

## REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

**DATE**: Jan 17 2012 **ARC Review Code**: P1101171

TO: Mayor Emanuel Ransom ATTN TO: Keith Barker, City Manager

**FROM**: Douglas A. Hooker, Executive Director

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2012 City of Clarkston STWP Update

**Review Type:** Local Comprehensive Plan

**Description:** The 2012 Update of the City of Clarkston's STWP

**Submitting Local Government**: City of Clarkston

**Action Under Consideration:** Approval

Date Opened: Jan 17 2012

**Deadline for Comments:** Feb 21 2012

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Mar 17 2012

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
METRO ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
DEKALB COUNTY

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

### Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Feb 21 2012, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse">http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</a> .



# REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

### NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government	City of Clarkston	Date Received:	Jan 17 2012
Local Contact:	Keith Barker, City Manager City of Clarkston	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2012-02-13 09:00 AM
Phone:		E-Mail:	
Fax:		Website:	
Street	3921 Church Street	City State, Zip:	Clarkston, Georgia 30021
	Department of Commu	ınity Affairs	Review Required
Review Title:	2012 City of Clarkston STWF	<sup>o</sup> Update	
Description	The 2012 Update of the City of Clarkston's STWP  Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse">http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</a> Under Plan Review, search for the City of Clarkston.		
The submit	ted documents are available	for review a	nt ARC and the local government.
Reviewing	Regional Development Cento	er:	
40 Courtland	onal Commission I Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 63.3302 FAX 404.463.3254		
Person:	Jared Lombard AICP, Principal Planner		
E-Mail	jlombard@atlantaregional.com		

### ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

<b>DATE</b> : Jan 17 2012	ARC REVIEW CODE: P1101171			
TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs				
FROM: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302				
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:				
Land Use: Lombard, Jared	Transportation: Bradshaw, Patrick			
Environmental: Santo, Jim	Research: Skinner, Jim			
Aging: Rader, Carolyn				
Name of Proposal: 2012 City of Clarkston STWP Upda	te			
Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan				
Description: The 2012 Update of the City of Clarkston's STWP				
Submitting Local Government: City of Clarkston				
Date Opened: Jan 17 2012				
<u>Deadline for Comments:</u> Feb 21 2012				
Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Mar 17 2012				
Response:				
1) $\qed$ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following	regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
2) ☐ While neither specifically consistent nor incoguide listed in the comment section.	☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
3) □ While neither specifically consistent nor incoguide listed in the comment section.	onsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development			
4)   The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following the proposal is a second of the p	lowing regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
5)   □ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop	ment guide for which this division is responsible.			
6) □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. <b>COMMENTS:</b>				