

## **REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

## DATE: Mar 8 2012

ARC REVIEW CODE: P1203081

TO: Mayor Don HaddixATTN TO: David Rast, Planning and Zoning AdministratorFROM: Douglas A. Hooker, Executive Director

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2012 City of Peachtree City CIE/STWP Update

**<u>Review Type:</u>** Local Comprehensive Plan

**Description:** The 2012 update to the City of Peachtree City Capital Improvements Element/Short-Term Work Program.

<u>Submitting Local Government</u>: City of Peachtree City <u>Action Under Consideration</u>: Approval <u>Date Opened</u>: Mar 8 2012 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Mar 29 2012 Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: May 7 2012

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

Town of Tyrone Coweta County Georgia Department of Natural Resources CITY OF PEACHTREE CITY FAYETTE COUNTY GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF SENOIA GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

## Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463–3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Mar 29 2012, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u> .

# **REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

AR

## NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Peachtree City	Date Received:	Mar 8 2012	
Local Contact:	David Rast, Planning and Zoning Administrator City of Peachtree City	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2012-04-02 09:00:00	
Phone:	770-487-5731	E-Mail:	planner@peachtree-city.org	
Fax:	770-631-2552	Website:	http://www.peachtree-city.org/	
Street	153 Willowbend Road	City State, Zip:	Peachtree City, GA 30269	
	Department of Commu		Review Required	
Review Title:	2012 City of Peachtree City C	DIE/STWP Upd	late	
Description:	The 2012 update to the City of Peachtree City Capital Improvements Element/Short-Term Work Program.			
	Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u> Under Plan Review, search for the City of Peachtree City.			
The submitte	d documents are available	for review a	t ARC and the local government.	
Reviewing Re	gional Development Cente	er:		
Atlanta Regiona 40 Courtland St				

 Contact
 Jared Lombard AICP, Principal Planner

 Person:
 jlombard@atlantaregional.com

## ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

**DATE:** Mar 8 2012

### ARC REVIEW CODE: P1203081

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs FROM: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302

## Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

Land Use: Smith, Andrew	Transportation: Smiley, Kenyata
<u>Environmental:</u> Santo, Jim	Research: Skinner, Jim
Aging: Rader, Carolyn	

Name of Proposal: 2012 City of Peachtree City CIE/STWP Update Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Description: The 2012 update to the City of Peachtree City Capital Improvements Element/Short-Term Work Program. Submitting Local Government: City of Peachtree City Date Opened: Mar 8 2012

**Deadline for Comments:** Mar 29 2012

#### Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: May 7 2012

	Response:
1)	□ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)	$\Box$ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
3)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
4)	□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
5)	□ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. <b>COMMENTS:</b>
	COMMENTS: