

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Jan 10 2012 **ARC Review Code**: P1201101

TO: Mayor David Belle Isle

ATTN TO: Diana Wheeler, Community Development Director

FROM: Douglas A. Hooker, Executive Director

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2011 City of Alpharetta CIE/STWP Update

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The 2011 Update of the City of Alpharetta CIE/STWP Update

Submitting Local Government: City of Alpharetta

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Jan 10 2012

Deadline for Comments: Jan 31 2012

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Mar 10 2012

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

CITY OF ALPHARETTA
CITY OF ROSWELL
CHEROKEE COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
FORSYTH COUNTY
CITY OF MOUNTAIN PARK


CITY OF MILTON

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
GEORGIA MOUNTAINS RC
CITY OF JOHNS CREEK

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Jan 31 2012, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse .





REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government	City of Alpharetta	Date Received:	Jan 10 2012
Local Contact:	Diana Wheeler, Community Development Director City of Alpharetta	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2012-02-03 09:00 AM
Phone:	678-297-6070	E-Mail:	
Fax:		Website:	
Street	287 S Main Street	City State, Zip:	Alpharetta, GA 30009
	Department of Commu	nity Affairs	Review Required
Review Title:	2011 City of Alpharetta CIE/S	STWP Update	
Description	The 2011 Update of the City of Alpharetta CIE/STWP Update Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Alpharetta.		
The submitt	ted documents are available	for review a	at ARC and the local government.
Reviewing I	Regional Development Cente	r:	
40 Courtland	nal Commission Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 33.3302 FAX 404.463.3254		
Contact Person:	ared Lombard AICP, Principal Planner		
E-Mail j	jlombard@atlantaregional.com		

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Jan 10 2012		ARC REVIEW CODE: P1201101		
TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs FROM: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302				
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:				
	<u>'Jse:</u> Lombard, Jared onmental: Santo, Jim	<u>Transportation:</u> Park, Jean Hee <u>Research:</u> Skinner, Jim		
	: Rader, Carolyn	<u>Research.</u> Skinner, Jini		
Name of Proposal: 2011 City of Alpharetta CIE/STWP Update Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Description: The 2011 Update of the City of Alpharetta CIE/STWP Update Submitting Local Government: City of Alpharetta Date Opened: Jan 10 2012 Deadline for Comments: Jan 31 2012 Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Mar 10 2012				
Response:				
1)	☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the fo	llowing regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
2)	•	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development		
3)	☐ While neither specifically consistent guide listed in the comment section.	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development		
4)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
5)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal does NOT relate to any	development guide for which this division is responsible.		
6)	6) □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. COMMENTS:			