



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax: 404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Oct 14 2011

ARC REVIEW CODE: P1110141

TO: Mayor Alan Hallman
ATTN TO: Bill Johnston, City Planner
FROM: Emerson Bryan, Interim Director

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2011 City of Hapeville STWP Update

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The 2011 Short Term Work Program Update for the City of Hapeville

Submitting Local Government: City of Hapeville

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Oct 14 2011

Deadline for Comments: Nov 4 2011

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Dec 13 2011

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
PLANNING HARTSFIELD ATL. INT. AIRPORT
CITY OF COLLEGE PARK
FULTON COUNTY


ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF ATLANTA
CLAYTON COUNTY

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
CITY OF EAST POINT
METRO ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Nov 4 2011, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse>.





REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Hapeville	Date Received:	Oct 14 2011
Local Contact:	Bill Johnston, City Planner City of Hapeville	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2011-11-08 9:00 AM
Phone:		E-Mail:	
Fax:		Website:	
Street	3468 North Fulton Avenue	City State, Zip:	Hapeville, Georgia 30354

Department of Community Affairs Review Required

Review Title:	2011 City of Hapeville STWP Update
Description:	The 2011 Short Term Work Program Update for the City of Hapeville Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Hapeville.

The submitted documents are available for review at ARC and the local government.

Reviewing Regional Development Center:

Atlanta Regional Commission
40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303
Phone 404.463.3302 FAX 404.463.3254

Contact Person:	Jared Lombard AICP, Principal Planner
E-Mail	jlombard@atlantaregional.com

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Oct 14 2011

ARC REVIEW CODE: P1110141

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs

FROM: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302

Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

Land Use: Lombard, Jared

Transportation: Park, Jean Hee

Environmental: Santo, Jim

Research: Skinner, Jim

Aging: Rader, Carolyn

Name of Proposal: 2011 City of Hapeville STWP Update

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The 2011 Short Term Work Program Update for the City of Hapeville

Submitting Local Government: City of Hapeville

Date Opened: Oct 14 2011

Deadline for Comments: Nov 4 2011

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Dec 13 2011

Response:

- 1) ☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 2) ☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 3) ☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 4) ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 5) ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.
- 6) ☐ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.

COMMENTS:
