

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Jun 27 2012 ARC Review Code: P1206271

TO: Mayor David Belle Isle

ATTN TO: Diana Wheeler, Community Development Director Dragh R. Stoke

FROM: Douglas R. Hooker, Executive Director

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2012 City of Alpharetta CIE/STWP Annual Update

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: This is a review of the 2012 Annual Update to the City of Alpharetta Capital Improvements Element (CIE) and Short-Term Work Program (STWP).

Submitting Local Government: City of Alpharetta

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Jun 27 2012

Deadline for Comments: Jul 18 2012

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Aug 27 2012

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES **FULTON COUNTY** CITY OF ROSWELL

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FORSYTH COUNTY CITY OF JOHNS CREEK

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CITY OF MILTON

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have an staff does not additional com	receive com	ments from	you by Jul	18 2012, w	e will assum	e that your a	agency has no
	The ARC review						



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government	City of Alpharetta	Date Received:	Jun 27 2012			
Local Contact:	Diana Wheeler, Community Development Director City of Alpharetta Public Hearin Date an Time:		2012-07-23, 9:00 a.m.			
Phone:	678-297-6070	E-Mail:	dwheeler@alpharetta.ga.us			
Fax:		Website:	http://www.alpharetta.ga.us			
Street	287 South Main Street City State, Zip:		Alpharetta, Georgia 30009			
	Department of Commu	nity Affairs	Review Required			
Review Title:	2012 City of Alpharetta CIE/S	STWP Annual	Update			
Description	This is a review of the 2012 Annual Update to the City of Alpharetta Capital Improvements Element (CIE) and Short-Term Work Program (STWP). Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Alpharetta.					
			t ARC and the local government.			
	Regional Development Cente	r:				
	nal Commission					
	Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 53.3302 FAX 404.463.3254					
Contact Person:	red Lombard AICP, Principal Planner					
E-Mail j	mbard@atlantaregional.com					

	ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM				
DATE	: Jun 27 2012 ARC REVIEW CODE: P1206271				
TO:	ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs				
FROM	f: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302				
	Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:				
	Use: Lombard, Jared Transportation: Park, Jean Hee				
	onmental: Santo, Jim Research: Skinner, Jim				
Aging	: Rader, Carolyn				
Nome	of Dromocole 2012 Circ. of Alabanetes CIE/CTW/D Amazal III. data				
	<u>of Proposal:</u> 2012 City of Alpharetta CIE/STWP Annual Update w Type: Local Comprehensive Plan				
	w Type. Local Complehensive Fian I ption: This is a review of the 2012 Annual Update to the City of Alpharetta Capital Improvements Element (CIE) and Short-Term				
	Program (STWP).				
	itting Local Government: City of Alpharetta				
Date (<u>Opened:</u> Jun 27 2012				
<u>Dead</u>	line for Comments: Jul 18 2012				
<u>Earlie</u>	st the Regional Review can be Completed: Aug 27 2012				
Response:					
1)	□ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.				
2)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development				
	guide listed in the comment section.				
3)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development				
	guide listed in the comment section.				
4)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.				
5)	\Box The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.				
6)	\Box Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.				
	COMMENTS:				