24	OUT OF A PO	SSIBLE:	24
	RC	A Sc	ORE:	100	RCA WEIG Score (5		50
ALL QUESTIONS FROM PART 2 - LOCAL IM USED IN I					ART 3 – QUALITY D OR THIS DRI AS WE		ASSESSMENT, WILL BE
FINDING (OVERAL	L AS	e E e		NT OF D			
		SES.	SME	NI OF R	EGIONAL C	ONSIST	ENCY)
Is the preponderance of answers above "Yes"?	there N there	ES, "the fore of O, "the fore no	he pro the st e prop ot of th	posed actionate." osed actionates	n <u>IS</u> in the best	interest of t	<u> </u>
, ,	there N there	ES, "the fore of O, "the fore no r Issue ES.	he pro the st e prop ot of th	posed actionate."	n <u>IS</u> in the best	interest of t	he region and
Has the host local government or the developer agreed to changes that would successfully resolve	there N there Other Y N Narra	ES, "the fore no r Issue ES. O. titive:	he pro f the st e prop ot of th s of R	posed actionate." osed actionate state." egional Con	n <u>IS</u> in the best IS NOT in the l	interest of to	the region and t of the region and

NOTE: This and other DRI Review forms provided by the Department are intended for use as tools to assist regional staff in the formulation of their recommendations to their executive directors and Regional Councils and to the communities they serve. Their proper use facilitates statewide procedural consistency and service delivery. Regardless of the recommendations generated by this form, all findings subsequently issued by the Regional Commission are reflective solely of the Commission's own judgment and discretion. Nothing presented in this form is binding upon the exercise of the authority granted to the Regional Commission by Georgia law and Departmental rules. The findings issued by the Regional Commission are purely advisory and are in no circumstance binding upon the authority granted to the host local government by Georgia law.



LIA









ATLANTA REGIONAL COMMISSION - DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW PART 2: LOCAL IMPACTS ASSESSMENT

To be completed by the ARC Staff

JURISDICTION:	DOUGLA	s co	DUN'	TY		Date LIA completed, M/D/YYYY: 7/12/2012				
DRI #:	2287						F	RC DRI Reviewer: JT		
TENTATIVE NAME OF DEVELOPMENT:	DCT Rive	er Wo	est [Distr	ibution	Center				
TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT:	Industria	al						n Triggering Review: I Disturbance Permit		
I. ADEQUACY OF LOCASSETS/SERVICES	AL	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0, 1, or 3	Explain (option		Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)		
Do adequate wastewate facilities currently exist to development?		\boxtimes			3					
Do adequate water supp treatment facilities exist development?		\boxtimes			3					
Do adequate stormwate facilities exist to serve the development?					3					
Do adequate solid waste to support the developm		\boxtimes			3					
Does the local school sy capacity necessary to ac support the development	dequately					Waiting on Co	MMENTS			
Does the local workforce skills/expertise/educatio to support the developm	n to effectively	\boxtimes			3					
Are all other assets/serv safety, etc.) adequate to development?					3					
Is the local government of adequately providing facilities/services anticip be required by the devel	any new ated/likely to				3					
II. ADEQUACY OF TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE		Yes	No	N/A	Score 0, 1, or 3	Explain (option	onal for "Yes" for "No" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)		
Do adequate transportate currently exist to support development?		\boxtimes			3					
If the development is pre industrial, is it located in proximity to an interstate	close	\boxtimes			3					

If the development is predominately industrial, is it located with reasonable proximity to an intermodal station or other freight transfer location?	\boxtimes			3		
Will developer-funded mitigation of the transportation impacts of this development be adequate to address needs generated by the project? enhancements and/or improvements of the items already listed in the applicable transportation plan (STIP/TIP/LRTP)?						
If not, will enhancements and/or improvements already listed in the applicable transportation plan (STIP/TIP/LRTP) be adequate to address needs generated by the project?						
III. ACCESS MANAGEMENT	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0, 1, or 3	Explain (optional for "Yes" answers, required for "No" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
If the size and type of development warrant, is access to the site effectively managed through the use of internal roadways, access roads, or shared driveways?			\boxtimes			
If the development is adjacent to more than one roadway, is access provided via the lowest functionally classified roadway?						
Are access points to the site aligned with opposing access points and with existing, planned or likely median breaks?				1	OF THE TWO DRIVEWAYS PROPOSED, ONE DOES NOT ALLIGN WITH AN EXISTING DRIVEWAY OR A MEDIAN BREAK.	THE DEVELOPER SHOULD CONSIDER CONNECTING TO AN EXISTING DRIVEWAY SOUTH OF THE DEVELOPMENT SITE.
Are proposed traffic signals located at the intersection of public roadways that provide access to the entire site?						
Relative to the size and traffic volume of the adjacent roadways, does the proposed development provide an adequate, uninterrupted driveway throat lengths at all access points?				3		
Are all proposed access points outside of the functional area of any adjacent intersections?				3		
Do the proposed access points meet minimum spacing requirements established by GDOT (and GRTA, where appropriate)?				3		GDOT SHOULD BE CONSULTATED REGARDING DRIVEWAY ACCESS ONTO THORNTON RD (STATE ROUTE 6)
IV. RESOURCE MANAGEMENT	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0, 1, or 3	Explain (optional for "Yes" answers, required for "No" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
Are potential impacts upon WATER SUPPLY WATERSHEDS adequately addressed in the proposal?			\boxtimes			
Are potential impacts upon WETLANDS adequately addressed in the proposal?			\boxtimes			

Are potential impacts upon GROUNDWATER RECHARGE AREAS adequately addressed in the proposal?			\boxtimes				
Are potential impacts upon RIVER CORRIDORS adequately addressed in the proposal?			\boxtimes				
Are potential impacts upon PROTECTED MOUNTAINS adequately addressed in the proposal?			\boxtimes				
Are potential impacts upon COASTAL RESOURCES adequately addressed in the proposal?							
Are potential impacts upon FLOODPLAINS adequately addressed in the proposal?			\boxtimes				
Are potential impacts upon SENSITIVE SOIL TYPES adequately addressed in the proposal?			\boxtimes				
Are potential impacts upon STEEP SLOPES adequately addressed in the proposal?			\boxtimes				
Are potential impacts upon PRIME AGRICULTURAL/FORESTRY AREAS adequately addressed in the proposal?			\boxtimes				
Are potential impacts upon RARE/ENDANGERED SPECIES adequately addressed in the proposal?							
Are potential impacts upon FEDERAL, STATE OR REGIONAL PARKS adequately addressed in the proposal?							
Are potential impacts upon HISTORIC RESOURCES adequately addressed in the proposal?							
Are potential impacts upon DESIGNATED SCENIC BYWAYS adequately addressed in the proposal?							
Are potential impacts upon VIEWSHEDS OR SCENIC AREAS adequately addressed in the proposal?					-		
Lli	A Po	ints:	37			T OF A SIBLE:	39
LI	A Sc	ore:	95			E (30%):	28
OVE	ERAL	L AS	SES	SMENT (OF LOC	AL IMPAC	TS
Does the host local government need to take action to manage potential adverse impacts of this development?	•	YES []	NO		NARRATIV	
Should special requirements be placed on the developer(s) to mitigate adverse development impacts?	`	YES []	NO		NARRATIV	'E:



QDA









ATLANTA REGIONAL COMMISSION - DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT REVIEW PART 3: GEORGIA QUALITY DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT

To be completed by the ARC Staff

JURISDICTION:	DOUGLA	s c	DUN	ITY			Dat	e QDA Completed, M/D/YYYY: 7/12/2012
DRI #:	2287							RC DRI Reviewer: JT
TENTATIVE NAME OF DEVELOPMENT:	DCT Rive	er W	est	Dist	ributior	n Center		
TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT:	Industria	al						Action Triggering Review: Land Disturbance Permit
I. MIX OF USES		Yes	No	N/A	Score 0,1, or 3	Explain (as necessary for "Yes" and "No" and	iswers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
Does the development i mixture of complements								
Does the development I mixed uses?								
If the development is princed residential, are a health (e.g., corner grocery stofacilities) located within walking distance?	y mix of uses ores, community							
For developments without component, does the deal compatible new use the prevalent in the immedia surrounding area/neight	evelopment add nat is not ately							
II. TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES		Yes	No	N/A	Score 0,1, or 3	Explain (as necessary for "Yes" and "No" and	swers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
Are there sidewalks with development?	nin the							
Are there existing or pro- sidewalks along all adja street frontages that cor- internal sidewalk network	cent external nnect to the							
Are sidewalks designed ADA, AASHTO standard accessibility?								
Is bicycle parking provice residential buildings, mubuildings, and other key	ulti-family			\boxtimes				
Does the development i use trails that will conne external trail network(s)	nclude multi- ect to the			\boxtimes				

Are intersections designed for pedestrian safety, including marked crossing, curb extensions, median refuges, raised crosswalks, and/or pedestrian actuation devices?			\boxtimes			
Does the design include pedestrian connections between building entrances and the internal and external sidewalk network?						
Does the development contribute to public streetscapes with pedestrian-friendly amenities, such as benches, lighting, street trees, trash cans, pedestrian entrance on street level, and windows at street level?			\boxtimes			
Will the development employ pedestrian-friendly block sizes (e.g., block face no more than 500 ft, average block perimeter 1350 ft)?			\boxtimes			
Will the development incorporate traffic calming measures, such as narrower street widths, raised pedestrian crossings, or rough pavement materials?			\boxtimes			
III. CONNECTIVITY	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0,1, or 3	Explain (as necessary for "Yes" and "No" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
Will the development employ street layouts that match those in older parts of the community?			\boxtimes			
Will the developments internal street network connect to the existing surrounding street network at many points?			\boxtimes			
Will the developments internal street network connect to the existing surrounding street network at many						
Will the developments internal street network connect to the existing surrounding street network at many points? Does the development provide multiple ingress/egress points and have access to multiple external roadways? Does the proposal provide appropriate direct connections to existing adjacent developments/uses?						
Will the developments internal street network connect to the existing surrounding street network at many points? Does the development provide multiple ingress/egress points and have access to multiple external roadways? Does the proposal provide appropriate direct connections to existing adjacent developments/uses? Does the proposal allow for direct connection to adjacent developments/uses in the future (at stub outs, dead end streets, etc.)?				3		
Will the developments internal street network connect to the existing surrounding street network at many points? Does the development provide multiple ingress/egress points and have access to multiple external roadways? Does the proposal provide appropriate direct connections to existing adjacent developments/uses? Does the proposal allow for direct connection to adjacent developments/uses in the future (at stub outs, dead end streets, etc.)? Will the development include external and internal connections that allow motorists to avoid using the surrounding roadways to access adjacent uses?				3		
Will the developments internal street network connect to the existing surrounding street network at many points? Does the development provide multiple ingress/egress points and have access to multiple external roadways? Does the proposal provide appropriate direct connections to existing adjacent developments/uses? Does the proposal allow for direct connection to adjacent developments/uses in the future (at stub outs, dead end streets, etc.)? Will the development include external and internal connections that allow motorists to avoid using the surrounding roadways to access adjacent uses? Does the internal street network minimize traveling distance by providing relatively direct circulation throughout the site?				3		
Will the developments internal street network connect to the existing surrounding street network at many points? Does the development provide multiple ingress/egress points and have access to multiple external roadways? Does the proposal provide appropriate direct connections to existing adjacent developments/uses? Does the proposal allow for direct connection to adjacent developments/uses in the future (at stub outs, dead end streets, etc.)? Will the development include external and internal connections that allow motorists to avoid using the surrounding roadways to access adjacent uses? Does the internal street network minimize traveling distance by providing relatively direct circulation				3		

				Caara		_
IV. PARKING	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0,1, or 3	Explain (as necessary for "Yes" and "No" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
Does the development provide no more parking than the minimum required by the local jurisdiction?	\boxtimes			3		
Does development seek reduced parking requirements for commercial and residential developments, particularly when nearby parking alternatives or public transit is available?						
Does development seek shared parking arrangements that reduce overall parking needs?			\boxtimes			
Does development use landscaped tree islands and medians to break up large expanses of paved parking?			\boxtimes			
Is the development's parking located where it does not visually dominate the development from the street?		\boxtimes		0	EMPLOYEE PARKING LOCATED BETWEEN THE BUILDING FRONTAGE AND THE STREET	EMPLOYEE PARKING COULD BE SHIFTED TO THE SIDE OF THE BUIDLING OR APPROPRIATELY BUFFERED FROM THE STREET.
Does the parking design allow for easy and safe pedestrian access to buildings?			\boxtimes			
V. INFILL DEVELOPMENT	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0,1, or 3	Explain (as necessary for "Yes" and "No" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
Is the development proposing to locate on an infill site with existing infrastructure in place?	\boxtimes			3		
Does this project involve redevelopment of abandoned structures; a brownfield site; other underutilized properties?						
Does the development re-use or rehabilitate existing and/or historic structures?						
Is the development designed to blend into existing neighborhoods with compatible scale and design (e.g., small scale apartment buildings, multifamily that looks like a single residence from the street, etc)?			\boxtimes			
Are new housing opportunities being created out of former, underused commercial, warehouse, or industrial spaces?			\boxtimes			
Is the development designed to revitalize existing neighborhood commercial centers (or create a new one on an infill site) that will serve as a focal point for the surrounding neighborhood and community?						
Is this a greyfield redevelopment that converts vacant or under-utilized commercial strips to mixed-use assets?			\boxtimes			

VI. SENSE OF PLACE	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0,1, or 3	Explain (as necessary for "Yes" and "No" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
Does the development create or enhance community spaces such as public plazas, squares, parks, etc?			\boxtimes			
Is the development consistent / compatible with the traditional character of the community, incorporating appropriate scale, placement and massing?						
If "big box" retail, is the development designed in a way that complements surrounding uses (e.g. appropriate massing and scale when in developed areas; landscaped buffers/berms when in less developed areas; etc.)?			\boxtimes			
If "big box" retail, is the development designed in a way that promotes long-term usability (e.g. allows for subsequent adaptation to other tenants/uses)?						
Are structures oriented toward and located near existing and proposed street front(s) with parking located in places other than between the structure and the street/sidewalk?						
Does the development design include restrictions on the number and size of signs and billboards?						
If applicable, will the natural vegetative character of surrounding roadways be maintained (e.g., with setbacks, vegetative buffers, landscaped berms)?						
VII. TRADITIONAL NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT (TND)	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0,1, or 3	Explain (as necessary for "Yes" and "No" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
Is the development designed to be an attractive, pedestrian-friendly activity center serving surrounding residential areas?				2,1,22		
Will the development include a mix of housing types and sizes evocative of the "traditional" development styles/patterns of the community?						
Do planned street widths employ TND width standards (i.e. narrow)?						
Are structures designed with small setbacks, and porches (where appropriate) that contribute to a continuous orientation to the street that is pedestrian-friendly and encourages interaction with neighbors and/or passers-by?			\boxtimes			
Are accommodations included for on- street parking and/or rear alleyway access for residents'/visitors' automobiles?						

VIII. OPEN/GREEN SPACE CONSERVATION	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0,1, or 3	Explain (as necessary for "Yes" and "No" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
Is the development in close proximity				0,1,010	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
with direct access to permanently protected open/greenspace?						
Is the development clustered to preserve open/green space within the development site?			\boxtimes			
Does the development set aside a substantial percentage of total land area as permanently protected open or green space, preferably connected to a green space network?						
Does the design of the development include provisions to permanently preserve environmentally sensitive areas by setting them aside as public parks, trails, greenbelts, etc?						
Does the design of the development incorporate significant site features (view corridors, water features, farmland, wetlands, etc.) as amenities?						
If public water/sewer is unavailable, does the design of the development make use of common area drain fields and/or neighborhood-scale wastewater treatment systems to reduce parcel size and facilitate cluster development?			\boxtimes			
IX. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0,1, or 3	Explain (as necessary for "Yes" and "No" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
IX. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION Does the development avoid critical environmental areas?	Yes	No	N/A			
Does the development avoid critical environmental areas? Does the project avoid land physically unsuitable for development (steep slopes greater than 20%, floodplains, stream corridors, groundwater recharge areas or wetlands), prime agricultural lands/soils and/or propose the appropriate mitigation measures?		No	N/A	0,1, or 3		
Does the development avoid critical environmental areas? Does the project avoid land physically unsuitable for development (steep slopes greater than 20%, floodplains, stream corridors, groundwater recharge areas or wetlands), prime agricultural lands/soils and/or propose the appropriate mitigation measures? Does the development include measures to retain/protect a large proportion of existing trees and to maintain the health of new trees included in the development's		No	N/A	0,1, or 3 3		
Does the development avoid critical environmental areas? Does the project avoid land physically unsuitable for development (steep slopes greater than 20%, floodplains, stream corridors, groundwater recharge areas or wetlands), prime agricultural lands/soils and/or propose the appropriate mitigation measures? Does the development include measures to retain/protect a large proportion of existing trees and to maintain the health of new trees		No		0,1, or 3 3		
Does the development avoid critical environmental areas? Does the project avoid land physically unsuitable for development (steep slopes greater than 20%, floodplains, stream corridors, groundwater recharge areas or wetlands), prime agricultural lands/soils and/or propose the appropriate mitigation measures? Does the development include measures to retain/protect a large proportion of existing trees and to maintain the health of new trees included in the development's landscaping? Does the development incorporate native and drought-tolerant		No		0,1, or 3 3		

	Does the development reflect best management practices (e.g., bioretention strips, rain gardens or swales as alternatives to conventional practices) for water quality protection?						
	Do the parking lots incorporate innovative on-site stormwater mitigation or retention features that are not covered elsewhere in this checklist?			\boxtimes			
	Is a substantial proportion of the total paved area (total of driveways, parking, etc) covered with permeable surfaces?			\boxtimes			
	Does the development propose water conservation covenants or employ other appropriate water conservation measures?						
	Is the development seeking independent certification/recognition by a widely acknowledged development accreditation organization (e.g. LEED, EarthCraft, Green Globes, Energy Star, etc.)?						
	Does the development make use of alternative building materials that promote environmental protection and energy efficiency?			\boxtimes			
	X. Housing Choices	Yes	No	N/A	Score 0,1, or 3	Explain (as necessary for "Yes" and "No" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
	For developments with a residential component, will a diversity of housing types be provided in the development, including: Single family; Accessory housing units; Multi family; Affordable housing?			\boxtimes			
-	component, will a diversity of housing types be provided in the development, including: Single family; Accessory						
	component, will a diversity of housing types be provided in the development, including: Single family; Accessory housing units; Multi family; Affordable housing? For developments with a residential component, does the development add a new housing type to the immediately						
	component, will a diversity of housing types be provided in the development, including: Single family; Accessory housing units; Multi family; Affordable housing? For developments with a residential component, does the development add a new housing type to the immediately surrounding neighborhood? If the development includes a senior housing component, does the development include affordability and accessibility features and proximity to services and transportation						
	component, will a diversity of housing types be provided in the development, including: Single family; Accessory housing units; Multi family; Affordable housing? For developments with a residential component, does the development add a new housing type to the immediately surrounding neighborhood? If the development includes a senior housing component, does the development include affordability and accessibility features and proximity to services and transportation alternatives? Will the development provide greater housing options for low and middle	Yes	□ □ No		Score 0,1, or 3	Explain (as necessary for "Yes" and "No" answers)	Recommendations (to the Developer for Improving the Project)
	component, will a diversity of housing types be provided in the development, including: Single family; Accessory housing units; Multi family; Affordable housing? For developments with a residential component, does the development add a new housing type to the immediately surrounding neighborhood? If the development includes a senior housing component, does the development include affordability and accessibility features and proximity to services and transportation alternatives? Will the development provide greater housing options for low and middle income residents and families?		No				

development clearly reflect consideration of the local and regional jobs/housing balance?			\boxtimes					
Is the development located in a tax abatement zone, a tax allocation district, a designated/planned redevelopment area, an enterprise zone, or other governmentally supported redevelopment zones?			\boxtimes					
Will this development use or is it likely to enhance local or regional small-business development program(s)?			\boxtimes					
Will the development provide greater employment opportunities for low and middle income residents?								
Is the development likely to spur other activities aimed at improving the quality of the local/regional workforce?								
QDA	Po	NTS:	15			OUT OF A POSSIBLE:		21
QDA	A Sco	ORE:	71			QDA WEIGHTE SCORE (20%)		14
	OVE	RAL	L AS	SES	SME	NT OF QUALIT	ΓΥ	
Is the preponderance of answers above "Yes"?		_		-		elopment qualifies fo	-	pedited review. y for expedited review
		NO, th	e prop this re	osed d	comr		ualify	y for expedited review

DCT RIVER WEST DISTRIBUTION CENTER DRI

Douglas County ARC Environmental Planning Division Comments July 11, 2012

Watershed Protection and Stream Buffers

The property is not in the Chattahoochee River Corridor, but is within the Chattahoochee River Watershed. This portion of the watershed is downstream of the water supply watershed portion of the Chattahoochee. The USGS coverage for the area shows a blue-line stream running from Lake Echo to the River near the rear of this property. Any portion of this parcel near that stream may be subject to the Douglas County Stream Buffer Ordinance. Any unmapped streams that may be on the property will be also subject to the county's stream buffer ordinance. Any state waters that may be on the property will be subject to the requirements of the State 25-foot Erosion and Sedimentation Act buffers.

Storm Water / Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. ARC has estimated the amount of pollutants produced after the construction of the proposed development, based on the submitted plans. The estimates are based on some simplifying assumptions for typical pollutant loading factors (lbs/ac/yr). The loading factors are based on the results of regional storm water monitoring data from the Atlanta Region. Actual pollutant loadings will vary based on actual use and the amount of impervious surface in the final project design. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis.

Estimated Pounds of Pollutants Per Year

Land Use:	Land Area (Acres)	Total Phosphorus	Total Nitrogen	BOD	TSS	Zinc	Lead
Heavy Industrial	47.28	68.56	909.67	6051.84	37587.60	78.48	9.93
TOTAL	47.28	68.56	909.67	6051.84	37587.60	78.48	9.93

Total Percentage Impervious: 80%

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should to the applicable Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District 2009 Watershed Management Plan's Stormwater and Watershed Protection Ordinances, as adopted by Gwinnett County. It should also implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

40 COURTLAND STREET, NE

ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

MEMORANDUM

TO:	Jon Tuley, Land Use Division
FROM:	Nathan Soldat, Transportation Planning Division
DATE: SUBJECT:	July 10, 2012 Transportation Division Review of DRI # 2287 Project: DCT River West Distribution Center
	County: Douglas Location: West side of Thornton Road 2,950 feet south of Douglas Hill Road (Parcels 09451820002 and 09461820001)
	Analysis: Expedited
	Non-Expedited X
cc:	David Haynes TD

The following input is provided for the Infrastructure section of the DRI Report. This DRI proposal is being considered for review under the Georgia Regional Transportation Authority Non-expedited Review Process. The proposed 47.23 acre warehouse/distribution development would contain one 783,750 square foot warehouse/distribution buildings.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Transportation

How many site access points will be associated with the proposed development? What are their locations?

Site access is intended to be provided for the warehouse/distribution building of the DRI via two driveways off Thornton Road, south of the intersection with Douglas Hill Road. The main driveway to the north is located at an existing median opening. This median opening also serves another warehouse use that is located along the east side of Thornton Road. The second driveway to the south is a proposed right-in right-out and is located approximately 550 feet to the south of the main driveway.

How much average daily traffic will be generated by the proposed project?

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. is using ITE Code 152 – High-Cube Warehouse (based on square footage) to determine trip rates. Based on this, the total weekday gross trips will be 1,376. ARC staff confirms the accuracy of this number.

List the transportation improvements that would affect or be affected by the proposed project.

PLAN 2040 RTP (Long Range Projects)*

ARC Number	<u>Route</u>	Type of Improvement	Scheduled Completion Year
FS-225	SR 70 (Fulton Industrial Boulevard) Widening from SR 166 (Campbellton Road) to SR 6 (Camp Cree	Roadway / General Capacity	Long Range 2018-2030

^{*}The ARC Board adopted the PLAN 2040 RTP and FY 2012-2017 TIP on July 27th, 2011.

Transportation Investment Act of 2010*

Number	<u>Route</u>	Type of Improvement	Implementation Band
TIA-DO-002	I-20 West from I-285 West to SR 5 – ITS and Western Regional Traffic Control Center	Roadway	Construction likely to occur in Band 1 (2013-2015)
TIA-DO-018	SR 6 (Thornton Road) from Paulding County Line to Riverside Parkway – Truck Friendly Lanes, ITS, Intersection Improvements and Partial Widening	Roadway	Construction likely to occur in Band 2 (2016-2019)

^{*}On July 31, 2012, residents across the 10-county Atlanta region have the opportunity to vote on a referendum that would fund \$8.5 billion in transportation improvements through a regional one percent sales tax. The implementation of the above project hinges on the successful passage of the referendum.

Is the site served by transit? If so, describe type and level of service and how it will enhance or be enhanced by the presence of transit? Are there plans to provide or expand transit service in the vicinity of the proposed project?

Not applicable.

What transportation demand management strategies does the developer propose (carpool, flex-time, transit subsidy, etc.)?

None proposed.

What are the numbers of crashes adjacent to the proposed project?

The Georgia Department of Transportation shows for the last three years:

- -18 crashes (no fatalities) at or near the intersection of Douglas Hill Road and Thornton Road
- -14 crashes (no fatalities) on Thornton Road between Douglas Hill Road and Riverside Parkway
- -24 crashes (no fatalities) at or near the intersection of Thornton Road and Riverside Parkway

What other issues should be considered during the traffic study?

- Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. should review design guidelines related to ARC's Policy and Investment Networks. Thornton Road is on the following networks:
 - 1. Concept 3 Transit Network
 - 2. Regional Truck Route Network
 - 3. Regional Thoroughfare Network
- In 2006, The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) completed the Connect6 Study, the multi-modal corridor study for State Route 6. The study assessed and recommended transportation and land use improvements from Dallas, in Paulding County, to Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. should review this study.
- Mike Lobdell with the Georgia Department of Transportation stated during the preapplication meeting on July 10th, 2012 that the southern driveway may not be allowed. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. needs to verify if this driveway will be allowed and if not make necessary accommodations.

Jonathan Tuley

From: Hood, Alan C. <achood@dot.ga.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 05, 2012 3:07 PM

To: Jonathan Tuley

Cc:Comer, Carol; Cevallos, Peter Paul Jr.; douglas.barrett@fultoncountyga.gov; Sands, Carla JoSubject:RE: Pre-Review Meeting July 10, 2012 - DCT River West Distribution Center (Douglas County,

DRI #2287)

Jon,

DRI# 2287, DCT River West Distribution Center does not appear to impact any public airports in the area. The site is located approximately 4.15 miles southwest of the Fulton County Airport – Brown Field (FTY), but not located inside the approach area for Runway 8. However, if the proposed project's vertical construction exceeds 200ft above ground level, an FAA Form 7460-1 must be submitted to the Federal Aviation Administration. That may be done online at https://oeaaa.faa.gov/oeaaa/external/portal.jsp. The FAA must be in receipt of the notification, no later than 45 days prior to construction. The FAA will evaluate the potential impact of the project on protected airspace associated with ETV airport and

https://oeaaa.faa.gov/oeaaa/external/portal.jsp. The FAA must be in receipt of the notification, no later than 45 days prior to construction. The FAA will evaluate the potential impact of the project on protected airspace associated with FTY airport and advise the proponent if any action is necessary.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed development.

Alan Hood | Airport Safety Data Program Manager
Georgia Department of Transportation - Aviation Programs
4005 Fulton Industrial Boulevard | Atlanta, Georgia 30336
T: 404-505-4863 | F: 404-505-4870 | M: 404-660-3394 | E: achood@dot.ga.gov

View our new website at http://www.dot.ga.gov/localgovernment/intermodalprograms/aviation!

From: Jonathan Tuley < <u>JTuley@atlantaregional.com</u>>

Date: July 5, 2012 12:35:18 PM EDT

To: "Allen, Patrick" <paallen@dot.ga.gov>, "VanDyke, Cindy" <cyvandyke@dot.ga.gov>, "Ware, Alan"

<alware@dot.ga.gov>, "Williams, Michael V." <michawilliams@dot.ga.gov>, "Comer, Carol"

<<u>ccomer@dot.ga.gov</u>>, "Lobdell, Mike" <<u>mlobdell@dot.ga.gov</u>>, "Walker, Steven" <<u>stwalker@dot.ga.gov</u>>,

"Cautela, Daphne" < dcautela@dot.ga.gov >, "'wstinson@itsmarta.com'" < wstinson@itsmarta.com >,

"'lbeall@grta.org'" <lbeall@grta.org>, 'Julie McQueen' <JMcQueen@GRTA.org>, "'BDennard@grta.org'"

<<u>BDennard@grta.org</u>>, "'<u>DRI@grta.org</u>'" <<u>DRI@grta.org</u>>, "'Crocker, John'" <<u>jtcrocker@itsmarta.com</u>>, "'Floyd,

Greg'" <gfloyd@itsmarta.com>, 'Jon West' <jon.west@dca.ga.gov>, "abrumelow@co.douglas.ga.us"

<abrumelow@co.douglas.ga.us>, "Tracy Rye (trye@co.douglas.ga.us)" <trye@co.douglas.ga.us>,

"smartin@dctindustrial.com" <smartin@dctindustrial.com>

Cc: Landuse <Landuse@atlantaregional.com>, Jane Hayse <JHayse@atlantaregional.com>,

"<u>SDunn@atlantaregional.com</u>" <<u>sdunn@atlantaregional.com</u>>, David Haynes <<u>DHaynes@atlantaregional.com</u>>, Nathan Soldat <<u>NSoldat@atlantaregional.com</u>>, Patrick Bradshaw <<u>PBradshaw@atlantaregional.com</u>>, Mike Alexander <<u>MAlexander@atlantaregional.com</u>>, Jim Skinner <<u>JSkinner@atlantaregional.com</u>>, Jim Santo

<<u>JSanto@atlantaregional.com</u>>

Subject: Pre-Review Meeting July 10, 2012 - DCT River West Distribution Center (Douglas County, DRI #2287)

Development of Regional Impact Pre-Review Meeting Notification

This email serves as notice that ARC staff has reviewed the information submitted on the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) website and finds that, based on the information submitted, the proposed development, DCT River West Distribution Center, may be a Development of Regional Impact as defined by DCA Rules.

The Atlanta Regional Commission staff will hold a pre-review meeting on <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>July 10</u>, <u>2012</u>, <u>at 2:00pm in the Executive Conference Room at ARC Offices</u> to discuss the this project and determine what additional information will be needed to initiate the review. We request that you or a member of your staff attend the pre-review meeting to review the initial proposal. If you are the applicant/developer, or the developer's representative, you must attend this meeting. Please send us all information related to the project, including a digital copy of the site plan prior to the meeting.

<u>DCT River West Distribution Center</u> - This project, located in Douglas County, is a proposed light industrial warehouse/distribution facility. The proposed project is located along the west side of Thornton Road, approximately 2,950 feet south of the intersection of Douglas Hill Road and Thornton Road. For more information on this project please visit the <u>DCA website</u> or contact our offices.

For more information regarding the DRI processes, and the information needed for the review, please see the DRI website.

Thanks,

Jon Tuley, AICP Principal Planner

Atlanta Regional Commission regional impact + local relevance

40 Courtland Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-2538
P | 404.463.3307
F | 404.463.3254
jtuley@atlantaregional.com
atlantaregional.com

Connect with ARC

Like us on <u>Facebook</u> »
Follow us on <u>Twitter</u> »
ARC Land Matters <u>Blog</u> »
Get connected on <u>LinkedIn</u> »

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any attachments are intended solely for the use of the named recipient or recipients. Any dissemination of this e-mail by anyone other than an intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you are not a named recipient, you are prohibited from any further viewing of the e-mail or any attachments or from making any use of the e-mail or attachments. If you believe you have received this e-mail in error, notify the sender immediately and permanently delete the e-mail and any attachments, and all copies.

Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Login

DRI #2287

	DEVEL	OPMENT OF REGIONAL IMP Initial DRI Information	PACT
	irs to meet or e	unty government to provide basic project sceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to nation.	
	Lo	cal Government Information	1
Submitting Local Government:	Douglas		
Individual completing form:	Tracy Rye		
Telephone:	678-838-2060		
E-mail:	trye@co.dou	glas.ga.us	
herein. If a project is to be loc	ated in more th	completing this form is responsible for the an one jurisdiction and, in total, the projec of the project is to be located is responsib	t meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the
	Pr	oposed Project Information	
Name of Proposed Project:	DCT River We	est Distribution Center	
Location (Street Address, GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description):	West side of T 09461820001	hornton Road 2,950' south of Douglas Hil)	l Road (Parcels 09451820002 and
Brief Description of Project:	Light Industria	I Warehouse/Distribution	
Development Type:			
(not selected)		OHotels	Wastewater Treatment Facilities
Office		Mixed Use	Petroleum Storage Facilities
O Commercial		Airports	Water Supply
Commercial		Airports	Intakes/Reservoirs
Wholesale & Distribution		Attractions & Recreational Facilities	Intermodal Terminals
Hospitals and Health Caracilities	are	Post-Secondary Schools	Truck Stops
Housing		Waste Handling Facilities	Any other development types
O Industrial		Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants	
If other development type, de	scribe:		

Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.):	783,750 SF
Developer:	DCT Industrial
Mailing Address:	3340 Peachtree Road, NE
Address 2:	Tower 100, Suite 2675
	City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30326
Telephone:	404-846-6828
Email:	smartin@dctindustrial.com
Is property owner different from developer/applicant?	(not selected) (a) Yes (b) No
If yes, property owner:	Waldrep Development LLC and Gregory Louis Johnson, Charles F. Johnson, Thomas H. Johnson, Nathan R.
Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?	(not selected) (a) Yes (b) No
If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?	
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, provide the following	Project Name:
information:	Project ID:
The initial action being requested of the local government for this project:	Rezoning Variance Sewer Water Permit Other
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?	
Estimated Project Completion Dates:	This project/phase: 10/2013 Overall project: 10/2013
Back to Top	

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2010 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.

Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home

DRI Rules

Thresholds

Tier Map

FAQ

Apply

View Submissions

Login

	DPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT dditional DRI Information
	unty government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the DRI Process and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.
Loc	al Government Information
Submitting Local Government:	Douglas County
Individual completing form:	Tracy Rye
Telephone:	678-838-2060
Email:	trye@ co.dougles.ga.us
	Project Information
Name of Proposed Project:	DCT River West Distribution Center
DRI ID Number:	2287
Developer/Applicant:	DCT Industrial
Telephone:	404-846-6828
Email(s):	Smortin@defindustrial.com
Addit	tional Information Requested
Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)	• (not selected) C Yes C No
If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?	• (not selected) © Yes © No
f no, the official review process can not start	until this additional information is provided.
	Economic Development
Estimated Value at Build-Out:	\$16,000,000
Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:	# 548,000
s the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?	● (not selected) × Yes ○ No
Will this development displace any existing uses?	● (not selected) ○ Yes ※No
f yes, please describe (including number of u	nits, square feet, etc):

	Water Supply
Name of water supply provider for this site:	Douglasville - Douglas County WSA
What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	0.042 MG D
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	• (not selected) XYes \(\cap \) No
If no, describe any plans to expand the existing	ng water supply capacity:
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	• (not selected) Yes X No
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will	be required?
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	Dougles ville - Dougles County USA
Name of wastewater treatment provider for	Douglasville - Douglas Corunt WEA
What is the estimated sewage flow to be	0,0095 mg 0
generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	10,000
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	● (not selected) ★ Yes ○ No
available to serve this proposed project? If no, describe any plans to expand existing w Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	vastewater treatment capacity: ● (not selected) Yes XNo
available to serve this proposed project? If no, describe any plans to expand existing w Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	vastewater treatment capacity: ● (not selected) Yes No
available to serve this proposed project? If no, describe any plans to expand existing w Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	reastewater treatment capacity: • (not selected) ○ Yes ※No be required?
available to serve this proposed project? If no, describe any plans to expand existing well in the serve this project? If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will the much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available,	vastewater treatment capacity: ● (not selected) Yes No
available to serve this proposed project? If no, describe any plans to expand existing w Is a sewer line extension required to serve	(not selected) Yes XNo be required? Land Transportation

The state of the s	Solid Waste Disposal	
How much solid waste is the project	600	
expected to generate annually (in tons)? Is sufficient landfill capacity available to	(not selected) XYes No	
serve this proposed project? If no, describe any plans to expand existing la		
in no, describe any plans to expand existing it	and in Capacity.	
H		
Will any hazardous waste be generated by	Leave to the beautiful	
the development?	(not selected) Yes No	
If yes, please explain:		
	Character Management	
	Stormwater Management	
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed	Stormwater Management	
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as be project's impacts on stormwater management)	uffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the	
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as be project's impacts on stormwater management)	uffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the	
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as b project's impacts on stormwater managemen Retain buffers on sitrear designer in accordance in	uffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the	
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as b project's impacts on stormwater managemen Retain buffers on sitrear designer in accordance in	uffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the	+
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as b project's impacts on stormwater management Retain buffers on Sitrear designer in accordance to manual.	uffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the it. n. Waker Quality & Defertion WIII be with the Georgia Stormwaker Monascement. Environmental Quality	+
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as be project's impacts on stormwater management Retain buffers on stream designation accordance to manual.	uffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the it. n. Waker Quality & Defertion WIII be with the Georgia Stormwaker Monascement. Environmental Quality	
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as be project's impacts on stormwater management Retain buffers on stream designation accordance to manual.) Is the development located within, or likely to 1. Water supply watersheds?	uffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the it: n, Waker Quality & Detertion Will be with the Georgia Stormwater Monascomert Environmental Quality affect any of the following:	+
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as be project's impacts on stormwater management Retain buffers on stream designation accordance to manual. Is the development located within, or likely to 1. Water supply watersheds?	uffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the it: n, Water Quality & Defertion Will be with the Georgia Stormwater Monascomert Environmental Quality affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No	
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as be project's impacts on stormwater management Retain buffers on Stream designation accordance to the first of the development located within, or likely to 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? 3. Wetlands?	uffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the it: n, Water Quality & Defection Will be with the Georgia Stormwater Monascenter Environmental Quality affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No	
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as be project's impacts on stormwater management Retain buffers on otherwise than buffers on otherwise the surface of th	unifers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the it: n. Waker Quality & Defection Will be with the Georgia Stormwaler Massement Environmental Quality affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No	
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as be project's impacts on stormwater management and the surface of the sur	unifers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the str. n. Waker Quality & Defection Will be with the Georgia Shamwaker Massement Environmental Quality affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No	
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as be project's impacts on stormwater management and the project's impacts on stormwater management and the project's impacts on stormwater management and the project's impacts on stormwater and the project of the p	unifers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the sit: n. Waker Quality & Defection Will be with the Georgia Shamware Maragement Environmental Quality affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No	
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as b project's impacts on stormwater managemen Retain buffers on sitrear designer in accordance in	unifers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the it: n, Wake Quality & Defertion Will be with the Georgia Stormwater Massement Environmental Quality affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No	

