

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Oct 4 2011 ARC REVIEW CODE: P1110042

ATTN TO: Geneasa Elias, Director Planning and Zoning

By an

FROM: Emerson Bryan, Interim Director

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2011 City of East Point Community Assessment and Participation Plan

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The 2011 Update of the City of East Point's Comprehensive Plan-Community Assessment and

Participation Plan

Submitting Local Government: City of East Point

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Oct 4 2011

Deadline for Comments: Oct 25 2011

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Nov 5 2011

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES CITY OF ATLANTA **FULTON COUNTY** CITY OF UNION CITY

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF COLLEGE PARK METRO ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CITY OF EAST POINT CITY OF HAPEVILLE

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Oct 25 2011, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse .	



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of East Point	Date Received:	Oct 4 2011	
Local Contact:	Geneasa Elias, Director Planning and Zoning City of East Point	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2011-10-28 09:00 AM	
Phone:	404-209-5148	E-Mail:		
Fax:		Website:	http:\\www.	
Street	1526 East Forrest Avenue	City State, Zip:	East Point, GA 30334	
	Department of Commu	nity Affairs	Review Required	
Review Title:	2011 City of East Point Comm	nunity Assessi	ment and Participation Plan	
Description	The 2011 Update of the City of East Point's Comprehensive Plan-Community Assessment and Participation Plan			
	Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of East Point.			
The submitt	ed documents are available	for review a	at ARC and the local government.	
Reviewing F	Regional Development Cente	r:		
	nal Commission			
	Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 3.3302 FAX 404.463.3254			
Person:	ared Lombard AICP, Principal P	lanner		
E-Mail j	lombard@atlantaregional.com			

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE	Oct 4 2011	ARC REVIEW CODE: P1110042			
TO:	ARC Land Use, Environmenta	l, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs			
FROM: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302					
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:					
		Transportation: Park, Jean Hee			
	onmental: Santo, Jim	Research: Skinner, Jim			
Aging	Rader, Carolyn				
Review Descri Submi	w Type: Local Comprehensive Plan	East Point's Comprehensive Plan-Community Assessment and Participation Plan			
Dondi	ine for Comments: Oct 25 2011				
Deau	me for Comments. Oct 23 2011				
Earlie	st the Regional Review can be C	ompleted: Nov 5 2011			
		Damana.			
		Response:			
1)	☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
1) 2)	=	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development			
	☐ While neither specifically cons guide listed in the comment section	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development			
2)	☐ While neither specifically cons guide listed in the comment section ☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development			
2)	☐ While neither specifically cons guide listed in the comment section ☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTEN	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on.			
2)3)4)	☐ While neither specifically cons guide listed in the comment section ☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTEN ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. T with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. o any development guide for which this division is responsible. applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
2)3)4)5)	☐ While neither specifically cons guide listed in the comment section ☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTEN ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. T with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. o any development guide for which this division is responsible.			
2)3)4)5)	☐ While neither specifically cons guide listed in the comment section ☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTEN ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. T with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. o any development guide for which this division is responsible. applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
2)3)4)5)	☐ While neither specifically cons guide listed in the comment section ☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTEN ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. T with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. o any development guide for which this division is responsible. applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
2)3)4)5)	☐ While neither specifically cons guide listed in the comment section ☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTEN ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. T with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. o any development guide for which this division is responsible. applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
2)3)4)5)	☐ While neither specifically cons guide listed in the comment section ☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTEN ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. T with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. o any development guide for which this division is responsible. applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
2)3)4)5)	☐ While neither specifically cons guide listed in the comment section ☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTEN ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. T with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. o any development guide for which this division is responsible. applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
2)3)4)5)	☐ While neither specifically cons guide listed in the comment section ☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTEN ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. T with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. o any development guide for which this division is responsible. applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
2)3)4)5)	☐ While neither specifically cons guide listed in the comment section ☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTEN ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. T with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. o any development guide for which this division is responsible. applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
2)3)4)5)	☐ While neither specifically cons guide listed in the comment section ☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTEN ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on. T with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. o any development guide for which this division is responsible. applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			