

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

1. EMERON Bry an

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Sep 20 2011 **ARC REVIEW CODE**: P1109201

TO: Mayor Greg Zarus,

FROM: Emerson Bryan, Interim Director

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2011 City of Pine Lake Short Term Work Program

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The 2011 Update of the City of Pine Lake's Short Term Work Program

Submitting Local Government: City of Pine Lake

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Sep 20 2011

Deadline for Comments: Oct 11 2011

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Nov 19 2011

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DEKALR COUNTY

DEKALB COUNTY
CITY OF LITHONIA

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF PINE LAKE

CITY OF AVONDALE ESTATES

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
CITY OF CLARKSTON
CITY OF DECATUR

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Oct 11 2011, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse .



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Governmen	City of Pine Lake	Date Received:	Sep 20 2011		
Local Contact:	City of Pine Lake	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2011-10-17 09:00 AM		
Phone:		E-Mail:			
Fax:		Website:			
Street	PO Box 1325	City State, Zip:	Pine Lake, Georgia 30073		
Department of Community Affairs Review Required					
Review Title	itle: 2011 City of Pine Lake Short Term Work Program				
Descriptio	Document can be viewed on the http://www.atlantaregional.com/	The 2011 Update of the City of Pine Lake's Short Term Work Program Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Pine Lake.			
The submitted documents are available for review at ARC and the local government.					
Reviewing Regional Development Center:					
40 Courtlan	ional Commission d Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 463.3302 FAX 404.463.3254				
Contact Person:	Person:				
E-Mail	ilombard@atlantaregional.com				

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE:	Sep 20 2011	ARC REVIEW CODE: P1109201			
TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs					
FROM: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302					
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:					
,	Jse: Lombard, Jared	Transportation: Bradshaw, Patrick			
·		Research: Skinner, Jim			
Aging	Rader, Carolyn				
Name of Proposal: 2011 City of Pine Lake Short Term Work Program Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Description: The 2011 Update of the City of Pine Lake's Short Term Work Program Submitting Local Government: City of Pine Lake					
Date C	Dpened: Sep 20 2011				
<u>Deadl</u>	<u>ine for Comments:</u> Oct 11 2011				
Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Nov 19 2011					
		Response:			
1)	$\hfill\Box$ Proposal is CONSISTENT with	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
2)	☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section	istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on.			
3)	☐ While neither specifically consiguide listed in the comment section	istent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development on.			
4)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTEN	T with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
5)	\square The proposal does NOT relate t	o any development guide for which this division is responsible.			
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the a	applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. COMMENTS:			