

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Oct 4 2011 ARC REVIEW CODE: P1110041

TO: Mayor Earnestine Pittman

ATTN TO: Geneasa Elias, Director Planning and Zoning,

Emerson Bryan, Interim Director & Emerson Bryan Bryan FROM:

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2011 City of East Point STWP Update

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The 2011 Update of the City of East Point's Short Term Work Program

Submitting Local Government: City of East Point

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Oct 4 2011

Deadline for Comments: Oct 25 2011

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Dec 3 2011

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:


ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES CITY OF ATLANTA

FULTON COUNTY CITY OF UNION CITY ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF COLLEGE PARK METRO ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CITY OF FAST POINT CITY OF HAPEVILLE

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Oct 25 2011, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse.





REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of East Point	Date Received:	Oct 4 2011		
Local Contact:	Geneasa Elias, Director Planning and Zoning City of East Point	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2011-10-28 09:00 AM		
Phone:	404-209-5148	E-Mail:			
Fax:		Website:			
Street	1526 East Forrest Avenue	City State, Zip:	East Point, GA 30334		
	Department of Commu	nity Affairs	Review Required		
Review Title:	2011 City of East Point STWI	P Update			
Description	The 2011 Update of the City of East Point's Short Term Work Program				
	Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of East Point.				
The submitt	ed documents are available	for review a	at ARC and the local government.		
Reviewing F	Regional Development Cente	r:			
Atlanta Regio	nal Commission				
40 Courtland	Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 3.3302 FAX 404.463.3254				
Person:	on:				
E-Mail j	jlombard@atlantaregional.com				

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

Б	ARC STAFF NOTICE OF I			
DATE	E : Oct 4 2011	ARC REVIEW CODE: P1110041		
TO:	APC Land Has Environmental Trans	portation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs		
	M: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-33	8 8		
TKO	•	ewing staff by Jurisdiction:		
neviewing stair by jurisdiction.				
Land	l Use: Lombard, Jared	Transportation: Park, Jean Hee		
<u>Envir</u>	ronmental: Santo, Jim	Research: Skinner, Jim		
Aging	ng: Rader, Carolyn			
Name	ne of Proposal: 2011 City of East Point STWP	Update		
<u>Revie</u>	ew Type: Local Comprehensive Plan			
<u>Descr</u>	ription: The 2011 Update of the City of East Point	's Short Term Work Program		
	nitting Local Government: City of East Po	int		
<u>Date</u>	<u>e Opened:</u> Oct 4 2011			
<u>Dead</u>	<u>dline for Comments:</u> Oct 25 2011			
<u>Earlie</u>	iest the Regional Review can be Completed	<u>l:</u> Dec 3 2011		
		Response:		
1)	☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the follow	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
2)	While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
3)		inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development		
<i>J)</i>	guide listed in the comment section.	inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development		
4)		e following regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
5)		velopment guide for which this division is responsible.		
3)	• •	retophicit guide for which this division is responsible.		
6)	Ctoff wishes to confor with the applicant	for the reasons listed in the comment section		
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant	for the reasons listed in the comment section. COMMENTS:		
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant	for the reasons listed in the comment section. COMMENTS:		
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant			
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant			
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant			
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant			
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant			
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant			
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant			
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant			
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant			